

West Forest colts -

36	Neuron	from gate	redoubt	2.5	Neuron on 9-7--	from gate	Nov 2
28	Neuron						
22	Neuron	go	go	4	2.5	Neuron on 7-3-10	from gate
20	Neuron						

Remains here on even
Wednesday & Saturday.
The confirmed are now
but few

Conf. M
 11.19.62
 7
 520

Lumina Kandel.
Kamuti Salak.
Rama ind Semai.

Maha Lakshmi.
Amati. A. 2 A. 1 husband
23. 1342 18.

Original Grantor
 1. Lehigh
 2. Linnæus
 3. Linnæus
 4. Linnæus
 5. Linnæus
 6. Linnæus
 7. Linnæus

Pitche-mmit.
alias sister
Nan-kam-mat - wife of S. Husband.
36

Year	Cases	Wet	Leak Res
1940	1	58-5-2-2	12-8
1941	1	12-17 100-8-11	12-8
2		12-75 105-11-1	12-8

In an application made by Kanyana Rangaswamy & J. W. in his order dated
16th Janr 1830, directed to issue the registered or the names of his daughter -
(Maha Lakshmi Ammal & Pichammal Jantes et al). as to grant it if appeared
from enquiry that & same was granted - 210 yrs ago, to Chelapa Periamaswamy, who
exchange for a certain sum, rejecting & claim - then advanced by one Nandan Rao
Narasimhan

Wm

Cousin of Appanna Ranganayyan.
He said Appanna Ranganayyan had two Montages & Isaac de Montages, Swedenborg &
was adding to Isaac. In 1838, he Appanna Krishnayyan, presented to myself, from making any
production to Isaac & Montages; on account of his & Abhimanyu's father, & deceased
Appanna Ranganayyan he came was engaged into; & I paid his earlier debts by July 1838
deceased & Isaac, & he allowed to be held, as usual by Montages; stating that Appanna
Krishnayyan Ranganayyan had no claim whatever of Isaac; & if he chose, he was at liberty.

It & have become a final position.
He said, *Byzura Kristmann* again presented, a letter to Mr. in 1841, saying that he had
inherited & property of *Byzura Rangsarzen* in right of *Abhimana/patra* & that the deceased
deceased. son in law *Dabbayzen* was improperly adding & name. He case was referred to
the *Tasildar* for enquiry by Mr. as his order dated 28 April 1841.
The *Tasildar* who later dated 8 July 1841, reported, & circumstances & correspondence
described above, adding that it appeared from evidence of two witnesses that deceased *Byzura*
Rangsar had be deceased *Kristmann* & again helped to

to which, Maha Lakshmi Ammal & her sister were as parties, with in an away
 affect ~~the~~ their claim. Moreover, half of ~~the~~ sum has been held by ~~the~~ by
 mortgages, & the help in a enjoyment of Maha Lakshmi Ammal & her sister
 Krishna Rajan as well as his two sisters, still ^{at} $\frac{1}{2}$ of the produce to be allowed by
 Krishna Rajan by Maha Lakshmi Ammal. His statement & evidence are to be taken.
 Maha Lakshmi Ammal does not admit to fact & so Krishna Rajan has no enjoyment
 of a land. Under the above circumstances, I recommend that ~~the~~ sum be confirmed
 to Maha Lakshmi Ammal & Nithasammil, daughters of Ranganatha Rangarajan, who
 are in enjoyment of the sum, & whose names to sum was directed to be registered by
 Mr. who was dated 10 Jan 1850. The final points are open to Krishna Rajan who calls
 himself to be the man of the fact. as possessor of Ranganatha Rangarajan, & whose claim was
 rejected by the court, as stated above.

The sum paid - 1000 rupees on 16 Feb. 1850
 Date 7 Dec. 1850. Agreed
 12-13 M

17 Dec 1850

A. M. M. S. S.
 D. M. S.

Extract granted to
 Ranganatha Rangarajan
 on the 22nd July 1850.