Register of Inams in the Village of Kōttayūr No 4 attached to the Kōdakkurachi Division in the [illegible] Taluk of Madura Dt

Dr Rate 1-8-

Vide remark at the head of the Reg of [illegible] [illegible]

Register No 62

Sheet No 69

1 Devadayam Dry 64	63 3-- 3-03	4-9-1	For the Inam Term support of the Tirukkan festival of Dandayuthapani Panguni Uttira Mantapapadi at Palani now Palani Taluk now kept up	Chitta of F 1216 & 1258 Contents as per F 1216 K V Rs Dr 3-- = 3-03 No Encroach	In F 1216 Palani Andavar Swami	In F 1258 Palani Dandayuthapani Swami Sanni Radha Pandaram	Palani Taluk Palani Dandayudhapani Panguni Uttira Mantapapadi Trustee of Lōkammal [illegible] widow	The [illegible] 64 [illegible] 29' Sept/3	T.D. No 233 Conf'd [illegible]
2 Devadayam Dry	77 3-- 3-03	4-9-	For the Inam Term support of the Pagoda of Malakottai Tayumanaswami at Trichinopoly Trichinopoly District now kept up	Chitta of F 1216 & 1258 Contents as per F 1216 K V Rs Dr 3-0-3 = 3-03 No Encroach	In F 1216 Tāyimān Swami Swami	In F 1258 Malakottai Tāyumān Swami Swami	Trichinopoly District Trichinopoly Tāyimān Swami In charge of [illegible] Pillai 50	The [illegible] [illegible] 29/ Sept/3	T.D. No 234 Conf'd
	80 4-13-- 4-86 157 1-14-2 1-92 161 1-15-1 1-97 194 1-2-- 1-14 255 1-11-1 1-72 442 3-10-- 3-66 51 --2-2 --16 15-4-2 15-43	7-5-3 2-14-5 2-15-6 1-11-4 2-9-5 5-8-3 --3-9 23-3-11	For the Inam Term support of two Pagodas of Vināyakar at Kōttayūr now kept up	Chitta of F 1216 & 1258 Contents as per F 1216 K V Rs Dr 15-4-2 = 15-43 No Encroach	In F 1216 Vināyakar Swami	In F 1258 Vinayakar 2 Vayyapuri Kanda Pandāram	Kōttayūr Vināyakar & Nattan Vināyakar Worshipper Vayyā Kanda Pandāram 60 [illegible]	Renders Puja on every Friday The [illegible] 29' Sept 3	T.D. No 235 Conf'd
Devadayam Dry	99 2-2-1 2-16 328 --11-1 --71 332 1-12-3 1-82 359 6-8-1 6-58 11-2-2 11-27	3-4-1 1-1-1 2-11-9 9-14- 16-15-	For the Inam Term support of the Pagoda of Ayyanar at Kōttayūr now kept up	Chitta of F 1216 & 1258 Contents as per F 1216 K V Rs Dr 11-2-2 = 11-27 No Encroach	In F 1216 Ayyanar Swami	In F 1258 Ayyanar Swami Sole	Kōttayūr Ayyanar Worshipper Ponna Kavandan 35 brother's son	Renders Puja & Festival once a year The [illegible] 29' Sept 3	T.D. No 236 Conf'd
Devadayam Dry	351 3-- 3-03	4-9-	For the Inam Term support of Pidari Amman at Kōttayūr now kept up	Chitta of F 1216 & 1258 Contents as per F 1216 K V Rs Dr 3-- = 3-03 No Encroach	In F 1216 Pidari Amman Swami	In F 1258 Pidari Amman Sole	Kōttayūr Pidari Amman Worshipper Ponna Kavandan 35 brother's son	Renders Puja on important days The [illegible] 29' Sept 3	T.D. No 237 Conf'd

Köttayür

Dévadāyam Dr

224 2-9-1 2-60

3-14-8 For the Lay Perm support of Karapana Swami at Köttayür [illegible]

Chitta of F 1216 & 1258
Entered as per F 1216 K V [illegible] 2-9-1 = 2-60 No Encroach

In F 1216 Karapa na Swami
In F 1258 Karapa na Swami
Palani Kavundan

Köttayür Karapana Swami Worshipper
Palani [illegible] Kavandan son 32

Renders puja & festival once a year in the month of Adi. [illegible]
29th Octr

T D No 238
Conf [illegible]
5/7/64

Dharmadāyam Dr

160 1-10-0 1-64
383 2-5-2 2-37
149 1-2-0 1-14
198 2-14-0 2-90
7-15-2 8-05

2-7-7
3-9-1
1-11-4
4-6-0
12-2-0

For the Lay Perm support of Eiranis in the Melur Taluk in general

Chitta of F 1216 & 1258
Entered as per F 1216 K V [illegible] 7-15-2 = 8-05 No Encroach

In F 1216 Eirani Inam
In F 1258 Eirani Inam

Melur Taluk
Eirani Inam In charge of the Collr of the District.

Vide remark in case No 13 of Serangattupatti
To be confirmed under Rule [illegible]
14 Octr

T D No 1033
Confd as [illegible]
4 Apl 65 [illegible]
See remark in case No 13 of Reg No 59
30/7/64

[illegible] Dr

121 5-9-3 5-67

8-8-6 Bhatavritti Lay Perm

Chitta of F 1216 & 1258
Entered as per F 1216 K V [illegible] 5-9-3 = 5-67 No Encroach

In F 1216 Bhatavritti Kārappatti Kuppa Naiyangar
In F 1258 Bhatavritti Kuppai Iyangar [illegible]

Kārappatti - Trichinopoly [illegible]
Alagiri Ayyangar son 58 1 A D 5 [illegible]

do adult

[illegible] 6 ages
29th Octr

T D No 239
Conf [illegible]

XX The recommendation of the Asst of the Coll will be sent to the Collr for the necessary alteration in the accounts
H [illegible] DC
Appd 14/4/64 [illegible] 5/7/64

[illegible] Dr

132 6-15-1 7-02
133 2-12-3 2-81
135 3-2-0 3-15
138 4-13-1 4-88
17-11-0 17-56

10-9-2 Khairat Lay
4-3-8
4-12-2
7-5-9
26-14-9

Original grant 10 mercal seed land = 30 Kani = 30-30 Hij 1199 AD 1779

Ajoora Mulk Bahadur

Khaji Narvana dated Hijri 1199. Adalat [illegible] Decree Hijri 1203.

Chitta of F 1216 & 1258
Entered as per F 1216 K V [illegible] 30-0-0 = 30-30
Excl as per F 1216 44-11-3 = 45-15
[illegible] F 1222 17-11-0 = 17-86

In F 1216 Nayina Mahamad Rāvuttan Rakata Manikyam
In F 1258 Rakata Inam Kōdakkuvachi 2 Nayina Mahammed 3 [illegible]

Köttayür
Sanjarakan Rāvuttan son of [illegible] 5 [illegible] 1 M 1b
Kādir Miyā Rāvuttan 40 grand son 1 W 2 br
Mahommed Mira Ravuttan 48 grand son 1 W 2 br [illegible]

Do adult
Do [illegible]
[illegible]

Ten mercal seed land was granted as Lay per tenure under the orders of the Nabob by Ajoora Mulk Bahadur in Hij 1199 AD 1779. For the support of the family of Nayina Mahommed who was killed by a gang of robbers. The Adalat Court held a decree in H 1203 AD 1783, stating that 10 mercal seed land was granted to Meera [illegible] Ravuttan son of Nayina Mahommed.

Ten mercal seed land is equivalent to 30. Trichinopoly Kanis, which is equal to 30-30 acres. In the Chitta of F 1216, the extent of the Inam is entered as 44-11-3 Kanis perhaps with the [illegible] excess inclusive of [illegible] Inam as Rakta Kanike Inam. But in the accounts of F 1222, Nos 132, 133, 135 & 138, embracing an area of 17-11- Kanis or 17-86 were entered as Rakta Kanike Inam, including the Inam [illegible] with the Ayan [illegible]. This improper transfer appears to have been made by the Karnam whether wilfully or through ignorance without the orders of the Local authorities. The Inam [illegible] now stands as Ayan [illegible] in the accounts. The Inamdars [illegible] claim No 138

* 140. 142. 143. & 144 mentioned in red ink, embracing an area of 12 [illegible] Kanis or 12-22 classed as Inam in the original Chitta of F 1216, the original grant as per [illegible] of F 1215 being 30 Kanis only. These number fields are entered as Rakt. Kanika Inam under cultivation in the [illegible] of F 1215 & 1216. The said fields being [illegible] the Karnam appears to have by mistake classed it as Ayan [illegible] between F 1216 & 1222. The Inamdars do not lay claim to the Inam with the legitimate excess which is 44-11-3 Kanis F 1216 [illegible] to classify 12-22 entered in red ink as a portion of the Inam, & continue to the Inamdars, deducting it from Ayan [illegible] which was without authority transferred to Ayan, to make up the extent of the grant.
To be confirmed [illegible] 5 [illegible]

T D No 1062 -
The recommendation of the D Collr could be approved. [illegible] The land [illegible] as Ayan in the accounts never paid [illegible] assessment to Govt & there being thus no adverse possession the claim of the Inamdar cannot be barred by any statute of limitation. The right to it is clearly established.

33-11-6
30-0-0 30-30 45-10-3

Abstract

Cases		Dr		Quit Rent
Devadāyam	7	46-44	69-15-3	
[illegible]	2	23-53	35-7-3	6-12
	9	69-97	105-6-6	6-12
		88-41	134-2	6-12