... Dindigal and Palney ... Madura District

Extent of [illegible] lands for the [illegible] exclusive of [illegible] — as per Fysal 957 — as per [illegible] Acres 1152 — Excess Acres 195 — Cutcherry 20, 10 Addl., 30 — [illegible] and [illegible] = ·59, wet Kanny = 1·32

Regd. 98

Shinnileppai village Dindigal Taluk

No.	Description and extent	Object	10	11	12	13	By whom held	Grant	Present holder	Remarks	Decision	Marks
1	[illegible] Dr. Kanwal 598-6-1 4·957 — 2 7 — 2; Fysal 7-10-4 ·50; Excess .. 2-1 ·45; Cutcherry allowable	For the support of Pillayar Pagoda at Mayara Ammapatti. It is worshipped on Friday. It is a thatched Pagoda	Free	Permanent	Not known	None	Pillayar Pagoda	In 1213 Ammapatti Vinayaken. In 1223 Vinayaken and Mariamman worshipper Shitte Pandaram	In 1236 Vinayaken	Mayara Ammapatti Vinayakar Pagoda worshipper Muttan	[illegible] of free [illegible] among the Pag[oda] Dept. for disp[osal]. [To] be confirmed free in [illegible] so long as the Pagoda exists. I.K. 31/8/64	[illegible]	MC 4/10/64
2	Quvadayam Dr. Kanwal — 18-12-3 5 ·146 — 8 6 — 8; Fysal 8-3-1 4·84; Excess [illegible]-9-2 ·35; Cutcherry allowable	For the support of Jakkal Amman Pagoda at Mayara Ammapatti. It is worshipped once in every 6th year. It is an open Pagoda under trees	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Jakkal Amman Pagoda	In 1213 Ammapatti Jakkalamma. In 1223 Jakkal [illegible] worshipper [illegible]	In 1236 Jakkal Amman	Mayara Ammapatti Jakkal Amman Pagoda worshipper [illegible]	To be confirmed in one T.D. free so long as the Pagoda exists. I.K. 31-8-64	T.D. No. 1442	I.D.F. 7/4
3	Quvadayam Dr. Palai 48 10-12-6 ·349 — 2 9 — 2; Fysal 8-1-1 4·76 6 — 14; Excess 80 Percent 1·43 2 — 1; Total allowable 6·19 8 — 15; Chargeable excess ·15 — 3	For the support of Muttal Amman Pagoda at Mayara Ammapatti. It is worshipped once in every 3rd year. It is thatched	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Muttal Amman Pagoda	In 1213 Ammapatti [illegible]	In 1236 [illegible]	Mayara Ammapatti [illegible] Amman worshipper [illegible]	To be confirmed in one T.D. so long as the Pagoda exists — ~~To be confirmed in one T.D.~~ to be subject to a Compulsory quit of annas (2) on account of the chargeable Excess ~~so long as the Pagoda~~ I.K. 31/8/64	T.D. No. 1443	Cufd. MC I.D.F.
4	Quvadayam Dr. Palai 102 4-10-5 ·68 8 — 3 8 — 3; Fysal 8-11-1 5 ·13; Excess .. 14-3 ·55; Cutcherry allowable	For the support of Perumal Pagoda [illegible] It is worshipped on Saturdays. It is thatched	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Perumal Pagoda	In 1213 [illegible] In 1223 Perumal [illegible] worshipper [illegible]	In 1236 [illegible] Perumal	Mayara Ammapatti [illegible] Shitten	To be confirmed in one T.D. free so long as the Pagoda exists — I.K. 31-8-64	T.D. No. 1444	Cufd. MC 4/10/64

Sheet No. 145

Fair copy [illegible]

I.D.F.



Extract from the Register of Shinnilupppai Rev. No. 98 Ramagiri group of the Dindigul Dn. and Taluq Madura District.

1 – 7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16 – 19	20 – 21	22
of Dindigam. Ryot 98. Dry. Karuvaal — 104 – 14 – 8 2 8·57 12 — 5 12 — 5; Vailanaram — 124 – 8 – 3 – 4·83 6 — 15 6 — 15; — Do — 126 – 10 – 15 – 6·45 9 — 5 9 — 5; Palai — 105 – 12 – 2 7·10 8 — 15 8 — 15; — Do — 103 – 6 – 4 – 3·69 4 — 4 —; Taib — 125 – 13 – 2 – 7·75 9 — 12 9 — 12; Vashalai — 127 – 34 – 8 – 3 20·38 18 — 5 18 — 5; Total — 99 – 9 – 3 58·77 69 — 9 69 — 9; Tysal — 65 – 11 – 1 38·76 — 44 — 11; Excess — 30 Percent 11·63 14 — 7; Total allowable — 50·39 — 59 — 2; Chargeable excess — 8·38 — 10 — 7	For Swayampakam Service in the Ramagiri Narasinga Perumal Pagoda. The Service is rendered.	Free	Perma-nent	Not known	None	Not known	In 1213 Brimmadayam Purana Kritti Aiyasami. In 1223 Ramagiri Narasimha Perumal Swayampakam Inam. Tiruvainga-dam Aiyangar Daughter's son to the party in Fs. 1213.	In 1236 Aiyasami Aiyan's son Tiruvaingadam Aiyangar Daughter's son to the party in Fs. 1213	Ramagiri. Narasingapperumal Pagoda Swayampakam Service. Ponnecruaiyangar.	This Inam is entered in the Huzoor account of Fs. 1213 as Puranavirti Inam. But Ponnecruaiyangar says that it is for Swayampakam Service to the Pagoda. He is stated by the Villagers to be the party hereditarily enjoying the Inam.	Confirmed (Sd.) P. Chentsal Rao Spl. Assist. 4/10/64. T. D. No. 1447.

Shinnilupppai village Dindigal Taluk

Sheet No. 146

31/8/64. – Notice No. 99 sent to Kuppammal

31/8/64. – Vide remarks below. 10/9/64.

From the very old Cudjan accounts of the Pagoda receipts & expenditure and the Tusdeek which are produced by the Pagoda Managar, it appears that the Inam is entered therein down from Fs. 1227 as the Cooking service Inam and that the income from the Inam is credited to the Pagoda and again deducted as the wages of the cook.

It is recommended that the Inam may be confirmed in one Title Deed so long as the Cooking service for the Pagoda is rendered on a compulsory Quit Rent of Rs (10) on account of the chargeable excess. 10/9/64. (Sd.) F. Krishna Rao Deputy Collector [illegible]

Registree of Fs. 1213 in Case of Shinnilupppai.



Registree of Fs. 1223 and 1236 in case of Shinnilupppai



× It is clear that the Inamdar has all along rendered & is now rendering the service – The statement of the opposite party, that the former does not render the service, is unfounded –

Ramaswami Aiyar
Dindigul – Tahsildar
14th June 1866

Inam Commissioner's Office
Coimbatore November 1864

Kuppammal's brother's son appears and states that the person in col. 16 is not rendering the service [illegible] and that another individual enjoying a separate Inam renders the service –

Krishna Rao is requested to make more enquiries and report whether the Inamdar still renders the service or not. If no service is rendered, I think the Inam can be confirmed as personal.

(Sd.) P. Chentsal Rao

2/11/64. Special Assistant.

(True Copy)

P. Chentsal [illegible]

Spl. Asst. Inam Commissioner

Compl. [illegible] Rao Esq.

The Tahsildar of Dindigul begs to report that from the evidence of the Wardens [illegible] & Managar of the Ramagiri [illegible]

There [illegible] preferred by any party for the Inam [illegible] in case of Shinnilupppai a claim was preferred in the names [illegible] Ponnavaiyangar [illegible] adopted son of Ramagiri ammal [illegible] from Kuppammal [illegible] to the [illegible] of the [illegible] therefore the Inam as her own property & wishes the confirmation of the [illegible] Venkatachelaiyangar. To be decided upon the [illegible] of Kuppammal 21/3/64.

Kuppammal [illegible] in Salem District. Her brother's son [illegible] and signed the petition [illegible] for the [illegible] made by him is rejected as the evidence of the [illegible] witness [illegible] and the evidence of the [illegible] prove that Kuppammal had no share in the Inam which is inherited [illegible] of 60 years in the family of the daughter of the party in Fs. 1213.

10/9/64. (Sd.) F. Krishna Rao Deputy Collector [illegible]

Fair Copied

[illegible] 1866