No 95. Register of Mallapuram Rev: No 95. Ramagiri group of the Dindigal Division Dindigal Taluq Madura District

Regd 95

Mallapuram village
Dinedigal Taluk

Sheet No 137

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Ayakut Exclusive of	Tydal lands for the Village Poramboke					As per Tydal acres 5,310	As per F. 1272 acres 6,841	Excess acres 1531 Centage 29 Add — 39			Dry and Nadu Cocle = 57 wet Kaimy = 132		The Todi in the several cases is for Dry fields only — and the wet is free.								
1	Davadayam Dry Palaemaram Particulars As per Tydal Excess Centage 7 allowable	852	11-15-17 11-2-6 -13-10	35 11 36 10 49	7 11 10 10 15	7 10 13	For the support of Vinayakar Masonry Pagoda at Mallapuram It is worshipped once a week	Free	Permanent	Not known	None	Vinayakar Pagoda	In F 1213 Mallapuram Vinayakar Worshipper Periyatambi Pandaram In F 1223 Not entered	In F 1254 Mallapuram Vinayakar Inam	Mallapuram Vinayakar Pagoda Worshippers (1) Karuppana Pandaram (2) Marimuttu Pandaram			..	..	To be confirmed in one T-D so long as the Pagoda exists — fee — T-K 23/8/64 T.Dn 1498	Confirmed 4/10/64
2	Davadayam Dry Peliyamaram Vambadi Total Wet Nanja cawnies Vambadi Total Particulars As per Tydal Excess Centage 1 allowable	 666 669 916	 17-13-2 10 11-2-6 28-15-2 17 — 2 0 17 17 0	 33 17 36 9 69 26 17 26 27 09 27 17	 1 17 7 9 8 26 15 7 27 27 7	 1 7 8 15 7 " 7	For the support of Vinayakar Pagoda at Mayara Nallambipatty It is worshipped once a week	Todi 5-3-9 for Dry land only the wet being free	Do	Do	Do	Vinayakar	In F 1213 Vinayakar Inam Worshipper Nonpay Pandaram In F 1223 Vinayakar Inam Worshipper Nonpay Pandaram Dry 7 — 2 Wet 11 — 3	In F 1254 Vinayakar Inam	Nellambipatty Vinayakar Pagoda Worshippers. Nallatambi Pandaram Kali Pandaram —			..	..	To be confirmed in one T-D — subject to the ~~existing~~ Tydal Todi — so long as the Pagoda exists — or from 15 years ~~or being~~ ~~[illegible]~~ From a long time, none Kumara Alagasamiah Naicker holds the Todi Patta for the dry portions of the inam in his name — This seems to have been a long standing mistake of the Rev: Dept and originated probably from his being a man of influence — He cultivates the Wet portion & pays the Mailwaram to the Worshippers — He collects the Todi some times through the Worshippers, or at times thro' the Cultivators of the Dry land ~~with~~ whom he appears to have for some years let them while in other years the worshipper lets the lands for himself — on these grounds, he wishes to get the Pagoda inam confirmed to him, asserting that he is the Manager — I reject his claim as I see that he has nothing to do with the inam & is utterly unconnected with the Pagoda — in favor of the present worshippers descended from the Tydal Pujari who are in charge of the Pagoda and are worshipping it. T-K 23/8/64	T.Dn 1499 Confd.
3	Davadayam Dry Coorkalamaram Particulars As per Tydal Excess Centage 14 allowable	 564	 11-12-16 10-4-36 1-7-20	 94 11 28 9 86 1	 4 11 13 9 71	 4 13 7	For the support of Vinayakar Masonry Pagoda at Mayara Chinnakkoundenpatty It is worshipped Every Friday	Todi ~~15~~ 1-15-6	Do	Do	Do	Vinayakar Pagoda	In F 1213 Vinayakar Inam Worshipper Periyana Pandaram In F 1223 Vinayakar Inam Worshipper Muttakaruppan	In F 1254 Vinayakar Inam Worshippers (1) Karuppana Pandaram (2) Marimuttu Pandaram	Mayara Chinnakkoundenpatty Vinayakar Pagoda Worshippers (1) Karuppana Pandaram (2) Marimuttu Pandaram			..		To be confirmed in one Tydal T-D — subject to the ~~existing~~ Todi of Rs 1-15-6 so long as the Pagoda exists — T-K 23/8/64	T.Dn 1500 Confd
4	Davadayam Dry Authemaram Particulars As per Tydal Excess Centage 9 allowable	 290	 40-6-3 23 37-3-2 21 3-3-31	 88 58 94 55 91 3	 9 58 8 05 1 3	 9 8 1	For the support of Perumal Pagoda thatched at Mayara Podippatty It is worshipped Every Saturday	Todi 7-"-3	Do	Do	Do	Perumal Pagoda	In F 1213 Perumal Inam In F 1223 Perumal Inam Worshipper Dasari Naickan	In F 1254 Perumal Inam Worshipper Pommala Mutta Naickan	Mayara Podippatty Perumal Pagoda Worshipper Pommalu Mutta Naickan			..		To be confirmed in one T-D — subject to the Tydal Todi of Rs 7-"3 — so long as the Pagoda exists T-K 23/8/64	T.Dn 1501 Cofd
5	Davadayam Dry Coorhalamaram Gitchimaram Kanattadi Kolu Valadi Vushalay Total Garden Kanattadi Gitchi Wet Nanja cawnies Valadi Vasumaram Total Total Particulars As per Tydal ~~Decrease~~ No Excess —	 607 602 749 783 883 769 939 966	 25-10-3 15 3-4-10 10-12-35 17-2-3 10 15-6-2 9 42-4-0 54 0-"-"-1 "-12-"1 1-3-31 1-15-32 57 58 1	 78 21 72 24 37 10 73 16 89 14 46 87 77 8 0 5 64 9 64 14 10 102 71 102 8 5	 13 21 10 20 5 10 6 16 11 14 13 87 10 6 9 5 3 9 12 14 9 102 13 102 ~~Increase~~ 83	 13 10 5 6 11 13 6 9 3 12 9 13 4	For the support of Baddirakali Emmau Pagoda thatched at Mayara Pallanattam It is worshipped once a day	Todi (Tydal) 18-2-10	Do	Do	Do	Baddirakali Emmau Pagoda	In F 1213 Baddirakaliemman Inam In F 1223 Baddirakali Emman Inam Worshipper Muttakaruppan 59-8-1 cawnies 1-8-2	In F 1254 Baddirakali Emmau Inam	Mayara Pallanattam Bhaddirakaliamman Pagoda Worshippers (1) Karuppana Pandaram (2) Marimuttu Pandaram			..		To be confirmed in one T-D — subject to the Tydal Todi of Rs 18-2-10 so long as the Pagoda exists T-K 23/8/64	T.Dn 1502 Cofd

Register of Mallapuram Rev: No 95 [illegible] of the Dindigul Division and Taluq Madura District (Continued)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
6	Davadayam Dry Vambadi Palaimaram Gitchimaram Palamaram Total Wet & Crop Cannies Vaghoyadi Total Particulars As per Fysal Decrease	 411 667 676 679 914	 11-5-6 16-7-19 11-4-6 11-12-6 50-11-19 ‥-5-30 30 31 1	 6 10 71 13 66 9 93 10 93 44 48 2 80 47 70 48 30 1	 11 10 15 13 11 9 ‥ 10 5 44 11 2 ‥ 47 5 48 5 1	 11 15 11 ‥ 5 11 ‥ 5 5	For the Support of Mariamman Pagoda thatched at Mazara Nallambaraipatty. It is worshipped once a week	Fysal Tode 6-7-4	Permanent	Not Known	None	Mariamman Pagoda	In F 1213 Mariamman Inám In F 1223 Mariamman Inám Worshipper Nonja Pandaram	In F 1254 Mariamman Inám	Mazara Nallambaraipatty Mariamman Pagoda Worshippers Nallatambi Pandaram Kali Pandaram					To be confirmed in one T.C. subject to Fysal Tode of Rs 6-7-4. So long as the Pagoda exists — The Takarar noted in Case 2 applies to this also & is rejected — T.K. 24/8/64	T.C.M. 1503 Cnfd JC 4/10/64 I.D.I.
7	Davadayam Dry Palaimaram Particulars As per Fysal Excess Fysal Excess 39 Per Cent Total allowable Chargeable Excess	 1	 12-‥27 8-8-25 3-8-‥2 8-8-25	 29 10 03 7 ‥2 03 1 96 6 99 ‥10	 3 10 4 7 15‥ 7 2 10 ‥	 3 4 15 4 13 1 2	For the Support of Muttalamman Pagoda at Mazara Podipatty. It is worshipped once a year	Fysal Tode 1-4-9	Do	Do	Do	Muttalamman Pagoda	In F 1213 Muttalamman Inám In F 1223 Muttalamman Inám Worshipper Dasary Naickan	In F 1254 Muttalamman Inám	Mazara Podipatty Muttalamman Pagoda Worshipper Pommala Mutta Naickan					To be confirmed in one T.C. so long as the Pagoda exists as follows. Tode — 1-4-9 Chargable Excess ‥ 2 ‥ 1-6-9. Simplified 1-8-‥ T.K. 24/8/64.	T.C.M. 1504 Cnfd JC I.D.I.
8	Davadayam Dry Gitchimaram Particulars As per Fysal Excess Centage 12 allowable	 403	 14-1-‥8 12-8-‥7 1-9-‥0	 30 11 38 10 92 1	 15 11 10 10 5 1	 15 10 5	For the Support of Muniappa Swamy Pagoda under tree at Mazara Nallakkoundanpatty. It is worshipped once a year	Fysal Tode 1-15-11	Do	Do	Do	Muniappa Swamy Pagoda	In F 1213 Muniappa Swamy Inám In F 1223 Muniappa Swamy Inám Worshipper Nonja Pandaram	In F 1254 Muniappa Swamy Inám	Mazara Nallakkoundanpatty Muniappa Swamy Pagoda Worshippers. (1) Muttiya Goundan (2) Veerappa Goundan			The Entry in F 1223 of Nonja Pandaram was wrong — He was not the Pujari as his descendants admit, but he acted as substitute for one year — The present worshippers are Meerasee ~~[illegible]~~ holders & are in charge of the Pagoda To be confirmed in one T.C. subject to the Fysal Tode of Rs 1-15-11 so long as the Pagoda exists — T.K. 24/8/64			T.C.M. 1505 Cnfd JC I.D.I.
9	Davadayam Dry Oorhalamaram Particulars As per Fysal Excess Centage 6 allowable	 265	 16-1-39 15-3-18 ‥-14-20	 30 17 97 16 33‥	 1 17 2 16 15‥	 1 2 15	For the Bommadavar Pagoda thatched at Mazara Kachala Bommanaickenpatty. It is worshipped twice a year	Fysal Tode 3-3-7	Do	Do	Do	Bommadavar Pagoda	In F 1213 Bommadavar Inám In F 1223 Bommadavar Inám Worshipper Dasary Naickan	In F 1254 Bommadavar Inám	Mazara Kachalabommanapatty Bommadavar Pagoda Worshipper Pasivi Kodangi Naickan			Dasari Naick in 1223 is not the true worshipper — He was entered because he appeared in F 1223 for the ancestors of the present Worshipper in the Diary off — To be confirmed in one T.C. subject to ~~[illegible]~~ Fysal Tode of Rs 3-3-7 so long as the Pagoda exists T.K. 24/8/64			T.C.M. 1506 Cnfd JC I.D.I.
10	Davadayam Dry Oorhalamaram Particulars As per Fysal Excess Centage [illegible] allowable	 835	 16-4-‥9 16-1-19 ‥-3-30	 39 12 29 11 10‥	 1 12 16 11 ‥2	 1 15 2	For the Support of Kachichatty Malay Pagoda under tree at Mallapuram. It is worshipped once a year	Free	Do	Do	Do	Kachichatty Malay Pagoda	In F 1213 Chatty Malay Inám In F 1223 Omitted	In F 1254 Kachichatty Malay Manniem	Mallapuram Kachachatty Malay ~~Pagoda~~ or Tomb. Worshipper Arumugham Goundan					To be confirmed free in one T.C. so long as the Pagoda exists — T.K. 24/8/64	T.C.M. 1507 Cnfd JC 4/10/64 I.D.I.

No 95 Register of Mallapuram — Village No 95 — Inams in group of the Dindigul Division and Taluq Madura District — Continued

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
11	Devadayam	Dry [illegible] 213 Fysal 22 Excess 1 Centage 9 allowable	14 - 2 - 5 - 2 15 -	11 ·33 13 ·18 1 ·15	20 — 10	20 — 10	For the support of Kambalattar Málai Pagoda situated at Madura Kacchili Bommanpatt. It is worshipped twice a year	Free	Permanent	Not known	None	Málai Pagoda	In 1813 Kambalattar Málai Kajjili Bomma Naick In 1823 omitted	In 1854 Varamálai Inam. In 1865 Kacchili Bomma Naick	Madura Kacchili Bommanpatti Kambalattar Málai Pagoda or Tomb Worshipper Kacchili Bomma Naick					To be confirmed free in one T.D. so long as the Tomb exists — T.K. 24/8/64	T.D. No 1405 Cfd 6/10/64 7 T.D.
12	Devadayam	Dry Ushilai 709 [illegible] 708 Total Fysal 15 No excess	6 — 3 13 — 6 — 2 19 — 7 — 1 — 14 —	3 ·57 7 ·91 11 ·48 11 ·73	6 — 7 12 — 13 19 — 4	6 — 7 12 — 13 19 — 4	For the support of Panjayan Málai Pagoda ([illegible]) under the at Madura Nellamparaippatt. It is worshipped once a year	Fysal Jodi 3—14—7	Do	Do	Do	Panjayan Málai Pagoda	In 1813 Panjayan Málai worshipper Shellan Pújári In 1823 omitted	In 1854 Panjayan Málai Inam	Madura Nellamparaippatti Panjayan Málai Pagoda or Tomb Worshippers (1) Muttu Kavandan (2) Nalla Kavandan				The same [illegible] as in Case 2 applies to this also and is rejected —	To be confirmed in one T.D. so long as the Málai or Tomb exists subject to Fysal Jodi of Rs 3—14—7 — T.K. 24/8/64 —	T.D. No 1406 Cfd 6 T.D.
13	Devadayam	Dry Vailadi 154 Fysal 10 Excess 3	14 — 2 — 5 — — 15 — 2	8 ·43 6 ·08 2 ·35 Centage 39 allowable	13 — 10	13 — 10	For the support of Vinayakar Pagoda at Madura Shilappuram. It is worshipped on Fridays	Jodi 1—15—6 as per Fysal	Do	Do	Do	Shilappuram Vinayakar Pagoda	In 1813 Shilappuram Vinayakar worshipper Kulaydavadu Pandaram In 1823 omitted	In 1854 Shilappuram Vinayakar	Madura Shilappuram Vinayakar Pagoda Worshipper Rajalinga Pandaram					To be confirmed in one T.D. so long as the Pagoda exists subject to the Fysal Jodi of Rs 1—15—6 — T.K. 24/8/64	T.D. No 1407 Cfd 6 T.D.
14	Devadayam	Dry Vailadi 43 Fysal 10 Excess	11 — 10 — 1 — 10 — 2 — 15 — 3	6 ·87 6 ·29 — ·58 Centage 9 allowable	11 — 2	11 — 2	For the support of Vinayakar Pagoda at Madura Bodipatti. It is worshipped on Fridays & is a thatched Pagoda	Jodi 2—4 as per Fysal	Do	Do	Do	Vinayakar Pagoda	In 1813 Bodipatti Vinayakar worshipper Bhadra Káli Pandaram In 1823 Bodipatti Vinayakar worshipper Ranga Pandaram	In 1854 Boadipatti Vinayakar	Madura Boadipatti Vinayakar Pagoda Worshipper Rajalinga Pandaram				The present worshipper worshipped from fifty years tho' not descended from the parties in 1813 & 23 whose descendants also do not claim —	To be confirmed in one T.D. subject to Fysal Jodi of Rs 2 — — so long as the Pagoda Exists — T.K. 24/8/64 —	T.D. No 1408 Cfd 6 T.D.
15	Devadayam	Wet - no Cist Punjadi 964 Fysal 1 Excess	1 — 9 — 3 — 5 — 1 — 4 — 2	2 ·13 1 ·76 — ·37 Centage 21 allowable	6 — 6	6 — 6	For Tulasi Archanai Service in the Kalyana Narasimma Perumal Pagoda at Ramagiri. The service is rendered	Free	Do	Do	Do	Kalyana Narasimma Perumal Pagoda	In 1813 Kalyana Narasimmaswamy Tulasi Archanai Inam In 1823 omitted	In 1854 Ramagiri Kalyana Narasimmapperumal	Ramagiri Kalyana Narasimmapperumal Pagoda — Tulasi Archanai Service Worshipper Sreenivassalingangai —					To be confirmed in one T.D. so long as the service is rendered free — The manager of the Pagoda named Nágammal claims the Inam as the manager of the institution while admitting that it is for Tulasi Archanai Service. He appears to have held the Inam himself and deprived the worshipper of its rents. The worshipper however states she pays the rents to him which she does not admit — I leave the worshipper who has been hereditarily doing the service tho' not entered in accounts, to recover possession through Courts or amicably as he may choose — T.K. 24/8/64	T.D. No 1409 Cfd T.D. 7
16	Devadayam	Dry [illegible] 194 Fysal 28 Excess	[illegible] — 2 — 15 — — 3 — 2	17 ·20 17 ·07 — ·13 Centage 1 allowable	21 — 10	21 — 10	For the support of Perumal Pagoda at Madura Shilappuram. It is worshipped on Saturdays and is thatched	Jodi 4—4—7 as per Fysal	Do	Do	Do	Perumal Pagoda	In 1813 Shilappuram Perumal In 1823 Shilappuram Perumal worshipper Ramanujam	In 1854 Shilappuram Perumal	Madura Shilappuram Perumal Pagoda Worshippers (1) Ramanujan Sáttáni (2) [illegible] Sáttáni					To be confirmed in one T.D. subject to Fysal Jodi of Rs 4—4—7 so long as the Pagoda exists — T.K. 24/8/64	T.D. No 1410 Cfd T.D.

Report 95

Mallapuram village
Dindigul Taluk

Sheet No 138

Register of [illegible] Rev: No 95 Inams in Groups of the Devadayam Do and Taluq Madura District (Continued) —

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Devadayam Dry 1 Mel[?] Palai Puli Total Wet one Crop Peiayadi Total Fysal Excess Cantage is allowable	 683 685 689 817	 9-2-3 6-4 7-5 33-5-3 8-3	 5 ·41 9 ·86 4 ·31 19 ·68 ·81 20 ·49 20 ·14 ·35	 9 — 12 17 — 4 7 — 34 — 10 3 — 4 38 — 8	 9 — 12 17 — 4 7 — 34 — 10 3 — 4 38 — 8	For the support of Perumal Pagoda at Mauza Nellampiraipatt It is worshipped on Saturdays and is thatched —	6-13-11	Permanent	Not known	None	Perumal Pagoda	In 1213 Nellampiraipatt Perumal In 1223 Nellampiraipatt Perumal worshipper Vencata Aiyan	In 1254 Nellampirai Perumal	Mauza Nellampiraipatt Perumal Pagoda Worshipper Ramanujaiyangar					To be confirmed in one T.D. subject to Fysal Jodi of Rs 6-13-11 so long as the Pagoda exists — The same Inference as in Case 2 is made in this also and is rejected — T. K. 24/8/64	T.D. No 1411 Cofd HC 6 6/10/64 TDO
18 Devadayam Wet one Crop 2 Vadakadi Fysal Excess Cantage is allowable	 860	 10-1 9-1 1	 ·85 ·77 ·08	 4 — 12	 4 — 12	For the support of Pallanattam Kulattu Kammiai Pagoda — It is worshipped once a year and is on a platform under a tree on the Tank banks —	Free	Do	Do	Do	Pallanattam Kulattu Kammiai Pagoda	In 1213 Pallanattam Kammiai In 1223 Not entered	In 1254 Pallanattam Kulattu Kammiai	Mauza Pallanattam Pallanattam Kulam Kammiai Pagoda Manager Vencatachalam Aiyangar			It appears from the evidence of the Villagers that there is no Pujari for this Inam and that the Iyengar who holds Iyen lands under the Tank observes annually a festival in honor of the Pagoda out of the Inam rents, hiring his Pannay husbandmen for the worship and out of his pocket when the Inam is waste — To be confirmed free in one T.D. so long as the Pagoda exists — T. K. 24/8/64 —			T.D. No 1412 Cofd HC TDO 7
Devadayam Dry 2 Puli Ushilai Total Wet one Crop Peiayadi Total Fysal No excess	 677 713 875	 13-8 6-5 19-14 1-8	 8 3 ·72 11 ·72 ·11 13 ·83 14 ·48	 12 — 15 6 — 18 — 15 13 — 8 32 — 7	 12 — 15 6 — 18 — 15 13 — 8 32 — 7	For the support of Nellampirai Kulam Kammiai Pagoda at Mauza Nellampiraipatt It is worshipped once a year and is on a platform on the Tank bank —	Jodi 3-13-4 as per Fysal	Do	Do	Do	Nellampirai Kulam Kammiai Pagoda	In 1213 Nellampiraipatt Kulam Kammiai In 1223 Nellampirai Kulattu Kammiai worshipper Ninja Pandiam	In 1254 Kammiai	Mauza Nellampiraipatt Nellampirai Kulam Kammiai Pagoda Manager Kumaralaga Mainaya Naick —			To be confirmed in one T.D. so long as the Pagoda exists subject to Fysal Jodi of Rs 3-13-4 T. K. 3/9/64		In this and the following case the Inam is enjoyed and the management of the religious observances in honor of the Pagoda conducted by Mamool by the owner of the Tanks Kumara Alaga Mainaya Naick — The Tank was originally the private Tank of the Ex Zemindar of Ramnad and the Naick is a Member of that family — He has been paying hire to persons employed for the Pujari Service for the occasions and disbursing every other Expenditure — The Inams are claimed by Nalla Pandiam and Kali Pandiam as the descendants of Ninja Pandiam in F. 1223 — They admit however that they are not always hired for the service but other Pujaries are hired by the Manager according to convenience — Their claim is based simply on the entry in F. 1223 — But the character of this account as being the least reliable is proved beyond question — In Case 7, the same Ninja Pandiam is entered whereas he had no interest in the service of that Pagoda and it has descended hereditarily from the true Pujari to the present worshipper in that case as is admitted by all — My first impression was that the owner of the Tank was a pretender who had nothing to do with the Pagodas or the Inams and whose claim as the Manager of them was inadmissible as he had not been appointed as Manager — But upon further consideration it appears to me that the new Settlement should proceed on the existing state of things that it should not create new right or nullify existing interests — tho' he has not been specially appointed as Manager, his family has held the management as the owner & founder of the Tank and the Pagoda the prosperity of which is identical with one another — It is therefore obvious that the Management of the Pagodas he has held by Mamool for upwards of 50 years cannot now be disturbed simply on the Ground of the Entry in F. 1223 in F 1223 generally erroneous — As I have met with numerous instances in which only one or two Pujaries were entered for all the Devadayam Inams in the Villages concerned while their descendants denied having ever had any interest in the Pagodas which were worshipped by other Pujaries either thro' Mirasee right or otherwise — Under these Circumstances, I have referred Nalla Pandiam and Kali Pandiam to Court to oust the Naick of the Management of the Pagodas & their Inams — and recommend that the Inams may be confirmed in 2 separate Title Deeds so long as the Pagodas exist to their Managers for the time being — T. K. 3/9/64	T.D. No 1413 Cofd HC TDO 6
20 Devadayam Dry 3 Vadakadi Wet one Crop Vanibadi Total Fysal Excess Cantage is allowable	 706 916	 33-8-1 10-3	 19 ·77 ·89 20 ·66 18 ·86 1 ·80	 32 — 4 — 4 36 — 4	 32 — 4 — 4 36 — 4	For the support of Aiyanar Pagoda at Mauza Nellampiraipatt It is worshipped once a year and is an earthen Idol on a platform under a tree —	5-13-1 as per Fysal	Do	Do	Do	Aiyanar Pagoda	In 1213 Aiyanar Swami In 1223 Aiyanar worshipper Ninja Pandiam	In 1254 Aiyanar Swami	Mauza Nellampiraipatt Nellampirai Aiyanar Pagoda Manager Kumaralaga Mainaya Naick —			To be confirmed in one T.D. so long as the Pagoda exists subject to Fysal Jodi of Rs 5-13-1 — T. K. 3/9/64			T.D. No 1414 Cofd HC 6 4/10/64 TDO

Register of Mellapuram Village No. 95 Ramagiri Group of the Dindigul Dn. and Taluq of Madura Dt. (Continued)

Report 95

Mellapuram village
Dindigul Taluk

Sheet No. 139

2	3 4 5 6 7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	20	21	22
Devadayam Dry	Vadakadi 8?4 — 9 5 8 62 10 — 4 10 — 4 Uthilai 1?7 27 12 — 16 37 23 — 9 23 — 9 Total 12 5 3 [illegible] 99 34 — 7 34 — 7 Garden Vazayadi 104 2 12 — 31 35 62 — 5 — 15 Total 15 2 2 [illegible] 42 — 7 40 — 6 Tyal 39 8 — 23 30 Excess 5 — 23 34 Cultivate the allowable	For the support of a Mosque at Mangara Srilapuram. It is well kept up	Yodi 7-6	Perma-nent	Not known	None	Mosque	In 1213 Mosque Inam ~~Entered~~ Mulla Lubbay In 1223 not entered	In 1254 Srilapuram Mosque Inam	Mangara Srilapuram Mosque — Managers Sinzara Lubbay Bava Mohadin Lubbay Sarbudeen Lubbay			..	To be confirmed in one T.D. subject to Tyal Code of Rs 7-6-0 so long as the Mosque exists — T.H. 24/8/64 The death of the father of present Worshippers was reported ~~to~~ by the Managar to the Tahsildar 4 or 5 years ago; but the lapse was not disposed of — T.H. 24/8/64	T.Dn 1468 O.fd He 4/11/[illegible] 6
Personal Dry	Vanikadi 5?4 5 2 — 3 09 5 — 5 — Pullai 769 11 9 1 6 83 7 — 13 9 — 13 Uthilai 770 8 9 3 10 78 17 — 12 17 — 12 Pullai 776 16 1 2 9 50 13 — 11 13 — 11 Vanikadi 777 7 10 — 4 50 7 — 4 7 — 4 Total [illegible] 8 T.C. 18 9 1 28 66 Excess 10 9 1 6 24 Cultivate 22 allowable	Bhatta-vritti	Free	Heredi-tary	Do	Do.	Not known	In 1213 Brimma-dayam Kuppa Aiyar In 1223 Kuppaiyan	In 1254 Kuppa Aiyar	Koliyavadi in Ugargapuram Taluq Coimbatore Dt. Kuppuswami Aiyar alias Visvanatha Subbayar 39	~~alias~~ 3rd son to the party in 1213	wife — 1 sons — 2 3	..	To be confirmed in one T.D. Q.R. at 1/8 or Rs 6-8-0 agreed to — T.H. 24/8/64	T.Dn 1469 O.fd He 1-4
Personal Dry	Pullai 631 27 10 — 16 37 26 — 8 26 — 8 Anai 632 31 1 1 12 34 29 — 11 29 — 11 Pullai 822 13 13 1 8 15 11 — 12 11 — 12 Uthilai 842 6 15 1 4 11 6 — 10 6 — 10 Pullai 843 28 10 — 16 89 27 — 5 27 — 5 Total [illegible] 3 5 53 88 101 — 14 101 — 14 Tyal 105 14 62 47 Excess 2 5 31 39 Cultivate 2 allowable	Do	Do	Do	Not known	None	Not known	In 1213 Subba-aiyar In 1223 Subba-aiyar	In 1254 Kuppaiah's son Subbaiyar	Namakal in Salem Dt. (1) Narasaiyar of Dindigul (2) Venkataramana Aiyar 35 (3) Ranga Rao 28 Thattayangarpatti Namakal Taluq Salem Dt. (4) Subba Rao 28	(1 & 4) brothers and the Registrar in 1213	(1) Mother 1 wife 1 (2) wife 1 son 1 (3) wife 1 son 1 unmarried daughter 1 (4) Mother 1 wife 1 son 1 unmarried daughter 1/4	The family is divided shares (6) as follows — No. 1 — 2 " 2 — 1 " 3 — 1 " 4 — 2/6	To be confirmed in one T.D. Q.R. at 1/8 (Rs 3) agreed to — T.H. 24/8/64	T.Dn 1470 O.fd He 1-4
Personal Dry	Uthilai 573 20 — 3 13 01 21 — 1 21 — 1 " Do 574 31 6 2 18 53 26 — 10 [illegible] Kannivadi 776 — 1 3 35 5 — 2 5 — 2 Do 778 3 8 1 2 07 3 — 3 — Uthilai 778 5 6 3 3 20 5 — 3 5 — 3 Total 55 6 2 40 36 61 — 61 — Tyal 57 — 1 39 54 Excess 1 6 1 — 82 Cultivate 2 allowable	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	In 1213 Brimma-dayam Ramagiri Sattram ~~Sattram~~ Subba-rayar Inam In 1223 Water Pandal Inam Subba-rayar	In 1254 Brimma-dayam Subba-aiyar	Chinnor in Coimbatore Dt. ~~Subbaiyar~~ (1) Gunnayan 55 (2) Subbayan 45	122 Brother's sons to the party in 1213	(1) wife 1, son 1/2 (2) None undivided family		This inam is personal — It is entered in 1213 under the head of Brimmadayam or personal Inams — why the Registrar was called Ramagiri ~~Sattram~~ Subbarayar was merely to distinguish him by his employment in a Chattram at Ramagiri — The entry in 1223 is worthless it being merely a record of the Inamdar's statement that it was a Water Pandal Inam which they intended as a means of securing the title labouring under the erroneous idea that personal inams were to be resumed — To be confirmed in one T.D. Q.R. at 1/8 Rs 7-8-0 agreed to	T.Dn 1471 O.fd He

B.L.

Fair copied

Register of the Allapuram Rev: No 45 Rāmagiri ... of the Dindigal Do and Taluq Madura Dt — Continued —

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
25	Personal Dry Vanpadi Villai Vanpadi Total Tyeal 65-3-3 38·49 No excess	 51 89 95	 63-12-3 5-16-" 13-8-" 63-2-3	 25·84 5·47 7·95 37·27	 41—13 5—" 10—" 56—13	 41—13 5—" 10—" 56—13	Bhatta=vritti	Free	Hereditary	Not Known	Collr's Inam Ticket of 23d July 1814 in favor of the party in 1223 F	Not Known	In 1213 Rāmagiri Annāvilu In 1223 Bhatta=vritti Tiruvengadattan 2d son to the party in F 1213.	In 1254 Annā=Aiyar	Puliampatti Mazara of Vadugambādi	Tiruvenga=damaiyangar 63	Registered in 1223	wife—1 Sons—3 Do's wives 3 Unmarried 3d Daughter 1 Married Daughter—1 Brother's widow—1 10		To be confirmed in one T-D. Q R at 1/8 or Rs (7) agreed to — T-K 24/8/64	T.Sm 1472 Cfd 1-6 4/1/66
26	Personal Dry Ushalai Tyeal 26-12-" ·60 Excess—13-2-" ·50 Centage 3 allowable	 513	 25-9-2	 15·10	 21—12	 21—12	Do	Do	Do	Do	Collr's Inam Ticket—1	Not Known In the Carnum's Cadjean dugu of 1804 of lands under Boundary Disputes Tirumala Tatha=chari	In 1213 Not entered In 1223 Tirumalai Tatāchāri	In 1254 Tirumalai Tatāchari	Tangachiammapatty	Venkatatata=chariar 35	3d son to the party in the dugu of 1804 & in F 1223	Mother 1 wife—1 Daughters married—2 Brother 1 Do's wife 1 D's sons—3 Do's son's wife—1 Do's Daughter unmarried 1 11	This inam was not entered in the Huzoor account of F 1213—because it was prepared for all inams excepting those situated in localities under boundary dispute between this Village and certain Villages of Andipatty Estate in Carur — The dispute was settled afterwards but in what year is not known—The settlement however attached this inam to this Village—	To be confirmed in one T-D-Q R at 1/8 Rs 2-12-" agreed to — T-K 24/8/64	T.Sm 1473 Confd 1-4
27	Personal Dry Ushalai Tyeal 7-4-2-4 ·37 Excess 1-15-2-1 ·16 Centage 27 allowable	 440	 9-6-5	 ·53	 7—15	 7—15	Khyrat	~~Tyeal~~ Todi 1-4-1	Do	Not Known	None	Not Known	In 1213 Blind=man Tiruva Kavandan	In 1254 Blindman Tiruva Kavandan	Mazara Tiruva=kavandanpatti (1) Shellappa Kavandan 50 (2) Piravikavandan 45		(1) 2d son (2) 2d son to the Re=gistered in 1213	(1) wife—1 Son—1 Brother—3 Do's wives 2 7 (2) wife—1 Sons—2 Unmarried Daughter 1 4	Family divided shares equal	To be confirmed in one T-D as follows— Todi — 1-4-1. Q Rat 1/8 agreed — " 13-4. 2-1-5 Com Q R — 2-"-" T-K 24/8/64	T.Sm 1474 Confd 2-6
	Personal Dry Ushalai Do Vanpadi Total As per Tyeal only =	 113 115 123	 14-7-1 15-13-" 11-"-" 41-4-1	 8·53 9·33 6·49 24·35	 10—12 11—12 10—8 33—"	 10—12 11—12 10—8 33—	Do	Tyeal Todi 6-9-6.	Do	Do	Do	Do	In 1213 Mundi Tiruvan In 1223 Mundi Tiruvan	In 1254 Mundi Tiruva=kavandan	Palaviyai Mazara of Velloadu (1) Palaniyandi 55 (2) Kulandai Kavandan 25 (3) Vijayan Kavandan 25 (4) Angana Kavandan 45 (5) Shanmukam 30 (6) Tiruvengada Kavandan 30		(1) 1st son (2) 2d son (3 & 6) 3d son all the Registered in 1213	(1) wife—1 Sons—2 Son's wife—1 4 (2) None (3) Mother—1 wife—1 2 (4) None (5) Mother—1 (6) wife—1 Unmarried Daughter 2 3	Family divided Shares as follows — Share No 1—1 2—1 3—1 4—1 5—2 6—2 8	To be confirmed in one T-D as follows— Todi — 6-9-6 Q Rat 1/8 agreed to 3-4-10 Com Q R 9-14-4 or Rs (10) — T-K 24/8/64	T.Sm 1475 Confd 2-6
29	Personal Dry Ushalai Do Total Tyeal 13-5-1 7·87 Excess 2-6-1 1·41 Centage 18 allowable	 576 577	 4-10-1 11-1-1 15-11-2	 2·74 6·56 9·28	 3—15 9—6 13—5	 3—15 9—6 13—5	Do	Free	Hereditary	Do	Do	Do	In 1213 Blindman Sholai Sattan In 1223 Not entered	In 1254 Sholai Sattan	Mazara Shinna Kavandanpatti Shiranga Sattai Kavandan 60		2d son to the Registered in 1213	wife—1 Sons—2 Son's wife—1 2d son—1 Unmarried Daughter—1 Unmarried Daughter 2 8		To be confirmed in one T-D. Q Rat 1/8 R 1-12-" agreed to — T-K 24/8/64	T.Sm 1476 Confd 11/10/67
	Personal Dry Ushalai Vanpadi Total Tyeal 17-8-3 10·35 Excess—12-3-" ·47 Centage 5 allowable	 801 858	 6-3-2 12-2-" 18-5-2	 3·67 7·15 10·82	 5—4 11—9 16—13	 5—4 11—9 16—13	Do	Tyeal Todi Rs 2-3-	Do	Do	Do	Do	In 1213 Blindman Venkatapati Naick In 1223 Not entered	In 1254 Blindman Venkatapati Naick's Chams under Trust—	Mazra Karipatti Verappa Naicken 40		Brother's son to the party in 1213.	wife—1 Daughter unmarried 1 2	This inam is under attachment from 25 years under Collr's order of 2d Feby 1839 No 5 in the lapse consequent on the death of the party in F 1213— the inam proceeds being carried still to the Head of Sevoy jumma, and the inam not having yet been fully resumed— The ground for attachment as recorded in the above order was 1st The Deceased's widow was not blind 2d The Deceased had no direct issue but only collaterals— It is now claimed by one Verappa Naicken as brother's son of the Deceased— ~~now~~ this Inamdar is blind— The truth of his being brother's son to the deceased is corroborated by the evidence of the Villagers before me tho' in their lapse report no mention was made of the deceased having left a brother owing as is said to his absence from the Country — on the whole the inam is recommended to be confirmed in one T-D— as follows at 1/4 Q R —	Todi 2-3- Q Rat 1/4 agreed to 3-10-6 5-13-6 } or Rs-6— T-K 24/8/64	Fully agree 2 June 65 I do not quite understand the reason for charging 1/4 and not 1/8 as a decision of the family

Commr's

Remark. The Inam was to all intents & purposes Resumed in 1839 & the proceeds have been long since credited & no notice had been given Jany [illegible] I would not revive the claim

[illegible] 12 June 1 [illegible]