Taluq Register N. 26 S. No 63

N. 26 Register of the Village of Tukkachi N. 56 Karur Taluq

1	Dry. Religious N. 14 Ottakadu, 113 Lettakkadu, 116 Naraikilumankadu; Total; Garden N. 113; Total	2-13-u 10-7½ 16-9-5 3-4-2; 1-5-1 5-8 6-12-4 3-8-2; 2-10-3 10-21 15 10-1 9-6-9; Total 6-13-u 26-3 37-15 [illegible] 1-3-1; u-6-31-[illegible]-7-u 7-7-u; Total 7-3-3 27 64 f5 6-10 28-10-1	Devadayam Granted for the Support of the Pagoda of Sellandi amman at Kattampatti hamlet of Tukkachi and it is well kept up	Kulfree	Permanent so long as it is well kept up	Granted by Pondicherry kings of	Survey account of Fusly 1212, Register of Fusly 1214, 1 Pattayam of Fusly 1214, 1 Dairyaft of Fusly 1219, 3 Chittas of F 33-49 and 62	Sellandi amman	Pidari Sellandi amman Entered in the Survey account of Fusly 1212, Sellandi amman Entered in the Register of Fusly 1214, Ditto in F 33-49 & 62	Sellandi amman Pujari Ponnan di age 60	Kattampatti hamlet of Tukkachi	To be Confirmed to the Pagoda permanently so long as it is well Kept up 24th Decr 1862 A. Tolasingam; 7c in / 41; Confd; 7
2	Dry. Religious N. 7 Ummalaittundu	1-5-u 5-2 6-11-6 3-7-6	Devadayam Granted for the Support of the Pagoda of Allala nadar at Tukkachi and it is well kept up	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto 1 Pattayam of Fusly 1214	Allala nadar	Allala nadar Entered in the Survey account of Fusly 1212, Ditto in F 33-49 and 62	Allala nadar Pujari Rama pujari age 58	Tukkachi	To be Confirmed to the Pagoda permanently so long as it is well Kept up 24th Decr 1862 A. Tolasingam; 7c in / 42; Confd; 7
3	Dry. Religious N. 118 Pulikadu	2-15-2 11-35 f5 4-2 7-13-7	Devadayam Granted for the Support of the Pagoda of Akilan damman at Akilandapuram hamlet of Tukkachi and it is well kept up	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto Pattayam of Fusly 1214	Akilan damman	Akilan damman Entered in the Survey account of Fusly 1212, Ditto in F 33-49 and 62	Akilan damman Pujari Ponnandi age 60	Akilandapuram hamlet of Tukkachi	To be Confirmed to the Pagoda permanently so long as it is well Kept up 24th Decr 1862 A. Tolasingam; 7c in / 43; Confd

4-20
1-61
44-01
34-52

Fair copied

Sheet No. 64

In this Village 3 Cases were decided by Kasinga Mudaliar

2–3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Personal Dry …makudachiengal kadu 140 2-10-3	10 21	15-11-3	9-6-9		Bhatta verti	Iodi 1-2-10	Hereditary	By Madura Kings Date unknown	None	Shivasamaya Pandit	In F. 1214 Shivasamaya Pandit S. S. Son	In F. 1233 Shivasamaya Pandit S. S. S. Son In F. 1262 Shivasamaya Pandit S. S. S. S. Son	Shivagiri Perundoray Taluq Shivasamaya Pandit	33	S. S. S. S. Son – 1	wife – 1 Mother – 1 Uncle's sons – 2 Do's wife – 1 Do's unmarried daughter – 1 6	"	To be confirmed in one T.D. as follows Iode – 1-3-" D. Rat ⅛ agreed to 1-"-" Com: D.R. 2-3-" T.R. 13-3-63	C.R. … 1511 Conf 22 July 63
4 Personal Dry No. (1 & 2) …lai kadu 115 1-15-" 7 40 9-11-10 5-1-11 No. (3) …lo 196 1-14-2 7 28 8-6-5 3-5-10 3-13-2 14 68 18-2-3 8-7-9					do	Iodi 2-1-11	do	do	None	Vada Vadi	In F. 1214 Venkata veeraragha Vadhyar Brother's son	In F. 1233 Veeraraghuvadhyar brother's son In F. 1262 Venkata Subbiyan brother's S. S. Son	Kodimudi Perundoray Taluq (1) Subbaraya Ditchit 28 (2) Chinna Ramalinga Aiyan 45 (3) Venkata Subbiyan alias Appana Aiyan – 30	(1) brother's S. S. Son (2) brother's S. Son (3) brother's S. son's adopted Son	Mother – 1 wife – 1 Son – 1 3 (2) wife – 1 adopted son – 1 Do's wife – 1 3 (3) Mother – 1 wife – 1 Son – 1 Unmarried daughters 3 6	"	To be confirmed in one T.D. as follows Iode – 2-2-" D. Rat ⅛ agreed to " 12-" 2-14-" T.R. 13-3-63	… 1600 C.R. 3 Conf do
1 Personal Dry Marungal kadu 176 1-7-0 5 49 9-7-11 5-14-7 Suthusiam… 175 1-8-3 5 91 10-3-6 6-5-10 Nutta kadu 176 2-3-2 8 48 14-10-7 9-2-" …ararutan kadu 180 2-12-2 11 10 14 15-1 7-11-" …ia Nuttackadu 157 3-4-2 12 54 16-13-10 8-10-11 … 186 2-4-" 10 27 17-12-3 11-"-11 …du 177 1-7-3 5 67 9-12-11 6 1-8 …kadu 188 2-11-3 10-45 18-1-2 11-3-11 …madu 171 "-14-2 3 46 4-10-6 2-6-4 19-2-1 73 37 114-7-9 68-9-2					do	Iodi 17-2-4	do	do	do	Anantha Somayaji	In F. 1214 Kodimudi Anantha Somayaji S. Son	In F. 1233 Anantha Somayaji Grantee In F. 1262 Narasiyan S. S. Son	Kodimudi Perundoray Taluq (1) Seshavadhani 48 (2) Seetharamiyan 50 (3) Anantha Krishna Aiyan – 40 (4) Narasiyan 60 (5) Venkatnarasiyan 63 (6) Ramaiyan – 58 (7) Ramaswamy Aiyan – 36	(1) S. Son's S. Son (2) do (3) do (4) G. S. S. Son (5) (6) do (7) do	(1) wife – 1 Sons – 3 Do's wives – 2 S. Sons – 2 Unmarried S. daughter – 1 9 (2) Mother – 1 wife – 1 Son – 1 Do's wife – 1 Brothers – 2 Do's wives – 2 Unmarried daughter – 1 9 (3) Mother – 1 wife – 1 brothers – 2 Do's wives – 2 Do's Sons – 3 Do's unmarried daughters – 2 Do's Son's wife 1 13	(4) wife – 1 (5) Mother – 1 wife – 1 Son – 1 Do's wife – 1 Married daughter 1 5 (6) wife – 1 Son – 1 brother – 1 Do's wife – 1 Do's Sons – 2 Do's Unmarried daughters – 2 Do Married 1 10 (7) None	The sub shares of No. 4 to 7 as well as of No. 1 to 3 in their respective divisions of the main are equal To be confirmed in one T.D. as follows Iodi – 17-2-" D. Rat ⅛ agreed to 6-8-" Com: D.R. 23-10-" T.R. 13-3-63	Conf C.R. 24 do … 1601
Dry …9 2-2 " 8 12 10-14-9 3 7-11					do	Iodi 2-1-8	do	In the days of Hyder Date unknown	do	Amaya Char	In F. 1214 Amia Char Grantee	In F. 1233 Amiachar Grantee In F. 1262 Venkata Subba Char Son	Nanjay Kalikurchi Narana Char 25	S. Son	wife – 1 Unmarried daughter – 1 brothers – 2 Do's wife – 1 5	"	To be confirmed in one T.D. as follows Iodi – 2-2-" D. Rat ⅛ agreed to "-6-" Com: D.R. 2-8-" T.R. 13-3-63	… 1602 Conf do
8 Personal Dry …rungal kadu 128 2-10-3 10 21 17-10-6 10-15-11 Sendankal kadu 229 4-1-2 15 68 18-"-7 7-3-5 7 Palakazalten Kadu 316 1-8-"-5 77 8-"-5 4-2-1 Total 8-5-1 31 83 43-11-6 22-5-5					do	4-3-"	do	By Madura Kings Date unknown	do	Ranga Char	In F. 1214 Streenivasa Char Son	In F. 1233 Ranga Char Grantee In F. 1262 Naranachar Son	Vunjalore Perundoray Taluq Naranachar 36	Son	adopted son 1 Do's wife – 1 S. Sons – 2 Unmarried S. daughter 1 Married do 1 6	The adopted son is also uncle's grandson of Present Holder	To be confirmed in one T.D. as follows Iodi – 4-3-" D. Rat ⅛ agreed to 2-4-" Com: D.R. 6-7-" T.R. 17-3-63	… 1603 C.R. 6½ Conf do
2 Personal Dry …mavian kadu 9 3-5-" 8 83 11-14-2 6-1-11					Bhatta verti	Iodi "-12-2	do	do	do	Venkateswara Avadhani	In F. 1214 Dharmaraja Bhattar S. S. Son	In F. 1233 Dharmaraja Aiyan S. S. Son In F. 1262 Dharmarajaiyan G. S. S. Son	Shivagiri Perundoray Taluq (1) Subramania Vadhyar – 80 (2) Bhaskara Sastry 40 (3) Subramania Vadhyar – 30 Vunjalore Perundoray Taluq (4) Appu Sastry 60	(1) G. S. Sons (2) G. S. S. Son (3) do (4) Son's daughter's Son	(1) Son – 1 Do's wife – 1 S. Son – 1 Unmarried S. daughters 2 Married do 1 6 (2) wife – 1 Son – 1 Unmarried daughter 1 3 (3) wife – 1 Unmarried daughters – 2 3 (4) wife – 1 Son – 1 Do's wife 1 …	Family is divided shares – 3 No. 1 – 1 No. 2 & 3 – 1 4 – 1 3	To be confirmed in one T.D. as follows Iodi – "12-" D. Rat ⅛ agreed to " 10-" Com: D.R. 1-6-" T.R. 21-3-…	… 1604 C.R. …

Examined

Register of the Village of Tukkachi Rev. No. 56 in the Karur Taluq in the Coimbatore (District Continued

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
10 Personal Dry Pelavan Kadu 246	3-10-4	13 85	21-4-8	12-12-7	Bhatta verti	Jodi 2-6-4	Hindi tary	Not known	None	Not known	In F. 1214 Shivagiri Subbarama Sastri Registrar in F. 1214 In F. 1262 Subbarama Sastri Registrar in F. 1214	In F. 1233 Subbarama Sastri Registrar in F. 1214 In F. 1262 Subbarama Sastri Registrar in F. 1214	Vunjalore Perundorar Taluq (1) Ramaswamy Sastri 35 (2) Vythinatha Sastri alias Muttanna Sastri 62	(1) Gd. Son (2) Son of the Registrar in F. 1214	(1) wife — 1 Sons — 3 Married daughter — 1 Unmarried Vr. 1/6 (2) None	Fund devoted Shares agree	To be confirmed in one F. D. as follows Jodi — 2-6-4 2 Rt. 1/8 agreed to 1-4-4 Com: 2 Rt. 3-10-4 T. K. 21-3-63	22 July 63
11 Perundorar Dry Hunjapatu Kadu 225	5-10-2	21-62	23-3-8	17-15-4	For the support of Munnur Maragatha Swara Swamy Pagoda & Mayarwill kept up	Fraud	Permanent	By Tippu Dalavi known & Uddanda Valayudaswamy Pagoda	Do.	Maragatha Swara Swamy Pagoda and another	In F. 1214 Munnur Vuddanda Valayudaswamy Stamkam Sharamma Aiyam	In F. 1233 Maragatha Swaraswamy & Vuddanda Valayutha Swamy In F. 1262 Maragatha Swaraswamy	Molapalayam Mazara of Munnur Maragatha Swaraswamy & Uddanda Valayudha Swamy Srustee Multuraya Gorindan	Pagoda & Pagoda	..	To be confirmed free in one F. D. so long as the Pagodas exist T. K. 21/3/63	
12 Personal Dry Pelavan Kadu 78	3-3-1	12 24	4-1-10	5-10-4	Bhatta verti	11-4	Hindi tary	By the Madura Kings Dale not known	Do.	Vatala Chair	In F. 1214 Subbarayan Gd. Son	In F. 1233 Venkatramanaiyan 2 Hirshna Char Gd. Son In F. 1262 Subbachar Gd. Son	Nanjay Halikurchi Venkatasubbiyan Gd. Son	widowed Sister — 1	..	The Incumbent has no prospect of heirs whatever — Considering however that his widowed sister is virtually in the Management of her father's Property, to be confirmed in one F. D. as follows Jodi — 11-4 2 Rt. 1/4 agreed to 1-4-4 Com: 2 Rt. 1-15-4 T. K. 21-3-63	
13 ~~Personal Dry~~ ~~[illegible] 27 [illegible] 21-10-11 12 7 11~~	~~Do.~~	~~13-6~~	~~Do.~~	~~Not known~~	~~Do.~~	~~Not known~~	~~In F. 1214 Kodimudi Lingayak~~	~~In F. 1233 Kodimudi Lingayak Mheirs~~ ~~In F. 1262 Valanimalay Gorindan~~										
14 Personal Dry Karuvanan Kadu 90	2 13-2	10 87	12-1	9-8-3	Khyrate (Pot-Cream)	Fraud	Do.	Do.	None	Do.	In F. 1214 Palani Vala Pulavan	In F. 1233 as in F. 1214 In F. 1262 Palavan not named	Pariyur Sattamangalam Taluq Komaravalapa Pulavan 25	Gd. Son	Mother — 1 wife — 1 2	..	To be confirmed in one F. D. as ~~full~~ 0 2 Rt. 1/8 Rt. (1) agreed to T. K. 21-3-63	
[illegible] 31-14-7	7 16	12-6	27-11-5		For the support of Shivamalay Subramania Swamy Pagoda	Do.	Permanent	In the days of ~~[illegible]~~ Hyder unknown	Do.	Shivamalay Subramania Swamy Pagoda	In F. 1214 Shivamalay Subramania Swamy Pagoda Shivagiri Aiyan	In F. 1233 Shivamalay Andavar Pagoda In F. 1262 as in F. 1233	Pattati Charapuram Taluq Shivamalay Subramania Swamy Pagoda		..	To be confirmed free in one F. D. so long as the Pagoda exists T. K. 10-4-63	
[illegible] 20	13-10-10	6-13-5	Bhatta verti	Jodi 2-9-3	Hindi tary	Not known				Not known	In F. 1214 Erode Nallar Aiyangar	In F. 1233 Erode Nallaraiyangar In F. 1262 Ammany Ammagiri Ammal	Erode (1) Ammani Ammal (2) Ammany Ammagiri Ammal			Nkri No. 55 Sent 23/3/63	

Fair Copied

Fettled in the Supt. Regr. [illegible] 165

Register of the Village of Sukkachie Rev N 56 — Karur Talug — Coimbatore District

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Personal Service ~~of 3-4-2 12.54 21-10-11~~											Not known	In 1214 Kodimidi Lingaya under amanut	In 1233 Kodimidi Lingay's Inam — under amanut ~~Verbal~~; In 1262 Palnima = lay Jowndan Maniyem of the Village — No heirs	None	
Kamavalankis 247	3-4-2	12.54	21-10-11	13-7-11	Bhata waste	13-6	Hereditary	~~Do~~ ~~Brahmin~~ ~~Do~~ unknown		None					

Sheet No 65

Apps. pet. of Venkatachellayen No 2554 of 1863 —
" do 107 — of 1865

Tahr Report thereon 7 December 1865 — No 12 —

I have gone carefully through the evidence recorded by the Tahr. in 1852 & arrive at the same conclusion as did the Sub Collector and the Dy Collr — He withessfacted on the side of Narsaya declaring that Kodimudi Lingaya had no issue whatever (Tamil: [illegible] — 6 Rs) & that the latter was lodging at the house of Narsaya's father. The evidence on behalf of the Petr — is more to the point, in as far as it says that the original Inamdar had an infant daughter, with whom he left that part of the Country for the village where the Petr's family resided. ~~But this evidence is given~~ Two of the witnesses say that ~~he is~~ ~~and that~~ he descendant of the daughter of the orig. Inamdar, but these witnesses are one a close relative, the other the purohit or family priest of the Petitioner & therefore their evidence is not free from suspicion — The Depy Collector gives other reasons also why the petitioners' statements are not worthy of credit. XXX

In the Inam Enquiry of F 1214 this inam was placed under amanut owing to the absence of Lingaya from the Country — and under "Ayindadzeni" or for future settlement — upon a deposition delivered by the maniams of the Village to the effect that one Narsaya was Lingaya's heir. — (a deposition which is not now forthcoming) ~~delivered by the maniams of the Village~~ the then Collr Mr Sullivan issued a Jaree Patta dated 11th July 1829 in the name of Lingaya and gave it to Narsaya as his heir — Narsaya accordingly enjoyed the Inam for two years — Sarvadhari & Virodhi when one Venkataguruvien coming forward as Lingaya's heir, and complaining that Narsaya had usurped, the inam was again placed by Talug officers under amanut pending final settlement

11th July 1828 — corresponds with the Tamil date entered in the Patta — by mistake [illegible] Perhaps [illegible] this copy [illegible] it is entered as 1829 in the Copy of the Patta in Talug record.

To be finally resumed & the Inam incorporated with Govt land — the past proceeds of lands in deposit to be credited to Government

[illegible]

22 Sept 63

[illegible]

Approved 12/8/63

— Virodhi Punguni 22 or 2d April 1830 — The inam was when the then Tahsildar Latchminarayanaya seems to have made a report of his Enquiry Vide his Takeed to Villagers dated Virodhi Punguni 22 or 2d April 1830 — The inam was [illegible] for an amount equal to Jodi which was pd to Govt accordingly till October 1852 — Latchminarayanaya's Report referred to in the above Takeed is not to be found [illegible] or Magisterial Records of the Talug — But it appears that at the requisition of the Hoozoor, the dispute was enquired into, and reported on to Sub Collr [illegible]dar Pikagari Row in his arzee of the 7th October 1852 N 175 with the written evidence taken in his enquiry — The Sub Collr considered the evidence quite [insufficient to] establish the title of either party, and observing that neither of the party had enjoyt for 22 years from Vikruti 1830, ordered that the inam be brought under tillage [illegible], and that the assessment be kept under Amanut till either party should produce more definite & unequivocal proof of his title to the inam — [Sub] Collr's order to Tahr 23d October 1852 N 144 — Neither party has since given the required proof — The inam has been under [illegible] for upwards of 32 years [at] this time — Although the inam is now claimed by the two parties Vizt 1st By Anantakrishnia & his 2 brothers sons of the said Narsaya, and 2dly by [Venkata]chelaya son of the said Venkatguruvien, Neither party can give any other substantial proof of his descent from the party in 1214, & 33, than what was [given] by the Tahr in 1852 — Going however by the evidence then recorded, it is clear to my mind that it is utterly untrustworthy in the Case of the two parties — [The e]vidence for the 1st party Narsaya was given by 9 persons of whom 4 namely Makutaswamiah, Muttupalani Kavundan, Palany Malay Kavundan, and [illegible]iah deposed that they knew nothing as to the descent or title of Narsaya it appearing that the evidence of the last 3 persons was delivered in one & the same deposition [illegible]sastri, Narayan, Subbramania Gurkal, Chendrasakhara Gurkal, & Sami Gurkal. [The e]vidence of the remaining 5 witnesses appears to have been given in one and the same Paper which however is Headed as the deposition of 7 Persons, two more, Kuppayan [and] Kuppitatti (who have not signed the Paper) being included — This circumstance would shew, that the Heading was made on the supposition that the 7 persons were to depose to the same [effe]ct, and that they having got up Witnesses, the 2 that did not sign deserted from the deposition afterwards — Leaving this point, the 1st three of the 5 Witnesses said they [ha]d heard it said that Narsaya's father was the son in law of the party in 1214, & 1233 & that they have seen Narsayen enjoy the inam — The two other witnesses deposed to the [sa]me effect — with this addition that the party in 1214, & 33 had been taking his meals in the house of Narsaya's father — ~~The three sons of Narsaya are~~ I feel therefore no [hes]itation in rejecting the claim of the three sons of Narasaya abovementioned as quite unfounded — 2dly the evidence for the 2d party Venkataguruvien [wa]s given by 5 Witnesses, Muppatti Paria Kavundan, Arunachala Moodelly, Kandasami Ulay, Chendrasakharayen, and Annaya Sastri — The 1st three of these Witnesses knew [no]thing of their own knowledge beyond that Lingaya had been living in Kodimudi 35 or 40 years back & that the villagers would not give rents to him under one objection or another, but had heard ~~Narsaya tell them~~ Venkatguruvia tell them his story of his relation with Lingaya — The [stor]y told by him, and ~~supported~~ corroborated by the last 2 Witnesses was that Lingaya had a daughter named Venkamma whom Venkataguruviah's father Venkatasubbier married — But the 4th [witness] was the father in law of Venkatguruviah, and the 5th his Purohit depending on him for support — The evidence is thus disqualified in toto — Besides, Venkataguruviah's son who now claims [gave a] written statement in which he gives his descent quite against the origl statements of his father — He makes one Subbammal the daughter of the Inamdar Lingaya, and [ma]kes his gd father Venkataguruviah the 3d son of the above Subbammal instead of son — on examining him as to the discrepancy, he says he knows nothing about the correct [ge]neology, and that he prepd his statement to the best of his hearsay information — The Evidence on his sides is more worthless and I have rejected his claim also as more unfounded — [It] to be therefore fully assessed as a heirless Inam — J. K.
23/5/63

XXX Under these circumstances the Claim of the Petr is rejected

29.1.[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] 12/66

[illegible]