Sheet No. 166

Village Siruvalur (No. 24) Chingleput Taluq

Appeal Petitions

Vide Remark & Decision on a despatch sheet appended

Manamjeri Inami Village

General Remark — This is Arnam Village, Survey No. The extent shown in the Register is according to the Banami Tarafdar's accounts. The assessment is calculated above the average collections of 10 years from Fl 1257 to 1268. The deduction of 1 & 2 Poreplands is not recognized in the accounts of this Village.

Copy of Survey

Personal Cost Hiring a-a-1-32 manyam vriam Chgs. Rs (1) a-44-1 (2) a-44-1	4-14-9	Bhatta arietta	Tank Mera a-3-6 collected taken entd a-1-9 a-1-9	Hire dita ry	Mahomed Sayar Khan Sahib Jeang Mukna	No document Barnard's Tarafdar Fl 1184 Partcount Fl 1210 Inam accept Fl 1219 Registered Fl 1263-68	Anjur Vishaya (Chief village)	Baisna Raju Inami Hyderpur Fl 1184 Griffith to Anjur Vishaya Jeangar in Fl 1210 not entered	The same name in Fl 1263	Ryotwar Chas Harris Brack Esq North Arcot Ramasamba Chetti 25 Muttiah Pasupati Ayyaval Chetti 53	(1) Brother (2) Brother (2) not related	Written wish	Both the present holders are descendants of the first grantee of the village Vaisiva Raju & Col 14 several years ago the claim was noticed in the accts from the Tarafdar to the Collector Fl 1272 The other party states that his brother received a portion of the Inam but this is not borne out by the papers filed by the present holders	The Inam is valued to be enjoyed by the present holders as a T.D. 16 a-(1) Fasli Rent 4 annas Tank Cess charged 16 Rs (2) Fasli Rent 4 annas Tank Cess charged	J.C. Book 1121 Page 1122,
Personal Cost Hiring a-a-1-32 manyam vriam Chgs. Rs 2 Rs	4-14-9	Bhatta arietta	For the service of Tungiah Poleygar of Vaisiva pattanam the service provided by him	Sayna Inam	Salary for the service rendered	Author	As dated Barnard's Tarafdar Fl 1184 Registered Fl 1268	Author	Seniara Mudaliyan in Fl 1184 not entered	Purniya Poleygar Vaisiva manyam in Fl 1268	The same person Purniya Poleygar Vaisiva Inami (Resumed for the time being) Vedachala Gurukkal 32	The Papers his from a long time	To be continued by the Papers as long as the service is explained performed	
Personal cost Hiring Bhatta Palam Salt Tax 2-0-3-64	9-13-6	Bhatta arietta	Tank Mera	Hire dita ry	Author	As dated Barnard's Tarafdar Fl 1183 Dan Account Fl 1210 Registered Fl 1268	Author	Srinivasa Mudaliyan Dubash to Anjur Vishaya Mudaliyan in Fl 1183 Extent 2-- The same mentioned Extent in Fl 1210	The same name in Fl 1268 Extent 2--	Tiruvellore Venkata Narasam Chetty 50	1 Son not elected	The present Head of the family & the person in the Register of 1823 & 1863 (Plan Papers) Present deed of gift & the written deposition (dated 1865 & 1868)	To be continued to the present holder subject to Fasli Rent Rs 1-6--	S.C. Book 1121 & 1122

Boundary																								
Poreland	1	-	-	1.32	1	-	-	1.32	4	14	-	-	-	-										
Do	3	-	-	3.96	3	-	-	3.96	14	03	-	04	1	12	1	12	-	-						
Do	4	-	-	5.28	4	-	-	5.28	19	17	-	21	1	12	1	12	-	-						
Forward	9	-	6	11	660	5	8	-	726															
Poreland	1	-	0	06	9	-	-	088	9	8	-	12	54	19	1	-	84	1	12	1	12	-	-	
Do																								
Do		-	1	-	-	528	4	-	-	5.28														
Do																								
Do		-	-	-	660	5	8	-	726															

III

The petitioner Fakkarubi is the grand uncle & grand son's widow of the deceased Gulamalli and has better right for the share of the deceased than any other and it was accordingly upheld by the Collector whose order supported by the statements of the Karnams before me and affidavits from the inhabitants of the Karnams before me and a petition dated 20th May 1863 show that the claimant Abdul Khader is not in the enjoyment of the dram although he says he performs the service in the Mosque and receives a portion of the dram proceeds from the Gumastah in the management of the dram employes upon the consent of the several parties interested in the institution. So that Saiyed Nurulla marked Fifthies recently his share already passed to his sons C & D which with the 1/8 a piece already in their possession according to the Collector's registry amount to 1/4 share that should be registered in their names. The remaining 3/4 share as per old Contention that needs not be disturbed is not under dispute between the several Petitioners A & B and the registration of the dram in the 3 Villages should be in accordance with the registry made in 1863 with the Consent Fakauth marked A in the name of the son in law Saiyed deceased and which should be entered in Sirukaline

5. If the registration already made is according to my recent suppletory by the Collector's order of 1851 and by alleged existing possession, there seems no alteration in the Title deeds already issued.

6. The dissatisfied Petitioner is referred to Civil Courts.

2nd February 1866 (Sd) B. Gopalaiya
Deputy Collector

From the above report of Deputy Collector B. Gopalaiya to whom the petitioners of the parties were referred it appears that the Collector in 1853 recognised and registered the claims of 1st Fakrubibi's son (original) (now added) to 3/4 original share
2nd Saiyed Nurulla —— 1/8 do
3rd Karuniya —— 1/8 do

IV

The 2nd was the father of the 3rd and his share died. The said son in law No 1 has also since died, so that at present 3/4th is enjoyed by Fakir and 1/4 by Karuniya. The grounds on which the name of Saiyed Abdul K was registered by Deputy Collector Ramachandra Row are not stated in that officer and now Deputy Collector B Gopalaiya reports that he enjoyment of any portion of the dram. In the Village of Sirukalatte widow of a former holder Gulamalli is entered with explanation from the petition of said Abdul Khadar she appears to have since It is not the province of this Department to pronounce any decision on abstract right of Saiyed Abdul Khadar and he has not such strong prima facie title to a share. Under these circumstances the name Saiyed Abdul Khadar is struck out of the Register in the 3 Villages as he is referred to the civil courts for redress. The name of Fakrubi dead is struck out of the Register of Sirukalatte

(Sd) A Sashiah
Special Assistant

Approved
(Sd) W. D. Blair

16th May 1865

Appeal Petition No 1 of 1866 from Saiyed Abdul K
Confirmation of the settlement in his name Rejected as he
no enjoyment.

(Sd) W. D. Blair
officiating Dram Commissioner

5th October 1865

(True copy)

Copied by J. Devadoss
Compared by

for Treasury Deputy Collector

(5)

Reg. No. 53 Mámanjur Revenue Ts 5 Division of Taluq of Trivallore in the District of Madras. 9P/28

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Rates
Clay wet Coss. Garden
4 2 4 5 12 7 0
3 1 4 4 4
3 8

The Perumpoke Inams are not entered in the Cowle —
This Village was under Amamy tele F 1271 when it was settled under the Zamindari —

Rates
Dry
1 2 4 —
2 1 4 —

Sheet no 4 81.

Vadayan wet 1 Coss (1)
175 0 1 4 - 10 11 7
176 - 2 2 - 67 2 15
- 2 6 - 77 3 6
Garden
232 - 13 12 1 14 6 0
1 - 2 - 2 1 91 9 6

Pati Pirdu Free Pert Not known The assessment Not known

Case no. 1
Vadayan

In Taraffady Not entered
In Pycaash of F 1271 —
The Pait entered as Perumal Pagoda Sundeen occupied by the Pradhaan & the Garden said entered as Perumal Pagoda Sundeen —

Punnapakam
Adikesava Perumal Pagoda — Vedavruttu Sannie Raghunaikary.

Absent Remark.
To be continued on one F C. so long as the Pagoda Service is rendered on 1/2 Compulsory a R of R 6-12 —

T.K. 13/10/69

Confd DC 30/4/69

T Dept 1808

Vadayan Garden
188 - 7 - 55 3 1

Topes Do Do Do Do Do

Case no. 2
Do

In Taraffady & In Cowle Not entered
In Pycaash Perumal Pagoda Palmira Topes.

Punnapakam
Adikesava Perumal Pagoda Poothpper Sreeneevaska Battar.

Absent. Remark —
The Tope is not under the Abkary Contractor — He has nothing to do with it —
To be Continued on one F C so long as the Pagoda exists & Rat 1/2 Compulsory R 1-8

T.K. 13/10/69

T Dept 1809

Vadayan Dry
174 - 1 - 2 - 09 - 8
177 - 2 14 - 24 1 4
- 4 - 33 1 12

Pati Pura Do Do Do Do Do

Case no. 3
Do

Correction in Thirpoy Cowle R Rumuneem Ent.

In Taraffady & Cowle Not entered
Pidary Pagoda Baeky and Sundeen in Pymash

Punnapakam
Padari Pooniyan Swami Pagoda Pujari Palani Pandaram

Absent. Remark —
To be Continued on one F C so long as the Pagoda exists 2 Rat 1/2 As-14- Compulsory

T.K. 13/10/69

Confd DC 30/4/69

T Dept 1810

Ent 25/4/69

M. Robinson
Inám Commissioner

(5)

D 124 Pg 58 Manampiri Renewal No 5 Division of Taluq of Trivallore in the District of Madras.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
4	Devadayam	Dry					Tope	Free	Fort	Not known	Five acres more or less	Not known	In Tarapady & Poruta Not entered In Dynasty Thippa Tope of Pummakotte Swaraswamy Pagoda at Punnapakam		Punnapakam. Punniyakotiswara swami Pagoda. Pujari Vedas be la Gurkul					Absent - Present. To be Conferred in name of the Pagoda as long as the Pagoda exists & Rat 1/2 Compulsory Rs 3 — JJR 13/10/69		
		528	3	12	31																
		530	4	6	36																
		531	6	-	49																
			14	2	116																

Corrections on this page Nous
R. Ponnusamie
Cmr

J. M. Robinson
Inám Commissioner.