7 8 11 12 13 14 15 17 18 20

Register of the Village of Amrutamangalam in the Taluk of Trivellore in the District of Chingleput

Sheet No. 209

Personal

Total Ayakat as per Survey accounts of F 1226 or Dittam
Dry 565-13-4
wet 80-14-12
Total 665-12-..
Deduct Porumboke
Dry 42-5-..
wet 18-3-..
60-8-..
Remainder
Dry 522-8-4
wet 62-11-12
585-4-..
Deduct Tarapadi Inams as per Survey Register
Dry 7-5-..
wet 11-8-4
18-13-4
Remainder Shrotriyam
Dry 515-3-4 681.36
wet 51-3-8 67.74
566-6-12 749.10
Saigal Dry 13-6-8
as per Fasli ..-0-5-4
13-11-12
Payable Dry
wet 10-14-4
..-12-12

Shato-vritti

Jodi 26-11-9

Hereditary

By Rajah Krishna Rao (Cypur unkun)

One Renewed Sunnud by Doddi Rami Reddi Mustajir of Sattivedu
1790
[illegible] taken 1854
Tarafadi peet of F 1210
Shrotriyam
Amrutamangalam
Total Ayakat
Dry 91-..-..
wet 79-10-..
Total 170-10-..
Deduct Porumboke
Dry 63-1-..
wet 21-9-..
Total 84-10-..
Remainder
Dry 27-15-..
wet 58-1-..
Total 86-..-..
Deduct Tarapadi Inams as per Service Register
Dry 9-9-..
wet 17-9-..
27-2-..
Remainder Shrotriyam
Dry 18-6-..
wet 40-8-..
58-14-..
~~Deduct from~~
Survey acct of F 1226
Shrotriyam Kaipiyat of F 1244
Register of F 1224
F 1263.

Potter Srinivasa Charlu

Tarafadi ~~[illegible]~~ of F 1224
Shrotee Zoree
~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]
~~[illegible]~~
Ananda Teertha Charlu
Great Great Grand Son

Anandu Teertha Charlu
of F 1224
peat
peat
peat
grandson

Swami Kaipiyat of F 1244
Tamma Charlu
peat
peat
peat
peat
pradha

Amrutamangalam
Kaini Appana Charlu 7/2
peat
peat
peat
peat
pandu

2 sons
4 daughters
1 widow
1 married
1 brother
~~wife~~

Statement of Cultivation and amount of Beriz from F 1260 to 1269

Faslis	Dry Extent	Dry actual revenue according to the price of the year	Wet Extent	Wet actual revenue according to the price of the year	Total Extent	Total actual revenue according to the price of the year
1260	77-1-12	69-14-8	31-..-12	85-13-4	108-2-8	155-12-..
1261	77-1-12	69-14-8	41-..-12	112-1-4	118-2-8	182-..-..
1262	56-6-8	51-13-9	40-7-..	109-9-4	96-13-8	161-7-1
1263	56-6-8	51-13-9	41-5-4	111-4-8	97-12-12	163-2-5
1264	56-6-8	51-13-9	41-7-12	111-9-..	97-14-4	163-7-9
1265	56-6-8	[illegible]-9	41-8-12	113-7-..	98-4-4	165-6-11
1266	66-6-8	55-5-9	40-5-4	109-3-11	106-11-12	164-9-8
1267	107-6-8	96-..-9	40-5-..	109-3-11	147-11-12	205-11-8
1268	103-6-8	92-15-9	40-5-..	109-3-11	143-11-12	202-3-8
1269	103-6-8	92-15-9	40-5-4	109-3-11	143-11-12	202-3-8
Total	754-7-8	685-1-4	398-9-4	1080-14-11	1153-..-12	1766-..-3
Average per year	75-7-2	68-8-2	39-13-12	108-1-6	115-4-14	176-9-8
Add cultivable land out of [illegible] Banjar [illegible] the land	25-12-..	22-8-6	..-..-..	..-..-..	25-12-.. ~~[illegible]~~	32-8-6
(Shewn above) [illegible] at [illegible]	4-2-..-..	3-9-9	1-4-4	2-3-5	5-6-4	5-13-2
Payable	..-..-..		10-14-4	28-4-..	10-14-4	28-4-.. (Paying assessmt)
Total	29-12-..	26-2-3	12-2-8	30-7-5	42-..-8	56-9-8

Deduct average annual range to payable [illegible] establishment

[illegible] 125
Deduct

5-..-233-3-4

From the Register of Fasli 1224 and the docts referred to in Col. 12, it appears that this Village was originally held as a Sarva Inam tenure for three generations. In the 4th a small Jodi of Pagodas (5) was imposed which was paid & the Village enjoyed uninterruptedly by Venkata Ranga Charir, the 5th descendant of the grantee, up to F 1189, when he was obliged to abscond in consequence of the dues [illegible]. The Village ~~continued~~ sequestered under attachment up to F 1199, when the Proprietor having returned & shewn a Parwana from Doddi Rami Reddi, the Mustajir of Sattivedu restoring the Village & passed a renewed Sunud in his favor. About F. 1211 a second sequestration took place under orders of the then Collector Mr. Greenwill. In F 1213 however Mr. Hepburn the Collr restored the Village to the Proprietor. About Fasli 1217, a small increase amounting to Pags. 2-28-60 was made to the existing Jodi of Pags. 5-..-.., on account of Kaipu, and Gapta Russoom (paid to Sri Varada Raja Swami) making an aggregate Jodi of Pags. 7-28-60 or Rs 26-11-9 which ~~continues to be~~ paid to this day. The name of the present holder was regd in 1854. In the Sunud (renewed) granted by Rami Reddi mention is made of the Puja of Hanumanta Raya Swami, the education of the children in Vedas & the prayers to the almighty for the prosperity of the State; these are the usual remarks inserted in Sunuds & Parwanas. The Puja cannot be said to be [illegible] with the state [illegible] [illegible] especially as nothing [illegible]

258

supply — which [illegible] source of irrigation [illegible] [illegible]

area ~~[illegible]~~ added to the average was [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] Although nothing has been [illegible] [illegible] out of the [illegible] [illegible]

from Inquiry, the ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible] be [illegible] as a [illegible] —

The small additions made in [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible]

~~[illegible]~~ is a settlement of the kind which we are now engaged —

I beg to recommend the confirmation of the Shrotrium village to the present incumbent who is willing to enfranchise — Q. J. R. Rs 22-0-

Combined Q.R. Rs 49-0-0

A Ramachandra Rao

22/4/61

Extract granted to
[illegible] Seetaramier
for Venkataramana Chari
on the 20th April 1863.

(Fair Copied)

A Pn No 1232 - Appln from
Tulasiboyamma claiming [illegible] as the
village was held by her husband
~~[illegible]~~ with reference to a Rayanna
& [illegible] filed in the [illegible]
Enclosed & produce the proof in support
of her right & enjoyment
17 Oct 61
W B
[illegible]

Sheet No 210

General Remark. This is a Shrotriyam Village paying the Jodi of Rs 126 – 11 – 9. This was surveyed in F. 1226. The extent herein shown is the survey area.

119	– 5 – 0.41	∫	– 9 –	For the service of Pidari ~~at Amruta~~ ~~mangalam~~ (on the services rendered to the Deity	Sarva Inam	belong as the service is rendered	Unknown	Tarapadi Inam No docts Tarapadi acct Survey account of F 1226 Register from F1249 up to 1263	Unknown	Pidari ~~manyam~~ ~~[illegible]~~ in Tarapadi acct & in F 1226	The same in F 1263	Amrutamangalam Pidari Ammanamma (Pujari for the time being Subban 50)	"	"	The Tarapadi acct referred to is believed to have been prepared in F 1223 To be confirmed to the Pidari so long as the service is regularly performed 12/4/61. A. Ramachandra	Conf. Collector 17 F [illegible]
wet 99 123	– 12 0.39 – 4 0.77 14 1.16	∫	– 8 6 1 – 6 1 3 –	For the service of Dharma Raja at Amruta mangalam	Sarva Inam	belong as the service is rendered	Unknown	No docts Tarapadi acct Survey account of F 1226 Register from F 1249 up to F 63	Unknown	Dharma Raja ~~[illegible]~~ Tarapadi acct & in F1226	The same in F1263	Amrutamangalam Dharmaraja Kovil (Pujari for the time being Dharma Rajan 50)	"	"	The Pagoda exists from time immemorial To be confirmed to the Kovil so long as the service is regularly performed 12/4/61. A. Ramachandra	Conf. Collector 17 F [illegible] 26
[illegible]	0.94	∫	1 – 2	Bhatta vritti	Sarva Inam	Here ditary	Unknown	No docts Tarapadi acct Registers from F1249-54 and F1263 Survey acct of F1226	Unknown	Pidugu Rama Bhatta manyam in F1226	Pidugu Rama Bhattar manyam in F1249	Rama Pannikki Jalagam Appanacharri 72	stated 3 daughters (2 married 2 widow)	The present holder's grandfather is said to have acquired the Inam through marriage the pointer to [illegible] generation	The alienee has no documents in support of the alienation. The [illegible] is [illegible] from several generations. The villagers state that the property of the alienee has been [illegible] for a long time [illegible] the proof thereof. At any rate the validity of the alienation is doubtful. I would therefore confirm the Inam to the alienee subject to a quit rent of 8 annas 15/4/61. A. Ramachandra	Conf. Collector 17 F [illegible]

(Not Copied)

Inam Abstract of the Village Amrutamangalam No 7/ Trivellore Taluq

Description of Inams	Dry				Wet				Total				Total [illegible] of Inams		Total quit rent and Kattabadi			Compulsory		Optional		
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	Former	[illegible]	[illegible]			Agreed		declined
Devadayam	–		–	–	1	3	"	1.57	1	3	"	1.57	2	2	–	–	–					
Personal	–		–	–	"	9	"	0.74	"	9	"	0.74	1	– 2	–	"	8	"	8	–	–	–
Total	—	—	—	—	1	12	–	2.31	1	12	–	2.31	3	2.2	–	–	8	–	8	–	–	–
Service Inams	5	9	5	9.65	9	12	4	12.89	23	1	1	22.54										
Total according to present settlement	5	9	6	9.65	10	6	12	15.20	18	13	4	24.85	3	2.2	–	–	8	–	8	–	–	–
Less as per Barnard Tarapadi [illegible] & Personal [illegible] other than service [illegible] Inams					1	12	–	2.31		12	–	2.31										
	~~5~~	~~9~~		~~9.65~~	~~19~~		~~12~~	~~[illegible]~~														
	5	9	5	9.65	9	12	4	12.89	17	1	4	22.54										
Total	5	9	5	9.65	10	6	12	15.20	18	13	4	24.85										

A. Ramachandra

P. 510

323. Shrotriyam Village Of Amritamangalam No. 323 Sattiyavedu Do Trivellore Taluq Madras District

Regr No 202

1	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22

Sheet No 348

Rate	Acres Dry	Acres Wet
1st	19. 1–10 ..	14 4–12 ..
2d	23. 1–2 ..	17. 4–..–..
3d	27. ..–13 ..	22 2–12 ..
4th	..–..–..	25 2–12 (8) ..

nal Wet		
68	..2..0.16	..— 4 Kudum
199	..3..0.25	..— 6 Chains
Total	..5..0.41	..— 10 (6)

Free

Hereditary

Unknown

No accounts in col 14 & 15

Unknown

In manual Tarappady & In Tymash of 1226 Kudumi or Snake Doctor's Inam - In R of 1263 Kudumi Nynan -

Pullampedu
(1) Nynan 66
(2) Kalattee 40
(3) Velan 50

Pundamallee
(4) Sanasectu 48

Pullambedu
(5) Venkatedasu 25

(1) The party in 1263
(2 & 3) Brothers of Do
(4 & 5) Uncle's sons of Do

(1) Son — 1
Son's Wives 2
& 2 Daughters 1
4

(2) Wife — 1
Sons — 3
Sons' wives 3 (7)
8 (8)

(3) Wife — 1
Sons — 2
Sons' wife 1
4

(4) Wife — 1

(5) Wife — 1
Son — 1
2

Family divided Shares equal

Quasi-Service —

To be confirmed on one R 2 Nat 14 Compulsory as (2)

T.R.
29/7/69

W.P.

Confirmed
R
29 11/69

T.D. No 1490

8

{Case No 1
personal}

Corrections in this page 8 (eight)
P. Soondriah Examiner.

Read

J. Robinson
Inám Commissioner.

T.R.

Cert. 2
25/4/69