...ers of the Village of Pulikutty Sydapett Taluq Madras Dt

6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Personal

Register No 174

Shut No 424

2-5 ... Vedaurty Inam

Register No 174

Vedaurty Inam

Fee Fary

Pulikutty

Ramyer 30

Pathyer 30

Personal

Absent To be attached

/Signed/ K. Soondara Rao

Tahsildar

4/12/69

Inam Commissioner

... Village ...

3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21

R. S. No. 25

Sheet No. I

Sheet No. 268

[illegible]

Total Ayakat L. per tarapadi account of A. D. 1774 — 47-4-0

Deduct Inams separately registered — 9-6-0

Remainder — 37-14-0

Wet
Cultivation 12-4-12½-23
Waste — 4-3-45 — 54
16-8-[illegible] 77

Dry
Cultivation 1-1-14 — 48
Waste 13-4-21 — 49
14-6-[illegible]-18-97

[illegible] 7-0-0-9 24
37-14-[illegible] 98

For the personal benefit of the holder — [illegible] 8-8-

Hereditary

This and 3 more villages were confirmed on the existing tenure by Lord Clive Governor in A.D. 1802 as noted in the Collector's registers of 1818, 1822 and 1859

No Documents

Komara Malrazu ...

Tarabady of F. 1184 Plains 37-14-0 1210 Same as in col. 3 17-4-0

Collector's register of 1818 Komara Malrazu 2 Bhaktavatsalaraz 3 Sitaramaraz 4 Ramachandraraz. Collector's register of 1822 same as above. Collr's register of 1859 Komara Malrazu 2 Bhaktavatsalaraz 3 Sitaramaraz.

Extract from Mess'rs [illegible]

Mr. (1) Palala Komara Malraz
2 Bhaktavatsalaraz
3 Sitaramaraz
Dry 9-48 } aft 25—
Wet 10-88 } [illegible] 8-8—
4 Palle Venkamba [illegible] 70
5 Sadasiva [illegible] 50
Dry — 9 } aft 25—
Wet 10-89 } [illegible] 17-8 — Paid to the Shrotriemdar
Including the [illegible]

(1) 3rd son
(2) Brothers of the party in 14
(3) Do.
½
[illegible]
Alien
½

(1) Mother Paternal [illegible] widow — ½
(2) Sons — 2
(3) niece — 1
[illegible] 4 1 son
[illegible] 5 1 son

Of the half share of this village belonging to Nos. 1 and 3 in col. 16, 2/3 share is enjoyed by Nos. 2 and 3 and the remainder by [illegible] these [illegible] as the register of above Shrotriem for the entire share obtained by the grandfather of the incumbent No. 1.

The great grand of the incumbent No. 4 paternal uncle of the incumbent No. 5 obtained this half share of this village 80 years ago from the grand father of the party in col. 13.

[illegible] of 80 [illegible] but the enjoyment of the share [illegible] and [illegible] the incumbent [illegible] 23.

[illegible] my [illegible] on the Shrotriem register of [illegible] of this village from the [illegible] of 1802.

The share alienated to the great grand father of the incumbent No. 4 was included in the grant confirming this Shrotriem as it must have been [illegible] by the [illegible] Govt.

The Shrotriemdar [illegible] as [illegible] for a separate enfranchisement.

[illegible] Nos. 4 and 5 [illegible] [illegible]

Rs 21 [illegible] — 14 —

Including the [illegible] [illegible] to the Shrotriemdar

The share of Nos. 1, 2 & 3

[illegible]

Rs 21-[illegible] 10 —

[illegible] the [illegible]

We can not [illegible] the propriety of [illegible] [illegible] by Nos. 4 & 5 to Nos. 1 to 3 being deducted from the assessment of the ½ share held by Nos. 4 & 5. This will reduce the Q. R. [illegible] to Govt. The [illegible] in question [illegible] be [illegible] as a private arrangement between the Shrotriemdars & their alienees — [illegible] have nothing to [illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] of Nos. 4 & 5 [illegible] paying the Shrotriemdar [illegible] the [illegible] by [illegible]

[illegible] falling on [illegible] should be [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] Judicial [illegible] [illegible] Rs. 2 [illegible] recommended [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] Q. R. at [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] Nos. 4 [illegible] payable to Govt. Q. R. at ⅛ [illegible] compulsory

Shrotriemdar's Collection [illegible] acct.

1260	Rs	30-7-6
[illegible]	Rs	19-8-8
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	Rs	34-14-6
[illegible]	Rs	48-0-8
65	Rs	18-2-7
66	Rs	30-12-1
	Rs	18-14-3
	Rs	42-7-8
	Rs	39-0-9
	Rs	18-1-8
	Rs	30-11-3
		33-4-1
		16-11-11

[illegible] explained in the [illegible] of [illegible] I have adopted the [illegible] amount of receipts with a [illegible] added

50 — adopted in this settlement

A Pet. 19 of 1866 from No. 4 asking for T. D. Rejected. 15 May 1866 W.M.

[illegible]

Upon further consideration & discussion with the [illegible] [illegible], I beg to recommend, owing to the imperfect nature of the information and the hardship falling on the alienees in paying the Q. R. due to Govt. as calculated above while they have a Jodi to pay to Shrotriemdars besides the Govt. Jodi, that the whole inam may be confirmed on present tenure in one T. D. We are not bound to recognise such imperfect alienations — T. D. [illegible] as to remain at a fair valuation —

[illegible] 165 —

Aff. W.M. 3/11/66 [illegible] 479

No 25

Sheet No 269

| | | |