

520152

Sanad Khan.
1 - Sultan Khan the Englishman

to be by death & death
to be confirmed to

[illegible]

have relinquished non
resistance shares & the
p. 100

1/2 dell' *le pennes*

20/2/62 *Platanus andriso* 2/7 700

sum of tank man.

Wentworth

fair copy.

A blank, aged, cream-colored page with a faint grid pattern. The page shows signs of wear, including discoloration and small dark spots. A small, dark, handwritten mark is visible in the upper left corner.

J. D. M.

Confined 2 1/2 weeks

179/80 M

Incl. 1/2 2 1/2

17/2 1/2

22 00

1/2 1/2

22 11 - in one

F.C.

7.56

8/470

22 00

22 11

###

The Sum is entered in accounts as Languedoc money's Sum - Nothing is now known of him - The Sum appears to have been held over in charges by the Village Roy by virtue of an alleged gift by the Grantee some in support of which there is no written evidence. It seems also to have changed hands by several transfers comprising in private gift to La Roche - which probably the Grantee himself became entitled to - & then the Village took proper fees of the Sum - But this occurred very long ago - this we can not say when to be more deeply with

Absent. To be attached

20/11/62

This is entered in Sagubady
Dowls and Dymash as Linga Soma
- yajis Inam. The individuals in the 16th Column
have put in a Statement to the effect that this was
gifted to their ancestors by Venkatabuttar Son of Linga
Somayaj, the original grantee, that the Deeds of gift and other do-
- cuments in support of this were lost, and that they have been in the
enjoyment of the Inam for a long time. The names of Ramayar and Gov-
- ramayar are entered in the Vernacular Register prepared by the late Inam Dy. Collector
Ramachandra Rao at the time of his enquiry with a remark that the Inam was to be attached
in consequence of the failure of the Inamdars to put in a Statement, though they were present.
This Inam was not hitherto placed under attachment. I learn from the Thunam and the Village
that the Inam was held in shares by the Village Community, and seems to have changed hands
by several transfers in consequence of gifts and sales. Moreover, it appears from the Sagubady accounts
of the past few years which is with the Thunam, that the Inam has been in the enjoyment of the persons
in the 16th Column. I could not determine the age of the Inam in the absence of proper and reliable documents with
the Inamdars to show how it was acquired by him, and also in the absence of Fiscal Accounts of the last fifty years to prove
the enjoyment. Under these circumstances, I would recommend that the Inam be confirmed in one Title Deed Sub. Rent at Rs. 12.

Refers 10— signed/ K. Vondra Rao.
Subdar