Sheet No. 306

Arumanbate

Forfeit of the 259

of the

1784 Nunis 152 Mudali 2 Annah Andali 3 Venkatachela Mudali Mudali 3 Venkatachela mudali No 2

& 1 Daughter

& 1824 1 Wife

187-15-6

Perumal

Dividend

Total of 1218

the village

in the ensuing

were removed

of Nattam service for which it was [illegible] granted

206-7-4

condition of service by the

present Govt. [illegible]

[illegible] Andiappa Mudali

a [illegible]

first named [illegible]

Col. 15.

The grant [illegible]

personal and its [illegible]

established by the Govt.

[illegible]

One [illegible] Mudali

letters grant [illegible]

for the [illegible]

[illegible] was confirmed by the

[illegible] Govt. in 1802 [illegible]

[illegible] that his name was

included under the term

others mentioned after the

name of the [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] register of 1224

On the registry of the

village in the names

of the incumbents [illegible]

[illegible] after the death of

the father the above [illegible]

[illegible] Mudali

in 1831 the [illegible]

case. The Collector held the necessary investigation and reported in his letter No 8 of the 30th July 1833 establishing his right for ½ share in the village and recommending the registry of the entire village in his name with that of the several ~~sharers~~ cohein. The Board in their order of 24th March 1834 confirmed the collector's recommendation alleging that the petitioner Pattavari Mudali is the descendant of the eldest brother [illegible] Mudali to whom the village was granted as Nattakhirvum by the former Govt. and to whose brother it was confirmed by Lord Clive in 1802. Pattavari Mudali the petitioner appears to have been in evident enjoyment of his half share and in the management of the entire village up to 1268 by the collector's letters to the Board dated 9th February 183[illegible] regarding the arrears of [illegible] The [illegible] No. 1900 of 1856 of the Ponnamallee [illegible] [illegible] with Board [illegible] is that [illegible] of the Principal Sadar Amin [illegible] [illegible] in Appeal Suit No. 49 of 1857 and the Collector [illegible]

The name of [illegible]

Although he [illegible]

Village

2 R [illegible]

1668

[illegible] for his own [illegible] belonging to the family of [illegible]
[illegible] now requests us to register [illegible] in the settlement
[illegible] a careful perusal of the Collector's letter and the Board's order above referred to Pattaravi Mudali's claim for [illegible] is [illegible] and [illegible]
[illegible] for [illegible] the village insupportable as that of the parties in col. 11 for the village was confirmed in 1802 to Andyappa Mudali who was the
paternal [illegible] claimant's grand father and the paternal uncle of the father of the present holder Pattaravi Mudali the petitioner does
not appear to have brought the usurpation of his share by the parties in col. 11 to the notice of the Collector Magistrate of the district at that time. He however
says he [illegible] a complaint to the Thasildar and before [illegible] upon the subject of a compromise with the parties in col. 11
which does not however appear to have been effected.

The parties in col. 11 without sufficient proof state that the [illegible] in the Collector's office the petitioner [illegible]
the Collector's recommendation for the Board's order above mentioned to register the [illegible] share in his name putting him in possession of the management
of the village [illegible] never enjoyed his share at any time and he is not as stated by him a descendant in great grandson of Ramadas
Mudali the [illegible] original grantee to whose brother Andyappa Mudali the Govt. Cowle was granted for the village. In support of the allegation
they could not produce any documents or [illegible] evidence, whereas the correspondence with the Board, the Collector's letter [illegible] the Petitioner
establish his [illegible] I recommend the registration of his share in his name with those of the parties in col. 11 for
the [illegible] of a joint title deed should the Commissioner [illegible] by the petition, and no more
[illegible] advisable to leave the petitioner Pattaravi Mudali to [illegible] as he is already engaged in civil litigation [illegible]
[illegible] has already stated that he will bring a separate civil suit for this village at [illegible]
[illegible] in col. 11 without prejudice to this claim at [illegible]

25/7/63

No accounts
Mahomed
Venkatesa
No Remark
Zeebadi
1186
Register
Tirumalai
Mahomed
1 wife
1 son
not
Known

[illegible] accounts [illegible]
of one Venkata Varadayya
except in Collector's register
of [illegible] in which it was
registered after enquiry
in [illegible] of the [illegible]
[illegible] the name