(162.) Shrotriam - Aianallur, Ponnéri Táluq, Madras district.

ஸ்ரோத்திரியம் அயநல்லூர் திருப்பாசூர் தாலூகா பொன்னேரி ஜில்லா மதராஸ்

Page 2

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Register No 117

நஞ்சை Wet (on average) 5 - 11

1 நஞ்சை Wet

1 ஏகரா - - 11 - 90 3 . 8 வேதவ்ருத்தி [illegible] [illegible] 14 . 15 [illegible] தெரியாது [illegible]

Personal

Sheet No. 423

Véda-Vritti. Free 1849. Not known. Heard Not known in Cols. 14 & 15

In Sarabadi Védavritti Inám

— 11 —

In Dowle Védavritti Inám

— 11 —

Tiruvaipádi

Shrinivása Iyengar 40.

Great Grandson as per statement.

Wife — 1
Son — 1
Daughter 2
— 4

15/11/69

To be confirmed in one T.D. Quit Rent at 1/8 As. 6 agreed to.

(Signed) [illegible] Tahsildar

Confirmed
T.R.
29-1-70

1-4
[illegible]/4/71

{ Case No. 1
Personal }

Corrections in this page (None)

C. Sabapathy
Exr.

J. Robinson
Inám Commissioner.

[illegible] 21/12/69

K. V. R
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
6 மாதம் January வருடம் 83
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
6 மாதம் January வருடம் 83
7/1/83
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

Regr. No. 32

~~Register No. 32~~

Sheet No. 239

Personal

Pettah Arcot.
According to Mr Barnard's Survey of F. 1184

Tirtambakkam

Remainder

Pettah Inam as per
[illegible] Service

Remainder Shrotriyam

Bullendars

Pettah Inam as per
[illegible] account

Remainder Shrotriyam

Pettah [illegible] Manyam

Pettah Nellumnangoor
[illegible] Dumbanain[illegible]
[illegible] 1841

Remainder Shrotriyam

Generation | Jadi 300 | Fasli 2 Generation

This village was granted by Govt. under date 16th Augt. 1832 to the party as stated in the Board's letter to Govt. No. 485 of the 28 November 1827.

Mr Barnard's Survey of F. 1184
Dumbaramut of Fasli 1210
Cultivation Extent 130-13-
Inam Register of F. 1224-32
No Sanad

Venkata Rao | [illegible] of F. 1184 | Venkata Rayer in F. 1232 | Madras Venkata narasayer 48 | Adopted son to the party aged 13 | 1 son

Venkatrayalu Ayer grandson A. 8 | grandson of Founder | grandson of the

The name of the Minor son of the deceased Shrotriemdar is Lakshmi[illegible] as per letter from Collr. of Madras dated 19 Nov 1864.
26 Nov 64 [illegible]

The incumbent says that this village was granted for 3 lives without producing the Parwannah limiting the condition. But from the copies of the correspondence obtained from the Collector's office no limitation as to the duration of the grant is any where stated, the order of Govt. conferring this village as shown upon the grounds referred to in the Board's letter of the 28 November 1827 does not make any such mention, but merely states that the grant was made as a reward for the services ~~of the services~~ of the party as Chief Accountant in the Fort Mint [illegible]

On the death of [illegible] under 13, a dispute arose between his widow and the incumbent the former denying the adoption made by her late husband. The matter was referred to Govt. who in their order of 6th Septr. 1826 conveyed in their Secretary's letter to the Board directed the continuance of the Inam to the party in whose favour there was then a decree confirming his adoption. The Board in a subsequent letter dated 28 November 1827 pointed out certain informalities in the adoption and recommended the resumption of the village. The Govt. overruled them all [illegible]
[illegible] then [illegible]

T. D. No. 1643

Confirmed half 2 P. [illegible] Son of the deceased Shrotriemdar [illegible] Order of Govt. [illegible] [illegible] dated 26/9/[illegible]
~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]
3/10/64

816-11-6 Average Collections
[illegible]-6-8 Add on [illegible]
80 — [illegible]
50 — [illegible]
180 — [illegible]
280 — Total Deduction
150 — Net [illegible]

The deduction is certainly large. But where [illegible] Rs 880 [illegible] can be [illegible] applied to the [illegible] 590

Appd W. [illegible] 2/12/64

[illegible] with letter 5 Decr. 64

[illegible] Extent of the Cultivation and the amount of [illegible] from Fasli 1269

Fasli	Extent	Assessment
1260	170-4-	752-2-1
61	153-5-	685-4-6
62	172-13-	667-10-4
63	170-2-	791-11-
64	168-1-8	917-5-4
65	[illegible]	940-13-2
[illegible]	[illegible]-8	720-11-6
[illegible]	170-1-	708-13-9
168	151-12-8	1281-3-3
69	170-1-8	989-5-6
Total	1671-7-	8467-4-5
Average	167-2-4	846-11-4

This statement [illegible] correct by me [illegible] the same as the case [illegible] to [illegible] the large [illegible] in this village [illegible] to be [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the village was granted in 1828 the [illegible] years was taken [illegible] that the [illegible] [illegible] remained [illegible] letter [illegible] [illegible] a few [illegible] correspondence [illegible] Board [illegible] [illegible]

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
மாதம் JAN வருடம் 1983
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
மாதம் JAN வருடம் 1983
[illegible] 1/3/1
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்.

Conformed the p[illegible]

ruling the [illegible]

quashed above ~~[illegible]~~

Registry of the village in the name [illegible]

~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]

If they agree and if there is no ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] continue during the lifetime

the name of the [illegible] above [illegible]

In consequence of disagreement at that time

the village was registered in the name of

the party in Col. 6

to be [illegible]

at

½ 2 R. R. ~~[illegible]~~ 525

in deciding the Judge

[illegible]

24/10/63

The parties

unable to explain

the party in col 6 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] quashed the

village [illegible]

[illegible] unless the Commissioner

thinks that the Conformance entered into before the

[illegible] and that the [illegible] is entitled to the

benefit of the [illegible] — the decision [illegible] was made the

[illegible] of the village and it [illegible] with the Commissioner

[illegible] to obtain the [illegible] before granting the [illegible]

the [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] after the

[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] villages — 5/6/64 [illegible]

1239 32 Sundry Inams in the Shrotriyam Village Ninelieu Ponneri Taluq

4	5	6	7
Dry	Wet		Total
1235			1235
4904			4904
[illegible]	3850		[illegible]
36	16	36	16
6-1	36	6-1	36
11-8	116	24-8	116

General Remarks. This is a Shrotriyam Village paying an annual Jodi or Quit rent of Rs 1300 - 7. The Village was surveyed in F1250 but was not finally confirmed. The extent shewn in this Register is according to Mr. Bernard's Tarapadi accounts. The assessment is calculated upon the average Collections of 10 years from F1259 to 68

Sheet No 24

Rayasa manyam	ext. Rayasa manyam	1-7	1-90	8-12	Bhata writti	Inhe vana 119 called when call'd	Here ditto	By Nabob Soda [illegible] Cowle [illegible]	Dumbalay dated 1787 Bernard's Tarapadi of F1183 Daul a c t of F1210 Register from F1219 to 68	Svarnayam	Rayasa Somal manyam in F1183 Gopala [illegible] in F1210 Sri [illegible] in F1219 [illegible]	Krishna [illegible] in F1268 grand son	Tirumalayan 20 Great grand son	Not yet married [illegible]	The family name of holders the present is Rayasam. The entry therefore of F1183 has reference to this circumstance. It is a personal grant for the benefit of the present incumbent's family. To be confirmed to the present holder, who agrees to pay [illegible] Rs 1 [illegible] 12/2/61 A Ramachandra 7 ccn 800 Conf. 17 Oct
Matham	ext. Ahobilam jeeyar swamy	1-7	1-90	4-13	For the support of the Mathum of Ahobalam & for the service of this Matam [illegible]	Tanka vana 0-6-5 collected when actually received	do	Unknown	No doct Mr Bernard's Tarapadi's account of F1183 Daul a c [illegible]	Unknown	Ahobalam Matam Jeeyar in F1209	Ahobala Jeeyar Matam in F1263	Kadapa District Ahobila Matham Sri Lakshmi narasimha Swamy (Manager & High Priest for the time being Sri [illegible])		To be confirmed to the Matham so long as the service is regularly rendered and the Institution is well maintained. 12/2/61 A Ramachandra 7 ccn 801 Conf.
[illegible] manyam					The service unrendered to this day.				Mr Bernard's Tarapadi of F1183 Daul a c t of F1210 Register of F1269	Unknown	Perumal manyam in F1183 The same in F1210	The same in F1263	Amildar Sri Kodanda Rama Swamy (Priest for the time being Venkata Chala [illegible] Charyar 38)	The Pagoda exists from time immemorial	The Inam is valid. To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the service is regularly rendered. 12/2/61 A Ramachandra D C 7 ccn 802 Conf.
Varada yyan	ext. Pinna Patti	6	0.38	2-7	For the service of Pidari Selliyamman The service is rendered to this day	Sarvadumbala Inam as the service is performed	Unknown	No document Mr Bernard's Tarapadi of F1183 Daul a c t of F1210 Regr of 69	Unknown	Pidari mannyam in F1183 The same in F1210	The same in F1269	Amildar Pidari Selliyamman (Pujari for the time being Ardiappa [illegible] 70)	The Pagoda exists from time immemorial	To be confirmed to the Village Pagoda so long as the service is regularly rendered. 12/2/61 A Ramachandra D C 7 ccn 803 Conf.

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
மாதம் JAN வருடம் 1983
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
மாதம் JAN வருடம் 1983
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளர்