

To be over \$ 700.^m
The Institute do 1291
It is off.

3
 Village Ram-manga
 118 1-15-11 3-63
 262 7-10 0-63
 2-7-8 3-26
 6-15-7
 1-7-2
 8-6-9
 is in
 dead

No debt
 in Barmanas
 in 1884
 Durbacant
 of 18310
 Surayacant
 of 1833
 Register of
 1868
 manaym
 in 1884
 Chotua
 Bhagya
 Extent
 of 11
 Suraya
 manaym
 in 1810
 pressure
 Durbac
 manaym
 in 1833
 Extent
 as per (2)

of n
 was raised to the purpose
 for a long time. The land
 is lying waste from
 40 years - is to be resumed
 & charged with full effect
 26/9/61. A. Raminchandralingam
 10902

Duada 2 part
 4
 139 12-11 1-06
 194 3-2 0-26
 195 3-6 0-27
 261 5-2 0-42
 288 5-2 0-42
 338 6-2 0-51
 304 7-4 0-60
 156 9-12 0-80
 157 4-14 0-10
 168 2-2 0-18
 3-11-10 4-92
 32-9

No debt
 in Barmanas
 in 1884
 Durbacant
 of 1810
 Surayacant
 of 1833
 Register of
 1868
 Perumal Thiru
 manaym
 in 1884
 Extent
 Chotua
 Bhagya
 Extent
 of 11
 The Suraya
 name
 Extent
 in 1810
 Hadamangalam
 of the Municipal
 Perumal
 manaym
 in 1833
 Extent
 as per (2)
 40

To be confirmed
 to the Papala 10 1292
 long as the sum
 is regular -
 The Papala rent
 from a long time - dead.
 26/9/61. A. Raminchandralingam
 10902

Village Comptroller

Res 214

Supplemental Register of Sums in the Village of Vicarior Res. No 579 in the Taluk of Madantakam of Madras District

This is a Village received in 1243 & 44 from the Vandavasi & Taluk - There was a Mamul Pymash - Another Pymash was made in 1244 1236 - The former Pymash account is not forthcoming - yet as the latter Pymash gives the old area also, I take the average except in some land & ^{the except with} the sum to 70 Per Cent. I shall treat the Examp when the Sum is old - Where it is subject or recent & has no operation -

Shul No 458

From Landholder Register

Personal 1706
No. 20 66
214 29
1. 8. 8. 95
elamal
Mamul - . 12. -
Decrease
Case No. 1
Personal

Vandavasi Taluk 1706 The section 1706
known 1704 and 15 known
Sum
The validity of the Sum is proved
by the Documents produced by the
parties
The documents shown have been
checked in Cal 12. M

In Pymash
acc of 1706
Vandavasi
Mangem

This Sum under dispute between 2 parties -
The 1st party being 2 persons brothers named Lachadri
Lyengar & Raghamas hari - The 2nd party being
5 persons Rangas hari & his heirs -
The 2nd party claims exclusive registration
as the descendants of an alleged Samutac
Saumylengar, & as being in possession of the
Sum - 1706
The 1st party claims registration for
for the 1st field only as being the Vandavasi
allera free land the 1st field having been
ceded to the 2nd party by their fathers for

Canadayen 1706
No. 382 . 1-12-14
Mamul - . 1- . 00
10 per cent - . . 2-01
1. 1. 2. 09
Excep - . 10-05
1. 1. 12. 14
1. 12-2
Case No. 1
Canadayen

Vandavasi Co Part Co Co Co -
Sum
Lubing to Sum -

In Pymash Vicarior
acc
Kajag Agastionara
Kamiladi Sumi Kamil
Vandavasi
Pajari
Kajionara
Gurukal -

To be Conf 2nd in one T. Q on 1/2
one T. Q - 1. 12. 14 1/4 Compulsory 4 as.
T. R
12/2/68
Compulsory
on half account
1706
23/3/69
6

Canadayen 1706
No. 295 . 4-4-35
Mamul - . 4- .
Vandavasi
Case No. 3
Canadayen

Excep Co Co Co Co Co -
Sum
Recent

In Pymash Vicarior
acc
Kajag Agastionara
Kamiladi Sumi Kamil
Excep
Pajari
Kajionara
Gurukal -

To be Conf 2nd in one T. Q on 1/2
Compulsory - As (14) of
T. R
13/7/68 -
Compulsory
1706
23/3/69
6

Canadayen 1706
No. 284 . 2- .
Kajag site 1-8 3
Kamiladi site 8-04
Mamul deducting the
site 3-4-02
Excep - . 4-02
1. 8-04
1. 8-6

Further Co Co Co Co Co -
supporting
Padari
Kajionara
Kamiladi
at Vicarior
1-9
1-9

In Pymash Vicarior
acc
Kajag Padari
Kajionara Vicarior
Kamiladi
Kamiladi
Kajionara
Kajionara

Struck out - one length tree
13/7/68

Corrected in the
page 61, see
Pymash account
1706
Robinson
Commissioner

13/6

Robinson
Inam Commissioner.