[illegible] 3 [illegible] Dn
(1)

Village of Etteraw Meiror in the Taluq of Madrantakam of Madras District (Continued)

P 636

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Devadayem 11 8 Sheet No 559 Case Nos 11 Devadayam	Dry Garden	No 556 7 11620	2-1-10 · 5 · 2-6-10 · 2-12 2-9-6	2·77 ·41 3·18 ·23 3·41		3-11-1 · 8-9 4-3-10 · 9-8 4-13-6	For the support of Subbramaniyaswami kovil at Etteraw Meiror	Free	Part	Not known	The same as in Cols 14 and 15	Not known (2) (3) Subbramaniya swami kovil	In Tarappady Tdrs 556 & 7 are included in the ~~K~~ (4) ~~Nanja~~ entered ~~as flower garden~~ K 17 5/8 entered as Seven Topes & the Tdr 11620 in 2 Ks entered as 6 flower Gardens In Doule 556 — Jambodey Tope Tarai 7 — Subbramaniaswamy Tope Tarai 11620 Puntottam		Etteraw Meiror Subbramaniyaswami kovil Pujaries 1 Venkatasubba Gurukal 1 Sundara Gurukal 2				Tdr N 556 is a Tope of 40 Illuppa trees 7 is Do 10 Do 11620 is a flower Garden To be Contd free in one T.D so long as the Pagoda exists T.K 12/5/68		Confd MC 25/3/69 7 T.D. 1832
...adayem Case Nos 12 Do	Dry	No. 11588 11576 11594 11580 11606 11591	3 " 2 2 2 " 1 " 2 " 1 · 4 · 11 · 6	·25 ·18 ·16 ·08 ·17 ·13 ·94		·5-3 ·3-11 ·3-6 ·1-9 ·5-3 ·2-2 1-5-10	For the support of Meerkattaman kovil at Etteraw Meiror	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do (6) Ammen kovil	Topes { 7 Tdrs 11588 11576 11594 } { Probably included in Tarappady in the extent of K 17 5/8 entered as 7 topes In Doule The Pagoda Illuppa Tope In Pymash — Meerkattamman Kovil Tope Puntottam { 11580 11591 } { In Tarappady probably included in the K 2 entered as Nandavanam. In Doule not entered — In Pymash Pagoda Puntottam & Puntottam respectively — Tope 11606 — { Not entered in ~~Pym~~ (7) Tarappady or Doule — In Survey — Merakkatte amman Elam Tope Tari —		Etteraw Meiror Meerkattamman kovil Pujari Bangarme Naik			(5) (9)	The first 3 are Cd fields — The other 3 rather subseqt To be Confirmed in one T.D. Q. Rent 1/4 As (2) Compulsory — T.K 8/5/68		Confd MC 25/3/69 6 J.P. TD No 2153
...adayem Case Nos 13 Do	Dry	No — 8	Poramboke — "	3-12 ·31		6 · 7	For the support of Dharma Rajakovil at Etteraw Meiror	Do	Do	Do	Do	(10) Do Dharmaraja kovil	In Roadu Doul acct Dharmaraja kovil Tope	In Pymash acct Dharma rajakovil Tope	Etteraw Meiror Dharma Raja kovil Pujari Vijayen —				To be Confd in one K free so long as the Pagoda exists — T.K 9/5/68		Confd MC 25/3/69 7 T.D. 1833
Devadayem 5 Case Nos 14 Do	Garden	No 12089 12191	" 6 " 2 " 8	·03 ·01 ·04		· 1 · 4 · · 5 · 1 · 9	Nandavanam Inam	Do	Do	Do	Do	(11) Do Pillayar kovil	Merges in the 2 K entered in Tarappady as 6 flower Gardens	In Pymash acct Poramboke Pillayar kovil Puntottam	Etteran Maroor Pillayar Kovil Phoontottam Manager Munia Pattan				To be Confd free in one T.D so long as the Pagoda & ~~Tk~~ exists (12) T.K 14/7/68		Confd MC 7 T.D. 1834
Personal Case Nos 15 Do	Wet one crop	No 5067	3 · 10	·33		1 · 14 · 9	Pate Paradee	Do	Wet	Do	Do	Do	In Roadu Doul acct Kosavan Suleyadi Pilakadi K. 4 " zeari	In Pymash acct Kosavan Suleyadi Kovdhumar	Etteran Meiror (1) Rowarow — 90 (2) Parsoo Ramaw 66 (3) Ramasami 65 (4) Arumogam 30 (5) Sellappen 80 (6) Subbarayen 35	The person in Col 15 (1) [illegible] (2) [illegible] (3) (4) Grandsons of [illegible] (5) son of do do (6) [illegible]		(1) sons 4 (2) sons 4 (3) Wife 1 (4) Wife 1 (5) son 1 (6) sons 2	This is distinct from the Potmaker's dwelling house & his backyard — It is not his service Inam — It is cultivated with wet produce [illegible] held [illegible] by Manuel To be Confirmed in one T.D Q. Rent 1/8 As (4) agreed to T.K 9/5/68		Confd MC 25/3/69 1 — 5

No	share
1	2
2	1
3	1
4	1
5	1
6	2
	8

[illegible] and payment

Exd No [illegible] /68

Corrections in this page
(12) Twelve
M Ananthasawmy
[illegible]

J. W. Robinson
Inam Commissioner.

P 53 Page of

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20–21	22
17 16	Personal	Wet one crop No 11251	· 2 2	· 18		1 2 1	Pate Paradu —	Free	Do	Not known	The acct in Cols 14 and 15	Not known	In Roudy Doul acct Karuman Palakadu K. 2 "	In Pymash acct Karumara (1) Zandjavari Nir Pila=kadu	Etteran Mérur (1) Pachayappasari (2) Ramasari	15 70	(1) 2 sons of the person in Col 16 (2) brother of do	(1) Mother 1 (2) sons 3 Daughters 2 Gd Daughter 1 6	This Paradu is distinct from the Artizan's house & its Backyard — This is not his Service Inam — It is enjoyed free of tax by Mamul & cultivated with wet produce — To be Conf.d in one T.D. & Rs 1/8 As (2) agreed to T.K. 9/5/68	Cert H 25/3/69 T.D. 1836
18 17 ✓		Garden No 11777	· 1. 12	· 14	7	· 6 2	~~8~~ Do			Do	Do	Do —	Not found in Tarappadar nor in Doule	In Pymash Grama Nattam Tottakkal Kodi Nardinga Vadhiyar Kynkary Nandavanam In Carnum's Copy Pate Paradu	Ettramerur Kodi Nardinga=vadhiyar's Nandavanam Manager Kodi Nardinga Vadhiyar				To be Confirmed in one T.D. on 1/4 D.R. Conpul=sory as below 3/4 Rs (2) The Flower Garden is reported to be in good Condition T.K. 14/7/68	Cert to be held so long as it remains [illegible] H 25/7/69 6 Jr. G.O. No 215
19 18	Devadayam	Dry No 2422 2424	· 1. 8 · 1. 4 · 2. 12	· 13 · 10 · 23		· 2 8 · 2 2 · 4 10	Pandittam Inam	Do	Pert.	Do	Do	(3) Do — Sattiya Vinayakar Kovil.	Entered in Tarappadar probably as part of 2 K. entered as 6 Flower Gardens —	In Pymash acct Poramboke Sattiya Vinayakar Pandittam	Ettramerur Sattea Vinayakar Pagoda Flower Garden —				To be Conf.d free in one T.D. so long as the Pagoda exists T.K. 14/7/68	Cert H 25/3/69 7 T.D. 1837
~~20~~	~~Devadayam~~	~~Dry Wet 2931 Deduct Tank Remainder Tope~~	~~4 " " 3 " " 1 " "~~	~~5.26 3.96 1.32~~		~~7 " " 5 4 " 1 12 "~~ (4)	For the support of Narana Pillayar Kovil, at Etteran Mérur	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do —	In Roudy Doul acct Narana Pillayar Kovil Tank & Tope —	In Pymash acct Nanja Poramboke Narana Pillayar Kolakarai Pilleyar Kovil & Mantapam	Etteran Mérur Narana Pillayar Kovil Choultry and Water Pandal Keeper Arunachela Pattar.				Scattered trees about 11 — on the 4 Tank bunds — with a Choultry — No regular Tope — Struck out	
19	Devadayam	Garden No 6743	· 1 "	· 08		· 3 6	Nandavanam Inam	Do	Do	Do	Do	(5) Yellamman Kovil	In Tarappadar included in 2 Kaunies entered as Nandavanams — not in Doule. In Pymash Nallur Ellamman Nandavanam	In Pymash acct Nallur Yellaman Nandavanam	Vuttramerur Nallur Yellamman Nandavanam keeper Jangam Reddi				To be Conf.d in one T.D. so long as the Nandavanam exists — T.K. 9/5/68	Cert H 25/3/69 7 T.D. 1838
21 20 (6)	Devadayam	Dry No 10674	· 1 "	· 68		· 1 9	Nandavanam Inam	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do Pillayar kovil	(1) Merged in 2 K. entered in Tarappadar as 6 flower Gardens	In Pymash acct Pillayar Nandavanam	Etteran Mérur Magara Ménallur Pillayar Kovil Nandavanam Keeper Saminyer				To be Conf.d free in one T.D. so long as the Pagoda exists T.K. 14/7/68	Conf.d H 25/3/69 7 T.D. [illegible]

Corrections in this page (7) seven M. Ramakisthnaraj Rao Ex.

J. Robinson
Inam Commissioner

Supplemental Register of Inams in the Village of Ultramerur Rev= No 227 in the Taluq of Madrantakam of Madras District.

Sheet No 5bb

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Personal	✓ Nos	Wet one Crop 17 18 19 20	 " 3-12 " 4- " " 5- " " 4-12 1 1-8	 .31 .33 .41 .39 1.44		1-18-10 2-2-" 2-10-6 2-8-5 9-4-9	For the personal benifit of the holder.	Tank mera " 8-5	Wedy.	Not Known.	1 Takeed of Mr Balfour dated 6th May 1791 to Tanapillai Deputy Collector of Ultramallur Máganam.	Settulur Narayana yengar.	In Rowdry Oowl acct of Fs 10. Settulur Nárayana yengar K 1-"-" In Pymash acct of Fs 32- K 1-1-8. In Fuslies 1236-49- and 63-Oo	(1) In ~~Rowdy~~ 1238- In Pymash acct of Fs 1233. Settulur Narnayengar Manyem.	Nattery Rájagópálácha=ri 33.		Son's Daughter's son of grantee.	wife 1 Daughter 1 2		See Vernacular Register which accompanied the Tasildar's Augee dated 30th April 1870 No 80. Confirmed Dt 4/5/70 1-"-" WC 1 12/5/70 1-4	I.D.C. Tq No 215

/Case No 12
Personal/

Dated
allotment 7/5/69
& of approval 16/4/70

From the order of the Collector Mr Shubrick dated 14th October 1858. it appears that one Lakshumi Ammál the daughter in law of the party in col= 13 petitioned to that authority to have the above Inam transferred in the name of the party in col= 16.

ICR 1-4-

Correction in this page
(1) One
M. Annunthagheery Row
Ext.

G. Robinson
Inam Commissioner.

Vol. 6 ...
2

Village of Uttiram Mérúr in the Taluq of Madrantakam (Continued)

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
vadayam Dry Sheet No 571 Case No 6 Devadayam	No 11614	10 · 4	·85	Tope	1 1 11	For the support of Saundariya Varada Raja Perumal at Uttiram Mérúr.	Free	Perp	Not known	The accounts in Cols 14 and 15	Not known (1) Perumal Kovil	In Tarappady included in No 17 5/8 set down as 7 Topes In Dowle Alagha maha-Lavi Kula-kkaray Ilappa Trees 16 Jaree –	In Pymash acct Sri Saundariya Varadaraja Perumal Jari Tope.	Uttiram Mérúr Saundariya Varadaraja Perumal Kovil Manager Narayana Streenivasayyangar					To be Confirmed free in one T.D. so long as the Pagoda exists – T.K. 12/5/68	Confd 25/3/69 T.D. 1829
nadayam Dry Case No 7 Do	No 11564 11565 11566 3	1-8-10 ·6-·· 1·6-·· 4-10	2·03 ·50 1·81 4·34	Tope	2-11-4 ·10-6 2 6 6 5-12-4	For the support of Saunundariya Varada Raja Perumal at Uttiram Mérúr	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do –	In Tarappadi included in No 17 5/8 set down as 7 Topes – In Dowle Nangakolam Tope Jaree –	In Pymash acct Peoja Poram-poke Sri Saunundariya Varada Raja Perumal Parvettai Tope No 11564. Do Perumal Sengalani-kuttai No 11565. Do Perumal Tope No 11566.	Uttiram Mérúr Saunundariya Varadaraja Perumal Paravattay Mantagappudi Charity Managers Trimalayangar & Détekayangar					To be Confirmed free in one T.D. so long as the Paravattay Service is rendered — T.K. 12/5/68 –	Confd 25/3/69 T.D. 1830
Pati Parada vadayam ~~Dry~~ (2) Wet one Crop	No 12135 12136 12137 12138 1-	5·2 10-12 7·14 4 12 12-8	·42 ·89 ·63 ·39 2·33		2 11 7 5-11 5 4 2 11 2 8 4 15 2 3	For the support of Saunundariya Varadaraja Peramal at Uttiram Mérúr	Do	Do	Do	Do Case No 8 Do	Do –	Not entered in Tarappady nor in Doule	~~In Pymash acct Gramanattam Nanjay Do Perumal Sengal No 12135 & 12136 and the Remainder Tope~~ (3)	Uttiram Mérúr Saunundariya Varada Raja Perumal Kovil Managers Nárayana Streenivasayyangar (4)					~~To be Confirmed in one T.D. (2) so long as the Pagoda exists Q.R at 1/12 Rs~~ 7-8. The age of the Inam being doubtful it is treated as subsequent. To be Confd on 1/4 Q.R Compulsory 3-12 – T.K. 12/5/68	Confd 25/3/69 T.D. T.D. No 2109

Nos 12135 & 12136 In Pymash Sramanattam Nanjay Sighal – of Permal Pagoda with 4 Coconut trees on field N 12137 & with 6 trees on field N 12138

At present there are no more than 14 or 15 trees – scarcely deserving the name of a Tope – The Inam is entered in the adangal accounts as the Pagoda Jaree Tope the cultivation of the wet being also recorded as Jaree T.K.

69

Corrections in this page
(2) Fair
M. Anunthakesava Row
C.S.

C. Robinson
Inam Commissioner.

P. 64

Village of Etteranmeerur (Continued)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
9	Denadayer	Dry into Garden Wet Do 12133 12139	but garden only in practice 1 3-14 · 8-10 1 12 8	1·64 ·71 2·35	Tope 4-5-7 1 14-2 6-3-9	(2) (3) 4 (4) 6-3-9	For the support of Savundariya Varadaraja Perumal at Etteran Meirur.	Free	Pert	Not known	The accts in Cols 14 and 15	Not (6) known Perumal Kovil	not entered in Tarappady nor in Dowle	In Pymash acct Nunje Seygal Savundariya Varada Raja Perumal Tope.	Etteran Meirur Savundariya Varadaraja Perumal Kovil Manager Narayana Streenivasayengar				There is a Tope on high grounds of Coconut Trees— To be Confirmed in one T.D. at 1/4 Compulsory – Rs 1-8- so long as the Pagoda exists— T.K. 12/5/68 T.D. No 2,110	Confd. The Inam will be classed as ~~[illegible]~~ garden M.C. 25/3/69	6
10 (5)	Denadayer	Garden Do 11894 12134	· 12 · 1 6 · 13 6	· 99 · 11 1-10		2-10- · 4-10 2-14-10	For the support of Savundariya Varada Raja Perumal Kovil at Etteran Meirur	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do —	In Tarappady included in 2 R entered as 6 Puntollams	In Pymash acct Savundariya Varadaraja Perumal Nanda Vanam	Etteran Meirur Savundariya Varada Raja Perumal Kovil Manager. Narayana Streenivasayengar				The Nandavanam is not in good condition— The Dharmakarta would restore the Nandavanam in 2 months— He has executed an Instrument accordingly— T.K. 12/5/68 The Karnum says that the Nandavanam is being restored— To be Confd free accordingly T.K. 14/7/68	Confd M.C. 25/3/69 T.D. 1831	

This land at the classification as wet in the Pymash is not fit for wet — It is valued at the highest rate for Grama nattam garden

Corrections in the Page (5) done [illegible] Esqr.

[illegible]
Inam Commissioner.

Sheet No 4 WDn

(1)

Village of Vetteran Merur in the Taluq of Madrantakam of Madras District.

P.K. 44

1	2 3 4 5	6 7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16 17 18	19 20	21	22
2	~~Sheet~~ No 5/2 Dharmadayam Dry No 8019 . 9-10 . 79	Case No 21 Dharmadayam	Pundottam Free Port 1. Inam	Case No 21 3 Dharmadayam		Not Known	The acct in Cols 14 and 15	A 2 (2) ~~[illegible]~~ Nandavanam	(3) ~~Extinct~~ Manges probably in 2 Kaunies entered in Tarappady as Six Flower Garden.	In Pymash acct. Rudriesha Panditer Nandavanam	~~Vettaranam~~ Public Nandavanam Manager Madhavaramarayar Vide G.O. No 1748 Rev. 12-7-1902 referred to in B.P. No 2381 Misc. / LA 21-7-1902. Resumed and fully assessed T.D. No 1840 cancelled Inam Commissioner		To be Confd free in one T.D. so long as the Flower Garden exists — It is now in bad state — steps have been taken to restore it as the Curnum says — T.K. (4) 14/7/68	Confd M 25/3/69 T.D. 1840
(2)	I have since received a statement personally presented to me by Raja Rao daughter's son of the party in Pymash claiming the Nandavanam — & offering to restore it & plant flower trees & in 6 months — I have taken from him a Muchilka to that effect & sent it to Tahsildar asking him report to the Commr. ~~[illegible]~~ direct after the 6 months on the State of the Flower Garden — according to which the Commr. will have to issue a T.D. for it or to resume it at once — T.K. 22/7/68 — Vide further remarks on this Case (22) after Case 28													
	Dharmadayam Dry No 11569 . 8 . . 66	Case No 22 Do . 14 . .	Pundottam Inam	Do	Do Case No 22 Do	Do	Do	Do	Manges probably in ~~2~~ 2 K entered in the Tarappady accounts as 6 flower Gardens —	In Pymash acct Kristna Rao Pundottam	None (5) Public Phuntottam — Manager Raja Rao Tahr. Rep. No — dated Sept 8. 69 Confirmed free during the continuance of the Nandavanam. 2. 10. 69.	[illegible] 31. 17 [illegible]	One Maridam Streenivasa Raghavaiengar sends me a petition direct, & one with Sub Magte's arzee of 16 June 1868 No 47 (6) ~~praying~~ stating that he has given a Darkhast for 1 K of land including this Inam — & that the Sub Magte's decision was not passed pending the Curnum's report that had been called for but not made & praying that I should not dispose of the Case nor take it on my Register till the Sub Magte decides on the Darkhast —	T.D. 1841 Has Nandavanam been ~~resumed~~ restored — T.Dr. directed to report this direct to Comm. M 25/3/69 T.K. 19/8/69
	The Curnum reports that he made his report to Sub Magte showing the propriety of (8) ~~the~~ entertaining the Darkhast — The Sub Magte's Decision is not yet known or probably he withholds it pending the Inam settlement. No doubt as per Pymash this is a Nandavanam Inam — It was in condition till 10 years ago it fell into decay — The heirs of Kistna Rao are esteeming — To be placed under attacht. T.K. 14/7/68 — ※ (Vide pages no 63 & 64)													
	adayam Dry No 11500 . 1 . 12 Deduct Pagoda site . 1 . Remaind. . . 12 . 66	Tope . 1 . 4 Case No 23 Devadayam	For the support of Vedapaliyam Selliyamman koil at Vetteran Merur.	Do Case No 23 Devadayam	Do	Do	Do	(9) Do Ammankoil included in 12-6 — entered as the area of (10) ~~41~~ Pagodas — not entered in Dowle — [illegible]	In Tarappady	In Pymash acct Annammar Selliyamman koil	Vetteran Merur Vedapalyam Selliyamman Koil Pujari Chandra Veerasami Kavundan		To be Confd in one T.D. free so long as the Pagoda exists T.K. 9/5/68	Confd M 25/3/69 T.D. 1842
24	Devadayam Dry No 7339 . 1 . 4 . 4 . 1 . 68 Deduct thrashing floor & 1 . 12 . 38 (11) Remainder . 3 . 10 . 30 (12) Wet No 7340 1 . 7 . 2 . 141 Deduct Waterspread } . ~~15 . 14~~ 1 . 31 (13) Remainder . 7 . 4 . 60 (14) 15 . 3 . 8 . 1 . 61 . 10 . 14 . 90	Puntottam & Tope Case No 24 Do . 9 2 2 6 1 2 . 15 . 3 (15) 2-15-3	For the support of Kalamba Isvaran koil at Vetteran Merur.	Do	Do	Do	Do With respect to the 2nd field, although it is entered as Kuttay in the A account, the Kuttay is generally believed to belong to the Pagoda the name of which is prefixed to it in common conversation as in the Pymash — The land being ~~itself~~ Pagoda land, it can be confirmed free — Case No 24 Do	Do Isvaran Koil — 7339 — 7440 not entered in Dowle	In Tarappady ~~[illegible]~~ (16) entered as thrashing floor Kuttay or Pond.	In Pymash acct Kalattumedu (17) & No 7339 Kalamba Isvaran Kuttai No 7340 K 1-7-2	Vetteran Merur Kalamba Isvaran Koil Dharmakarta Shanmukha Mudelly —	To be confirmed in one T.D. on ½ ... (14) T.K. 18/6/68	The Collr's order of 21st April 1842 No 418 is produced by the Dharmakarta — It gives him free the present area of the Inam i.e. A. 30 in the first field included in the thrashing floor for rearing up a flower Garden for the Pagoda & A. 60 in the other field for planting a Tope — As regards the 1st field the Collr had no authority to alienate it & a one half ... Rs recommended for it	Confirmed on half quit. R 1-8 — The whole Inam appears to be rent T.D. M 25/3/69 T.D. No 2111
	Dharmadayam Dry No 10887 . 14 . 1 . Deduct Leak 2 . 9 . Remainder 11 . 8 . . 15 . 18	20 . 2 . Case No 25 Dharmadayam	Tannir Pandal Inam.	Do	Do Case No 25 Dharmadayam	Do	Do —	(18) Do Water Pandal.	In Roudy Doul acct Aveyar Tope	In Pymash acct Anneyan kolam & Tope	Vetteran Merur Majara Menellur Aveyar Tannir Pandal & Tope Keeper Venkatasubbayar		The Charity is observed in hot weather The Tope exists & is being extended. There are 200 Ilappa trees now — To be Confd free in one T.D. so long as the Pagoda exists T.K. 14/7/68	Confd M 25/3/69 T.D. 1843
26	Devadayam Garden No 12386 . . 2 . 6 Pagoda site . . 6 Remainder 2 . . 17	. 7 . Case No 26 Devadayam	For the support of Nikalamman koil at Vetteran Merur.	Do	Do	Do	Do	~~Do~~ (19) Pundottam	In Tarabad acct merges probably in K 12 . 6 . entered as 41 Pagodas	In Pymash acct Nikalamman koil Pundottam	Vetteran Merur Nikalamman Koil. Pujari Raghava ~~Bangaru~~ Naick (21) ~~Pujari Bangaru Naik~~ (20)		To be Confirmed free in one T.D. so long as the Pagoda exists — T.K. 14/7/68	Confd M 25/3/69 T.D. 1844
27	Devadayam Dry No 3296 . 1 . Pagoda site . . 4 Remainder . . 12 . 06 Entd [illegible]	Perumpoka Tope 1 . 4 Case No 27 Devadayam	For the support of Durgaiyamman koil at Vetteran Merur.	Do	Do	Do Inam Commissioner	Do	(22) ~~Do~~ Durgaiyamman Koil.	In Tarappady merges probably in K 12 . 6 entered as 41 Pagodas	In Pymash acct Durgaiyamman koil	Vetteran Merur Durgaiyamman Koil Pujari Ramasami Pillai		This is a Pagoda Jaree Tope. T.D. 1823 (23) To be Confd in one T.D. ~~[illegible]~~ free so long as the Pagoda exists T.K. 14/5/68	Confd M 25/3/69 T.D. 184

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

~~Soondayen Dry~~
~~No. 6541 . . 12~~
(1) ~~Pagoda site . 4~~
~~Remainder — . 8 . 04~~ — 11

struck out being a single tree

3 Perumal Dry

No. 2225	. 4 . 8 . 27	. 11 - 10
2883	. 1 - 2 - 09	. 3 - 1
2885	. 4 - " - 33	. - 10 - 6
2886	. 3 - 14 - 32	. - 10 - 1
2887	. 13 . 2 1 . 08	2 . 2 . 7
2888	. 1 - " - 08	. 2 . 8
8253	. 7 . 2 . 59	1 . 2 . 10
8269	. 2 . 4 . 19	" . 6 . 2
8272	. 5 . 4 . 43	. 13 . 7
8809	. 2 . " . 16	. 5 . 3
8810	. 2 . " . 47	. 5 . 3
8824	. 3 - " . 25	. 7 - 10
2898	1 . 2 . 4 1 . 51	3 - " - 2
10929	. 5 . 4 . 43	. 11 . 5
9922	. 5 . 4 . 43	. 9 . 2
	4 - 16 - " 6 . 43	12 . 6 . 5

Wet one crop

No. 8823	. 3 . 2 . 26	1 - 10 . 7
8839	. 4 . 6 . 36	2 . 5 - 4
10489	. 4 . 6 . 36	2 - 5 . 4
3766	. 2 - " - 16	1 - 1 - "
3767	. 2 . 8 . 21	1 . 5 - 3
3768	. 3 . 6 . 28	1 . 12 . 8
3769	. 4 - 14 - 40	2 - 9 . 5
3770	. 2 . 10 - 22	1 . 6 . 4
3776	. 2 . 6 . 20	1 . 4 . 2
3777	. 2 . 12 . 23	1 . 7 . 5
3778	. 2 . 14 . 24	1 . 8 . 5
3793	. 3 . 14 - 32	2 - " - 11
2840	. 3 - 10 - 30	1 . 13 . 3
9005	. 7 . 10 . 63	2 . 8 . 3
2806	. 2 . 4 - 18	. 9 - 7
9280	. 6 . 10 . 55	1 . 12 . 2
9505	. 14 - 12 1 . 22	3 - 14 - 10
5995	. 4 - 6 . 36	1 - 10 . 3
3742	- 6 . 4 - 52	2 . 5 . 6
8052	. 4 - 14 - 40	2 . 8 . 3
8250	. 9 - 6 - 76	4 - 13 . 3
	6 . 2 . 14 . 8 . 16	42 . 2 . 2
	11 - " 14 14 . 59	54 - 8 . 7

Patiperada	Free Idr	Not known	The accts in Cols 14 & 15	Not known	In Doul acct Moratta patti Yelumbadi Rs 10-9-0	In Pymash acct Yelumbadi Moratta patti	Utteraw Mirasi Lotte Savari &c

absent —
To be attached
16/7/68 —

Attach
[illegible]
25/3/68

Corrections in this page
(2) Two
M. Anantharama [illegible]
[illegible]

J. M. [illegible]
Inám Commissioner.

Case
22 Contd

[illegible]

Sworagaram? at Chingleput, the Darkhastadar Streenavasa Raghavaiengar who is a Gumastah of the Civil Court here saw me in person about the Case — He contends that the Nandanavanam Inam land be given to him on full assessment adding that his object is not to appropriate the land for his personal benefit but to hold it for the purposes of Public Utility intended by the Nandanavanam Grant but on full assessment as an act of favor to Government on his part — He further contends adds that the Inam is a Bavarsa? one and that the party to whom it was confirmed by me on Condition is no heir to Krishna Rao in the Pymash account — and that himself is the preferable party to be put in possession of the land as he had made a Darkhast to the Revenue Authorities on terms more profitable to Govt. —

Sheet 5

(5) — Sheet No. 573 Case No. 22

Case 22 Contd.

I am however fully convinced from the Circumstances of the Case that all his professions & statements made before me both personally & in his Petitions are false & ingenious —

In his Darkhast to Sub Collr., he proposes to hold the Nandavanam land on full assessment, and calls it Government Waste purposely concealing its actual tenure — The Sub Collr. sends the proposal to the Dy. Tahsildar for being disposed of under the Darkhast Rules —

Had he mentioned to the Sub Collr., that the land applied for was a Nandavanam Jaree Grant, the Darkhast would most probably have been rejected then & there — Now after the Inam Commission undertook to settle such Poramboke Inams, the Darkhastadar tries his best to induce me not to take up the Case lest his endeavours to misappropriate the Grant for his personal benefit fail — As he failed in these endeavours, he(2) shifts his ground & brings in Public Charity as the object of his strangely liberal Darkhast — Had that been the true object, strange that he should choose to pay full assessment on a land which he asks for continuing the original object of its Grant! Admitting that even in this his choice which was not however mentioned(3) the first choice, but a second one, he was actuated by the highest motives of Charity such as have no where been seen, and wanted to benefit the Government as well as the Public Charity, why should he have concealed such motives in his Darkhast to the Sub Collr.?

The Darkhastadar further speaks of the loss of the seedlings of Flower & Fruit trees prepared by him "for purposes of the Public Charity" in anticipation of the acceptance of his Darkhast while it was pending — But, supposing this to be true(4), who is to blame for it? Probably the poor Inam Dy. Collr. who would not set aside in his favor the General Inam Rules laid down for his guidance, but bring this Case also under their operation like other similar Cases thereby frustrating the sublimest objects of a Darkhast originating in misrepresentation and strengthened by all the studied ways of ingenious Chicanery — a Darkhast made from such high motives as have been noticed above — The Dy. Collr. is further to blame as he thinks because he would not make his Case an Exception(5) to the Rule on the ground that it relates to a Darkhastadar of no ordinary description but (6) to one holding the office of a Gumasta on the Establisht. of the Highest District Tribunal —

1 Copy of a Darkhast(7) made to Sub Collr. 18th Octr. 1868 —
2 Sub Collr's Endorsemt. thereon of the 21st Idem —
3. Tettiran Merur Dy. Tahr's Arzee 16th June 1868 No. 47 —
4 Darkhastadar's Petition to Dy. Tahr. of 30th May 1868 } enclosed in the above Arzee —
5 Do's Petition to Inam Dy. Collr. 30th May 1868 —
6 Do — Do — 28th July 1868 —

The accompanying Papers will shew the features of the Case much better — The only Question raised by the Darkhastadar that would seem deserving of Enquiry is whether Raja Row is heir or not to the party in Tymash? The Question however is one between the Government & Raja Rao, and not one between Government and a Darkhastadar — And besides, the Circumstance of the Nandavanam Grant being one of a Dharmadayam Character, and of the land having remained in the Charge of Raja Rao when it was confirmed to him on Condition for the objects of the Grant, makes it for this Department uncalled for to open a re-investigation into the question — If the statement given by this party and attested by the Curnum and the Village officers & evidenced by other(1) Witnesses was incorrect as regards the relationship, the Darkhastadar is at liberty to prosecute the Delinquents for false statement —

T. R.
10/8/68 —

Exd.
25/8/68

Corrections in this page
(8) Eight
M. Annathagherry Row
Supt.

G. Robinson
Inám Commissioner.

W Dn Sheet Register No 128

1 Supplemental Register of Inams in the Village of Uttiren Mérúr Res No 227 in the Taluq of Madurantakam of Madras District.

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

The Inam in Case of 12 of the original Regr of former Dy Collr consisting of Wet 1·44 held by Lachamma appears in A Statement received from Central Office — but it has not as yet been ~~[illegible]~~ attached & so I have included the Inam, unclaimed as it is State in my requisition to Tahr for attacht

T.H.
16/7/68

(1)

Sheet No 574

From Karabady Register.

Personal (2) Wet one crop

No (3) 50 . 10-10 .88 5-10-4
88 . 9 - .74 4-12-6
89 . 6 12 .56 3-9-5
90 . 5 - .41 2 10 6
91 . 8 2 .67 4 5 1
2-7-8 3.26 20-15-10

Vedavirty Inam

Jeri Mere Rs 1.3.3.

Vedy

Not known

The accounts in fols 14 and 15

Not known

In Karabady and Rondy Doul accts Vedavirty Manyam

In Pymash acct Vedavirty Manyam

Uttiran Mérúr (4)

Rámasvámi Sástri 14

Gt Gd son of Supp granter

Mother 1 brother 1
2

To be Confd in one T. D.
Q R at 1/8 Rs 2-8-" agreed to

T.H.
12/5/68

Confd on a quit rent of Rs 2-8-"
[illegible]
15/4/69

1–4
T.D. 1824

Case No 1
Personal

Personal Wet two crops
No. 9603 . 8 8 .70 4 2 11
Wet one crop
7994 . 3 12 .31 1 14 11
7995 . 4 2 .35 2 3 1
7990 . 8 6 .69 3 2 3
1 - 6 1.35 7 4 3
1 8 14 2.05 11 7 2

Panchanga Inam — recovering her share — The suit was decided on [illegible] — This deed is not forthcoming — N 1 & 2 state that under it N 2 lives in N 1's house but separately, & enjoys a 1/4 share in the Inam & other Panchangam fees — No 1 is the last holder's sister's son as well as son in law —
To be Confirmed in one T. D. Q R at 1/8 Rs 1-8 agreed to

T.H.
9/5/68

(5)

Do Do Do Do

In Karabady acct Panchangam Iyengarbattar Manyam Rs 1-"-" Granted in the year 40 and 42 Rs "-8-" 1-8-"
In Rondy Doul accts Panchanga Manyam Rs 1-8-"

In Pymash acct Panchanga Manyam

Uttiran Mérúr
(1) Sadasivaiyer 38
(2) Lakshmamma 40

(1) Son's Daughter's son
(2) Bo Son's wife

(1) Married Daughter 1 Husband 1 brother's widow 1
3
(2) Husband 1

The last holder was one Subbabhatta His brother Rambhatt who is the husband of N 2 ~~then~~ left the Village 35 years ago on Pilgrimage — His whereabouts is not known — His other Brother Venkatarama ayyar died heirless leaving a widow. He bequeathed therefore under a Simple Cadjan deed in Telugu dated 14th Magha Sudda Subhakrutu or 14th Feby 1843 his 1/2 share in the Inam, and in transferring the whole Inam to N 1 on the Condition that he should make over to his brother his 1/2 share on his return — Some 10 years ago, N 2 filed a suit agt N 1 for

Transfd 1/2 to [illegible]
T.H.
24/7/68

Personal Dry
No 10164 1 - 4 1.34 2-10-5
2294 . 1 4 .10 . 2 8
6520 . 5 14 .48 . 12 8
6761 . 5 8 .45 . 12 3
10827 1 - 14 1.40 2 4 9
2296 . 3 4 .27 . 5 8
3 - 1 - 4.04 7 - 5
Wet two crops
3046 . 10 10 .88 5 4 -
3047 . 9 4 .76 4 8 8
7497 . 12 14 1.06 6 5 1
8345 . 3 10 .30 1 7 2
2 - 4 - 6 3.00 17 - 8 - 11
Wet one crop
9898 . 6 - .50 2 4 -
10349 . 7 12 .64 2 14 6
995 . 7 - .58 2 4 9
6898 . 4 6 .36 1 7 2
2298 . 7 6 .61 2 6 11
2391 . 6 14 .57 2 4 4
10096 . 9 6 .77 2 7 10
6387 . 7 - .57 1 13 9
3 - 7 - 12 4.60 17 - 15 3
5 - 12 - 2 7.60 35 - 8 - 2
8 - 13 - 2 11.64 42 8 7

Vide correspondence ending with Collr's letter Ref No 1027 of 99 d/ 10-8-99
[illegible]
Asst Inam Commr
Aug 30 / 99

Case No 2
Do

Kudumi Inam

Particulars of Samudr Enjoyt

N 1
Dry
6520 .48 .. 12-8
6761 .45 .. 12-3
.93 1-8-11
Wet one crop
6898 .36 1-7-2
6387 .57 1-13-9
.93 3-4-11
Wet two crop
7497 1.06 6-5-1
8345 .30 1-7-2
1.36 7-12-3
Total Wet 2.29 11-1-2
Total 3.22 12-10-1

N 2
Dry
10164 1.34 2-10-5
10827 1.40 2-4-9
2.74 4-15-2
Wet one crop
9898 .50 2-4-"
10096 .77 2-7-10
10349 .64 2-14-6 (8)
1.91 7-10-4
4.65 12-9-6

N 3 & 4
Dry
2294 .10 .. 2-8
2296 .27 " 5-8
.37 " 8-4
Wet 1 crop
995 .58 2-4-9
2298 .61 2-6-11
2391 .57 2-4-4
Wet two crops
3046 .88 5-4-
3047 .76 4-8-8

Free Mere Do 1.10 Jeri

Do Do Do

In Karabady acct Kudumi Manyam formerly given Wet Rs 1-"-" given in the year 40 Mélvarapádi Manyam Wet 5-10-" Dry 2-8-"
In Rondy Doul acct Kudumi Manyam Wet 4-10-" Dry 3-"-"

In Pymash acct Kudumi Manyam

Uttiran Mérúr
(1) Muttan 50
(2) Sanganappan 40
(3) Panchanada Kanundan 45
(4) Sukramaniyen 40

(1 & 2) Gt Gd sons of Supp granter
(3 & 4) Gt Gd sons of Do

(1) Wife 1 sons 2
(10) (2) wife 1 sons 3 brother 1
(11) (3) sons 2 brothers 3
5
(4) Wife 1 sons 2
3

The portion of this Inam which was originally held on Ardha Inam tenure appears to have been converted into Surva or Dumbala Inam at the Dowle settlement of F 1210 —

The Kudumi Merasee of this Village & its hamlets is enjoyed as follows —

By N 1 — Tenpadi or Southern half of the Village including the Kuppams of Nallurpettai, Neradi, Pattanjary, & Ananallur alias Vadapalayam
By N 2 — The Kuppams of Kattupakkam Karanjary, Mananallur, Vanga Pudukery & Vikrama Nallur
By N 3 — Vadapady including the Kuppams of Kakanallur, Somanatha puram, Mallian Karanay & Pudur —

To be Confd in 3 T. Deeds as follows.

T. D.	N	Q Rat 1/8	
1	1	1-8-"	agreed to
2	2	1-8-"	Do
3	3 & 4	2-4-"	Do
		5-4-"	

T.H.

T.D. 1825
T.D. 1826
T.D. 1827

Confd on 1/4 QR
[illegible]
25/3/69

2D 1/4
3-4
2D 1/4
3-4
2D 1/4
4-4

E. Robinson
Inam Commissioner

Corrections in this page (11) Eleven
[illegible]

8
8
8

... Inams in the Village of Tiruvangampundi (No. 16) in the Talug of Madhurantakam (Uttiranmerur Division) in the District of Madras.

Personal Sheet No. 41 6	Wet No. 678 " 681	0-7-8 0-10-0 1-1-8	1 45	5-0-6	For the personal benefit of the holder	Tax free	Hereditary	By Navab Davat Khan as per Inam Register of 1810	1 Sanad by Mr. Collector Balfour in 1793 as per Inam Register of 1810	Kristnam Bhattar	In Barnard's Tarabadi of 1763-65 Kristnam Bhattar manium [illegible] 1-0-0 In Ravudri Daul of 1800 same name & extent In the Inam Register of 1810 under Reg. ~~XXXI~~ of 1802 Kristnachari grandson extent same	In the Survey account of 1232 fasli Kristnam Bhattar manium extent as per Col. 3 & 4 –	Mesanallur Rangachariar Age 65 Krishnachariar " 56 Wt ~~Hanumammal " 45~~ Widow Wt	North Arcot District Daughters sons ~~brother~~ ~~daughter~~ ~~in law~~ of the Registered Inamdar in Col: 14	4 sons Son		To be confirmed in Inam Deed Ittavlat 4th Anns 10 Agreed to 7th Sept. 1860 Madasiva Pillai D. Coll.	T. D. No. 173 confirmed [illegible] 1st [illegible]

No. 67 Register of Inams in the Village of Uttiranmerur (No. I) in the Talug of Madhurantakam (Uttiranmerur Division) in the District of Madras.

Personal	Wet No. 5481 5482 5485 5493 5500 5501	"-8-8 "-4-12 "-4-12 "-8-4 "-3-8 "-6-14 2-4-10	3-3	22-0-8	For the personal benefit of the holder	Jodi (Zook Mera) 1-4-2 Paid only when cultivated	Hereditary	By Navab Sadatulla Khan as per Inam Register of 1810	No Documents	Tiruvein Venkatachari as per Inam Register of 1810	In Barnard's Tarabadi of fasli 1732 Hiliyanagan Tiru Venkatachary Wet 2-0-0 In Ravudri Daul of 1800 same name & extent In the Inam Register of 1810 under Reg. XXXI of 1802 Tiru Venkatachari Great Grandson extent same	In the Survey accounts of fasli 1232 Hiliyanagan Tiru Venkatachari extent as per Col. 3 & 4	Kanjivaram – Tiruvenkatachariar Age 86 Wt ~~Sri Rangachari~~ 47 Wt ~~Srinivasachari~~ 40	~~Grandsons~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~in descent~~ ~~of grantee~~ Same as the Registered Inamdar in Col: 14	2 sons ~~2 sons~~ Wt ~~1 son~~ Wt		To be confirmed – 2 Rent at 4th inclusive of Jodi (Zook Mera) which is levied when cultivated Rs. 3-12- Exclusive of it, 2 Rent is Rs. 2-8- 27th August 1860 Madasiva Pillai D. Coll. It is to be mentioned that besides the Zook Mera the Inamdar pays to the Kornam whenever the Inam is cultivated a grain mera equal to half the Zook Mera – the land is also subject to a 2d crop assessment when a second crop is raised, the land being entered in the Accts. as one crop land – 2 Rent since Agreed to 31st Oct. 1860 – Madasiva Pillai D.C.	T. D. No. 174 confirmed and [illegible] on a quit rent of Rs. 2-8 [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible]
Religious institution	Wet No. 304 " 311 " 314 " 7561 7563 7576 7594 845 847 849 3981	"-9-6 "-4-4 "-5-10 "-11-6 "-9-6 "-6-14 "-8-0 "-7-12 "-2-12 "-4-11 2-0-0 6-6-0 11-46	8.43	41-5-11 63-6-7	For the support of the mosque at Uttiranmerur – Institution kept up	Jodi (Zank mera) 2-5-7 Paid only when cultivated	Perma-nent ~~Here~~ ditary	By Navab Sadatulla Khan as per Inam Reg. of 1810	1. Paravana 1. Sanad by Mr. Coll. Balfour dated 1792	Abdul fatta mulla as per Inam Reg. of 1810	In the Barnard's Tarabadi of fasli 1732. Same Wet 6-0-0 In the Raudri Daul of 1800. Same name & extent. In the Inam Reg. of 1810 under Reg. XXXI of 1802. Mahammad masud grandson extent same	In the Survey Accounts of fasli 1232. Abdulfatta mulla extent as per Col. 3 & 4.	Uttiranmerur Shek mohadin Age 60 Badaruddin " 50 Mahammad Khasim 10 Dadumia 9 Shek Khadar 25	Grandsons of the last person in col 14 Great grandsons of the same Grandsons grandson of the Grantee	2 sons 3 sons wife		To be continued as long as the mosque is kept up 27th August 1860 Madasiva Pillai D. Coll. 1-2-8	T. D. No. 175 confirmed [illegible] 1st [illegible]

of Uttiranmerur (Continued)

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		
3	Personal 3	Wet No. 212 213 3306 3307 3308 3190 3280 3281	" -8-8 " -8-4 " -2-6 " -2-4 " -4-12 " -10-8 " -8-2 " -9-4 3-6 "	4·46		35-15-4	For the personal benefit of the holder	Jodi (Zank mera) 2-1-3 Paid whenever the land is cultivated Besides Hornams mera similarly paid equal to half the Zank mera	Hereditary	Not known	Sanad By Mr. Collector Balfour dated 1792 as per Inam Register of 1810. Also now produced	Hanumanta ~~[illegible]~~ Bhagavatar as per Inam Register of 1810.	In the Barnard's Jarabadi Account of 1763-65 Same Wet 3 " " In the Raudri Daul of 1801 Same name & extent In the Inam Register of 1810 under Reg. XXXI Arunachala Bhagavatar Grandson extent same	In the Survey Account of Fasli 1232 same extent as per Col. 3 & 4	Uttiranmerur Ramakrishna Bhagavatar Age 32	Son of the registered Inamdar in Col: 14	2 Sons	To be confirmed – [illegible] at 4th (exclusive of the Zank mera which is included with Tirva of Rs 35-15-4) is Rs 4-4 Besides a portion of the land is liable to a 2nd crop Tirva when a second crop is actually raised – Quit Rent since agreed to. 31st Oct. 1860 – MB	T. D. No. 1217 confirmed [illegible] Qt. Rent
4	Personal 4	Wet No. 3297	1-1-14	1·47		10-11-11	For the personal benefit of the holder	Jodi (Zank mera) " -10-6 Remark as in last col.	Hereditary	Not known	Sanads By Mr. Collector Balfour in 1791 – 1 1792 – 1 1793 – 1 1794 – 1 4 as per Inam Register of 1810 – They are also now produced. XXXI 1802 Grandsons wife	Kuppabattar as per Inam Register of 1810	In the Barnard's Jarabadi of 1763-65 Satakam Kuppabhattar Wet 1 " " In the Raudri Daul of 1801 same name & extent In the Inam Register of 1810 under Reg. Rámakkál extent same	In the Survey Account of Fasli 1232 – Satakam Kuppabhattar extent as per Col. 3 & 4	Uttiranmerur Ramakrishna Bhagavatar Age 32	Son of the Daughter's Son of Satakam Kuppabhattar in Col: 14	2 Sons	To be confirmed – [illegible] at 4th (exclusive of the Zank mera) Rs 4 – Rest of the remarks as above. Quit Rent since agreed to. 31st Octr. 1860 – MB	T. D. No. 1261 confirmed [illegible] Qt. Rent
5	Do	Wet No. 3288 3290 3291 9884 9888 9895	1-1-4 " 8 4 " 7 " " 11 " " 6 6 " 1 14 3-3-12	4·27		38-3-2	For the personal benefit of the holder	Jodi Zank Mera Rs. A. P. 2-3-0 Paid only when Cultivated	Hereditary	Not known	1 Sanad by Mr. Collector Dighton in Fasli 1197 6 Sanads by Mr. Collector Balfour in A.D. 1791-92 93 & 1794 7	Purunam Venkatachari	In Barnard's Jarabadi Purunam Venkatachariar Wet Rs. A. P. 3 " " In Raudri Daul Same name & Extent In the Inam Register Purunam Vasudevachari Grandson Extent same	In the survey account Purunam Venkatachari Inamdar Extent as per Col: 3-4	Uttiranmerur Venkataramanachari Age 48 Krishnachari 30 Venkatrayer 30 Rajachariar 14 Bhimarayer 10 Rajarayer 36 Rangarayer 36 Venkatrayer 25 Raghavarayer 20 Rajarayer 12	Sons of the Registered Inamdar in Col: 14. & Grandsons Grandsons of [illegible] [illegible]	4 Sons – Daughter Wife Do Daughter " Son. Daughter Wife Wife – "	To be confirmed under Rent – [illegible] exclusive of Zank mera Rs 4-8 – Rest of the remarks as above – Quit Rent since agreed to – MB	T. D. No. 17 confirmed [illegible] Qt. Rent
		wet	10-20			84-4-5												10	

Ref. No. 67 Uttiranmerur (Continued) Madhurantakam Taluq

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
4	Personal 6 Mel-Pat 42	Wet No. 371 3010 3200 3266 3320	–10–4 –8–10 –5–10 –6–8 –8–10 2–8–4	3·33		30-12-8	For the personal benefit of the holder	Jodi (Cantemera) 1-12- paid as when Inam cultivated	Hereditary	By Nawab Nizam Daula as per Inam Register of 1810 Do By Mr Balfour Collector 1191 1193 1194 as per Inam Register of 1810	Sanad 1 By Mr Collector Dighton in fusli 1198 Do in fuslis 1 1 1	Arulala Vaddhian as per Inam Register of 1810 In the Barnard's Jurabadi of 1763-65 Same name Wet 2 – – In the Raudri Daul of ~~1800~~ Same name & extent In the Inam Register of 1810 under Reg. XXXI of 1802 Arulalavaddhian Great grandsons extent same Grandson		In the Survey Account of fasli 1232 Arulalavaddhian an extent as per Colu 3 & 4	Uttiranmerur Seshaia Vaddhian Age 25 Arulalavaddhian " 15 Sriramanaddhian " 12	1/3rd share 1/3rd share 1/3rd share	Grandsons of Registered Inamdar in Col. 14 & Grandsons 6th in descent of original Inamdar	wife		To be confirmed on the Deed – 2 Rent at 1/4 exclusive of Jodi Mera R 3-8- Agreed to 30th August 1860 [illegible] Pillai Dy Coll.	Confd 25 Oct 60 A.S. 14 [illegible] 329
	Personal 7	Wet No. 3138 " 3137 3273	–5–8 –9–8 –9–6 1–8–6	2·2		16-9-8	For the personal benefit of the holder	Jodi (Cantemera) –15–4 paid when cultivated	Hereditary	By Nawab Sadatulla Khan as per Inam Register of 1810	Sanad lost as per Remarks in the Inam Register of 1810	Pujari Appavaiyangar as per Remarks in the Inam Register of 1810 In the Barnard's Jurabadi of 1763-65 Same Wet 1-6- – In the Raudri Daul of 1800 Same name & extent In the Inam Register of 1810 under Reg. XXXI of 1802 Vadhiar Srinivasaiyangar Grandson extent same		In the Survey Account of fasli 1231 Pujali Appavaiyangar extent as per Col. 3 & 4	Uttiranmerur Srinivasa Raghavachariar Age 45		Daughter's Grand son of Registered Inamdar & Grand son 3rd in descent of original Inamdar	2 sons		To be confirmed. Rent at 1/4th exclusive of Jodi Mera jodi R 2- Agreed to 30th August 1860 M. Vadaswami Pillai Dy Coll. do	2 Dec 330 Confd A.S. 7 [illegible]
	Service 8 1/3	Wet No. 565 566 567 3254 3259	–9–2 –7–4 –6–4 –8–4 –8–12 2–5–10	3·10		31-7-2	From the service as a Medical Doctor for the Village Community	Jodi (Cantemera) Rs As 1-13-9 paid only when cultivated	Hereditary subject to service	Not known	Documents lost as per Remarks in the Inam Register	Vaidyam Kuppaya	In Barnard's Jurabadi Vaidyam Kuppaya Gramam Wet R. A. P 2 – – In Raudri Daul Same name & extent In the Inam Register Uttiranmerur Virasamian great grandson extent same	In the Survey account Vaidyam Kuppaya Gramam extent as per Col: 3-4	Uttiranmerur Vaidyam Chinnammal Age 40		Great ~~grandson's~~ wife of ~~grandson~~ the Registered Inamdar in Col: 14	No heirs		The incumbent who is a woman renders medical service to the village community thro' a Deputy Ramanujaya & the community desire that the arrangement may be maintained & the Inam continued on its present ~~[illegible]~~ service tenure – nor is the Inamdar willing to convert the Inam into a personal freehold – Uttiramallur is a pretty large town where it is said the services of a native medical man are very necessary – I would therefore continue the Inam on its present tenure subject to such orders as Govt may think fit to pass after the death of the present incumbent – 31st August 1860 – M. Vadaswami Pillai Dy Coll. do	Confd M. on Service tenure as recommended [illegible] 7 Vide 331
				8·45		78-13-6															

Vide B.P. No. 2781 Mis / LR dated 19-8-1903 and Collector's letter Dis. No. 781/R of 1903 dated 18-10-1903.

Resumed and fully assessed.

20-10-03

Ag. Inam Commissioner.

②

Uttiranmerur (Continued)

~~Ceremonial~~ Religious Service 9	Wet No. 77 78 79	– 7 – 4 – 4 – 6 – 5 – 4 1 – – 11	1.40	10–2–9	For the personal benefit of the holder & Service as Reader of Puranam	Jodi (Rent Meera) Rs. A. P. – 9 – 2 Paid only when cultivated	Here-ditary	By Nawab Sadat-ullah Khan Date not known	Carvana lost as per remarks in the Inam Register of 1810	Tirukami Sastri	In the Barnard Faisal Puranam Tirukami Sastri Wet Kani 1 – – In Ravasi Dowl Same name & Extent In the Inam Register Seshavadhiar Son's daughter's son of grantee Extent same	In the survey account (Same) Puranam Tirukami Sastri Extent as per Col: 3-4	Uttiranmerur Srinivasavadhiar Age 48 Krishnavadhiar 45 Varadavadhiar 42 2/3rd share Seshavadhyar 24 1/3 share	great grandsons grandson of grantee Son of the Registered Inamdar in Col: 14	Son Son Son Wife	As certain from the name Puranam Tirukami Sastri the Inamdar & the village community say that the grant was for reading Puranam (Hindu mythology) ~~at~~ in a certain month of the year – and that the Inamdar continues to do so of old they request therefore that the Inam may be continued ~~at~~ on its present tenure and I would do accordingly – The Inam Register ~~[illegible]~~ of 1810 however does not shew that ~~it~~ the Inam was granted for the purpose alleged – 1st Sep. 1860 Sadasiva Pillay Dy. Collr. The claim of Seshavadhyar having been since preferred & admitted by the other sharers his name is also put in – 8th Sep. 1860 Sadasiva Pillay Dy. Collr.
D° 10	Wet No. 3013 3014 3191	– 6 – 2 – 4 – 2 – 8 – 12 1 – 3 –	1.56	11–13–11	D°	Jodi (Rent Meera) Rs. A. P. – 10 – 11 Paid only when cultivated	D°	Not known as per Remarks in the Inam Register	Carvana lost as per remarks in the Inam Register. 1 Sanad by Mr. Collector Dighton in A.D. Fusli 1197 3 Sanads by Mr. Collector Balfour in A.D. 1791–1793 & 1794 4	Kotti Ramavadhiar	In the Barnard Faisal Kotti Ramavadhiar Maniem Wet K. A. P. 1 – – In Ravasi Dowl Same name & Extent. In the Inam Register Kotti Ramavadhiar grandsons of grantee Same extent.	In the survey account (Same) Kotti Ramavadhiar Extent as per Col: 3-4	Uttiranmerur Kotti Singavadhiar Age 50 Raghavadhiar 45 Varadavadhiar 30	great grandsons of grantee	Son – Son Wife	To be confirmed on one Deed & Rent at 1/8th Rs 1-4 (exclusive of Jodi Meera) – but agreed to – 1st Sep. 1860 Sadasiva Pillay Dy. Collr.
D° 11	Wet No. 3958 3049	– 10 – 12 – 11 – 8 1 – 6 – 4	1.83	10–15–	D°	Jodi (Rent Meera) Rs. A. P. – 10 – 1 Paid only when cultivated	D°	Not known	No Documents	Lakshmi Narayana Bhattar	In the Barnard Faisal Lakshmi Narayana Bhattar Maniem Wet Kani 1 In Ravasi Dowl Same name & Extent In the Inam Register Kanchi Srinivasachari Grandson of grantee Extent same	In the survey account Lakshmi Narayana Bhattar Maniem Extent as per Col: 3-4	Tirupukkoilur in South Arcot Madhavachari Age 28	Son of the Registered Inamdar in Col: 14	3 Sons	To be confirmed & Rent at 1/4th Rs 1-4 Agreed to – Exclusive of Jodi Meera – 15th Oct. 1860 – Sadasiva Pillay Dy. Collr.
D° 12	Wet No. 19 17 18 20	– 5 – – 3 – 12 – 4 – – 4 – 12 1 – 1 – 8	1.45	10–8–5	D°	Jodi Rent Meera Rs. A. P. – 9 – 7 Paid only when cultivated	D°	By Nawab Sadat-ullah Khan	Carvana lost as per Remarks in the Inam Register.	Chettur Narayanayangar	In the Barnard Faisal Chettur Narayanayangar Maniem Wet Kani 1 In Ravasi Dowl Same name & Extent In the Inam Register Uttiranmerur Venkatavaradachari Daughter's son of grantee Maniem Extent same	In the survey account Chettur Narayanayangar Maniem Extent as per Col: 3-4	Uttiranmerur Lakshmiammal 50	[illegible] his wife	none	The Inam is valued ½ A. Rs 5 – –

Dec 332

Confd on same tenure

25 Oct 6

7 Dec 333

Confd

do

Dec 334

Confd

do

Application for attachment 1/2/66 No 125

Party absent & other [illegible] on the January 1861

A Ramachandra

28/9/61

Settled on Suppl. Regr. AC

Sheet No. 43 Regr. No. 67 Uttiramerur

Madhurantakam Taluq

T-D 149

13	Wet No. 3181 — 4-10 3182 — 5 — 3275 — 3-6 3316 — 1-12 3326 — 2-2 1 — 14	1.40	14 — 5	For the personal benefit of the holder	Jodi Fauk Mera Rs. A. P. — 13-2 Paid only when the Inam is Cultivated	Here=ditary	Not Known As per Remarks in the Inam Register	3 Sanads by Mr. Collector Balfour in A.D 1791-93 & 1794. Parvana lost as per Inumkeyr. of 1810.	[illegible]ramavad=dhiar	In Barnard Jarabadi Janakira=mavadhi=ar Manium Wet Kani 1 In Ramon Daul Same name & Extent. In the Inam Register. Janakirama=vadhiar Grandson of grantee Extent same	In the survey account Janakira=mavad=dhiar Extent as per Col: 3-4	Uttiramerur Srinivasavadhiar Age 60 Viraraghavadhiar 65	Son of the Re=gistered Inamdar in Col: 14 ~~Death~~ Grandson Son of Do. Do.	1 Sons 2 Sons		To be confirmed on new Deed - 2 Rs. 1 a. 6 p. exclusive of Jodi Mera in Col. 12 - Agreed to 1st Sepr. 1860 Mhadaswa Pillai Dy Coll.	141 confirmed on permanent tenure [illegible] 20 [illegible]
Do. 14	Wet No. 3233 — 4-6 3360 — 6-8 3362 — 5-0 3287 — 7-4 3232 — 4 — 3361 — 2-4 3305 — 8-8 10661 — 1-4 10663 — 4-11 10507 — 13-12 3-9-12	4.77	38-8-	Do.	Jodi Fauk Mera Rs. A. P. 2-3- Paid only when the Inam is Cultivated	Do.	Not Known as per Remarks in the Inam Register	Purvanah lost as per Inumkeyr. 4 Sanads by Mr. Collector Balfour in A.D 1791, 1792, 1793-1794 1 Sanad by Mr. Collector Leighton in Fasli 1197 A.D. 5	Singiri=Sastri	In Barnard Jarabadi Virasastri Manium Wet R. A. P. 3 — — In Ramon Daul Same name & Extent In the Inam Register. Kuppasastri Grandson in descent of grantee Extent same	In the survey account Virasastri Manium Extent as per Col: 3-4	Uttiramerur Krishnasastri Age 60 Subbasastri 50 Virasamisastri 48 Sundarasastri 45 Ramasvamisastri 32 Sitaramasastri 55 Kuppamma ~~[illegible]~~ 45	Sons & Brothers sons of Registered Inamdar in Col: 14 and Daughter's daughter of the deceased ~~[illegible]~~ Shareholders	Nephew Nephew 2 Sons — 2 Sons — Son — Husband		To be confirmed on new Deed - 2 Rs. [illegible] exclusive of Jodi Mera Rs. 4-8 - Agreed to 1st Sepr. 1860 Mhadaswa Pillai Dy Coll.	confirmed [illegible] 20 Dec 150
15	Wet No. 1920 — 5-14 1822 — 7-4 — 5-14 2-3-	2.93	19-7-6	Do.	Jodi Fauk Mera Rs. A. P. 1-1-11 Paid only when Cultivated	Do.	Not Known	No Documents The ~~paper~~ Endorsements &c. produced by the Dharmakartas — 4th Sepr. 1860 Mhadaswa Pillai Dy Coll.	Kanchi=puram Suryanarayanasastri	In Barnard Jarabadi Kanchipuram Suryana=rayana=Sastri Manium Wet Kani 2 In Ramon Daul Same name & Extent In the Inam Register None	In the survey account Same Extent as per Col: 3-4	Uttiramerur Sri Sundaryavaradarajaperumal			By transfer to the Pagoda of the Inam being abandoned by its former owners) by Mr. Collector Cooke in 1820 as per order & other orders produced by the ~~[illegible]~~ Dharmakartas of the Pagoda	To be continued as Deva=dayam as long as the Pagoda worship is continued - The transfer to the Pagoda of the Inam by Mr. Collr. Cooke in 1820 seems to have been also confirmed by all subsequent Collectors as seen from [illegible]	[illegible] not made sufficient enquiry to recognize absolutely confirmed as part of [illegible] No 2 to be [illegible] [illegible] 20 Dec 151
16	Wet No. 5475 — 8-14 5476 — 8-2 7262 — 7-8 7267 — 8-2 2 — 10	2.70	16-15-3	Do.	Jodi Fauk Mera Rs. A. P. — 15-9 Paid only when Cultivated	Do.	Not known	No documents	Marella Venkatrau	In Barnard Jarabadi Marella Venkatrau Manium Wet Kani 2 In Ramon Daul Same name & Extent In the Inam Register Uttiramerur 1 Subbarau Brother's son 2 Krishnarau Grandson Extent same	In the survey account Marella Venkatrau Manium Extent as per Col: 3-4	Chittur & Tirukkovil Gopalrau Age 20 Venkatrau 32 Tirumalrau 30	Grandson & Brothers great grandsons of grantee	1 Son & 2 daughters Wife		To be confirmed on new Deed - 2 Rs. [illegible] exclusive of ~~[illegible]~~ Jodi Mera Rs. 2 - Agreed to 4th Sepr. 1860 Mhadaswa Pillai Dy Coll.	confirmed [illegible] 20 Dec 156
Total		11.77	38-15-2														

Dévadáyam 17	Wet No. 3339 3341 3343 3351	– 4 – 2 – 3 – 14 – 4 – 14 – 4 – 1 – – 14	1. 40	15 – 2 – 10	~~Personal benefit of the holder~~ Support of Sri Védantadésikar of the Pagoda of Saundaria varadarája Perumal At Uttaramérúr	Tank Nira Rs. A. Ps. – 14 – 0 Paid only when cultivated	~~Hereditary~~ Permanent	Not known	Sarvamányam as per remarks in the Inam Register of 1810	At ~~Védantadésikar~~ Désikar Matham Srinivása Ayangar	In Barnard Sarabadi Désika Matham Srinivása Ayangar Mániyam Wet Kani 1 In Ramdri Daul Same name & Extent In the Inam Register Kanchi Sri Védantadésikar of the Pagoda of Saundaryavaradarajaperumal in Uttaramerur – By gift	In the survey account Désika Matham Srinivása Ayangar Mániyam Extent as per Col: 3-4	Uttaramérúr Sri Védantadésikar of the Pagoda of Saundaryavaradarájaperumal				The gift to the Pagoda duly registered in 1810 under Regulation XXXI of 1802 & recognized by the authorities. I would continue the Inam as Dévadáyam – 4th Sept. 1860 Madasiva Pillai Dy Coll.	[illegible] of the entry of the Inam in the Register does not render this title perfect any more than entries in other such accounts. Being an alienation of a personal grant it will be confirmed on a quit rent of 1/8 [illegible] reduced [illegible] W [illegible] the Inam [illegible] [illegible] be [illegible] it separately [illegible]
Personal 18	Wet No. 37 993 3207	– 5 – 2 – 4 – 4 – 7 – 14 1 – 1 – 4	1. 43	9 – 14 – 4	For the personal benefit of the holder	Jodi Tank Nira Rs. A. Ps. – 9 – 2 Paid only when cultivated	Hereditary	Not known	2 Sanads By Mr. Collector Balfour 1791 & 1792	1 Vine Rámayar 2 Nágambhattar 3 Haridása bhattar	In Barnard Sarabadi Vine Rámayar Mániyam Wet Kani one In Ramdri Daul Same name & Extent In the Inam Register Uttaramérúr Venkatakristnayar son of No. 1 in Col: 13 Extent same	In the survey account Vine Rámayar Mániyam Extent as per Col: 3-4	Vaijávúr & Mazudana Rámayar Age 36 Narasayar 33 Venkatáchelayar 30 Náráyanayar 20 Lakshmayar 24	Brothers' sons & grandsons of the Registered Inamdar in Col: 14	3 Sons " Son Wife		To be confirmed on a Quit Rent at 1/8th exclusive of tank Nira at one Rupee Agreed to – 4th Sept. 1860 Madasiva Pillai Dy Coll.	Confirmed C [illegible] 21st [illegible] 153
[illegible]	Wet [illegible] 83 84 86 95 96 37 139 368	– 9 – 4 – 6 – 10 – 6 – 4 – 10 – – 7 – 10 – 10 – 4 – 4 – 8 – 4 – 6 – 7 – 14 4 – 2 – 12	5. 52	41 – 8 – 6	For the support of Sri Saundaria varadarája Perumal	Jodi Tank Nira Rs. A. Ps. – 6 – 6 Paid only when cultivated	Permanent	By Nawáb Ikrat-ulla Khan	Sarvamányam	Rangasámi	In Barnard Sarabadi Rangasámi Mániyam Wet Kanis 4 In Ramdri Daul Same name & Extent In the Inam Register Water Pandal Dharmakarta Sivanarao Extent same	In the survey account Rangasámi Mániyam Wet as per Col: 3-4 Done for Partee Madasiva Pillai Dy Coll.	Uttaramérúr Sri Saundaryavaradarájaperumal Pagoda			By gift from Sivanrao (in Col: 14) to the Pagoda as per Deed of gift dated 1819 – The donor's heirs are alive & make no objection & the document is quite genuine	To be continued & ~~confirmed [illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ Inam as Dévadáyam 4th Sept. 1860 Madasiva Pillai Dy Coll. The Inam is stated in the Regr. under Regn. XXXI of 1802 to have been obtained by the Grantee for the purpose of instituting a Water Pandal	9/15 The [illegible] had not [illegible] authority [illegible] not for [illegible] [illegible] confirmed on a [illegible] of [illegible] C [illegible] 24th [illegible]
Personal 20	Wet No. 3475 3478	– 8 – 4 – 9 – 10 1 – 2 – 14	1. 26	11 – 6 –	For the personal benefit of the holder	Jodi Tank Nira Rs. A. Ps. – 10 – 6	Hereditary	By Wallaja as per Inam Register of 1810	Sarvamányam as per remarks in the Inam Register of 1810	Surabhága-vatar	In Barnard Sarabadi Pennagar Surabhága-vatar Mániyam Wet Kani one In Ramdri Daul Same name & Extent In the Inam Register Kuppayar grandson Extent same	In the survey account Pennagar Surabhága-vatar Mániyam Extent as per Col. 3-4	Pennagar Rayalayar Age 50	Son of the Registered Inamdar in Col: 14	3 Sons		To be confirmed – Quit Rent at 1/8th exclusive of tank Nira Rs. 1-4 – Agreed to – 5th Sept. 1860 Madasiva Pillai Dy Coll.	Confirmed C [illegible] 25th [illegible]

and to have been made over for that purpose to Sivanrao in whose name it was accordingly registered. As the first alienation for a charitable object was then recognized by the authorities, the Wardens of the Pagoda contend that this second alienation for a similar object may also be continued free of Quit Rent – this deserves consideration in which case the Inam will have to be continued as Dévadáyam

Total — 9 – 91 — 77 – 15 – 8

T-D 152

T-D 154

T-D 155

R – 12

Sheet No 44

[illegible] (Continued)

Ref no 67 Uttiranmerur Madhurantakam Taluq

No 21	Wet No 418 — 4-10 419 — 4-4 3322 — 3-14 3216 — 6-2 3224 — 9-6 3279 — 9-14 3212 — 5-12 3323 — 3 — 2-14-4	3. 32	38-11-1	personal benefit of the holder	Jodi Tank Mera Rs. A. P 2-3-— Paid only when Cultivated	Here-ditary	By Nawab Sadat-ullah Khan	Carvana lost as per remarks in the Inam Register of 1810	Tata[illegible]	In [illegible] Ayangar Tatachariar Manium Wet Kanis 2 In Raundi Daul same name & Extent In the Inam Register Kumara Tatachariar inhabitant of Ragadurgam grandson Wet R. A. P 2 — — out of 4 Kanis	In the survey account Ayangar Tatachariar Manium Extent as per Col: 3-4	[illegible] Uttiranmerur Tirumalatatachariar Age 70 Narasimhatatachariar 35 Venkatavaradatatachariar 18 Kumaratatachariar 18 Krushnasamitatachariar 25	Grandson & Brothers sons of the Registered Inamdar in Col: 14 ½ Share ½ Share	Step mother Wife Wife Wife 1 Son		To be confirmed [illegible] & Rent at 1/8th Rs 4-8 exclusive of Tank Mera) — ~~[illegible]~~ to — Not agreed to 6th Sept 1860 Madasiva Pillai Dy Coll ~~[illegible]~~	confirmed on present con[illegible] Clle on the [illegible] Sa[illegible] Vt Act	Title 66
Do 22	Wet No 355 — 8-6 3321 — 8-8 3328 — 4-8 3334 — 2-4 3335 — 4-6 1-12-—	2. 32	24-4-—	Do	Jodi Tank Mera Rs. A. P 1-6-4 Paid only when Cultivated	Do	By Nawab Sadat-ullah Khan as per Inam Regr of 1810	Carvana lost as per remarks in the Inam Register of 1810	Kanchipuram Tatachariar	In Barnard Taraboodi Kanchipuram Tatachariar Manium Wet Kanis 2 In Raundi Daul same name & Extent In the Inam Register Kumaratatachariar Ragadurgam Grandson Wet R. A. P 2 — — out of 4 Kanis	In the survey account Kanchipuram Tatachariar Manium Extent as per Col: 3-4	Kanchipuram & Uttiranmerur Tirumalatatachariar Age 70 Narasimhatatachariar 35 Venkatavaradatatachariar 18 Kumaratatachariar 18 Krushnasamitatachariar 25	Grandsons & Brothers sons of the Registered Inamdar in Col: 14	Step mother Wife Wife Wife 1 Son		To be confirmed on an Deed & Rent at 1/8th (exclusive of Tank Mera) Rs 2-12 — Not agreed to — 6th Sept 1860 Madasiva Pillai Dy Coll [illegible]	confirmed on present Clle on the same Vt Act	Title 67
2 1	Wet No 360 — 5-6 566 — 4-12 } 1.82 ~~7-78~~ 17-9-8 5863 — 10-— } 1.51 ~~7-9-6~~ 7-9-6 2-9-—	3. 33	24-7-2	Do	Jodi Tank Mera R. A. P 1-6-4 Paid only when Cultivated [illegible]	Do	Not known	No Document	~~Tirumala [illegible]~~ Pataka Papayar	In Barnard Taraboodi Catakam Baburaiar Manium Wet Kanis 2 In Raundi Daul same name & Extent In the Inam Register Kumaratatachariar Rayaragam Extent same	In the survey account Catakam Baburaiar Manium Extent as per Col: 3-4 Son of Donee from person in Col: 13	Kanchipuram & Uttiranmerur Tirumalatatachariar Age 70 Narasimhatatachariar 35 Venkatavaradatatachariar 18 Kumaratatachariar 18 Krushnasamitatachariar 25 { [illegible] Ragavachari[illegible] age 40	Grandsons & Brothers sons of the Registered Inamdar in Col: 14 dead	Step mother Wife Wife Wife Son 4 sons	[illegible] admitted	The alienation appearing in the Regr of 1810 & having been then recognized the Inam may be continued on its present tenure — Inamdars do not agree to enfranchise & Rent at 1/8th Rs 2-12 exclusive of Tank Mera 6th Sept 1860 Madasiva Pillai Dy Coll [illegible]	confirmed and conf[illegible] exclusive [illegible] Clle [illegible] Vt Act	Title 68 69
24	Wet 921 — 7-14 922 — 8-12 925 — 10-2 929 — 11-6 2-6-2	3. 14	21-14-5	Do	Jodi Tank Mera Rs A P 1-4-1 Paid only when Cultivated	Do	By Do [illegible] Ullah Khan as per Inam Regr of 1810	Carvana lost as per remarks in the Inam Register of 1810	Tirumala Ramanuja tata Chariar	In Barnard Taraboodi Tirumala Ramanuja tatachariar Manium Kanis 2 In Raundi Daul same name & Extent In the Inam Register Melpakam Kumaratatachariar Grandson Extent same	In the survey account Tirumala Ramanuja tatachariar Manium Extent as per Col: 3-4	Melpakam & Kanchipuram Vedantachariar Age 40 Kumaratatachariar 65 ~~[illegible]~~ M 18	Son & Brothers Grandson of the Registered Inamdar in Col: 14	2 Sons Son & Grandsons		To be confirmed on an Deed — & Rent at 1/8th exclusive of tank Mera Rs 2-8 — Agreed to — One Ramanujatatachariar also an heir of the grantee has no actual enjoyment in the Inam owing to his being left in sole enjoyment of a common Inam elsewhere 6th Sept 1860 Madasiva Pillai Dy Coll	confirmed Clle [illegible] Vt Act	Title 70
Do 25	Wet No 7831 — 8-8 7832 — 10-2 1-2-10	1. 54	11-3-4	Do	Jodi Tank Mera Rs. A. P — 9-2 Paid only when Cultivated	Do	By Nawab Sadat-ullah Khan as per Do	Carvana lost as per remarks in the Inam Register of 1810 6 [illegible] by Mr Collector [illegible]	Venkata majosiar	In Barnard Taraboodi Valugkora Venkatrama Josiar Manium Wet Kani one In Raundi Daul same name & Extent In the Inam Register [illegible]	In the survey account Valugkora Venkatrama Josia Manium Extent as per Col: 3-4	Damal & Sangi[illegible] in Kanchipuram Taluq Virasami Josiar Age 67 Ramaswami Josiar 23	Same as the registered Person in Col 14 — Brother's Son of the Same	3 Sons Wife		To be confirmed on an Deed & Rent at 1/8th exclusive of Tank Mera to be 1-4 — [illegible]	conf	Title 71

Personal 26 (5)	Wet No. 7190 7223 7345 7412 7213	-3-2 -5-10 -11-2 -7-10 -9-4 2-4-12	3-04	12-5-9	For the personal benefit of the holder	Jodi Tank mera -11-4 Paid only when Cultivated	Here-ditary	Not known	Sunnud lost Not Appeared supposed dated 1793-	Tiruvadi-pallam Appalachari	In Barnard's Jarabadi Tiruvadi-pallam Appalachari Maniem Wet Kanis 2-0-. In Kandri Daul- Same name Daul & Extent- In the Inam Register Appalachari grandson Extent same-	Tiruvadi Appalachari Maniem Wet Kanis 2-4-12	Tiruvadi-pallam Appalachari Maniem Extent as per Col: 3-4 Kanchipuram Appalachari Age 58	Same as the Registered person in Col: 14	1 Son	to be confirmed - Q. Rent at 4th exclusive of Jodi [illegible] Rs 1-8- Agreed to- 11th Sept 1860 M. Madoorow Pillay Dy Coll.	[illegible] up [illegible] confirmed Collector Q. Rent [illegible] 62
Do 17	Wet No. 7291 7292 7304	-4-8 -5- -6- -15-8	1-28	9-5-2	Do	Jodi Tank mera -8-4 Paid only when Cultivated	Do	Not known	No Documents	1 Upendrachari & 2 Varadachari as per Inam Register	In Barnard's Jarabadi Upparapalli Varadachari Maniem Wet Kani one In Kandri Daul- Same name & Extent- In the Inam Register Madhavachari Grandson of No. 2 in Col: 13 Extent same	In the Survey account Upparapalli Varadachari Maniem Extent as per Col: 3-4	Above Village Ramachari Age 70	Brother of Registered Inamdar in Col: 14	Wife	To be confirmed - Q. Rent at 1/4th exclusive of tank mera Rs 2- Inamdar is desirous of enfranchising but objects to the assessment as high owing to the liability of the land to a 1st crop asst. ~~[illegible]~~ when a 2nd crop is raised, in addition to the Jeeroo shown in col: 7- I would ~~[illegible]~~ give up 8 Annas & fix the Q.R. at Rs 1-8- to which the Inamdar agrees- 12th Sept: 1860- M. Madoorow Pillay Dy Coll.	Confirmed according [illegible] Collector Q. Rent 63
Do 28	Wet No. 2944 2945	1-5 -10-12 1-15-12	2-62	8-4-2	Do	Jodi Tank mera -7-5 Paid only when Cultivated	Do	By Podile Lingappaya date not known	Sunnud lost as per remarks in the Inam Register of 1810 4 Sanads by Mr Collector Balfour in AD 1791-92 93 & 1794 1 Sanads by Mr Collector Leighton in Fusli 1197 5	Kavita Narasimha Charlu	In Barnard's Jarabadi Kavita Narasimha Charlu Maniem Wet K. A. 10 2- . In Kandri Daul- Same name & Extent In the Inam Register Lakshmana Charlu in the extent of Kanchipuram Grandson Extent same	In the survey account Kavita Narasimha Charlu Maniem Extent as per Col: 3-4	Kanchipuram Lakshmanacharlu Age 70	Same as the Registered person in Col: 14	2 Sons-	To be confirmed - Q. Rent at 1/8th one Rupee (exclusive of Tank mera) Agreed to- 13th Sept 1860 M. Madoorow Pillay Dy Coll.	Confirmed Collector 64
29	Wet No. 142 143 3034 7992 8041 8044	-4-8 -4-10 -8-8 -11-12 -4- -4-10 2-6-	3-14	19-1-4	For the personal benefit of the holder	Jodi Tank mera 1-1-6 Paid only when Cultivated	Hereditary	Not known	Sunnud lost as per remarks in the Inam Register of 1810	Yemberumanar	In Barnard's Jarabadi Aiyangar Yemberumanar Maniem Wet K. A. P 2 . . In Kandri Daul Same name & Extent In the Inam Register Kanjeevaram Srinivasa Ragavachari Grandson's Grandson Extent same	In the Survey Account Aiyangar Yemberumanar Maniem Wet K. A. P 2. 6. .	Kanjeevaram Ramanujachariar Age 65 Ragavachariar 48	Nephew ~~Great~~ ~~Grandson~~ Jointly Son of the Registered Inamdar in Col: 14	2 Sons 1- Son	To be confirmed on one Deed - Q. Rent at 4th exclusive of tank mera Rs 2-4- 11th Sept 1860- M. Madoorow Pillay Dy Coll.	Confirmed Collector Q. Rent 65

Vide Collr's letter Ref on no. 1027/62 of 1901 dt 16-6-01

12 13 14 15 16 17

1·32 2-3

Dry 1 8
98 6 14
1 14 14 2·55 10-14-2
2-14-14 3·87 13-1-2

For the personal benefit of the holder

Free

Not known

No document

Ayavayangar

In Paimash Tarabadi account
Ayavayangar Maniem
Dry 1
Wet 2
3

In the survey account
Ayavayangar Maniem
Extent as per Col: 3-4

In Pandu Paul
Babuvayangar Maniem
Extent same

In the Inam Register
None

Same village
Veeraraghavachariar
Age 25
Appavayangar
60

Grandson 1 Son
& Grandson
Grandson 3 Sons
of Grantee

To be confirmed under Rule 2
Rent at ¼th R 1-8-
Agreed to 12th Oct. 1860
M Adoswa Pillai
Dy Coll.

I.D. No.
745

R 1-8-

1350 Register of Inams in the Village of Arumbuliyur (No. 94) in the Talug of Madhurantakam (Uttiramerur Division) in the District of Madras

The correspondence ending with Collector's letter Dis. No. 3584 R. Dis. of 1910 d/12-10-1910 in Case 673 of 1910.
Rowland Clegg
Ag. Inam [illegible]
5-11-1910

2 crop
No. 264 6-10
265 3-1
266 3-2
13 1·07 13 5

These held
No. (1) 6-10-0·54 6-12-11
No. (264) 6-6-0·53 6-8-1

For the personal benefit of the holder

~~Do~~
Fruit Rent
7-7
Paid only when Cultivated
3-10
3-9

Free

Not known

~~No Document~~
Sanad
Dated 1787

Kumara Tatachar
Chinnan
&
Tata Char

In Paimash Tarabadi
~~Maniem~~
Dry
In Pandu Paul
Tata Chariar & Kumara Tatachar
extent same
In the Inam Register
Kumara Tatachariar
Grandson extent
~~extent~~
Vaikuntapati Venkata Chari
Veeraraghava Chari
first part
Ramanuja
extent
8

In the survey account
Kumara Tatachariar
Maniem
Extent as per Col: 3-4

Conjeevaram & Chingleput Zillah
(1) Komarapuram
Age 45
(2) Vaikuntatambi Kumara Tata Char 42
(3) Srinivasa Tata Char 25
(4) Srinivasa Raghava Char 10

(1) Son of the first grantee as per Col: 13
(2) great great great grandson
(3 & 4) great great great great grandson to the 2nd named person in Col. 13

(1)
(2) 2 sons 4 daughters (1 married 2 unmarried 1 widow)
(3) 1 brother 1 wife

To be confirmed - Rent at ¼th R 1-8 - Not agreed
15th Oct. 1860
M Adoswa Pillai
Dy Coll.

I.D. No.
746
747

Since the foregoing remark was recorded the parties of the Inam [illegible] a claim to the Dy Collr. [illegible] Pillai [illegible] himself who [illegible] in pencil that the foregoing [illegible] be re-opened. I took up the case [illegible] re-investigated & found that the parties were 2 diff. [illegible] diff. families [illegible] of their names & descent [illegible] appears [illegible] the land is but one, held [illegible]

xx 2 equal shares. That the [illegible] as the Dual [illegible] otherwise [illegible] from the [illegible] in 2 I.D. [illegible] To be confirmed
No (1) 1/8 Rs. 14 annas exclusive of tank mera
No (264) 1/8 Rs. 12 annas Do
12/11/61 A Ramachandra D.C.

Nos. 32 7 12 0·64 7-3-1
205 6 60 0·53 6 8 2
245 6 10 0·55 5 17
1 4 12 1·71 19-6-8

~~Do~~
Bhatavritti

Do
Fruit Rent
11-1
Paid only when Cultivated

Unknown

Unknown

No Document
Dual account of Fusli 1210
Survey account of Fusli 1233
Register of Fusli 1230 6318

Unknown

In Paimash Tarabadi
Tatavalla Char
Maniem
Dry
In Pandu Paul
Same name & Extent
Ramachandrayangar
~~[illegible]~~
Extent in [illegible]
Nagam Chellammayangar
Extent 8

In the survey account
Tatavalla Char
Maniem
Extent as per Col: 3-4
in F 1233

Pariyur
Appaji ~~[illegible]~~
70
Appaji Jetta Vallabha 70

Do not related

4 sons

The father of the present holder is said to have acquired the Inam thirty years ago by [illegible] from the last alienee Ayagam Bhattar the regd. holder in Fasli [illegible]. The alienation is noticed in the acct of F 1233.
16/7/61

The Inam is valid
1/2 Dry R 2-4 inclusive of tank mera charged
~~the pariyar [illegible]~~
A Ramachandra
D.C.

I.D. No.
748

3-14-

No. 07

5

(5)

	[illegible]	Wet N° 3162 8017	1. 2 . 11. 14 13. 12	31	13. 15. 8	Personal benefit of the holder	Jodi Tankhera to Rs. Paid only when cultivated	Hereditary	Not Known	Puravana lost as per remarks in the Inam Register of 1810	Tirumalai Aiyangar	In Bernardi Aiyangar Tirumalai Aiyangar Maniem Wet R. A. P. 1 8 In Raudhi Dast Same name & Extent In the Inam Register Komperumam Narainga chari Great Grandson Wet R. A. P. 1 10	Aiyangar Tirumalai Aiyangar Maniem Extent as per Col. 3.4	Ramanuja chari 60 Rangachari 48	~~Affirmative~~ Nephew & Descendants of the Registered Inamdar in Col. 15	Son	To be confirmed Q. Rent at 4th [illegible] 4 exclusive of tank kera 18th Sep. 1860 [illegible] Pillai Dy. Colly.	T.D. No. 750 [illegible]
31	Do	Wet N° 5530 5539 5562	. 5. 4 . 5. 12 . 6. 14 1. 1. 14	1. 48	6. 13. 4	For the personal benefit of the holder	Jodi Tankhera . 6. 2 Paid only when cultivated	Hereditary	Not Known	Puravana lost as per remarks in the Inam Register of 1810	Kotkapdar Rama Sastri	In Bernardi Tarabadi Vikatakavi Viraraghavachar Maniem Wet R. A. P. 1 In Raudhi Dast Same name & Extent In the Inam Register Madhurantha kam Kistan dorema Sastri Grandson Extent same	In the Survey Account Vikatakavi Viraraghavachar Maniem Extent as per Col. 3.4	Madhuranthakam Arunkannam Letchummammal Age 30	Wife of the Registered person in Col. 14	Filig 2 Daughters with husband & Competent of [illegible]		To be confirmed - Q. Rent at 4 Anas 12 - exclusive of tank kera) agreed to 18th Sep. 1860 [illegible] Pillai Dy. Colly.	T.D. No. 750 [illegible] [illegible]
	Do	Wet N° 681 . 2. 6 3006 . 7. 8 3069 . . 8. 2 2. 2	2. 80		19. 6. 2	Do	Jodi Tankhera 1. 1. 11 Paid only when cultivated	Do	In Julifar Khan as per Inam Register of 1810	As Dharmaseet	Vina Raman	In Bernardi [illegible] Vina Raja [illegible] Maniem Wet R. A. P. 2 . . In Raudhi Dast Same name & Extent same In the Inam Register Nammi [illegible] Great Grandson Extent same	In the Survey Account Vina Raja [illegible] Maniem Extent as per Col. 3.4	Stanis Rajaram Age 60 Wet share [illegible] 2 Chidambaram Age 50 Share for whole	Son of the Registered Arumdam in Col. 14 Lookhera . 8. 10 Brother of the widow [illegible] of share No. 1	Wife & Daughter Lex		~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] [illegible]	T.D. 750 [illegible]

[illegible] a dispute between the [illegible] & her co-sharer [illegible] Inam share of the late [illegible] devolved on the [illegible] heir who inherited all her other property, by her brother - the widow died upwards of 10 years ago & her brother came into possession of the ~~land~~ Inam with her other property but without any formal recognition on the part of the Sarkar in those times when there was no regular system of reporting lapses except on dispute - under these circumstances & seeing that the sharer No 2 has been in possession at least 10 years & as appears from the documents produced that he redeemed the Inam from the mortgage in which it was involved by the widow, ~~I would~~ consider him in the light of a bonafide purchaser & ~~admit~~ enfranchise his Inam compulsorily at 5/8th of the Rent - one Rupee - exclusive of tank kera

Rent for sharer No. 1 ~~are~~ [illegible] agreed to

No. 1 claims the share No. 2 - but the widow having of a divided family the claim is inadmissible & the brother [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Inam Abstract of the Village of Vettimaneri Taluq Madhurantakam

Description of Inams	Dry R. A. P.	Dry Acres	Wet R. A. P.	Wet Acres	Garden R. A. P.	Garden Acres	Total R. A. P.	Total Acres	Total Assessment	Total Quit rent & Kattubadi Former
Inams & [illegible]	.	.	67-4- .	88. 90	.	.	67 4 .	88. 90	652-5- .	37 6 - .

10th Nov. 1860

67 Uttiramerur of Madhurantakam Taluk

						9	10	11	12	14	16	15	16 17	18	19	
	„ 15 3016 3028 3088 3094 5496 5498 5499 5513 5516 7834 7300 7301 7311 7310	0-8-„ „-10-„ „-5-10 „-6-„ „-7-„ „-3-12 „-4-„ „-1-8 „-2-12 „-3-12 „-3-14 „-13-14 „-3-6 „-9-14 „-4-2 „-3-12 5-11-4	0.66 0.53 0.47 0.49 0.58 0.31 0.33 0.12 0.23 0.31 0.32 1.14 0.28 0.81 0.34 0.31 7.53	6-3-9 7-12-8 2-12-8 2-15-3 3-7-2 1-13-4 2-6-6 „-14-5 1-10-3 3-2-10 2-5-2 8-5-5 2-4-5 5-14-11 2-7-10 2-4-4 55-9-11	For the service of the Saundaraja Varadaraja Perumal. The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera 3-2-9 Allowed when cultd	So long as the service is rendered	Inkim	No doct. Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F1184 Daul account of F1210 Survey account of F1232 Inamwarja account of F1236 Register of F1249 65-68	Inkim	Saundaraja Varadaraja Perumal manyam in F1184 Extent 6 „ „ The same name & Extent in F1210	The same name in F1232 Extent 5-11-4	Uttiramerur Sri Saundararaja Varadaraja Perumal – Manager for the time being Shamaiyangar 65 Priests for the time being (1) Krishna Bhattar 25 (2) Vijaya Bhattar 35	The Pagoda said to be from time immemorial.	To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the service is regularly performed. A. Ramachandra Dy C. 28/9/61	T.D. No. 273 Confd A.S. 26 Octr 61 W.D.
Devadayam 34	wet 7299 7305 7306	„-4-10 „-8-8 „-4-6 1-1-8	„.38 „.70 „.36 1.44	2-12-7 5-1-10 2-10-„ 10-8-5	For the service of Sri Vaikuntha Vasar. The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera „-9-8 Allowed when cultd	So long as the service is rendered	Inkim	No doct. Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F1184 Daul account of F1210 Survey account of F1232 Inamwarja account of F1236 Register of F1249 63-68	Inkim	Vaikunta Vasthar manyam in F1184 Extent 3 „ „ The same name & Extent „ „ in F1210	The same name in F1232 Extent as per col (4)	Uttiramerur Sri Vaikuntha ~~Vasthar~~ Vasar (Manager for the time being Shamaraiyangar 65 Priests ~~for~~ for the time being (1) Krishna Bhattar 25 (2) Vijaya Bhattar 35	The Pagoda must have been from a long time	To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the service is rendered. A. Ramachandra Dy C. 28/9/61	T.D. No. 274 Confd do A.S. 7 W.D.
	wet 141 7312 7313	„-10-12 „-5-„ „-4-4 1-4-„	„.89 0.41 0.35 1.65	6-7-8 3-„-2 2-9-1 12-„-11	For the service of Sri Vaikunta Perumal. The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera „-10-11 Collected when cultd	So long as the service is rendered	Inkim	No doct. Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F1184 Daul account of F1210 Survey account of F1232 Inamwarja account of F1236 Register of F1249 63-68	Inkim	Perumal manyam in F1184 Extent 3 „ „ The same name & Extent in F1210	The same name in F1232 Extent 1-4-„	Uttiramerur Sri Vaikuntha Perumal (Manager for the time being Shamaiyangar 65 Priests for the time being (1) Krishna Bhattar 25 (2) Vijaya Bhattar 35	The Pagoda must have been from a long time.	To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the service is regularly rendered. A. Ramachandra Dy C. 28/9/61	T.D. No. 275 Confd do W.D.
Devadayam 35	wet 7639 7640 7641 7642	„-2-6 „-8-8 „-3-6 „-7-8 1-5-10	0.18 0.70 0.28 0.62 1.78	1-1-„ 4-3-„ 1-10-8 3-11-1 10-10-7	For the service of the Anjaneya Swami. The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera „-9-7 Allowed when cultd	So long as the service is rendered	Inkim	No doct. Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F1184 Daul account of F1210 Survey account of F1232 Inamwarja account of F1236 Register of F1249 63-68	Inkim	Sanjiva Rayar manyam in F1210 Extent 1 „ „	The same name in F1232 Extent as per col (4)	Uttiramerur Sri Anjaneya Swami (Manager for the time being Shamaiyangar 65 Priests for the time being (1) Krishna Bhattar 25 (2) Vijaya Bhattar 35		To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the service is rendered. A. Ramachandra Dy C. 28/9/61	T.D. No. Confd do
Devadayam 36	wet 7278 7279	„-6-12 „-8-6 „-15-2	0.56 0.69 1.25	3-8-„ 4-5-7 7-13-7	For the service of Sri Akanda valli Tayar. The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera „-7-„ Allowed when cultd	So long as the service is rendered	Inkim	No doct. Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F1184 Daul account of F1210 Survey account of F1232 Inamwarja account	Inkim	Akandavalli Tayar manyam in F1184 Extent 1 „ „ The same name & Extent „ „	The same name in F1232 Extent as per col (4)	Uttiramerur Sri Akandavalli Tayar (Manager for the time being Shamaiyangar 65 Priests for the	The Pagoda [illegible] with the [illegible]	To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the service is regularly performed [illegible]	T.D. No. Confd

Vide Collector's letter R.Dis 714 R of 1910 d/ 13-12-1910 in Coun. No 32 R. 1910

Dy. Inam Commissioner
6-2-1911

Sheet 80

		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16 17	19	20 21	
Devadayam 38.	wet 3373 3374	· 7-8 · 9-4 1 · 12	0 · 62 0 · 76 1 · 38		4-8-2 5-8-10 10 1 ·	For the service of Stree Kailasanatha swami. The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera · 1 2 collect when cult	So long as the service is rendered	Unkn	No docts Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F1184 Dowl account of F1210 survey account of F1232 Inamauarja account of F1236 Register of F1249 63·68	Unkn	Kailasanatha swami maniyam in F1184 Extent 〃 The same name & Extent in F1210	The same name in F1232 Extent as per Col (4)	Utteramerur Stree Kailasanatha swami (Priest for the time being Venkatasubbaguruckal 40 Sundraguruckal 25	〃 The Pagoda exists from a long time.	To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the service is regularly rendered. A Ramachandra D.C. 28/9/61	25 Oct [illegible]
Devadayam 39	wet 3469 3471	1-4-4 · 6 · 1-6-8	1 · 34 0 · 52 1 · 86		9-12-1 8-12-· 13-8-1	For the service of Stree Kamakshiyemmal The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera · 12-3 collect when cult	So long as the service is rendered	Unkn	No docts Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F1184 Dowl account of F1210 survey account of F1232 Inamauarja account of F1236 Register of F1249 63·68	Unkn	Kamakshi yemmal maniyam in F1184 Extent 1-3 The same name & Extent in F1210	The same name in F1232 Extent as per Col (4)	Utteramerur Stree Kamakshi yemmal (Priest for the time being Venkatasubbaguruckal 40 Sundraguruckal 25	This Pagoda is situated within the compound wall of Stree Kailasanatha swami	To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the service is rendered. A Ramachandra D.C. 28/9/61	Vide [illegible] 279 Compd do
Devadayam 40	wet 5366 5397 3483	· 9-2 · 5-8 1-1-6 2	0 · 75 0 · 45 1 · 44 2 · 64		5-8-· 3-4-11 9-·-4 17-13-3	For the service of Stree Kedareswara swami The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera 1-·-2 collect when cult	So long as the service is rendered	Unkn	No docts Survey account of F1232 Inamauarja account of F1236 Register of F1249 63·68	Unkn	〃	Kedareswara swami maniyam in F1232 Extent as per col (4)	Utteramerur Stree Kedareswara swami (Priest for the time being (1) Venkatasubbaguruckal 60 (2) Sundraguruckal 25	The Pagoda exists from time immemorial.	To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as it is well maintained. It appears from the remark in State no. 6 prepared in F1236 & the Reg. of F1263 that this Inam originally consisted of 1 Kani of "Dry" & 1 Kani of "wet" land, the xx	Vide 280 Compd
Devadayam 41	wet 417 421 422 423 436 439 16 85 7827 7828 7833 7838 7817 7276 7251	· 5-14 · 6-2 · 4-12 · 5 · · 15-14 · 4-4 · 10-8 · 5-12 · 6 · · 5-10 · 15 · 1 · 6 · 10-6 · 11-2 · 9 · 7-9-10	0 · 48 0 · 51 0 · 39 0 · 41 0 · 48 0 · 35 0 · 84 0 · 47 0 · 49 0 · 47 1 · 24 1 · 35 0 · 86 0 · 92 0 · 9[illegible] 10 · 63		4-9-6 4-12-2 3-11-1 3-16-7 4-9-6 3-4-11 5-5-1 3-9-2 3-9-9 3-6-3 9-·-4 8-8-1 3-15-5 4-4-3 ~~3-7-1~~ 70-13-2	For the service of the Kanda swami The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera 2-·-4 collect when cult	So long as the service is rendered	Unkn	No docts Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F1184 Dowl account of F1210 survey account of F1232 Inamauarja account of F1236 Register of F1249 63·68	Unkn	Kanda swami maniyam in F1184 Extent 6-60 The same name & Extent in F1210	Kanda swami maniyam in ~~F1232~~ Extent 7-10 ~~The same name & Extent in F1210~~	Utteramerur Stree Kandaswamiyar (Priest for the time being Venkatasubbaguruckal 40 Sundraguruckal 25)	The Pagoda exists from a long time.	To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the service is regularly performed. A Ramachandra D.C. 28/9/61	Vide 281 Compd do
Devadayam 42	wet 3051 131 70 71 72 73	· 8-14 · 9-10 · 9-6 · 6-12 · 4-12 · 4-4 2-11-10	0 · 74 0 · 79 0 · 78 0 · 56 0 · 39 0 · 35 3 · 60		4-5-7 5-12-9 4-13-11 3-8-· 2-7-4 2-3-5 23-3-·	For the service of Mari Merkattamman The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera 1-5-· collect when cult	So long as the service is rendered	Unkn	~~No docts~~ Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F1184 Dowl account of F1210 survey account of F1232 Inamauarja account of F1236 Register of F1249 63·68	Unkn	Merkattamman maniyam in F1184 Extent 2-· The same name & Extent in F1210	The same name in F1232 Extent as per col (4)	Utteramerur Mari Merkattamman (Pujari for the time being Bangarunaickan 20	〃 〃	To be confirmed to the Village Pagoda so long as the service is rendered. A Ramachandra D.C. 28/9/61	Vide 282 Compd do

xx If the part of the [illegible] transferred to the Pagoda [illegible] is in repairs [illegible] attached; & that [illegible] the building [illegible] been [illegible] of order & the service there is [illegible] never the present day [illegible] released for [illegible] attention [illegible] under order of the Collector dated Sept 2 1828 No 252 A Ramachandra D.C. 28/9/61

Sheet No 81

Regr of Utteramerur Village Madurantakam Taluk

Devadayam 43	Wet 341 342 292 293 75 112 113 114 117 121 122 123	·4· ·4·8 ·6·10 ·6·4 ·11·14 ·2· ·4·8 ·5· ·4·10 ·1·12 ·2·4 ·3·6 3·14·12	0·33 0·37 0·55 0·44 0·98 0·74 0·37 0·41 0·38 0·15 0·18 0·28 5·18	3-1-10 3-8-· 3-12-10 3-·-2 7-2-2 5-6-8 2-11-4 3-·-2 2-12-8 1-1-1 1-5-5 2-·-3 38 14 7	For the service as Panchangam and Vedaparayana in the Pagoda of the Soundarya Varadaraja Perumal Kandaswamiyar The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera 2-3-5 Collected when cultd	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	No docts Mr Barnard's Larapadi of Fs 1184 Daul account of Fs 1210 Survey account of Fs 1232 Inam Awarja account of Fs 1236 Inam Awarja account of Fs 1249·63·68	Unknown	Panchangam Bhata vritti maniyam in Fs 1184 Extent 3-6 Bhata vritti maniyam in Fs 1210 Extent 3-6-·	Bhata vritti maniyam in Fs 1232 Extent as per col. 4	Uttaramerur Panchangam and Veda parayana karthas (for the time being) (1) Seshavadhiyar 83 (2) Arulala Vadhiyar 18 (3) Sri Rama Vadhiyar 15 (4) Koti Singa Vadhiyar 48 (5) Koti Raghava Vadhiyar 40 (6) Janaki Viraswami Vadhiyar (7) Srinivasa Vadhiyar 58 70 (8) Chinna Srinivasa Vadhiyar 40 (9) Krishna Vadhiyar 40 (10) Varada Vadhiyar 38 (11) Periya Sesha Vadhiyar 35	This is a necessary grant. To be confirmed to the present incumbents & their successors so long as the service is rendered. Trustees to see that the parties who are of the Vaishnava creed ~~render~~ perform the Vedavritti & Panchangam xxx xx service in the two Pagodas of the Kandaswamy [illegible] which is [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ 28/9/61 A Ramachandra DC	T.D. No. 509 Conf Collr [illegible]
Devadayam 44	Wet 3721 3726 3730 3697 3786 3709 3746 3748 3751 3762	·5·8 ·3·14 ·7· ·9·2 ·5·10 ·10·4 ·11· ·11· ·5·2 ·4·4 4·8·12	0·45 0·32 0·58 0·75 0·47 0·86 0·91 0·91 0·42 0·35 6·	3-4-11 2-5-2 4-3-5 4-11-8 2-14-10 5-5-4 4-3-5 4-3-4 1-15-6 4-9-10 34-13-5	For the service as Melam ~~& Nagasaram~~ in the above two Pagodas The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera 15-6 Collected when cultd	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	No docts Barnard's Larapadi of Fs 1184 Daul account of Fs 1210 Survey account of Fs 1232 Inam Awarja account of Fs 1236 Register of Fs 1249·63·68	Unknown	Mela maniyam in Fs 1184 Extent 4-· The same name & Extent in Fs 1210	The same name in Fs 1232 Extent as per col. 4	Uttaramerur Melakaran & Nagasaram (Drummers & musicians) ~~[illegible]~~ for the time being (1) Kandan 50 (2) Chinnappayan 48 (3) Odi 55 (4) Marti 42	To be confirmed to the present incumbents & their successors so long as the service of Melakar & Nagasaramkar is regularly performed 28/9/61 A Ramachandra	T.D. No. 510 Conf Collr [illegible]
Devadayam 45	Wet 3223 3215 3706 3703 3760	·8·10 ·9·12 ·4·10 ·6·6 ·8·14 2·6·4	0·71 0·81 0·38 0·44 0·73 3·07	6-11-8 7-9-7 2-6-4 2-12-8 4-9-11 24-2-4	For the service as Nattuvan ~~& [illegible]~~ in the above two Pagodas The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera 5-10 Collected when cultd	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	No docts Mr Barnard's Larapadi of Fs 1184 Daul account of Fs 1210 Survey account of Fs 1232 Inam Awarja account of Fs 1236 Register of Fs 1249·63·68	Unknown	Nattuvan maniyam in Fs 1184 Extent 2-4 The same name & Extent in Fs 1210	The same name in Fs 1232 Extent as per col. 4	Uttaramerur Nattuvan & Mirudangam & Sruti kar for the time being (1) Muthuswami 35 (2) Subban 46	To be confirmed to the present holders & their successors so long as the service is regularly performed 28/9/61 A Ramachandra	T.D. No. 511 Conf Collr [illegible]
Devadayam 46	Wet 1196 1197	·12· ·12·6 1·8·6	0·99 1·02 2·01	3-1-10 3-3-8 6-5-6	For the service as dancing girl in the Pagoda of the Kanda Swami: The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera ·5·8 Collected when cultd	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	No docts Mr Barnard's Larapadi of Fs 1184 Daul account of Fs 1210 Survey account of Fs 1232 Inam Awarja account of Fs 1236 Register of Fs 1249·63·68	Unknown	Mena Kshi maniyam in Fs 1184 Extent · · The same name & Extent in Fs 1210	Dasi Minakshi maniyam in Fs 1232 Extent as per col. 4	Uttaramerur Dancing girls (for the time being) Akhilandam 30 Sivanandi 40	To be confirmed in one T.D. so long as the service is performed. 28/9/61 A Ramachandra DC	T.D. No. 512 Conf Collr [illegible]
Devadayam 47	Wet 821 823 826 827 828 822	·3·4 ·4· ·2·14 ·2·10 ·4·4 ·4·12 1·5·12	0·27 0·33 0·24 0·21 0·35 0·39 1·99 18-5	1-9-9 1-15-6 1-6-4 1-5-· 2-1-8 2-5-8 10-9-11	For the service as Dancing girl in the Pagoda of the Soundararaja Perumal The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera ·9·8 Collected when cultd	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	No docts Mr Barnard's Larapadi of Fs 1184 Daul account of Fs 1210 Survey account of Fs 1232 Inam Awarja account of Fs 1236 Register of Fs 1249·63·68	Unknown	Dasi Ranga Sayi maniyam in Fs 1184 Extent 2-· The same name & Extent in Fs 1210	The same name in Fs 1232 Extent as per col. 4	Uttaramerur Dancing girl for the time being Vani 45 Rangam 25	To be confirmed to the present holders & their successors so long as the service is rendered /9/61 A Ramachandra	T.D. No. 513 Conf Collr [illegible]

Note ~ Cases 46 & 48. The inams [illegible] 512 & 514 have been [illegible] for [illegible] and [illegible] B.23/3203 dated 6-12-32

[illegible] for Secy to DC 6·12·32

11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

Devadayam 48

Dry wet

1773 1-4-1.65

5-3-2.

[illegible] the service Dancing girl to the Pagoda of the Somasundra Varada Raja Perumal

The service is rendered to this day

Collected when called

the service rendered

Unknown

Tarapadi In dark Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F1184 Daul account of F1210 Survey account of F1232 Inamdarya account of F1236 Register of F1249 6368

Unknown

Dasi Malle maniyam in F1210 Extent 1-4-

The same name in F1232 Extent 1-4-

Attiramma Dancing girl for the time being Perundevi 28

"

"

To be confirmed to the present holder & her successors so long as the service is rendered.

28/9/61 - A Ramachandra DC

T.D. No. 511

Confirmed

21/8/1862

* Vide note of enfranchisement made against case no 46 (T.D. No 512) overleaf.

Inam abstract of the Village Uttaramallur (1) Madhurantakam Taluq

Description of Inam	Dry cawnis	annas	annas	acre	Wet cawnis	annas	annas	acre	Total cawnis	annas	annas	acre	Total assessment		Total quit rent Rupees		money commuted	Compulsory		Optional agreed	declined
Devadayam	—	—	—	—	53	13	8	71.97	53	13	8	71.97	469	13-7	26	11-5	—	—	—	—	—
Dharmadayam	—	—	—	—	2	5	10	3.10	2	5	10	3.10	31	5-2	1	13-9	—	—	—	—	—
Personal	—	—	—	—	51	1	12	67.47	51	1	12	67.47	503	5-1	28	12-3	57	—	—	57	—
Total	—	—	—	—	107	4	14	141.64	107	4	14	141.64	104	9-10	57	5-5	57	—	—	57	—
Service Inam	98	5	14	120.86	178	—	—	224.96	276	5	14	364.80									
Total according to present state	98	5	14	120.85	285	4	14	3765	380	10	12	497.44	1004	9-10	57	5-5	57			57	
Area as per Mr Barnard's Register Personal religious & grants other than service Inams	—	—	—	—	94	7	—	124.66	94	7	—	124.66									
Service Inam	74	7	—	93.26	146	6	—	192.21	220	13	—	285.47									
Total	74	7	—	93.26	240	13	—	317.87	315	4	—	411.13									
Increase	24	14	14	27.59	46	7	14	58.72	68	6	12	86.31									
Decrease																					

The increase is owing to the entry of the survey extent

A Ramachandra

Deputy Collector

Inam Commission