Register of Inam in the Village of Pudupatti Pon to 185 in the Taluq of Madhurantakam Madras District

There is no Double account for this Village

Sheet No 346

Thos Carrathy Register

Perumal Hathiranga
Rs 171 - 5-0 - 41 - 12-3
322 - 8-14 - 31 - 0-7
- 8-14 - 72 - 12-10

Pandaya Free Hands Inam. Not known. Not known. Not known

Shed-No 119

Ref No 196 Village Pasamkâr No 165 Madhurantakam Taluq

32/199

Village Mangalam (No 3) Madharanthakam Taluq

Rates of Assessment per Acre
Dry 1 1-12-0
Wet 1 3 3-4-0

General Remarks. This is a Paravari Village surveyed in Fs 1208 and adopted in Fs 1238. The Limits shown in the survey are

Description of Land	Number of Survey	Extent	Assessment
Dry	1155	2-13	
	118	1-6	1-12
		1-15	2-15
Wet	37	2-42	
		2-14	2-17

For the Service of Peramb Kandan Gramam & the Service of Kandan

Same as before

Same as the Punja Kandan

Taken from Fasli Fs 1184 Boundary account of Fs 1210 Survey account of Fs 1204 Register Fs 1250-68

Taken

Gramam Peramb Kandan Gramam in Fs 1184 Extent 2-4 in Fs 1210 Peramb Kandan Gramam Extent 2 in Fs 1210

Peramb Kandan Gramam in Fs 1234 Extent as per Col 6

Mangalam Peramb Kandan Gramam Superior for the time being Paradesi 70

16/9/61

To be continued for the remainder of the formed

A Ramachandra D.C.

Description of Land	Number of Survey	Extent	Assessment
Dry	1155	1-10-6	2-18
Peram	1152		

For Keeping up the Sluice & Sluice in the above Pagoda For Service of Kandan to the Village

Same as before

Same as the Service of Kandan

Taken from Fasli Fs 1184 Boundary account of Fs 1210 account of Fs 1234 Register Fs 1250-68

Taken

4 Tope Dry Extent 5-2-0 in Fs 1184 Gramam Kandi Tope 2 in Fs 1210

Peramb Kandan Gramam in Fs 1234 Extent as per Col 6

Mangalam Peramb Kandan Gramam Superior for the time being Paradesi 70

16/9/61.

To be continued for the present

A Ramachandra D.C.

Kandayam	3	9	6	43	0	5	1	0	0	2	8	14	6	0	13
Tank	10	0	8	29	0	10	1	5	2	1	4	5	5	1	7
Total Kandi	16	0	12	97	0	11	4	2	1	4	3	19	11	2	0

Area for the Peramb Kandi Peramb Kandan Gramam & Service Land

	3	9	6	62	0	4	0	0	0	3	11	4	9	0	
Waste Land															
Tank	9	5	0	16	0	1	2	1	0	1	10	3	8	0	
Total	13	4	7	78	0	5	2	1	0	5	5	8	17	0	

The Area as above is taken from the measurement of the Mera Ram & Chain

A Ramachandra D.C.

Ref. No. 161 Nirkundram Village Mashuranthakam Taluq

Ref. No. 162 Pudupatti Village Mashuranthakam Taluq

(Right no 40 Village of Mullai)

Mullai

Village Pudukkottai

21/9/61 A Ramachandra Pandithar

No 107

Village Pudukkottai

7/9/61 A Ramachandra Pandithar

35 Register of Inams in the Village of Eduppattu Zamindari Village (in the Mutha of Eduppattu No) in the Taluq of Madhuraintakam in the District of

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1	Sheet B 18 165	Dry	4	5.28		For the support of Pillaiyar Pannai Pagoda & Alladchi in Jalupe South Arcot Pagoda Maintenance	Rent free	Remarks	Not known	No Document	Inam Talaiyari & Alladchi Pannai	Dr. Barnard Surveyor & Alladchi Pannai Maniam Extent same	Dr. to the survey account Pannai Maniam Extent same	Alladchi Pannai Pagoda	Dharmakarta Ayyakilla Madhavan Adisesha Aya	To be continued at Long at the Pagoda in Maintenance 19th Sept. 1860 Madasawmy Pillai Head Asst	O.R. 8 383 cont Other wise as before
2		Dry	2	2.64	4	For the personal emoluments of the Holder	Rent free	Service Tenure	By Pandaram Adadhalli Tolum Date not known	Inam Granted by Mr. Dighton in Fasli 1178 A.D. 1778	Sri Alachchila Sivalakar	Dr. Barnard Surveyor & Alladchi Pannai Maniam Extent same	Dr. to the Survey account Inamdar Thrishapuri Achariya Maniam Extent same	Inam Village Inamdar Thrishapuri Alagiri Nolan Maniam Extent same	Sance Village Inamdar Thrishapuri Alagiri Nolan Maniam Extent same	Wife Age 40 Caste Sudra Occupation Daughter 26		To be confirmed in one Deed - & Rent at 4 Annas - agreeable - 18th August 1860 Madasawmy Pillai Dyer	O.R. 11 381 cont Other wise as before
		Dry	7	92	12							Dr. Barnard Surveyor & Alladchi Pannai Maniam Extent same	Dr. to the Survey account Mulla Fakir Maniam Extent same	Mulla Fakir Maniam Extent as per Fasli 1184 Dr. Pandaram Paul Sums name & Extent same							
		Dry	4	5.28	8	For the personal benefit of the Holder	Rent free	Service Tenure	By Barnard Surveyor Dulaccament of Trichy Register of Fasli 1268	Inam Granted by Mr. Barnard Surveyor Fasli 1184	Alattur	Dr. Barnard Surveyor & Alladchi Pannai Maniam Extent same	Dr. to the Survey account Mulla Fakir Maniam Extent as per Fasli 1184 Dr. Pandaram Paul Sums name & Extent same	Alattur				There are no Inamdars & this Inam being waste from a long time. To be assessed & charged with pulla apeepa	To be assessed Other wise as before

Inam Abstract of the Village of Eduppattu Zamindari Village Taluq - Madhuraintakam

Description of Inam	Dry	Wet	Garden	Total	Total Government	Total Quit-rent & Contribution
	A. M.	Acres A. M.	Acres A. M.	Acres A. M.	Acres	Rs. A. P. Paid in full
Inam Personal	10 — —	13.20	— — —	10 — — 13.20	20 — — —	— — —

37 Chinna Vellikadu Zamindari Village (in the Mutha of Vellikadu)

1	Pillaiyar Inam Land	Dry	— 4 —	.33	— 5 3	For the support of Pillaiyar Swami Mutham at Bomma-yapalayam in South Arcot	Rent free	Service Tenure	By Pandaram Adadhalli Tolum Date not known	Inam Granted by Mr. Barnard Surveyor Fasli 1184	Pillaiyar Swami	Dr. Barnard Surveyor Pillaiyar Maniam Dry — 4 — Wet — 4 —	Bomma-yapalayam in South Arcot Dr. Caliyar Swamis 18th Mutham (High Priest in the Temple) Pillaiyar Swamiyar								
		Wet	— 4 —	.33	— 6 —																
			— 8 —	.66	1 — 5 —							Dr. Pandaram Paul Sums name & Extent same									

Inam Abstract of the Village of Vellikadu Zamindari Village Taluq - Madhuraintakam

Description of Inam	Dry	Wet	Garden	Total	Total Government	Total Quit-rent & Contribution
	A. M.	Acres A. M.	Acres A. M.	Acres	Rs. A. P.	
Inam Pillaiyar Swami	— 4 —	.33	— 5 3	1 — 6 —	1 — 5 —	9 — — Paid in full
	— 4 —	.33				
	— 8 —	.66				

To be enquired in the Mutham as to if it is well kept up

O.R. 8 383 cont Other wise as before

A. Ramachandra 11/10/61

Register of Inams in the Village of Condur zamindari Village (in the Muttha of Condur) (No) in the Taluq of Madhumaitakaru in the District of Madras.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Estimated Assessment	No. of Survey Number	Extent	Nature of Tenure	Name of Inamdar	Description of Land	Whether Wet or Dry	Whether Irrigated from Tank, River, Channel, Well or Spring	Whether Cultivated or Waste	Whether Enjoyed by Inamdar or Let on Lease	Name of Tenant	Rent paid by Tenant	Remarks								
1	1-8-½-1-98	Wet 5-8-½ Dry	For the personal benefit of the holder	Sri Rajah Venkata Surappa Yajee Garu	Hereditary	Not known	No Document	Surappayer	In the Survey Account Surappayer Manium Wet A. 1.10 Dry A. 6.10 1-9-4	Condur Govindachariar Agent Paradesachariar	Vide Col. 13										

Remarks: Upon the death of the late Inamdar the Collector addressed a letter to the Agent requesting to know the name of the heir to the Inam, but no reply was received from him. The Collector then addressed the Tahsildar of Condur to enquire into the pedigree given in the Register and to report whether it was correct. The Tahsildar reported that the pedigree was correct and that the Inamdar died on the 15th September 1850. The Collector then addressed the Agent again requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a third time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fourth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fifth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a sixth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a seventh time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent an eighth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a ninth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a tenth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent an eleventh time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a twelfth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a thirteenth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fourteenth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fifteenth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a sixteenth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a seventeenth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent an eighteenth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a nineteenth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a twentieth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a twenty-first time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a twenty-second time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a twenty-third time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a twenty-fourth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a twenty-fifth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a twenty-sixth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a twenty-seventh time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a twenty-eighth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a twenty-ninth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a thirtieth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a thirty-first time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a thirty-second time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a thirty-third time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a thirty-fourth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a thirty-fifth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a thirty-sixth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a thirty-seventh time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a thirty-eighth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a thirty-ninth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fortieth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a forty-first time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a forty-second time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a forty-third time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a forty-fourth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a forty-fifth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a forty-sixth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a forty-seventh time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a forty-eighth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a forty-ninth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fiftieth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fifty-first time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fifty-second time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fifty-third time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fifty-fourth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fifty-fifth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fifty-sixth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fifty-seventh time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fifty-eighth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a fifty-ninth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a sixtieth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a sixty-first time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a sixty-second time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a sixty-third time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a sixty-fourth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a sixty-fifth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a sixty-sixth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a sixty-seventh time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a sixty-eighth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a sixty-ninth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a seventieth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a seventy-first time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a seventy-second time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a seventy-third time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a seventy-fourth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a seventy-fifth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a seventy-sixth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a seventy-seventh time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a seventy-eighth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a seventy-ninth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent an eightieth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent an eighty-first time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent an eighty-second time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent an eighty-third time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent an eighty-fourth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent an eighty-fifth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent an eighty-sixth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent an eighty-seventh time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent an eighty-eighth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent an eighty-ninth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a ninetieth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a ninety-first time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a ninety-second time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a ninety-third time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a ninety-fourth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a ninety-fifth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a ninety-sixth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a ninety-seventh time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a ninety-eighth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a ninety-ninth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received. The Collector then addressed the Agent a hundredth time requesting to be informed whether the pedigree was correct, but no reply was received.

Register of Inams in the Village of Vayalur zamindari Village (in the Muttha of Condur) (No) in the Taluq of Madhumaitakaru in the District of Madras.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Assessment	No. of Survey Number	Extent	Nature of Tenure	Name of Inamdar	Description of Land	Whether Wet or Dry	Whether Irrigated from Tank, River, Channel, Well or Spring	Whether Cultivated or Waste	Whether Enjoyed by Inamdar or Let on Lease	Name of Tenant	Rent paid by Tenant	Remarks								
1	6	1-13-8	For the personal benefit of the holder	Sri Rajah Venkata Yajee Garu	Hereditary	Not known	No Document	Surappayer	In the Survey Account Surappayer Manium Dry A. 1.10 Extent A. 1.14	Condur Govindachariar Agent Paradesachariar	Vide Col. 13										

Remarks: Vide Remarks in Col. 21 of the Register of Inams in the Village of Condur.

Register of Inams in the Village of Peria Velikkadu zamindari Village (in the Muttha of Peria Velikkadu) (No) in the Taluq of Madhumaitakaru in the District of Madras.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Assessment	No. of Survey Number	Extent	Nature of Tenure	Name of Inamdar	Description of Land	Whether Wet or Dry	Whether Irrigated from Tank, River, Channel, Well or Spring	Whether Cultivated or Waste	Whether Enjoyed by Inamdar or Let on Lease	Name of Tenant	Rent paid by Tenant	Remarks								
Dry Assessment	1	Wet 1-12-0	For the personal benefit of the holder	Sri Rajah Venkata Yajee Garu	Hereditary	Not known	Peria-aaa Tank	Cultivation	In the Survey Account Cultivation Dry A. 6.10 1-9-12	Govindaraja Pillai Agent Age 48	Cultivation	15th December 1850 Original Lease of the Nattham								
	6	Wet 1-4-0	For the personal benefit of the holder	Sri Rajah Venkata Yajee Garu	Hereditary	Not known	No Document	Cultivation	In the Survey Account Same name Total Dry A. 1.10 1-9-4	Sadagopa Mudaliar Agent Age 60	Cultivation										

Nattham Lease kept up.

Remarks: To be confirmed - there are 2 Natthams of the Accountant to Govindaraja Pillai Dated the 24th June 1850 - Agreed to Allow - Vide Col. 21 of the Register of Inams in the Village of Condur.

Inam Abstract of the Village of Peria Velikkadu zamindari Village Taluq - Madhumaitakaru

Description of Inams	Dry — Extent		Garden — Extent		Total Extent		Total Assessment	Total Quit rent & Kattubadi	
	A.	M.	Acres	A. M.	Acres	A. M.	Acres	Rupees	Rupees imposed
Brahmadeyam & Devadayam	"	"	2-00	2.64	"	2-00	2.64	Rs. 9-14-4	

Rs. 9-14-4

Ref No 20 J.J. Village Savanurbakam Mathura Taluk Taluq

Village Completed

Extract of the Village Savanurbakam Taluq

(197)

Village Completed

Extract of the Village Savanurbakam Taluq

Village Completed

Supplemental Sheet of the Jare Jamien Village Pudupatti Madhuranthakam Taluq

Dry Kalari Vellan 4 — 8 — 0.66 — 1 — —

Throthe Service Rolari Ponni Yemman The Service is rendered to this day

same day as the Service is rendered

Tanka

Sarapage Inam Sri Jack McBarnard Sarapage of Fi184 Dan Lawrence Fi210 Reporter of Fi68

same Tanka

Rolari maire Jems Fi184 Extent — 8 — The same Name Extent Fi210

The same name in Fi68

Pudupatti Rolari Ponneri Pennigyamman (Vulgar for the Stone being Annamalaipandaram) 22

To be confirmed FCM 4

to the Rolari to bar on the service as replaced be forwarded 20/12

11/10/66 McVanichandra

Village Completed

	Dry Extent	Dry Assessed	wet Extent	wet Assessed	Total Extent	Total Assessment
Sarakab	264 — —				264 — —	
Cultivated Pramboke	18 — 4 —				18 — 4 —	
Remainder	178 — 12 —	391 — 8 —		17 — 8 —	196 — 12 —	409 — —
Cultivated land	157 — 6 —	314 — 8 —			157 — 6 —	314 — 8 —
Remainder in the Tank	31 — 8 —	63 — — —	7 — —	31 — 8 —	38 — 8 —	96 — 8 —
Tank Bed Assessed	6 — 8 —	13 — — —			1 — 8 —	13 — — —
Total Assessed	25 — — —	50 — — —	7 — —	31 — 8 —	32 — — —	81 — 8 —

× Dry converted in to wet by 7 — —

T-D 1159