WDn

Regr 1146 Supplemental Register of Inams in the Village of Milpakam No 252 in the Taluq of Madurantakam of Madras District. Pg 286

Reg No 64

Sheet No 391

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
			From Tarabady Register																		T.D.P.
onal	Wet one crop	Sw 851 - 12.12 1.05	Case No 1 Personal		7-2-9	Vedanesty Manyem	Free	Heredy	Not Known	The accounts in Cols 14 and 15		Not Known	In Tarabady and Prandy Doml accts Fy 1251 Vedanesty Maniyem	In Pymash acct of Vedanesty Manyem	Yelanagir Sreenivasayyengar 70	Gt son of the supposed grantee		Wife 1 sons 3 Do's wives 2 6		To be confirmed in one T.D. 2 R at 1/8 As 14 agreed to T.R. 6/4/68	Confirmed on a quit rent of Annas 14. 18/2/69 1-4 T.D. 1903
~~Personal~~	~~Wet one crop~~	~~Sw 1256 - 4.6 .36~~			~~2-7-5~~	~~Panchanga Manyem~~	~~Do~~	~~Do~~	~~Do~~	~~Do~~		~~Do~~	~~In Tarabady and Roudry acct Panchanga Manyem~~ ~~supposed Grantee Nallayan (1)~~	~~In Pymash acct Panchanga Manyem~~	~~Alisur~~ ~~(1) Krishnayer 42~~ ~~(2) Balamma 27~~	~~(1) Gt Gt Gd son~~ ~~(2) Gd sons son's widow~~		~~(1) Brother 1 Do's wife 1 2~~ ~~(2) None~~	~~Family divided share equal~~	~~Notice sent No 2 — 6/4/66~~ ~~To be confirmed in one T.D. 2 R at 1/8 As (4) agreed to~~ ~~T.R. 8/4/68~~	Transfd to [illegible] Regr T.R. 27/7/68
2 Personal	Dry	Sw 864 - 12 - .99 865 - 4-10 .38 866 - 4-10 .38 867 - 5 6 .44 868 - 13-10 1.13 869 - 11-2 .92 3.3.6 4.24			2-10- 1-4-2 1-4-2 1-2-10 2-15-8 2-6-11 11-3-9	Sava Inam	Do	Do	Do	Do		Do	Not entered in Tarapady & Cowle	In Pymash acct Sava Manyem	Seeruva Conjeevaram (1) Rangachariyar 45 Melpakam (2) Raghavachariyar 60 Sevalimed of Conjeevaram Taluq (3) Appanayyengar 70 Ammayapponekir Widow (4) Raghunathachari-yar 40 Milpakam (5) Janakiyammal 45	(1) Daughter's son of Gd son of supposed grantee (2-3) Gt Gd sons of Do (4) Gd son of Gd son of Do (5) Gt Gd son of Do		(1) Wife 1 sons 2 3 (2) son 1 Do's wife 1 2 (3) son 1 Do's wife 1 2 (4) Wife 1 son 1 Brothers 2 Do's wife 1 Do's son 1 6 (5) None	The Inamdars say that this Inam was given to their ancestors from whom they inherit the Meerasee Village of Anukampakam in time immemorial for ~~h~~ permitting the dead bodies of the persons dying in the Village Milpakam to be burnt within the limits of their Village on the bed of the Holy River Bahunady — They further say that the Inam was purposely omitted in the old accounts to avoid the disgusting mention of its object — but it was entered in the Survey at some time before that survey, there was a complaint that the Inam was a concealment of Anukampakam Iyen land but that on enquiry the late Collr Mr [illegible]'s confirmed the Inam in consideration of its origin — The order on the subject being old is not among the existing Papers in the Taluq Records —		T.D.P. Confirmed as recommended on a quit rent of Rupee 1-8-0 18/2/69 1-4 T.D. 1904

The Inamdars allowed two weeks time to produce the order mentioned —

T.R.
6/4/68 —

From the Huzur Record keeper's report it appears that no such order was issued — But it seems that a Public Enquiry was made on the Complaint & the result reported to Collr in Tahsildar's Arzee 9th Jany 1833 No 213 — The Collr reported it to his Shetr for report; but it was not any how disposed of — Yet as from the evidence contained in the arzee it appears that the Inam had been at any rate enjoyed for 15 & 20 years prior to 1832 & that its [illegible] was supported by the Karnams of the Villages [illegible] pakam — the Inam becomes valid — To be Confd in one T.D. — 2 R at 1/8 Rs 1-8 — agreed to —

T.R.
27/7/68 —

Issumvar enjoyment

No	Shares
1	1
2	1
3	1/2
4	1/2
5	1
	4

Case No 2
Personal

Entd
[illegible]
25/8/68

Correct copy of the [illegible]

J. Robinson

INAM COMMISSIONER

Milpakam (Continued)

	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
4 Pharsadi ayam	Dry No 742	1-2-10	1-84		2-8-1		Thee Pert		Not Known	The accounts in Cols 14 and 15	Not Known	In Tarabady acct Porampoke Tank on the Tope south Gyleo 260.	In Pymash acct Kolakarai	Milpakam Kolakarai Tope Managers Village Community				This is a Banana Tope To be struck off	
3 — Oonadayar	Garden No -1543 No -1545 -2	. 1-6 . 1-" -6	-10 -08 -18		. 8-9 . 7-" . 15-9	Perumpoke Thuntottam & Paramanay — For the support of Varadisvaraswami Koil at Milpakam.	Do —	Do —	Do	Do —	Varadisvaraswami Koil.	In Tarabady acct Porampoke Varadisvaraswami Koil on the North Gyleo 32	In Pymash acct Varadisvaraswami Poramanie end Paidottam	Milpakam Varadisvaraswami Pagoda Pujari Komarasaimi Gurukal.				To be confirmed free in one T. D. so long as the Pagoda exists T.K. 6/4/68	Confirmed on present tenure 18/2/69 T.D. 1903
~~From~~ Grama Maunniem Regr Peramal	~~Dry~~ Wet one Crop. 1311	.7-10	.63		5-3-10	G. Kanny Inam.	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	In Tarappady Kanny Inam In Dowla Ur Inam In Pymash Ur Kanny Inam —		Melapakam (1) Mutichiyammal 80 (2) Muttiyamodelly 72 (3) Anandamodelly 68 (4) Andiyappamodelly 55 (5) Muttumodelly 42 (6) Nayinattemodelly 40 (7) Ramappamodelly 60 (8) Nayinattemodelly 30 (9) Sivagangaiyammal 18 (10) Nallannamodelly 35	(1) Widow of Gt gdson of supposed grantee (2) Gt Gt gdson of do (3) Gt son of Gt gd son of do (4) Do (5) Gt son of Gt gd son of do (6) Gt son of gd son of do (7) Do — (8) Do — (9) Widow of Gt gdson of do (10) Gt son of gd son of do	(1) None (2) Son 1 (3) Wife 1 son 1 = 2 (4) Wife 1 sons 3 = 4 (5) Mother 1 (6) Wife 1 Daughter 1 = 2 (7) Wife 1 sons 2 = 3 (8) Wife 1 (9) None (10) Mother 1 Wife 1 = 2		The ancestor of the Inamdars ~~were~~ was the original Meerasidar of the Village till it was a Mannevaday — It was then converted into an Aggraharam when the Meerasee was transferred by an act of the Ruling power to the ~~new~~ Bramins ~~thereof~~. As a Compensation the Inam was given to the descendants of the Grantee — & is exclusively enjoyed by them — The Meerasee character of the Village has since disappeared in consequence of the influx of the Paykarees who cultivated the lands in their own right — of the 50 Pattadars for the Village 38 including the Inamdars are Ulkudis & Sukkavasis — The Meerasidars are only 12 — The Inam is therefore treated as personal —	

Inamvers enjoyment.

No	Share
1	6
2	6
3	3
4	3
5	3
6	3
7	2
8	2
9	2
10	6
	36

The 9 Inamdars in Case who are the Meerasidars of the Village object to the right of the Inamdars in this Case & wish to be registered as the sole Inamdars because they are the sole Meerasidars. They refer to a former Teerved in the Revr Dept which on a dispute was issued that the matter should be adjudicated by a Punchayet Decree — No Punchayet however sat, & Nos 1 to 10 in Col 16 have retained the enjoyt. I have therefore referred the 9 disputants in question to Court to oust the present holders —

To be Confirmed in one T.D. QR at 1/8 As (10) agreed to —
T.K.
7/4/68 —

The two following Cases do not appear in the list of Fully assessed Inams received from the Central office — They appear however in the list of pending Cases, & of Cases for attachment — Yet they have not yet been interfered with by the Revi: officers but are in enjoyt by the Inamdars — In Case The Inam is entered in the Vernacular Regr as fully assessed — No Cause given — In Case , no Decision is recorded — I suppose that while the Collector lost sight of our application for attachment & for fully assessing — the two Cases were not sent to Central office in English — I therefore bring the Inams under settlement as they are claimed now —

[Vide next side]

Robinson
INAM COMMR

Regd 34 Village of Melpakam. **Village Melpakam** (continued) Madurantakam Tk, Chingleput D. P 281

1	2	3	4–6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16–17	18	19	20	21	22
Personal 6 [illegible] of Regr		Dry Dry — 107 Wet one Crop. 92 93 105	**Sheet No. 392** 2 — — 2.60 — 3-12 .72 — 9-4 .76 — 14-14 1.23 2 — 14 2.71 4 — 14 5.35	4-6- 4-14-9 5-3-3 5-5-10 15-7-10 22-13-10 Personal C 6 acres of Regr	Bhatavruty	Rice	Vendy	Not known	The accounts in Cols 14 and 15	Not known	In Tarakady and Doul acits Sinniyangar —	In Pyrnah acit of F 1245 Sinniyara yengar	Melpakam (1) Kanakammal 50 (2) Vijaya Ragha vachary 20 (3) Sinna streenevafsa Vara dayangar 60 (4) Janakiammal 60 (5) Streenevafsa Raghavachari 17	(1) Daughter of ~~[illegible]~~ son widow (2) Gt gt gd son (3) Gt gt gd son (4) Gt gd son's widow (5) Gt gd son's gd son	(1) None (2) Mother 1 wife 1 2 (3) wife — 1 sons — 3 son's Wives 2 gd son — 1 7 (4) step-daughter 1 step daughter's son 4 step-daughter's gd sons 2 step-daughter's son's Wives 4	step-daughter's gd son's daughter 1 12 (5) Gd mother 1 Mother 1 wife 1 3 Divided Family N 1 — 1 2 — 1 3 — 2 4 — 2 5 — 6 12	To be confirmed on one T. D. Rat 1/8 Rs 2-12- agreed to T. K. 7/4/68	Confirmed on a quit rent of Rs 2-12- . W. W. [illegible] 18/2/69 T. D. 1906
Personal for Rev.		Wet one Crop. Dry — 132 133	— 7-6 .61 — 6-10 .55 — 14 — 1.16	5-1-2 4-8-11 9-10- Do 7 acres of Regr	Do —	Do —	Do	Do —	Do —	Do —	In Tarakady and Doul acit to Venkatachary Mangyen —	In Pyrnah acit to Venkatacha Mangyen	Melpakam (1) Streenevafsa Raghavachary 40 (2) Trimalachary 70 (3) Vara Permal Iyengar 60 (4) Lakshmi ammal 30 (5) Kanakammal 50 (6) Vijayaraghavachary — 20 (7) Sinna Streenevafsa Varadayengar 60 (8) Janakiammal 60 (9) Streenevafsa Raghavachary 17	(1 & 2) Brother's gd sons (3) Brother's son (4) Brother's gt gd son's widow (5) Brother's gt gd son's son's daughter (6) Brother's gt gt gt gd son (7) Brother's gt gt gd son (8) Brother's gt gd son's widow (9) Brother's gt gt gt gd son	(1) wife — 1 son — 1 Brothers 2 Do's Wives 2 6 (2) wife — 1 Married Daughter 1 2 (3) wife — 1 son — 1 Married Daughter 1 3 (4) Mother-in-law 1 (5) None (6) Mother 1 wife 1 2 (7) wife — 1 sons — 3 Son's Wives 2 Gd son 1 7 (8) step-daughter 1 Do's son — 4 Do's Wives 4 Do's sons 2 Unmarried Daughter 1 12 (9) Gd mother 1 Mother 1 wife — 1	Family divided Shares — shares 1 — 12 2 — 12 3 — 6 4 — 6 5 — 1 6 — 1 7 — 2 8 — 2 9 — 6 48	To be confirmed on one T. D. Rat 1/8 Rs 1-4 agreed to — T. K. 7/4/68	Confirmed on a quit rent of Rupee 1-4- . W. W. [illegible] 18/2/69 1-4 T. D. 1902

Correction [illegible]

J. Robinson
Inam Commissioner.

Exd
[illegible]
25/8/68

Register of [illegible] in the Village of Julivai (No. 29) in the Talug of Madhurantakam (Uttaranmerur Division) in the District of Madras

1 · 3 · 4 · 7 · 8 · 9 · 10 · 11 · 12 · 13 · 14 · 15 · 16 · 17 · 18 · 19 · 20 · 21 · 22

Sheet No 39

187 / 185 — 6 4 / — 6 8 / — 12 12 1 05 — 4 4 4

For the personal benefit of the holder — Tax free — Hereditary — By Nawab Sa'datulla Khan — Same as per remarks of the Inam Register of 1810 — Venkates Nayagosiar — In Damod Jarabad Valughara Venkatrama Josiar Manium Do. Same as Extent as per Col. 3·4 — In the Survey account Valughara Venkatrama Josiar Manium — In Lands Same name & Extent — In the Inam Register Valughara Viraswami Grandson Extent same

Damod Viraswami Iyer age 67 — Keerapakkam 23 — Sriperumbudur Talug Same as the Registered man in Col. 14 his nephew — Total 2 Sons Wife

To be confirmed in one Deed — T. Rent at £ 4 Anas 16 — Agreed to 7th Oct 1860 — Wharasurra Pillay Holl

No 176 confirmed [illegible] on 9th Nov

1 4

Sheet No 39 Madurantagam T.K. Chingleput Dist

SRP No 215 / 216 — 6 2 / 5 6 / — 11 8 — 94 — 4 6 5

Do. — Tax free — Do. — By Sadat ulla Khan — Same as per remarks of the Inam Register of 1810 — Virasacha — In Damod Jaralam Naickippam Virasastri Manium Do. Same as Extent as per Col. 3·4 — In Lands Same name & Extent — In the Inam Register Satangi Suria narayana Sastri Grandson Extent same — In the Survey account Vaitkuppam Virasastri Manium

Tumbavanam in Sriperumbudur Talug — Suria narayana Sastri age 32 — Son Grandson Uncle's son — Chinna Appa Sastri 58 — Uncle's grandson — Mahadeva Sastri 50 — of the Registered Grantee in Col. 14 — Ramaswami Sastri — Arunachala Sastri 15 — Prabhakara Sastri 12 — Son — Son — Sons — Wife — Mother — Mother

To be confirmed in one Deed — T. Rent at £ 6 Anas 8 — Agreed to 15th Oct 1860 — Wharasurra Pillay Holl

No 177 confirmed [illegible] on 9th Nov

1 — 99 — 9 4 9

Fair [illegible]

Extent of the Village [illegible] Talug [illegible] Madurantakam				
Garden	Total		Total Assessment	Total Inam Settlement
Acres K. A. P.	Acres	K. A. P. Acres		Former
7	—	1 24 1 99	9 4 9	[illegible]

No 63

[illegible] Village of Andittingal (No. 24) in the Talug of Madhurantakam (Uttaranmerur Division) in the District of Madras

Personal Net — 1·65 — 10 15

For the personal benefit of the holder — Tax free — Hereditary — By Sadat ulla Khan — Same as per remarks of the Inam Register of 1810 — 6 — 17 — 179 — Venkatrama Iyer nagosiar — In Damod Jaramar Venkatrama Josiar Manium ~~Extent~~ illegible × — In Lands Same Nothing — In the Inam Register Valughar Viraswami Grandson Extent Do. — × the grandson being born in pieces — In the Survey account Venkatrama Josiar Manium Extent as per Col. 3·4

Damarla & Tangi in Kanchipuram Talug — Virasami Iyer age 67 — 2 Ramaswami Iyer 23 — Grandson 3 Sons Great grandson of Grantee — Wife — Nephew the same man

To be confirmed in one Deed — T. Rent at £ 4 Anas 4 — Tho' added objected to as high ~~that~~ he is the same that the land is irregular with rent deduct [illegible] — the rent has [illegible] — [illegible] — 12 [illegible] — 7th Sep 1860 — Wharasurra Pillay Holl

TT 178

I [illegible] confer [illegible] on a [illegible] of [illegible] their [illegible] supplement [illegible] the extent [illegible]

Fair [illegible]

26-12-80

[illegible] of Melpakam (No. 26) in the Taluq of Madhurantakam (Uttiranmerur Division)

1					8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	21
[illegible] 1	No: [illegible] 84 85	– 6 – 4 – 6 – 8 – 6 – 12 1 – 3 – 12	1. 62	13 – 8 – 2	For the personal benefit of the holder	Tax free	Hereditary	By Dost Ali Khan	Cownah Cowle as per remarks of the Inam Register of 1810	Tirumala Ramanuja Tatachari	In Barnard's Tarabadi Ayangari Maniam Wet Kani one In Ranndari Dauls same name & extent In the Inam Register Melpakam Srinivasa Tatachari grandson of grantee	In the survey account Ayangari Maniam Extent as per col: 3-4	Kanchipuram & Melpakkam Vedantam Ramanujachariar Age 40 Kumara Tatachariar 65 ~~[illegible]~~ 18	Son, brother's grandson & brother's grandson of the Registered grandson in Col: 14	2 Sons Son & grandsons	To be confirmed in one Deed to Ramanuja Tatachariar also an heir of the grantee as stated to have the actual enjoyment in the Inam in consequence of his exclusively enjoying an Inam belonging to him & these two Inamdars. Q. Rent at 1/8th Rs 1-8- (striking out the fraction pies) agreed to. 6th Sept 1860 M. Sadasiva Pillai Dy Coll.
Do 2	Dry No 910 911	– 9 – 4 – 9 – 0 1 – 2 – 4	1. 51	9 – 7 – 10	Do	Tax free	Do other circumstances elicited at the enquiry (See also remarks in the following case) – Q. Rent 4 as (reduced) agreed to 8th Sept 1860. M. Sadasiva Pillai Dy Coll.			Suryanarayana Sastria	In Barnard's Tarabadi Suryanarayana Sastriar Maniam Wet Kani one In Ranndari Dauls Same name & Extent In the Inam Register Nothing	In the survey account Suryanarayana Sastriar Maniam Extent as per Col. 3-4	Kanchipuram Subbasastri age 55 – ½ share Krishnayar 28 Seshayar 60 } ½ share	Undivided brothers great grandson & grandson son of grantee	4 Sons Wife Daughter	To be confirmed in one Deed – Q. Rent at 1/4th one Rupee which I would reduce by half the land being within the water spread of the village tank stated to be injuriously flooded – their ~~last~~ assertion I have reason to believe is true from
Do 3	Dry No 912 914	– 12 – 0 – 4 – 12 1 – 0 – 12	1. 39	8 – 11 – 2	Do	Tax free	Do that complaints are often preferred about other assessed lands similarly liable to injury in the same locality – I would therefore reduce the quit Rent by half or to 4 as 8 – which is agreed to 7th Sept 1860. M. Sadasiva Pillai Dy Coll.			Valughara Venkatrama Josiar	In Barnard's Tarabadi Valughara Venkatrama Josiar Maniam Wet Kani one In Ranndari Dauls Same name & Extent ~~[illegible]~~	In the survey account Valughara Venkatrama Josiar Maniam Extent as per Col: 3-4	Dasal Veerasami Josyar age 67 Ramasami Josyar 23	Sriperumbudur Taluk Grandson & great grandson of the grantee	3 Sons Wife	To be confirmed in one Deed – Q. Rent at 1/4th Rs 1-[illegible] agreed to

Fair

Abstract of the Village of Melpakam – Taluq – Madhurantakam

[illegible] of Inam	Dry R.A.P	Acres	Wet R.A.P	Acres	Garden R.A.P	Acres	Total R.A.P	Acres	Total Assessment	Total Quit rent & Kattubadi
										Rs
Total	"	"	3 – 6 – 12	4. 53	"	"	3 – 6 – 12	4. 53	31 – 11 – 2	– – "

65 Register of Inams in the Village of Sedachivakam (No. 49) in the Taluq of Madhurantakam Uttiranmerur Division [illegible] of Madras

1					8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	21
[illegible]	Wet No 79 84 256 258	– 6 – 2 – 12 – 12 – 8 – 14 – 12 – 12 2 – 8 – 8	3. 84	14 – 14 –	For the personal benefit of the holder	Tax free	Hereditary	By Nawab Anwardikhan	Cownah Cowle as per remarks of the Inam Register of 1810	Krishnam Bhattar	In Barnard's Tarabadi Krishnam Bhattar Maniam Wet R. A. P 2 – 4 – 0 In Ranndari Dauls Same name & Extent In the Inam Register	In the survey account Krishnam Bhattar Maniam Extent as per Col. 3-4	[illegible] Rangachari Age 65 Krishnachari 56 ~~[illegible]~~	Chetpat district Daughter's ~~[illegible]~~ sons ~~[illegible]~~ of the Registered Inamdar	[illegible] confirmed in one [illegible] Rent at 1/8 [illegible] Sept. 1860 M. Sadasiva Pillai Dy Coll. [illegible] represented that [illegible]

I would therefore strike out half Rupee out of the proper quit Rent & leave it at Rs 1 – 4 – which is agreed to [illegible] Sept. 1860 M. Sadasiva [illegible] Dy Coll.

T.D. 181

Regr No. 64 Village Melpakam Madhurantakam Taluq

Mel-Vol 4 0

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
	East 12 13 14	-1-12 -3-10 -1-12 1-6-2	0.14 0.30 0.14 0.58		1-8-6 3-2-9 -12-6 5-5-9	For the service of Varadeswarar. The service is rendered to this day	Sarva Inam	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	Tarapadi No docts Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F 1182 Dowl acct of F 1210 Survey acct of F 1245 Registers of F 1263	Unknown	Iswaran maniyam Extent -8- in F 1182 The same name & Extent	Iswara maniyam Extent as per Col 4 in F 1245	Melpakam Sri Varadeswarar (Priest for the time being Kumaraswami Gurukkal 25)			The Pagoda exists from a long time	2/7/61	The Inam is valid to be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the service is rendered. A Ramachandra Rao D.C.	T.D. 1128 Conf'd A.S. 20/9/62
...adayar	Wet 97 3	-8-10	0.71		4-7-9	For the service of Vedanta Desikar in the Pagoda of Sri Varada Rajaswami. The service is rendered to this day	Sarva Inam	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	No docts Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F 1182 Dowl acct of F 1210 Survey acct of F 1245 Registers of F 1263	Unknown	Desika Perumal maniyam Extent -8- in F 1182 The same name & Extent in F 1210	The same name & Extent as per Col 4 in F 1245	Conjeveram Vedanta Desikar (This is the image of a departed saint) (Managers for the time being Mangai Krishnama Chari 30)			This Pagoda is situated within the inner compound wall of the Sri Varada Raja Swami	2/7/61	To be confirmed to Vedanta Desikar so long as the service is regularly performed. A Ramachandra Rao D.C.	T.D. 1129 Conf'd A.S. do
~~...~~ Personal	Dry 53 7 Wet 82 83 1049	2-0-0 -8-12 -9-4 -14-14 2-0-14 4-0-14	2.64 0.72 0.76 1.23 2.71 5.35		4-6-0 5-15-10 6-5-1 10-2-4 22-7-3 26-13-3	Bhatavritti	Sarva Inam	Unknown		Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F 1182 Dowl acct of F 1210 Survey acct of F 1245 Registers of F 1263		Chinnayya Rangayyangar Extent 2-0-0 in F 1182 The same name & Extent in F 1210	The same name and Extent as per Col 4 1245	Melpakam (1) Srinivasa Raghavachari 8 (2) Srinivasa Varadachari 50	(1) grand son (2) son	(1) not yet married (2) 1 son		2/7/61	The Inam is valid 1/8 RR. Rs 3-4- A Ramachandra Rao D.C.	Settled on Supplemental Register W Horne 18/2/69
...onal	Wet 132 133	1-7-6 -6-8 1-14-0	0.61 0.54 1.15		6-7-3 5-12-9 12-4-0	Bhatavritti	Sarva Inam	Unknown	Unknown	No docts Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F 1182 Dowl acct of F 1210 Survey acct of F 1245 Registers of F 1263	Unknown	Venkata Charyar Extent 1-0-0 The same Extent in F 1210	The same name & Extent as per Col 1245	Melpakam				2/7/61	This Inam has ~~no claim~~ no claimant. It is said to belong to the Villagers, but the length of period has not been stated by the Karnam, who left the office without permission. To be resumed & charged with full assessment. The Karnam's statement 2/7/61 A Ramachandra Rao D.C.	Settled on Supplemental Regr 18.2.69 W.H. To be Resumed after due attachment do

Application for attachment 1/2/66 No 125

Application for attachment 1/2/66 No 125

x It will appear int the extent of the ... personal ... the parties ... 5/11/61

Devadayam	–	–	–	–	•	14	12	1.22	•	14	12	1.22	9	13	6	–	–	–	–
Personal	2	–	–	2.64	6	5	10	8.38	8	5	10	8.38	70	12	5	–	–	4	12
					5	7	10	7.23	7	7	10	9.38	58	8	5	–	–	5	12
Total	2	–	–	2.64	11	6	6	8.45	8	6	6	11.09	68	5	11	–	–	5	12
Service Inams	14	3	8	18.77	14	8	2	19.15	28	11	10	37.92						5	12
Total according to present acct	16	3	8	21.41	20	14	8	27.60	37	2	–	49.01	228	3	6	–	–	5	12
Area as per Mr Barnard's Tarapadi Personal religious grants other than service Inams	2	–	–	2.64	6	14	2	9.59	8	14	2	11.73							
Service Inams	10	–	–	13.20	12	–	14	15.91	22	–	14	29.11							
Total	12	–	–	15.84	18	15	8	25.00	30	15	–	40.84							
Increase ~~Decrease~~	4	3	8	5.57	1	15	8	2.60	6	3	–	8.17							

The increase is owing to the entry of the Survey area

(Signed) A Ramachandra Rao
Deputy Collector
Inam Commission

Ravathallur (Continued)
Madhurantakam Taluq

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	21	
...ayyan	Wet 111	-2-10	0.22		-14-0	For the service of Pillayar. The service is rendered to this day	Sarva Inam	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	Tarapadi No docts Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F 1182 Dowl acct of F 1210 Survey acct of F 1233 Registers of F 1263	Unknown	Pillayar maniyam Extent -2- in F 1182 The same name & Extent	The same name & Extent in F 1233	Ravathallur Pillayar (Pujari for the time being Kuruppa Pandaram 50)	To be confirmed to the Pillayar so long as the service is rendered	T.D. 1130 Conf'd A.S. do

Village Complete