Sheet No 246

No 140 Irrigapakam a Village

8 No 140

Jowar

Dry

Further Service of the Agrahara Irrigapakam

Tank

Tank

Dr Clerk of Mr Barnard's Irrigapakam of Fi184 Surveyancement of Fi237 Reg & Survey Fi1268

Tank

Devara manyam in Fi184 Extent —

The same name in Fi237 Extent as per Col 4

Irrigapakam Varada Raj Perumal

(Priest for the time being Seshama Naidu 28

To be confirmed the Rajpolu as long as the service is rendered

17/9/61 A Ramachandra Rao

9

Dry

Further service of the Agrahara Irrigapakam

Tank

Tank

Dr clerk of Mr Barnards Irrigapakam of Fi184 Survey assessment of Fi1237 Reg & Survey Fi1268

Tank

Devara manyam in Fi184 Extent —

The same name in Fi237 Extent as per Col 4

Irrigapakam Sri Ajastiswara Swami

(Priest for the time being Subraya Gurukal 60

The Inam is valid & to be confirmed the Rajpolu as long as the service is rendered

17/9/61 A Ramachandra Rao

Inam District of the Village Irrigapakam Taluq Chingleput

12

Jowar Garden

Total Sheet of the Village Walajapatt Madhuranthakam Taluq

3

Dry

Further Service of the Agrahara Irrigapakam

Tank

Tank

Dr Clerk of Mr Barnards Irrigapakam of Fi184 Daylancement of Fi210 Register of Fi268-68

Tank

Devara manyam in Fi184 Extent 2—— The same name in Fi210 Extent

The same name in Fi268 Extent as per Col 4

Irrigapakam Sri Valmiki Nathaswami

(Priest for the time being Kamakshi

Pujari for the time being Vedagiri Vaidyanatha Sastri 12

This Inam is not annual but Dry grant & to be confirmed as long as the Village exists & the service is rendered

25/9/61 A Ramachandra Rao

4

Dry

Further Service of the Agrahara Irrigapakam

Tank

Tank

Dr Clerk of Mr Barnards Irrigapakam of Fi184 Daylancement of Fi210 Register of Fi268-68

Tank

Pedaru Andhra manyam in Fi184 Extent 2-12 The same name & Extent in Fi210

The same name in Fi268 Extent as per Col 4

Walajapatt Agrahara Sellipuram (Pujari for the time being Vedagiri 60

To be confirmed the Rajpolu to be rendered the service

25/9/61 A Ramachandra Rao

Register of the Sivaswami Village — Mudaliarpalaiyam Village

Reg. No. 51

Mutt No. 61

247

Personal — Total Ayakat as per Parakrama Nambu of 1773 — 690--

Deduct Inams — 61-4--

628-12-0

Remainder

Wet
Cultivation 71-3--
Waste 5-5--
96-8-4 — 127-38

Dry
Cultivation 25-1-12
Waste 1626-4
1651-5-4 — 247-50

Total — 455-7

628-12-4 — 879-95

For the hereditary benefit of the Vedas — Cadi 250--- — Granted for 3 lives — Granted on 23rd July 1820 by the British Government as stated in the Collectors register of 1224. The grant comprises this and the 3 villages of Palayamcottai Tyravikam & Adaikkalapuram

Taraiyppa Mudali — Mr. Ramasamy Mudali accountant in the year 1774 Cat. 690--- In Dindl accounts of 1210 Cat. 690--- In Rajahs accounts of 1224.

Collectors register of 1821 Sadasivan alias Himarasa swami Mudali adopted son — Vellaisikannual aged 33 —

Exception of the ... appointed and his dead ... years ago leaving a ... Vellaiyakkamma ... relation Sundaranarayana Mudaliar to whom the deceased executed a will appointing him as his heir and enjoining him to pay to his (the testator's) widow a ... monthly allowance of Rs 10.

The widow applied to the Head for the registry of the village in her name who confirmed the will of her husband and upon appeal to Government she was referred to the Civil Court & the Principal Sudder Ameens Court the testator's will was set a side, the village and other forming the grant with the other property of the deceased were decreed to the testator's widow. The Civil Judge confirmed the decision of the lower Court and the case of Right Court Special appeal is not known.

This being a life Shrotriem village either of litigants whoever may be successful and declared as rightful heir by the High Court forms the 3 life on the expiration of which the village comprising the grant will revert to Government according to the terms of the grant.

This village could not be enfranchised against the order of Govt. No. of 15th October 1861 ... being ...

Confirmed to the widow in ... See remarks Reg. No 38 Pattiamma Taluk — 2/2/66

7365

Grant corrected

mentioning the rate applicable to turn it into a free hold.

By the time the permission of Government is obtained for enfranchisement the High court decision will settle the rightful successor.

The Inamdar right for the villages forming the grant belongs to the Raiots and the Shotriamdars have right only for the Melwaram or Govt. share transferred to the grantee in col 13 for his distinguished services in the accountant-General's office.

10/3

Shell No 68 Register of Inams in the Taluq of Pradhanantaram in the District of Pradhan A 1775

249 Return No 38 Palayanam Shrotriyem

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

As per account of Bernard Saraffadi of 1773

Personal Dry 200 — Wet 320 — Total 1020 —

Deduct Poramboke Dry 131 — Wet 250 4 — Total 381 4 —

Net remaining Dry — Wet 569 12 — Total 638 12 —

Deduct Inam Dry 8 — Wet 117 — Total 125 —

Net remaining Dry 61 — Wet 452 12 — Total 513 12 —

Add Poyal & Vettia Inam — Wet 13 —

Total Dry 61 — 80 52 — 130 — 1
Wet 465 12 — 614 79 — 3032 2 0

Total 526 12 — 695 31 — 3162 2 1

Average for one Fasly Dry 21 1 — 27 80 — 44 11 3
Wet 422 12 — 558 63 — 2752 6 18

Total 443 13 — 585 83 — 2797 51

Rate per acre Dry 1 9 10 Wet 4 14 11

As per Sraffadi of 1773 Pradhanam Sodi granted in the personal benefit of the Holder 1000

From 3 descendants of Ilive granted on the 7th February A 1228 by Govt

The Inam Commission has perused & returned the original documents produced by Pothuander

Inam Pradhanam

Romana Cauvilli Cauvilli Cauvilli Cauvilli original grantee

In the Pagoda account of 1249 Pothuam Pazhayalai book the account was received for information of the Trichy authorities

Chengalpat Valli Nayaka = minal age 33

Wife of the Reputed Nomana Inam Pradhan

Fasly	Dry Rent	Assessment	Wet Rent	Assessment	Garden Rent	Assessment	Total Rent	Assessment	Remarks	Total Assessment
1265	27-5-8	106-13-9	268-7-	2376-11	—	—	292-12-8	2483-9-3	—	2483-9-3
1266	48-1-	48-12-	436-11	3311-12-5	—	—	484-12-	3359-8-5	—	3359-8-5
1267	4-13-	8-15-8	219-10-12	2687-8-3	—	—	224-7-12	2696-4-11	—	2696-4-11
1268	26-2-	82-7-11	304-1-4	3127-	—	—	330-3-4	3209-7-11	—	3209-7-11
1269	19-11-	35-6-9	205-8-4	2311-6	—	—	225-3-4	2346-7-3	—	2346-7-3
1270	19-	49-8-	577-24	2670-15	—	—	596-24	2720-5	—	2720-5
1271	34-5-8	52-5-8	573-9-12	2832-10	—	—	607-15-4	2884-6-6	—	2884-6-6
1272	11-9-	31-1-	554-5-	2493-7-8	—	—	565-14-	2524-8-8	—	2524-8-8
1273	15-11-	29-7-6	552-	4-3032-15-8	—	—	567-11-4	3162-7-2	—	3062-7-2
1274	4-	4-	9-	539-	8-2682-1-7	—	—	543-8-2	2686-2-4	—	2686-2-4
Total	210-10-	448-15-	4227-8-	27524-4-5	—	—	4438-2-	27973-3-5	—	27973-3-5
Average per cultivated Fasly	21 1 —	44-11-3	422-12-	2752-6-11	—	—	443-13-	2797-51	—	27975-1-

Full bottled Good Black Sandy Loam

Irrigation: River Channel 1; Pool Channel 1; Tank with its sluices 3 in all, when full — 3

Written by Chengalpat R. Govinda Pillai (Signed) in Tamil

Harnam Iruvenkida Pillai
Harnam Balachelapilla Pillai

(Signed) S Sankara Ram
Tahsildar

Return No 51 Trichy alias Shrotriyem

As per Bernard Saraffadi account of 1773

Dry do — Wet 150 — Total 690 —

Deduct Poramboke Dry 321 12 — Wet 23 — Total 344 12 —

Remaining Dry 218 4 — Wet 127 — Total 345 4 —

Deduct Inam Dry 30 12 — Wet 33 12 — Total 64 8 —

Net remaining Dry 187 8 — Wet 93 4 — Total 280 12 —

Add Inam Dry 11 8 — Wet 9 12 — Total 21 4 —

Total Dry 199 — 262 78 — 288 10 11
Wet 103 — 135 96 — 367 3 3
Total 302 — 398 74 — 655 14 1

Average for one Fasly Dry 8 4 4 10 9 — 11 15 7
Wet 107 12 6 140 26 — 598 7 1
Garden 0 11 10 1 20 — 4 10 11
Total 116 164 152 57 — 610 1 7

Rate per acre Dry 0 0 1 Wet 1 1 1

Entered in Pazhayalai

Fasly	Dry Rent	Assessment	Wet Rent	Assessment	Garden Rent	Assessment	Total Rent	Assessment	Remarks	Total Assessment
1265	18-8-	47-14-6	92-4-	732-3-12-4	—	8-15-6-113	789-7-1	—	—	789-7-1
1266	—	—	128-7	1030-7-13-2-1	—	13-5-1-130-8	1163-14-11	—	Assessment is fixed for this Village at the rate	1163-14-11
1267	—	—	63-14-	591-2-9-1-8	—	6-5-1-65-6	597-7-10	—	per acre of the adjoining Taravari Village	597-7-10
1268	26-4-	33-7-6	102-8-	595-7-10-2-1	—	10-13-8-130-13-	639-13-	—	Bounds, Village, Dry per acre, Wet per acre, Assessment rate per acre of the Village	639-13-
1269	—	—	81-13-	761-11-1-4	—	7-5-8-85-1-	769-8-	—	Out to Black Kollel and Dry 1-10-6, 4-9-6, 18-1-, 633-8-1, Wet 67-9-1, Total	769-8-8
1270	—	—	115-8-	243-7-11	—	—	115-8-	243-7-11	Trichy, Arangha Perumal Dry 15-9-5-8-4, 10-11-10, 790-9-4, 801-5-	243-7-11
1271	—	—	126-1-	500-15-11	—	—	126-1-	500-15-11	Kollel, Do —	500-15-11
1272	—	—	122-14-	501-1-4	—	—	122-14-	501-1-4	Salt, Kollel 1-6-11, 4-1-1, 15-0, 578-10-8, 594-4-8	501-1-4
1273	87-15-38	4-11-123	14-4-431	12-1-	—	—	161-13-6	470-1-	—	470-1-
1274	—	—	121-	8-545-9-9	—	—	121-8-	545-9-9	—	545-9-9
Total of 10 Fasly	82-11-	119-10-11	1077-12-4	3934-7-6	9-2-	16-15-1	169-9-4	6100-15-5	—	6100-15-5
Average	8 4 4	11-15-7	107-12-6	393-7-8	0-14-10	1-10-11	16-16-4	610-1-7	—	610-1-7

Irrigation: A tank with its sluices supply water for 6 months

Written by R Chengalpat Govinda Ram (Signed) S Sankara Ram Tahsildar

(Signed) Arumuga Krishna Rau

(Sd) C Rama Rau
Ag Circuit Sedr

Exactly copied

Ryot no 43 Iperwakeam Shrotriyem

Ryot Pakkiri Ramasami Sarappadi
account of 1773

Wet	115-4-
Dry	4-12-
Total	120
Deduct Remains Wet	15-12-
Dry	4-12-
Total	20-8-
Remaining Wet	99-8-
Deduct Remains Wet	28-12-
Remaining Wet	70-12-
Add Remains Wet	10-4-
Total Wet	81 — 106-92 — 408-12-
Average per one acre according to the Calculation 10 Pags Wet	0-4-2 0-39 — — 6-8-
	669-10-8792 — 336-3-
Total	66-14-6 8831 — 336-6-4

Ryot Ratisperumal
Dry 1-2-1
Wet 3-13-2-

Entered in Valagam

Land	Dry Assessment	Assessment	Wet Assessment	Assessment	Garden Assessment	Assessment	Total Assessment	Assessment	Remarks (Colums)	Total
1265	2-8-	3-8-	61-9-	469-10-7	—	—	64-1-	473-2-7	4-	477-2-7
1266	-8-	-10-6	82-12-	560-10-11	-9-8-1	-6-3-83	14-8-562-11-8		4-	566-1-8
1267	—	—	34-4-	8-263-8-9	-4-8-3	-8-34-8-8	216-12-5		4-	216-12-5
1268	—	—	70-3-	360-11-7	-8-12-1	-1-70-11-12	361-12-8-4		4-	365-12-8
1269	—	—	68-7-	296-4-5	-2-13-8	-8-5-4-	69-4-8-299-9-4		5-	304-9-9
1270	—	—	50-15-	99-15-6	—	—	50-15-	699-15-6	5-	104-15-6
1271	—	—	74-7-	251-5-6	-0-11-4-8-1	-8-6-75-5-8	252-11-		—	252-11-
1272	—	—	74-4-	347-10-10	-0-11-4-8-4	-4-6-75-2-8	351-11-2		—	351-11-2
1273	—	—	75-6-	375-5-7	-0-9-4-8-2	-8-8-75-15-8	377-11-3		—	377-11-3
1274	—	—	73-11-	8-394-15-3	-0-3-12-1	-12-11-73-15-4	396-12-2		—	396-12-2
Total	7-10-	3-4-2-6	666 —	3360-2-11	4-14-	18-4-9-	673-11-3383-4-2-26		—	3409-4-2
Average	-4-2	-0-8-	66-9-10	336-3-	-7-12-1	-11-3	67-6-2 338-5-2	-9-7	340-14-9	

Total Collected

Written by Chengalpat Govinda Rau (Signed) Venkata Rau Savilda

The assessment is fixed for this village at the rate for a Speck of Garden Saravari village

	Assessment for 10 years Calculation Wet	Assessment Total Village
Boundaries, Villages, Dry Grain, Wet Grain, Garden	-8-11-357-10-1	358-3-
Pazhur 1-6-11-4-1-1		

Irrigation.
If this Saravari Kelen Tank be filled it will supply water for 6 Months.

Ryot no 80 Kolambakam Shrotriyem

Ryot Pakkiri Ramasami Sarappadi
account of 1773

Wet	220-
Dry	7-
Total	227
Deduct Remains Wet	53-8-
Remaining Dry	17-
Wet	169-8-
Total	173-8-
Deduct Remains Wet	28-12-
Wet remaining	—
Dry	7 — 9-24 — 13-10-
Wet	137-12-19-32 — 480-12-5
Total	144-12-1917- — 494-6-5

Ryot Peraee
Dry 1-8-2
Wet 2-6-4

Entered in Pazhagalu

	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Total	Assessment	Remarks (Colums)	Total
1265	2-12-3-	-5	34-3-	109-10-11	—	—	36-15-	112-11-4-8	—	120-11-4-
1266	1-4-	-5-4-11	24-3-	191-3-	—	—	25-7-	197-1-11-8	—	205-1-11
1267	1-4-	-5-6-	26-6-	196-13-	—	—	27-10-	201-13-6-8	—	209-13-6
1268	—	—	9-1-	8-41-9-7-	—	—	9-1-8-41-9-7	8-	—	49-9-7
1269	—	—	-14-	2-10-	—	—	-14-2-10-	-8-	—	10-10-
1270	—	—	14-2-	15-1-1	—	—	14-2-15-1-1	8-	—	23-1-1
1271	—	—	21-	46-15-2	—	—	21-	46-15-2-8	—	64-15-2
1272	4-6-4-	-6-	39-6-	8-73-12-6	—	—	43-12-8-78-2-6	8-	—	76-2-6
1273	—	—	35-	-8-83-12-6	—	—	35-8-83-12-6	8-	—	91-12-6
1274	—	—	50-1-	129-6-3-	—	—	50-1-	129-6-3-8-	—	137-6-3-
Total	9-10-18-	-5-10	254-	6-8-890-14-	—	—	263-15-8-909-3-10	80	—	989-3-10
Average	-15-1-13-4		35-7-	89-1-5	—	—	26-6-90-14-9	8-	—	98-14-9

Average Calculation for one Land

Written by Chengalpat Govinda Rau (Signed) (Signed in marks)

Venkata Rau Savilda

The assessment is fixed for this village at the rate of Saravari Villages on 4 sides of it.

	Assessment for Calculation Wet	Assessment Total Village of Kolamba Kam
Boundaries, Villages, Dry Grain, Wet Grain, Garden	-3-10-	9- 121-7- 121-7-
Outturn Detail Attima Kam		

Sulli Dry
Wet Pallam Wet Kam 1-5-3-3-6-1-10-6-107-15-3-104-7-9
Total Devadanam 1-10-6-3-12-1-2-1-125-12-9-37-8-10

When the tank Ettattangal is full it will supply water for one Month.

Madhiveranta Kam
12th November 1805

(True Copy)

P. Chengalrao
Asst to the
Collr

Received

Statement

Village 250

Ayakat

Cultivation

Extent

Description	Extent Acs.	Rate per acre.	Assessment	Kani Acres	Assessment	Kani Acs	Assessment	Kani Acres	Assessment
Dry 61	80 52	1 9 10	150	1 21 1	27 80	44 4 3	27	35 64	57 8 9
Wet 465 12	614 79	4 14 11	3022	2 422 12	555 3	2752 6 10	164	64 157 80	679 10 9
526 12	695 31		3162	2 443 13	583 83	2797 5 1	131	64 173 44	737 3 6
Dry 199	262 68	1 1 7	288	10 10 8	44 10 91	11 15 7	63	83 16	91 3 6
Wet 103	135 96	4 2 9	567	3 3 107	12 6 142	26 593 7 1	12	15 84	66 1 6
Garden			53	2 9		4 10 11			
302	398 64				855 14 1	116 154	1562 37	610 1 7	75 99 02
Dry		1 1 2			4 12	0 39	6 8		0 4 2
Wet 81	106 92	3 8 2	408	12 66	9 10	87 92	336 3 15	19 80	75 15 8
81	106 92		408	12 66	14 6	88 31	336 6 11	15 19 80	75 15 84
Dry 7	9 24	1 8 2	13	10 5	1 24	1 154	4 6 5	77	8 115
Wet 137 12	181 88	2 10 4	480	12 5	25 7	33 57	89 1 5	70 92	40 189 9
144 12	191 7				494 6	5 26 6	34 81	90 14 9	74 6 98
Dry 267	352 44				432 4 11	30 9	40 34	59 1 10	94 6 124
Wet 787	1034 50				4485 15 8	622 9	821 78	5770 15 7	251 64 265
Garden						4 10	1 20	4 10 11	
1054 8	1391 94				5921 2 7	654 0	873 32	3834 12 4	295 12 4 390

Total of 15 villages

Extent

Description	Extent Acs.	Rate per acre.	Assessment	Kani Acres	Assessment	Kani Acs	Assessment	Kani Acres	Assessment
Dry 57	89 48	1	63 44	102 7					
Wet 679	10 9	527 2 4	695 83	3422 1 7					
737	3 6	575 3 4	759 27	3554 8 7					
91	3 6	71 4 4	94 07	163 8 1					
66	1 6	119 12 6	158 19	659 8 1					
		4 10	1 20	4 10 11					
157	4 6	191 154	253 37	767 6 1					
				0 4 2	39	6 8			
75	15 8	9 10	107 72	44 53					
75	15 84	14 6	108 11	42 54					
8	115	5 5	7 01	10 89					
189	9 8	95 7	125 97	238 01					
148	51	105 12	132 98	295 10					
157	7 8	121 15	164 91	216 96					
961	4 5	823 15 4	1087 63	4732 4					
		4 10	1 20	4 10 11					

This village has been for some years back in good circumstances, cultivation has already reached its highest standard & the irrigation has been in a most improved state. The whole of the Ayakat has been cultivated and so portion of the available Paramboke has been included in the proposed assessment that there is very little probability of cultivation receiving further extension. The present assessment of Rs 3554 is far in excess of what it would pitch by Government of brought under the Paravari settlement, because the average assessment in this village is Rs 4-6-11 while the average assessment of the surrounding Govt villages is Rs 2-3-2 per acre.

The Pumpsets in this village is of a very superior description & is well worth the labour of cultivation & of course cultivation has been carried to an extent of 85-16 acres that is eight times the average cultivation has been admitted as available wet & though the Ryots & the Sirkumdars were very much against

This village does not require any remarks at all except that it has got insufficient irrigation & having no separate tank of its own and depending on the Kanmoi Tank of Kandur village.

This village has got no means of irrigation & is wholly dependent on rain & is not at all inhabited. The little cultivation that has been made has been raised principally by wells dug by the Ryots & the low state of the produce shows the inferiority of soil; the only way to induce the Ryots to take to would be to assess this village at the lowest rate of Punja; & even then there is very little probability of the whole Ayakat being ever cultivated as it is being inundated by the tank water of Attimari village; so that after a deduction of Kani &c the remainder has been included in the class of cultivable unassessed at Rs 2 and Rs 1-8-2 per acre, the average of the surrounding villages. In the reduction of the Karaikari or the raised Punja to the Punja class is under contemplation & the reduction of Punja assessment in Government villages is shortly to be effected, this proposed arrangement appears to the Judicious.

The expected profit of the Sirkumdar in this being Rs 1333. after deducting the expenses. The half permanent assessment on these villages would be Rs 2666 according to the rules of the Commission. Considering that no allowance has been made for contingencies, the permanent assessment would; it would appear, be rather disadvantageous to Government. This necessity of reducing these villages under the fasli bound becomes apparent when we take into consideration the long time that the present Sirkumdar has got these villages now being about 55 years old.

Madura Rangachariar
Circuit Inspector
Eleven villages

Deduct
Charges of Management 238-8-5
Do of Sirkumdi 370-—
This is allowed at the rate allowed for the Avalur Sirkumdar 620-8-5 620-8-5
Remainder 1333-—
Deduct Peishcush Jodi 1000-—
Remainder 3333-—

Signed in Telugu
Sd/- C Venkata Rao
Sd/- C Rama Rao
Sd/- T Ramachandra Rao
Tahsildar

Sd/- P Chentsalrao

Sheet No 668

Page 228

| | | |

(378) Shrotriyam—

Kélavalam— Madrantakam Taluq— Madras District—

Page No 666

There is no original Vernacular Register of Former Enquiries forthcoming for this Village—

Register

Sheet No 177

2	Dasa dayem	W.t 1—0—1·32	5-8-1	For the Support of Kari Varada Raja Perumal Pagoda at Kélava-lam	Free	Pay 2	Not known	The account is Collo 14 & 15	Not known	In the Taraffdy of Poondi Dowl & Pymash Perumal Mannayem	Kélavalam Kari Varadaraja Perumal Pagoda Pujari Lakshmi Iyengar	The Inam does not appear in A or B statements received from Central office and as I think that it was not settled by former Dy Collectors, I find that the Inam continues Saree without any interference on the part of Govt. I entertain the claim made by the Village Community on behalf of the Institution & register it none—	Confirmed in present tenure. The Title Deed will be sent to the Collr with a request that it may be delivered

villagers have failed Pagoda and Lechund is hurt to be seen

(Letter 15th Sept 171)

Page No 667

Register of the Village of Mannayadee New No 226 in the Taluq of Madurantakam in the Dist of Chingl

Sold 576

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21

1	Dharma dayam	Dry																		
		1187	—14—6	1·19	1—15—6	1—15—6	This is a Pole and 3 fus the main tenance of the Institution Visit Choultry Pannaindi Trees 17 Mango — 4 Illupai — 10 Cocoanut — 10	Free	Hereditary	Not known	No document	Jyalu Chetty	There is neither the Pymash Perumal Tarafdy nor Fasli 1252 the Sirs Towlu entered as account	In the Pymash account of Chokka Linga Chetty	Chennappatta name of Madras Manager of Dharmaluja Chetty age 25	Son of the Pymash dec	1 Mother 1 Wife 1 Son 2 Brothers	Family undivided share equal	To be enjoyed rent free as long as the Institution is maintained Vide G. O. dated 31st October 1870 No 1678.	See Govt. Order dated 31st Aug 1870 No 1678. Confirmed. Sd Free to the Chatrum. Office Memo 28-3-71
		1190	—6—6	·52	—14—	—14—														
		1191	—6—6	·52	—14—	—13—														
		1194	2-3-4	2·91	4-13—	6-13—														
		Total	3-14-6	5·14	8-8-6	8-8-6														

Jyal applied

Chingleput Collectors office 3 Sydapet 14th March 1871.

W Brown Asst Collector.

J. Dore 2167

Extract Letter No 75 of 1871

Emunda 17 Sornavalur (15) Syed Abdul Kadar 26 (16) Badesha 38

1st June 1868 C. No 8— To be Confirmed in one F.C. Total Rs 3-8- agreed to— J-K 29/7/68—

108n Shrotriyam—

(378) Kilaralam- Madrantakam Talug- Madras District—

Page No 666

There is no original Vernacular Register of Former Enquiries forthcoming for this Village—

Sheet No 3/7

(Letter 6 Collr Sept 171)

2	Dasa dayem	W.T 1—00—1'32	5-8-1 For the Support of Hari Varada Raja Perumal Pagoda at Kilara = lam	Free Pot 2	Not known	The account in Colr 14 & 15	Not known	In the Tarafpady & Poudri Dewb & Pymash Perumal Mannayem	Kilavalam Hari Varadaraja Perumal Pagoda Pujari Sa'sha'dri Tyengar	The Inam does not appear in A or B Statements received from Central office and as I think that it was not settled by former Dt Collectors, & find that the Inam continues I see no objection I entertain the claim made by the Village Community on behalf of the Institution & register it now—	Confirmed on present tenure. The Title Deed will be sent to the Colr with a request that it may be delivered when the Pagoda has been restored. Colr should also be asked to strike the case off the fully assessed list forwarded to the Colr with the Commrs letter dated

At the village Conference to enlist the Parents and as no sum is proposed in another page a refusal to pay shewn be fully answered see Colr's letter 20 Feb 1869 No 666

14/3/69

remond— There has been a fund of Rs 200 raised by the Village Community for restoring the Pagoda by way of Premato Subscription— and the Villagers hand was executed before me a Mochilka heidging themselves to restore the Pagoda building in 12 Months I have sent the instrument to Tahsn and asked him to report in time direct to the Commr how far the deed was acted up to—

But as even in the absence of the Pagoda building, the Inam can not be resumed so long as the Puja is observed I recommend that the Inam may be confirmed on one I-C so long as the Deity is worshipped— T K 15/4/68

Letter Commrs dated 10 Rs 2174 8.7.69 W Thomas

3	Personal	W.T Wet Land 5—00—6·60	27-8-5 For Personal benefit	Do Henry	Do	Do	Do	In Tarafpady Doubs & Pymash Syed Ismail Maunniem	Kulladhpas (1) Syed Hasan Sahib 60 (2) Syed Abdul Hakim 28 (3) Syed Manthuna Mohadin 24 Kilavazam (4) Syed Yakub 30 (5) Syed Hasatulla 16 (6) Syed Kazaratulla 8 (7) Nurnisa 13 (8) Badesha—3 (9) Syed Ithanu Hussein 25 (10) Syed Mahomed Mohadin 13— (11) Syed Nuroha 10 (12) Bingamli 29 (13) Ahchunnisa 25 (14) Fyimunnisa 17 Soorumanalur (15) Syed Abdul Kadar 26 (16) Badesha 38	Nos 1 to 16 are Descendants & have heirs—	I have received the Commissioners Yadast 4th Feby 1868 No 1 sending the annexed Ticket (Copy) given by Mr. Ladasinam Pillay for the Inam & asking me to register the Inam as no English Regr for it was received in the Central office— one Syed Gulam Mohadin gives in a statement in the Names of 5 persons including himself— But as the Ticket gives 16, I Register these 16 persons as a more correct representation of the several shares extracting their Names from the Copy of the English Register for Cauge 2 in Palamanettur as being of the same family— the above Copy having been furnished to me at my request with the Commissioners Yadast of the 9th June 1868 C & Co 8— To be confirmed in one T C 2 Rat 1/2 Es 3-8- agreed to— T K 29/7/68—	Confirmed on a quitrent of Rs 3-8-0— J W Braun 15/2/69 1-4 10 Rs 2172

Personal Sheet