[illegible] No. 601.

Register of Inams in the Village of *Kaltavam* (No. 499) in the Taluk of *[illegible]antakam* in the District of Chingleput.

Class, Extent and Value of Inam: Survey number and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, Wet or Garden.	Extent: Local Measure. Even where the local measure is in Acres, Goontas, and Annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent: English measure.	Survey Assessment. Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryot to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land should be entered in column 7.	Revised or present Assessment.	Description, Tenure, and Documents in support of the Inam: Description of Inam. If for Service, it is to be stated whether the Service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up.	Tenure: Whether free of tax, i. e., Sarva, Dumbala, &c., or liable to quit-rent, i. e., Jodi, Kattubadi, Bediga, &c. Amount of latter to be entered.	Tenure: Hereditary, unconditional, for life only, or for 2 or more lives.	By whom granted and in what year.	Written Instruments in support of the claim. Original Sanad, all Miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced, or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced, should be given.	Name and Relationship of Original Grantee and of subsequent and present Holders:—Length of Possession: Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the Register prepared according to Reg. XXXI of 1802, or in the Permanent Settlement Accounts; and relationship of persons so entered to original grantee.	Name entered in the Survey, or in any subsequent accounts; and relationship to predecessors.	Particulars regarding Present Owners. If the Inam has been sub-divided, the name, &c. of each sharer should be entered in these columns: Name and age.	Place of residence.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.	REMARKS. An abstract of the Government Order in every case of lapse already reported must be entered in this column. Sub-Collector's opinion and recommendation.	Decision of the Inam Commissioner or his Assistants.
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Wet S. No. 70 Paimaish No. 424 No. 441	Cawnies 0.12.14	Acres 1.21	Paimaish assessment Rs. As. P. 10-4-1	Survey assessment Rs. As. P. 6-1--	"	Free	Hereditary	Not Known	"	Sivarama Sastry	Not Known	Not Known	Venkataramier 36, Vaithianathaier 31, Chinnasivamier 28; Rajagopala Sastri 17, Son of Ramasami Sastry.	Cheyur	Great Grandsons of the original Inamdar; Grandson of the uncle of original Inamdar	Vaithyanatha Iyer and Rajagopala Sastri are unmarried; Venkatarama Iyer and Chinna Swamy Iyer have no issue; The names of their wives are not known.		[signature] Ag. Collector.	[illegible] 22/12

The individual Venkataramaiyer whose name is entered in Col: 16 of this register applied to the Inam Commissioner's office for the issue of a Title Deed for the Annadana Inam in the village of Kaltavam and enclosed an endorsement issued to him by the Tahsildar (based on the order of the Sub Collector) to the effect that the Inam was resumed. On a perusal of the Inam register the Inam Commissioner (Mr Grose) was of opinion that the order of his predecessor (Mr W. T. Blair) to attach the Inam as well as that of the Sub Collector to resume and fully assess it for default of appearance of the Petitioner were erroneous because [illegible] the issue of a Title Deed for the Inam & referred the matter to the Collector of Chingleput for report. The Collector in his reply stated that the Inam referred to was not fully assessed till January 1865 & that on the petitioner applying for a Title Deed in 1884 it was discovered that the [illegible] previous order (recorded on the Inam register) had not up to that date been carried out; that the then Sub Collector thereupon ordered that the land should be fully assessed but that he (the Collector) had no objection to the land being treated as Inam as proposed by the Commissioner. The Collector was accordingly asked to submit a fresh register according to present enjoyment as there might have been changes owing to lapse of time. The Collector replied that [illegible] subsequent to the transfer of the land from Inam to Ayan certain individuals had Darkhasted for the land & that it had been assigned to them. On further enquiry the Darkhastdars are stated to be willing to give up the land in favor of the claimant. As I find [illegible] title to the Inam is valid & that the Inam is more than 50 years [illegible], it is confirmed on a quit rent [illegible] as 12.

[illegible] District Collector's Office, [illegible], 20th September 1887.

DESPATCHED 21 SEP 87

Fair Copy

[initials]