[illegible] No. 2 6 … Inams in the Village of Tenambakam … Conjeevaram.

Sheet No. 202

Tenambakam Village
Conjeevaram Taluq

1	Personal 1	Wet No. 248	" -13-6	1·10	6-9-3	For the personal benefit of the holder	Tax free	Hereditary		Tenbakam	Sitarama-charar & Son Narasimma chari	In Barnard's Tarapadi of 1773 Honeri Adyar manium Wet 1 " " In the Inam Reg. of 1810 - Tolur Krustnamachari ~~great~~ grandson	In the Survey account of 1247 fusli Honerirayar manium extent as per Col. 3&4	Kanchipuram Ventetramanachariar age 50 - ½ Share Annachariar 36 Govindachariar 30 ⅓ Share Rajachariar 40 Aryachariar 38 Kistachariar 26	Daughter's Sons of ~~the~~ Narasimma chari in Col. 13	Wife Wife Wife " "		To be confirmed on a Deed - T.D. Rent at ½th Annas 14 - Agreed to - 18th Oct. 1860 - Mhadasiva Pillai Dy. Coll.	T.D. No. 1426	Conf.d 29 Septr.
2	Personal 2	Wet Nos. 43 606	1 " 4 " 7-14 1-8-2	1·34 0·65 1·99	7-5-11 5-8-2 12-14-1	Bhatavritti For the personal benefit of the holder	Sowa [illegible] free	Hereditary	Wy[illegible] Natob Sadatcha chari (year unknown)	Entered [illegible] Reg. of 1819 Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F1183 Daulaccount of F1210 Survey account of F1247 Register of 1819 F1263	Raghunatha Chari	In Barnard's Tarapadi of F1183 ~~1773~~ Janardhana Chari manium Wet 2 " " The same in Daul account Narasimha Chari in 1819 great grandson	In the Survey account of 1247 fusli Janardhanachari manium extent as per Col. 3&4	Vishnu Kanchi (1) Raghunatha Chari 25 (2) Rajachari alias Narasimha Chari 30	great grandson (1) ~~grandson~~ (2) not related	(1) wife (2) Wife	No. (2) is said to have acquired a portion of the Inam by gift made to the great grandson of the grantee several years ago. The transfer is admitted by No. (1). 17/6/61	The Inam is valid. To be confirmed on a T.D. To No. (1) Q.R. Rs. 14 as. agreed to. To No. (2) Q.R. 10 as. Chengalpatt. A Ramachandra Rao D.C.	T.D. No. 1427 1428	Conf.d do 25 25
		Share held No. (1) 1·34 7-5-11 No. (2) 0·65 5-8-2																		
3	Personal 3	Wet No. 410	" -14-4	1·18	7 " "	For the personal benefit of the holder	Tax free	Hereditary	Pillalokachariar ~~Sons~~ Heirs ~~Sons~~ now claiming have not held the Inam within their memory -		Pillalokha Chariar	In Barnard's Tarapadi of 1773 Pillalokha Chari manium Wet 1 " " In the Reg. of 1810 P. Srinivasavarada chariar great grandson	In the Survey account of 1247 fusli Pillalokha Chari manium extent as per Col. 3&4	Chinnakanchipuram Pretivadibhayankaram (1) Vedantachariar age 47 Anantachariar 46 Venketachariar 35 Srinivasavaradachariar 45 Krustnamachariar 70 Srinivasachariar 70 Ponnurangadammal 55 Mangamachariammal 65	Daughter's Sons great grandsons of grantee's Daughter's Son having acquired by gift -	Wife Wife Wife 2 Sons 2 [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~		The gift Deed is not produced & said to be lost - a male descendant of the Pillalokha Chariar family claims the Inam as his alleging that ~~[illegible]~~ the Inam is being enjoyed by incumbents on Rent - It is not shown that Rent has been paid or Rent deed executed & the villagers declare that the	T.D. No. 1429	Conf.d do

On the other hand it is shown by entries in previous accounts & other evidence that the present incumbents have long held the Inam as if it were their own by mortgaging the Inam to others - Under these circumstances I am bound to register as shown in Col. 16 & to decline entertaining the claim of the male heir of the original grantee in that of a party long out of possession - T.D. Rent at ½th ~~[illegible]~~ Annas [illegible] Compulsory - 5th Nov. 1860. Mhadasiva Pillai Dy. Coll.

not traced 4		Wet Nos. 877 879	" -8-10 ~~" -15-14~~ 1-8-8	" ·71 ~~[illegible]~~ 2·02	1-14-2 10-6-8 12-4-10	Bhatavritti For the personal benefit of the holder	Sarvamanyam Tax free	Hereditary	Unknown	Undoubted Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F1183 Daulaccount of F1210 Survey account of F1247 Register of F1263	Unknown	In Barnard's Tarapadi of F1183 ~~1773~~ Singaiyar manium Wet 2 " " Singara Chari in F1220	In the Survey account of 1247 fusli Kalyana Kotti manium extent as per Col. 3&4 grandson	Vishnu Kanchi Rangayengar 36	adopted son to grantee's great grandson	wife	The present holder [illegible] to a distant [illegible] previous to the adoption.	To be confirmed to the present incumbent subject to Q.R. Rs. 1-8-0 A Ramachandra Rao D.C. 17/6/61	T.D. No. 1430	Conf.d do
5	Devadayam 5	Wet Nos. 1024 1025	1-1-4 " -9-6 1-10-10	2·20	16-15-8 9-3-5 26-3-1	For the support of Darga at Kanchipuram known as Haji Sayani Darga Darga kept up	Tax free	Permanent as long as the Darga is kept up	Not Known	Documents lost	~~Not~~ ~~Known~~ Haji Sayani Darga	In Barnard's Tarapadi of 1773 Fakir manium Wet 2 " "	In the Survey account of 1247 fusli Fakir manium extent as per Col. 3&4	Chinnakanchipuram Haider Hussain age 80			Agreement	The Inam ~~[illegible]~~ to be continued as long as the Darga is kept up & the incumbent who is in possession. 25th Oct. 1860 Mhadasiva Pillai Dy. Coll. The Inam is under mortgage with [illegible] who however agrees to contribute towards the support of the Darga	T.D. No. 1431	Conf.d do 25
6	Devadayam 6	Wet No. 188	" -2-10	·22	1-11-7	For the support of Perundevi Tayar at Kanchipuram	Tax free	Permanent	Not known	No Documents 27th Oct. 1860 - Mhadasiva Pillai Dy. Coll.	Perundevi Tayar	In Barnard's Tarapadi none In Barnard's Daul none In the Inam Register none	In the Survey account of 1247 Perundevi Tayar manium Wet " 2 "	Vishnu Kanchipuram Perundevi Tayar Pagoda		Archaka Vasudeva Bhattar		This is an Inam granted by the ex Sherishtadar during his tenure of this village of which he now holds a moiety, the other half coming on to Govt. in fusli 1248 & Jamabandi settlement made, the Inam was continued [illegible]	T.D. No. 1501	Conf.d 1/4

Fair Copied

6-15-10

Seen [illegible]

7	1845	9 8 2 1 3	✓	support of Mannar Swami Pagoda at Tenambakkam Kept up -							1247 fusli Mannarswami maniyam extent as per col. 34	Mannarswami Pagoda [illegible]		free [illegible] of the same origin as the [illegible] & [illegible] the same authority - to be continued subject to the maintenance of the temple The Inam is valid 1/8 [illegible] Rs 13/4	T.Dn 1432 Conf 29 Sept 62 8
Personal 8	Wet Nos 377 427	- 12 12 1.05 6-4-11 - 14 8 1.20 7-5- 1-11-4 2.25 13-9-4	✓	Bhatavritti for the personal benefit of the holder	Sarva Inam free	Hereditary	Unknown	No docts ~~Mr Barnard's~~ Dowl account of F 1210 Survey account of F 1247 Register of F 1263 Inam [illegible] of F 12	~~Balachari maniyam in F 1210~~ Unknown	Balachari maniyam in F 1210	In the survey account of 1247 fusli Balachari maniyam extent as per col. 34	Raja [illegible] Tanjore Dt - Ramachari Venkobachari	Caste [illegible] under [illegible] 4th Aug 60 - 12/4/62 A Ramachandra Rao DC	
~~Personal~~ Devadayam 9	Wet Nos 429 1250	- 6 2 0.51 1-5-5 - 6 2 0.50 1-5-5 - 12-4 1.01 2-10-10	✓	~~For the personal benefit of the holder~~ For service of Kuttadi during the festival of Palani [illegible] at Tenambakkam the service is rendered	Tax free	~~Hereditary~~ ~~Unknown~~ So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	No docts Survey account of F 1247 Register of F 1263 ** performed subject to 10 annas. 17/6/61 A Ramachandra Rao DC	Unknown	″	In the survey account of 1247 fusli Kuttadi maniyam extent as per col. 34	Velli Vatan Kuttadi for the time being Dhanakoti 19	This Inam does not appear in the earlier accts - It is said to have been granted by the late Shrotriemdar Kristnaji Pandit upwards of 60 years ago. As there are no docts to show the age of the inam *** *** I would recommend the confirmation of the inam to present holder & her successors as the service of Kuttadi is regularly ****	T.Dn 1433 Conf do
Devadayam 10	Wet 174 189	- 6 12 0.56 - 6 8 0.53 13-4 1.09	✓ 6-10-4 3-3-2 9-13-6	For the service of Ganga ~~dhare~~ Iswarar The service is rendered to this day	Sarva Inam	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	No docts Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F 1183 Dowl account of F 1210 Survey account of F 1247 Register of F 1263	Unknown	Iswaran maniyam in F 1183	The same in F 1247	Tenambakkam Sri Gangadhareswara swami (Priest for the time being Nilakanteswara Gurukkal 50)	The Pagoda exists from time immemorial	The Inam is valid. To be confirmed to the pagoda so long as the service is regularly performed. 17/6/61 A Ramachandra Rao DC T.Dn 1434 Conf do
Swamdayam 11	Wet 173 379	- 7 10 0.63 - 8 2 0.6[illegible] - 15 12 1.3[illegible]	7-8-2 3-15-11 11-7-1	For the service of Venu Gopala ~~Swamy~~ The service is rendered to this day	Sarva Inam	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	No docts Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F 1183 Dowl account of F 1210 Survey account of F 1247 Register of F 1263	Unknown	Perumal maniyam in F 1183	The same F 1247	Tenambakkam Sri Venugopala ~~P~~ swami (Priest for the time being Kuppa Bhattar 35)	The Pagoda exists from a long time	To be confirmed to the pagoda so long as the service is regularly performed. 17/6/61 A Ramachandra Rao T.Dn 1435 Conf do 21
Swamdayam 12	Wet 1105	- 8 - 0.66	1-12-	For the service of Pidari ~~Yellamma~~ The service is rendered to this day	Sarva Inam	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	No docts Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F 1183 Dowl account of F 1210 Survey account of F 1247 Register of F 1263	Unknown	Pidari maniyam in F 1183	The same in F 1247	Tenambakkam Pidari ~~Yellamma~~ (Pujari for the time being Appasami 20)		To be confirmed to the Pidari so long as the service is regularly performed. 17/6/61 A Ramachandra Rao DC T.Dn 1436 Conf do

Copied

4/5 6

Reg^r No / 83 206 Tenambakam Revenue No [illegible] Inam Division Conjivaram Taluq ~~Madras~~ District.

P 132

Dry	Rate	Wet 2 Crops		Wet 1 Crop		Garden
2	10 "	16	8	11	"	7 "
1	12 "	12	12	9	8	
"	"	"	"	7	12	
"	"	"	"	5	4	
"	"	"	"	3	8	

Sheet no 415

Reg^r No 83
Dry Rate
1 2-10-..
2 1-12-..
3 ..
4
5
Immovably

1 Personal	Manavary Dry (1) Do 1798 1 . 12 . 2.31 1790 1 4 . 1.65 3 . . 3.96	6 2 . 4 6 . 10 8 .	Vedavritty Inam. Case No 1 Personal	Free	Adz	Not known	The accts in Cols 14 & 15	Not known	In Tarabady acct of AD 1773 Vedavritty Inam In Roudry Doulacct of Fl 1210 Vedavritty Inam.	In Pymash acct of Fl 1267 Vedavritty Inam Wet Rs 3 . .	None		This Inam is immemorial waste. Its situation in the bed of the Tank having made it unfit. No one is in enjoyment from the last 50 years. To be therefore fully assessed. T-K 10/3/68	Carry to Alien Assess fully 10/10/69 JM
2 Personal	Wet one Crop Do 1012 . 13 & 1.10 1121 . 13 . 1.07 1 . 10 . 2.17 Case No 2 Personal	6 8 . 4 4 . 10 12 .	Attukal Inam having been given as Compensation to the Villagers of Shenilimedu for the loss they sustained by a portion of their lands having been taken by a River Channel dug for this Village	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do -	In Tarabady Attukal Inam In Roudry Do.	In Pymash acct Attukal Inam Wet Rs 1-10-6.	Village community of Shenilimedu		This Inam was given to the Village community of Shenilimedu as a compensation for the loss sustained by a portion of the lands in that Village having been occupied by a Channel dug for the benefit of this Village. To be confirmed in one T.O. & Rent at 1/8 R 1-4-0 agreed to. T-K 10/3/68	DDP as an [illegible] Confirm ~~[illegible]~~ JM 10/10/69 Quit-rent Rs [illegible] 24/11/69 JM T D No 2005
3 Personal Vydien Manyem Polam	435 Wet one Crop 6-8 .54 Case No 3 Personal Vydien Manyem Polam	2-2 .	Vydier Inam or Doctor's Inam.	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do -	In Tarabady Vydier Inam In Roudry Do.	In Pymash Vydier Inam. Wet Rs "7"	Sinna Conjivaram Devarajayyengar 60 Believed to be a descendant	wife 1 Daughter 2nd 2 (4) Co's son 1 (3) 4	1. One Devarajayyengar who is the descendant of the grantee claims that the field No 435 which is now Government land .54 included in the Tyen half of this Village as his original Inam. It appears from the Enquiry that at the Pymash, the original Inam field 435 was included among the Varapatta lands, and in lieu thereof the present field No 1785 was entered as the Inam. The new field is situated in the Tank bed and utterly unfit.	DDP Confirmed on further rates Entitled to be ... field 1785 acre 0.58 assess. Rs 1-8-0 Quit rent Six ... 10/10/69 JM E4 VIII

2. The claimant had before the Survey rented the Origl Field to Iyenvatti Subbachary and was in the receipt of the rent he had been paying to him until his death which took place 5 years ago. He remained in ignorance of the Trick played on him by his renter till his son to whom (a) he applied for rents refused to pay him any then. He then asked the Villagers for his Inam land and they pointed out to him the new Survey field 1785.

3. It is indeed painful that an Innocent & ignorant Inamdar should be subjected to the loss of his Inam for the unfair trick played on him by the Village Mirasidars and by the Deceitful renter. True that the Origl Inam has paid Revenue for upwards of 12 years. But as there is no doubt of the position of the origl Inam and the field name itself "Vydien Manyem Polam" — establishes that position in addition to the recognition of the Villagers, and as the practice of the District appears to have been to grant new lands in lieu of even the old Inams rendered unfit, I would recommend that in justice to the Inamdar, the Survey field now entered in the survey accounts as the Inam No 1785 may be fully assessed and that the Origl field No 435 confirmed * 7- to him on a 1/8 Q R of Rs Four — agreed to.

T-K
3/3/69

* Acres Assmt
.58 1-8

Corrections in this page (8) Eight

A. Gilenkeene
Examd

W. Robinson
Inám Commissioner.

0.58

T. D. No
2004

Entd
NA
2-10-69

(42) Conjee Do

Zenambakam Revenue No 42 Conjeeveram Division Conjeeveram Taluq Madras (Continued)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	20	
4	Personal	No 1792	4	33	① Mannapery Dry	14	Cow herds Inam	Free	Hedy	Not known	The acct in Cols 14 & 15	Not known	In Taraburd & Doul Cow herds Inam.	In Pymash Cow herds Inam. Wet R 2-4-1	None			This Inam is situated in the bed of the Tank & unfit for cultivation. Immemorial waste enjoyed ~~by~~. No claimant whatever either before me or before my Predecessor. To be therefore fully assessed. T.R 10/3/68	Declared Assessable 10/4/69
5	Personal Wet 1 Crop	No 382	3	16	32	1 14	Kadami or snake Doctor's Inam.	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	In Taraburd & Doul Kadami Inam	In Pymash Kadami Inam Wet R 3 14	Zenambakam Saravanan	Eldest son of alleged 18. grantee	No spur	To be confirmed in one T.D. Q.R. at 1/4 As 8. Compulsory. T.R 10/3/68	Z.D. Confd at one fourth Quitrent of Rs 0-8-0 10/4/69 VIII T.D. No 2003
6	Devadayam Dry	No 1846	13	10	1-12	1 8	Tope	Do	Part	Do	Do	Mannarsawmy	In Taraburd Mannar swami Kovil	In Pymash acct Mannar swami Kovil Tope Dry R 1-1-10	Zenambakam Mannarswamy Pagoda Pujari Varada Pillai			This is a Tope grown for the benefit of the Pagoda on land entered in all the accounts as the Pagoda Poramboke Item. To be confirmed free in one T.D. so long as the Pagoda & its Tope exist. T.R 10/3/68	Z.D. Confirmed free 10/4/69 VIII T.D. No 2002
7	Devadayam Dry	No 769		10	05	1	Flower Garden	Do	Do	Do	Do	Padary Yettiyammankovil	In Taraburd Padari Pagoda	In Pymash Padary Kovil Puritottam	Zenambakam Padari Yettiyamman Pagoda Flower garden Pujari Atti			To be confirmed free in one T.D. so long as the Flower garden exists. T.R 10/3/68	Z.D. Confirmed free 10/4/69 VIII T.D. No 2001
8	Devadayam Garden	No 2072	1	10	13	11	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	Gengadhara Iswaran Kovil	In Taraburd Iswaran Pagoda	In Pymash acct Gengadhara Iswaran Kovil Pundottam	Zenambakam Gengadhara Iswaran Pagoda Flower garden Pujari Nilakanta Gurukal			To be confirmed in one T.D. free so long as the Flower garden exists. T.R 10/3/68	Z.D. Confirmed free 10/4/69 VIII T.D. No 2000

6/133

Fair copy Exd

Corrections in this page (2) two Examined

J. R. Robinson
Inam Commissioner.

(42) Supplemental Inám Register in the Village of Tenambakam in the Taluk of Conjevaram Madras District. (Continued) P. ~~42~~ 134

Sheet No. 416

Regr. No. ~~33~~ 206

al ¼ Re one crop
No. 377 .. 13 13 1 05
– 427 .. 11 8 1 20
1 11 2 2.25

6-3 (1)
7-0 (2)
12-3 (3)

Bhatta vrity Sunnud Inam — Free — Hereditary — Not known — The Accounts in Col. 14 & 15 — Bálá chary

Case No 9 Personal

(1) ~~Appeal Petition~~ No 7 of 1868 from Latchumanáchary.

Memorandum 4th March 1868 No. 33

The Inám claimed by the Petitioner is situated in the Shrotriyam Village of Tenambakam in the Conjeevaram Taluk. It does not appear in the Tarapady Acct of A D 1773, but is entered in the Roudri Doul of F 1210th as Báláchary's Inam. It appears in the Survey Act of F 1247 confirmed by Govt officers for this Village also as Báláchary's Inam. Petitioner represents himself as the great grand son of the Grantee Báláchary and this so far as my enquiry goes appears also to be true.

The Shrotriyam grant was older than the time when the Tarapady was framed. The Inám is a subsequent grant by the Shrotriyemdar made to Báláchary between 1773 & 1810 as is remarked in the Survey Accts.

Similar subsequent Ináms in this Village noted in Margin appear to have been confirmed as old Ináms and the T. Deeds issued for them probably because their enfranchisement was not objected to by the Shrotriyemdar. The present Shrotriyemdars have no objection to the Inam being confirmed to Petr.

Half of this Village only is held at present in Shrotriyam tenure, the other half having been resumed by Govt in F 1248 & 1250.

The Inám in question does not appear in A or B Statements furnished for my guidance from the Central office.

But at the request of the Dy Collector B. Gopala Iya, it has been placed under attachment under Collr's order from F 1275 for default of the Inámdar to appear before him for settlement.

Petitioner did not claim the Inám within the Prescribed time but made an application to Tahr on 3d June 1867. Nothing was done by Tahr upon it.

Case
1 Mannarsami Pagoda 7
2 Perundevi Pagoda Archakam 6
3 Kuttadi Inam 9

Wet
Field one crop — Acre
377 — 1.05
427 — 1.20
2.25

Orders upon the above Memo:

Under the above Circumstances I consider that the Inam can be released from attachment with past Proceeds – & confirmed to the Petr. The Enfranchisement of this Inam is equivalent to the separate confirmation of a share in the Shrotriam –

(Signed) P. Chentsal Rao
Acting Spl Asst
20/3/68

(Signed) W. T. Blair

In Tarapady Act of A D 1773 Not entered.
In Roudri Doul Act of F 1210 Báláchary Mánium 1 1 1.
In Survey Act of F 1247 Bálácháry Mánium 1 1 1. 2 .. ..

16 & 17	18	19
Combaconum (1) Venkobáchary 56.	(1) Great grand son	(1) Mother 1, Wife 1, Son 1, Daughter in law 1 — 4.
Raja Munnar Kovil (2) Kristnachariyar 38.	(2) D°	(2) Wife 1, Sons 2 — 3
Myavaram (3) Rámáchariyar 35.	(3) D°	(3) Wife 1
Combaconum (4) Bhimáchariyar 40.	(4) D°	(4) Wife 1, Sons 3, Daughter in law 1 — 5.
Combaconum (5) Lutchmanáchariar 40.	(5) D°	(5) Wife 1, Sons 2, Daughter in law 1 — 4.
Péruvelanthur (6) Vásoodaváchariyar 42.	(6) D° to the grantee	(6) Wife 1, Son 1 — 2.

This is a subsequent Inam granted by the Shrotriemdar erroneously placed under attachment.

Commissioner's orders on the ~~enty~~ (5) Memo: submitted by me, reporting on the claims advanced on behalf of the parties in Cols 16 & 17 – dated 4th March 1868 No. 33 – are solicited – The Memo: is re-written in the Margin for easy reference –

T. K.
11/3/68 –

Under the orders of the Commissioner noted in Margin, the Case is brought on this Register & settled

To be Confirmed in one T. D. & Q. R. at ⅛ Rs 1-12 – agreed to – ~~the~~ (6)

T. K.
25/2/69.

SDR

Confirmed at an upset rate Quit rent Rs. an. pie twelve Rs. 1.12.0 (Sd)
11/10/69

I a

T. D. No 1999

Corrections in this page (7) Seven.

Examined

Commissioner.

For Entry N. A. K.
R. 10.-69

(42) Perambakam Continued.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

p ~~184~~ 185 The question now is whether this Inám being clearly subsequent was cognizable by the Dy. Collr. of the Commission & whether the proceedings taken to attach it were correct? If not so, I would recommend that the attachment may be withdrawn at once and the Inám left alone. I would also think that viewing the attachment quashed on the above ground, the Inám can be brought under settlement like similar Ináms noted before, as it is only now that the willingness of the Inamdar to enfranchise and the consent of the Shrotriemdars to such an enfranchisement is known. Orders on the subject of this claim are solicited for my guidance...

(Signed) T. Krishna Rao
Dy. Collr. & Agt.
4/3/68. (Copy) T.K.

Corrections in this page None.
T. Mudaliar
Examiner

W. Robinson
Inám Commissioner.

Fair copied