Regr. No. (349) Third Do

1135

Sengad Revenue No. 349. Sriperambudur Division Conjeveram Taluq Madras District.

Sheet No. 484

pp 25 & 254

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Rates Dry		Wet 1 Crop		Manavari Dry	Garden
1st	2 3 .	1st	6 . .	. .	7 . . .
2d	1 12 .	2d	4 12 .	4 8	
3d	1 5 .	3d	3 12 .	3 8	
		4th	2 8 .	2 8	

Regr. No. 185

This was formerly a Zamindari Village — At the Zamindary time which preceded the Zamin tenure the Village was a Jungle & there were no Inams — The Inams on this Regr. & those entered in the other Service Regr. are stated to have been granted by the Zamindar — The Inams on this Regr. would be therefore treated as subsequent, ~~as the exact~~ unless where their validity is otherwise proved —

None of the Inams are entered in the Tarappady or in the Cowle — & to the Tyjnaah entry alone is given in Cols. 14 & 15 of the Register —

Personal

Dry				
186	2 . 6 . 2	3 . 06	4	1
187	1 . 10 . 14	2 . 22	2	15
	4 . .	5 . 28	7	.

Wet 1 Crop				
294	. 4 4	. 35	.	10
301	. 3 .	. 25	1	2
302	. 5 14	. 48	2	3
324	. 3 .	. 25	.	14
841	. 9 14	. 81	2	5
842	. 6 . 2	. 51	1	7
	2 . 2	2 . 65	9	9
	6 . 2	7 . 93	16	9

Case No. 1 Personal

Saguvali Inam — Granted by the late Zamindar for reclaiming & cultivation

Free Not known / 18 Punguni Sukla / 29th March 1810 — ~~The effect in~~ Cols. 14 & 15 Zamindar's Cowle (3) (R) Panamar — (4) Vurla = ma Naick

Service Inam tho' it seems to have been since treated as such — Nor is it a Grama Inam since no land holders of the Village in which there is no Meerasy have a common interest in it —

If hereafter the holders appear it should appear that the Inam is a Service one it will have to be transferred to Service Regr. — J.K. 29/7/69 —

On Examining the Cowle on Cadjan produced by the Inamdars, & conferring with the people, I am inclined to consider the Inam as personal & granted for the Grantee having reclaimed the jungle — It further appears the Grantee while on the work of reclaiming jungle, took as partner one Muculrayzaung a ½ share — His son Sangamaraz abandoned & then the heirs of these 2 persons added another Eyalu Naick dividing the Inam then in 3 equal shares — Sangamaraz left the Country years ago making over the Inam share to one Kondarazu —

In Tyjnaah of F. 1249. Saguvali Inam —

Cowle 12 By Zamindar Annatakani Anantachary on 29th March 1810 —

To be confirmed in one T.D. — 2 Rat 1/4 Compulsory — Rs. 4-4-.. — J.K. 29/7/69 —

Jun. Apd

~~Sengaped~~ (5) ~~Kondapray~~ Do (6) Hussain Nagaram Mazara Mappied

(1) Veeralami Naick 45 — (1) 2d son to Grantee

Sengad (2) Kondama = raja — 52 — (2) Transferee of ... (6) Grantee's Coheir's son

(3) Eyalu Naick 60 — (3) Coheir

(1) Mother 1, wife 1, Sons 2, Daughters married 2, Do. Not Do. 1, Brothers 3, Brs. wives 3, Brs. sons 4, Brs. Daughters unmarried 3 — 20

(2) Wife 1, Sons 5, Daughters married 2, unmarried 1 — 9

(3) wife 1, Sons 4, Son's wife 1, Grandson 1, Daughters married 3 — 10

Share equal

Absent — To be attached. J.K. 29/7/69.

Karnam says that the inam was granted originally to 2 men — 1st Meenakrayar & 2d P. Rangappa Naick — ~~the first man's son Sangaraju~~ who were the Head man or Monigars that encouraged the Cultivation & being Managars — The 1st man's son Sangarazu was dismissed from office 10 or 12 years ago & the Inam was transferred then from him to 2 persons (Eyalu Naidu & Kondaraz) with the consent of the Incumbent who has left the Country after selling his Patta lands — So that the Inam is now held by a Descendt. of the 2d Grantee & by 2 alienees appointed in lieu of the 1st Grantee's son — We cannot think from this that the Inam was intended originally as Patta Maniam's

Confirmed Subject for Rupees four and (4-4-0) 26/11/69 JM

J.Sho 2248

Garden				
1203	. 2 12	. 23	1	3
1204	. 1 14	. 15	.	13
1205	. 1 6	. 11	.	10
	. 6 .	. 49	2	10

Tope — Do Do Do Do. In Tyjnaah of F. 1249

Trees — Jack — 6, Mango 28, Ilupa 9, Tamarind 7, Orange 11 — 61

The Trees are about 25 years old — & produce some fruits —

Case No. 2 Personal

Jun. Apd

1203 — Washerman Venkatasamy's Residence House & Backyard

1204 Duttalur Naranappa Naick's house & Back = yard

1205 Muthialayar's Mattam residence & Backyard

Sengad

Dattattraya Mattam — or Tomb. Managers.

Manaygars of the Village.

(8) One Sangama Naick, a Peda Kapu in his days appears to have raised a thatched Mattam for Muthialayar an ascetic & planted the Tope for it — His son becoming poor gave up the Management of the Mattam in favor of the Managars — It does not appear how the Mattam got the fields 1203 & 1204 — probably they were added to the Mattam by the Village Body as the Paradeso were abandoned by the former owners — The Mattam is kept in good condition from the small income from the Tope & is lighted — The Tope is also a halting place for travellers — The Mattam is in fact the Tomb of the ascetic Muthialayar

I would under all the circumstances recommend that the Inam may be confirmed in one T.D. as subsequent so long as the Mattam exists on a Q.R. of As. 10 Compulsory — J.K. 31/5/69 —

Confirmed Subject to Quit-rent of As. 0.10.0 26/11/69 JM

J.Sho 2249

Corrections in this page (8) Eight

J.J. Mendez Examr.

T. Robinson

Inám Commissioner.

Entd. N. Ale. 2-10-69