42 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 21 [illegible]

Register of [illegible] No 42 Sendaveli [illegible]

Sheet - No 50
280 "

Regt No 42 Shrotriyem Village Sendaveli Conjiveram Talug

Personal

Total Agrahareekkar Mr Barnard Terrapaudi
Dry
Wet 46-12-
21-12
Present Ryotwari
Dry
Wet
Remain(?) [illegible]
Wet 39-14-
Deduct lands [illegible]
for [illegible] service
Rep[illegible]
Wet 5-12-
Remainder Shrotriyem
Wet 25-- 46-20

Rhats [illegible] | Jodi 155-12-8 | Mr Dittory(?) | Gentoo | No deeds | Krishna Sastri | Sendeva li[illegible] 5 Villages Ayakut 40 12-- in F 1183 | Purushottama Sastri in F 1224 grandson | Conjiveram
(1) Venkataramana 9a 60 | (1 & 2) great great grandsons | (1) [illegible]

Mr Barnard Terrapadi F 1183
Dan[illegible] F 1211
Register F 1224
1 Accounts
1 [illegible] 1809

Copy of Ltr of Fasli 1224

Sendeva li[illegible] 5 Villages Ayakut 40 12-- in F 1183, D° Extent 22-1-- in F 1210

Purushottama Sastri in F 1224 grandson, Venkatrayan 12-4- great grandson

(2) Krishnaya 55 | great great grandson | (2) 2 sons
(3) ~~[illegible]~~ 35 | (3 to 5) great great grandsons | (3) none
(4) Lakshmammal 45 | great grand-daughter, widow | (4) 3 daughters
(5) Narasammal 38 | (6) great great great grandson | (5) none
(6) ~~[illegible] Krishnaya~~ 16 | | (6) not yet married.

A Pt No 2543 of 1868 from No 2 Col 16 objecting to the regn in the names of Nos 3 & 6 since struck out

Endorsed 3 Nov 64
WMS
[illegible]

Extract sent to the [illegible] No [illegible] in Column 16.
4/11/69

Total ~~Extent~~ Extent of cultivation and amount of Beriz from F 1260 to 69.

	Dry		Wet		Total	
	Extent	Assessment	Extent	Assessment	Extent	Assessment
1260	2--	5-7-3	22-2--	197-3--	24-4--	205-15-3
61	—	—	21-8--	188-15--	21-8--	188-15--
62	—	—	26-6-	189---	26-4--	189--
63	—	—	24-8--	273-14--	24-8--	273-14--
64	—	—	25-12-	157-9-9	25-12--	157-9-9
65	—	—	23-4--	148-12--	23-4--	148-12--
66	1-4--	1-5--	22-11-12	188-12-6	23-15-12	190-1-6
67	—	2--	23-6--	196-7-6	23-6--	196-7-6
68	—	—	21-4-	196-14-11	21-4--	196-14-11
69	3-8--	9-1-3	24-12	178-6--	28-4--	188-7-3
Total	6-12--	16-13-6	233-9-12	1857-2-3	240-5-12	1874-1-2
Average for 10 years	2-4--	5-7-10	23-5-12	185-11-7	26-7-12	191-5-5
[illegible] from [illegible]	—		—	47-11--	—	47-11--
Remainder	2-4--	5-7-11	23-5-12	233-6-7	30-7-12	239--5

Deduct charges for [illegible] 12--
[illegible] 12--
24--
Remainder 215--5

[illegible] in an Arzi referred to me for enquiry and report complained to the Commission against the joint registration of the names of Nos 3 and 6 in Col 16 alleging that they are not in the enjoyment of any portion of the village and that their shares were transferred to them by sale and bequest. They produce a Kararnamah dated in October 1857 executed by [illegible] Nos 3 in Col 16 ~~[illegible]~~ ^undivided brothers^ selling her share in the village and her other dues ^for 45 pagodas^. The sale is proved by the [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ and I have ordered the same ~~[illegible]~~ names being entered to that of Nos 2 the petitioners in Col 16.

~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ The Yellammal ^the applied [illegible]^

Col 16 [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ ^related lines^ [illegible] and at the time of her husband's death she entered into a compromise with her [illegible] Krishnamma in Sept 1846 agreeing to receive from her once for all Rs 500 ~~[illegible]~~ her jewels renouncing all claims for the remaining portion of the family property and debts. Thus released from all claims [illegible] her [illegible] in law, the [illegible] mentioned Krishnamma enjoyed ^her share of^ the village till her death in 1853 without [illegible] she executed a [illegible] alienating all that she possessed to her [illegible] her husband's [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ in col 16 [illegible] enjoying it [illegible]. The claim ^summary^ preferred by Yellammal [illegible] of the share of her deceased [illegible] ^[illegible]^ was rejected by the Civil Court [illegible] her to bring [illegible] Court of [illegible] dated 28 April 1858 in ^her^ Miscellaneous Petition No 3 of 1853.
[illegible]

This Village is generally recognized in the accts to consist also of 4 other Hamlets ~~of~~ ^or^ ~~[illegible]~~ ^rather^ plots known by the names of Velakaranpattadai, Arasaipattadai, Perukal pattadai & Kolakar Pattadai. It was surveyed in Fasli 1249, & as in other Shrotriyems of the district, the survey measurement was not confirmed. It appears now from this [illegible] that there are about 5 [illegible] of "Dry", altho ~~[illegible]~~ ^in^ the Terrapadi acct, no such description of land is shown. The annual cultivation shows that 3½ [illegible] of Dry were cult in Fasli 1269. As no calculations are based upon the Terrapadi entries, ~~I thought~~ ^it appeared to me^ that some notice shd be made of the cultivated area under "Dry".

The lands of this village are irrigated by the [illegible] tank, which is [illegible] to contain [illegible] the supply of water [illegible] the [illegible] village being a [illegible] ~~The~~ The share [illegible] of each village being a [illegible]

The validity of the grant is supported by accounts, & the title of the Shrotriyemdars proved to be confirmed as [illegible] T. D. [illegible] Rupees [illegible]

Continued Quit Rent, Rs 163 - -

8/10/61

A Ramachandra [illegible]

T. D. No
571

The decision of [illegible] on the appeal Petition of Nos 1 & 2 in Col 16 is quite correct & the names of Nos 3 & 6 being [illegible] in the Register [illegible] the [illegible] deed.
26 Sept [illegible]

Ex(?)
Col(?)

1857
187

265

Village Nattanallur No (268) Conjeevaram

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	18

Regt no 228 Nattanallur Village Conjeevaram Taluq

Sheet 181

Punjah Nunjah 75	2 12 . 23	13 2	For the service of Pidari Selliyamman and Adanjayamman The service is rendered to this day	Sarva Inam	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	Sundry Pagoda Inams No docts. Mr Barnard's Survey of F1183 Survey account of F1215 Register of F1263.	Unknown	Pidari manyam in F1183 Extent as per col 4	Pidari manyam in F1215 Extent as per col 4	Nattanallur Pidari (1) Selliyamman (2) Adanjayamman (Pujari for the time being Kullan 35)

To be confirmed to the Pidari so long as the service is regularly performed

V. Chan 1343

Conf. [illegible]

Pff 42 369

Sundry Inams in the Shrotriyem Village Senduvali Conjeevaram Taluq

4/7/61. A. Rama Chandra Rao D.C.

10 Oct [illegible]

⊕ Adamma deposed a vakil alleging that she never actually sold her share but mortgaged it for 45 pagodas [illegible] the incumbent and requests its registry in her name characterising the Kayam document as forged. The authorities that attested it and the Karnam of the village depose that the transaction [illegible] in the Kayam document was a final relinquishment of the right of the executrix for the share in question for good and was not redeemed or [illegible] in the light of a mortgage. [illegible] document is genuine and as the incumbent is in the enjoyment of the share [illegible] disputes, I confirm it as [illegible] [illegible] petitioner to a court of justice. [illegible] 23/11/63

Personal Nunjah	[illegible] 10 . 0 . 82	2-15-7	Bhatavritti	Sarva Inam	Hereditary	[illegible]	Sundry Inams Mr Barnard's Survey of F1183 Dowl account of F1210 Register of F1263		[illegible] Kristnamma in F1183 Extent as per col 4 [illegible] in F1210 Extent Do	Kristna Sastri manyam in F1263 Extent as per col 4	Periya Conjeevaram (1) Venkataramayar 66 2 Lakshmamma 40 3 Naranamma 35	
	6 . 0 . 50	1-12-7										
	12 . . 79	3 9 1										
	8 . 0 . 66	2-6-1										
	[illegible] . 0 . 15	4-2-8										
	[illegible] . 0 . 33	1-3-1										
	10 . 0 . 33	2-15-7										
	[illegible] . 0 . 28	19 . 8										

Letter written to Collr. for alteration the village name in [illegible] Regr. [illegible]

[illegible] 1/3 [illegible]

Ist [illegible]

Ist 1 son 1 brother

Nos 2 3 daughters

Ist [illegible] 2/3

Nos 2 & 3 [illegible] 1/3 equally

[illegible] purchased [illegible] share in [illegible] August 1857 from [illegible]

To be confirmed [illegible]

[illegible] 17365

[illegible] commuted in a [illegible]

⊕ 14

Village Aiyempettai No (26) Conjeevaram Taluq

Shrotriyem Dry 82 102	1-10 . 2 . 11 . 12 . 1 . 02 2 . 6 . 0 3 . 16	2 13 6 1 5 8 4 3 2	For the service of Kailasa nathaswami The service is rendered to this day	Sarva Inam	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	Pagoda Inams No docts. Mr Barnard's Survey of F1184 Survey account of F1238 Register of F1263	Unknown	Pagoda Tope in F1184 Extent Do	Kailasa nathar Koil Tope in F1238 Extent as per col 4	Aiyempettai Sri Kailasa nathaswami (Priest for the time being Kumaraswami Kurukkal 40)	

The [illegible] Ramachandra Rao in this [illegible] as [illegible] No 102 is mentioned as [illegible] F1238 [illegible] that the Karnam [illegible] the disputed [illegible] and [illegible] his entry in the [illegible] I recommend [illegible] to be confirmed so long as the [illegible]

[illegible] 1529

Conf. [illegible] commuted

17365

[illegible] [illegible] reference was made to the [illegible] [illegible] to be [illegible]

14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Tirupati 17 [illegible]

6 Devadayam [illegible] Dry Paimash 753 1-8-0 1-93 3-4-6

For the supply of flowers to Tiruvalesvara. The service is rendered to this day — Same Inam — So long as the object of the grant is fulfilled — Unknown — No docts. Mr Barnard's Faisal account of F.1184 Survey account of F.1234 — Unknown — Puntotam in F.1184 Extant as per Col. 4 — The same in F.1234 Extant as per Col. 4

Pasivakkam Sri Tiruvalesvarar's Puntottam (Manager for the time being Chengalarayamudali 23)

This is a religious flower garden. To be confirmed to the institution so long as the service is rendered.

T.C.M. 1341

2/7/61. A Ramachandra Mudr D.C.

4 Oct 62

Village of Pasivakkam

Regr. No. 78

(Fair Copied)

2-5-3-4

15/11/[illegible]

No. 230 Village Poovasdhibapettai No (85) Conjeevaram Taluq

[illegible] Inam

1-2-2 1-50
1-12-0 1-26
1-1-0 1-60
0-4-4 0-10
3-6-2 4-46

8-15-1
8-11-9
8-5-10
26-0-6

For the service of Mandalesvaran. The service is rendered to this day — Same Inam — So long as the service is rendered — Unknown — No docts. Mr Barnard's Faisal of F.1184 Paimash account of F.1210 Survey account of F.1234 Register of F.1263 — Unknown — Isvaran manyam in F.1184 Extant No. 3 under F.1210 — Mandalesvaran manyam in F.1234 Extant as per Col. 3

Sri Mandalesvara Swami (Priest for the time being Vedagurukkal 55 Manager for the time being Subrayamudali 50)

To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the service is regularly performed

A Ramachandra Mudr D.C.

2/7/61.

T.C.M. 1314

(Fair Copied)

No. 231 Village Anandapettai No (308) Conjeevaram Taluq

This village has no [illegible]. There are but 2 topes, one under [illegible] & the other under [illegible]. [illegible] the [illegible] is rented annually for a fixed sum of Rs 24-8-0, [illegible] for the service of the [illegible]. No survey has been taken for the Inam tope.

Devadayam Dry Poramboke
34 1-14 6.2.50
62 0-3-10 0.71
33 0-12-6 1.62
05 0-9-8 0.79
3-13-11 5.02

5.

For the service of Viswanatha Swara Swami. The service is rendered to this day — Same Inam — So long as the objects of the grant is fulfilled — Unknown — Poramboke Inams No docts. Survey account of F.1248 — Unknown — Viswanatha Swara Swami's Tope Poramboke in F.1248

Anandapettai Viswanatha ~~[illegible]~~ Swami Priest for the time being Vedagurukkal 50 (Manager for the time being Subbaraya Chetti 69)

This is a Poramboke grant. To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the service is rendered. The tope is held from upwards of 50 yrs. The manager [illegible] for the better [illegible]

A Ramachandra Mudr

T.C.M. 1531

24 Sept

(Fair Copied)