(157) Conjee Dary Regs No 234 ~~210~~ Kuttirampakkam. Rev. No 157. Conjeeveram Div & Taluq Madras District

page 389 ~~77~~

S. No 579	Dry	Wet single crop	Wet double crop	Manavari Dry	Garden
1st	14	12 12	8 8	6	3 8
2d	10 6	9 12	6 8		
3d		9	6	5	
4th			4 4	4	
5th			2 8	2 4	

Personal Wet crop

238	6 8 0 54	5	Vedavirty	Free	Hereditary	Unknown	The accounts in Cols 14 & 15	Unknown	In Tarappady & In Dowle Vedavrutty Inam — In Pymash of 1233 Vedavrutty Inam —
285	5 6 0 44	3							
	11 14 0 98	8							

Name & Residence not known

Absent - To be attached 22/4/69

Z 15 Attach. W Brain 21/10/69

Settled on Supplemental 6/5/72

Case No 1 Personal

True Copy

J. H. Robinson
Inam Commissioner

Corrections in this page Done
N Parameshwara [illegible]

Read [illegible]

Exd. [illegible] [illegible]/60

[illegible] No 126 in the Taluq of [illegible]

1	2–7	8	9	10	11–12	13	14	15	16–17	19	20–21	
Sivadayam [illegible]	Wet No 341 · 7 · / 342 · 7 · 4 / 14 · 4 · 1 · 18 / 13	For ~~[illegible]~~ Sri Perumal & Sri Perun-devi Tayar Pagoda At Kanchi-puram as Archaka	Tax free	Perma-nent	~~[illegible] ... of the Pagoda ... the Inam as that of the Pagoda in the account ... by the fact that the individual ... was a [illegible]~~	~~Sri Teperumal & Sri Perundevi Tayar~~ Stiram Perketesayangar. As per Inam Register	In Barnard's Farabadi of 1774 Sri Teperumal & Sri Perundevi Tayar Maniam Wet Kani one In Randi Daul Same name & Extent. In the Inam Register of 1810 Kanchi Perketesayangar grandson	In the survey account of Fasli 12[illegible] Sri [illegible] Extent as per Col: 3-4	Kanchi Sri Perumal & Perundevi Tayar Pagoda	Archaka Ammanjibhattar	While the Accounts style the Inam as that of the Pagoda, the Register makes no allusion to it, but makes out the Inam to be that of the individual registered - the this descendants are Archakas of the Pagoda - the ~~claimant~~ incumbents claim the Inam as personal according to the Register - I am inclined to view it [illegible]	T.D. 582 cf. as recommended [signature] 4/1/62

thereof -
As an Inam held for service at the Pagoda as Archaka I would continue it subject to the service - As proposed
9th Nov. 1860 [signature] for Collr.

179 235

Siruvédal No (140) Conjeevaram Taluq

1	2–7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	19	20	21	
1 Personal	Wet No 209 · 7-10 · 63 · 3-2-9	~~For the personal benefit of the holder~~ Bhatavritti	Tax free	Here-ditary	By Nabab Sadatulla Khan (years unknown)	Sanad Inam Sanad by Mr Light dated 1787 In Barnard's Farapadi of F 1184 Daul account of F 1210 Survey account of F 1246 Inam Register of F 1219-63	Venkatapaty Vadhiyar	In Barnard's Farabadi of 1774 Tiruvallur Nanavadhiar Maniem Wet K. 48 · 8 · In Randi Daul Same name & Extent in F 1210 Venkatapati vadhiyaru F 1219 grandson	In the survey account of Fasli 1246 Tiruvallur Nanavadhiar Maniem Extent as per Col: 3-4	Trivellore Kristmachari 34	adopted Great Grand son	2 sons 1 daughter (unmarried)	The ~~family~~ present holder belong to the female branch of the family previous to the adoption which is said to have taken place 26 years previous to the adoption	To be confirmed to the present holder [illegible] 1/4 annas agreed to 15/7/61	T.D. No 583 cf. [signature] 4/7/61
	Wet No 773 · 7·8 · 6-2-5 / 17 · 7 · 3-13-3 / 2.10 · 4-10 · 1-14-7 / 1-3-6 · 1-60 · 12-4-6	For the personal benefit of the holder	Tax free	Heredi-tary				In Barnard's Farabadi Tirupugalur Maniem Wet 1 · · In Randi Daul Kanjivaram Narasappayar Maniem Extent same	For the Survey Accounts Kanjivaram Narasappayar Maniem Extent as per Col 3-4	Chennakrishnacharam Srinivasarangachari age 50 Ramanujachari 16 Venkatachari 32	Wife 3 sons Great grandson & Great grandsons [illegible]			This is entered in the accounts as Pagoda Maniem but as no service seems to be annexed to it ~~[illegible]~~ or even to have been performed I have resolved to treat it as personal Inam & to dispose of it here - [illegible] 20th Nov. 1860 [signature] for Collr.	T.D. 5[illegible] cf. [signature] [illegible]

[signature]