[122]

Reg No. 187 Hiram Revenue No 123. Conjeveram Division Conjeveram Talug Madras.

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

This is one of the Villages received from the Wallajabad Talug in North Arcot — in F 1271

Rates Rs
Dry
16th 2 " "
19th 1 10 "

Wet 1 Crop Rs
3rd 9 " "
4th 8 8 "
6th 7 8 "
9th 6 " "
10th 5 " "

Sheet No 557

Regr No. 187
Rates
Dry
16 - 2
19 - 1 10

Vadayem

Wet 1 Crop		Todi " 15 9	Part	Not known	The accts in 2 column Cols 14 & 15. Goddess of the Sinna Conjeveram Varadarajaswamy Pagoda —	In Pakka Chittah of Fusly 1215 Perundevi Tayar Mannium In Regr of 1236 & Inam Chitta of F 1265 Seshadri Iyengar Archakar of Perundevi Tayar —	Sinna Conjeveram Perundevi Tayar Pagoda — Archakam — Parichārikam Service Rangachary & Varadachary.	There is a Petn to Commr No 47 of 1868 from archakas claiming the Inam from one Varadachary Parichārakam of the Pagoda — This disposed of by the Decision	Collr requested to send the records of the Talug enquiry — 2-4-69. The Carnam says that the Inam was attached as an unsettled Inam 12 Septr 1865 under Tahsr's orders — The land is cultivated & no collection of rents made up to this time — The archakas enjoy. To be therefore attached under 2-6-69. Upon a Petition from Varadachary the Inam claim was enquired into by the Talug Shed & the record of his Enquiry sent to Collr with the Tahsildar's Arzee 17th Novr 1865 No 241 has been sent to me for disposal. From these Papers it appears that
135 " 4 4 · 35	2 4								
258 " 4 8 · 37	1 11								
" 8 12 · 72	3 15								

Parichārakam Service, & not Archakam Service to the Pagoda was invariably performed by the family —

To be therefore confirmed in one T. D. so long as the Parichārakam Service is rendered on the existing Todi of Annas 15 & Pies Nine —

Case No 1 Devadayem

T.R. 9/9/69

L 19 —

Confirmed in the Todi. Jodi 8/4/69 [initials]

VI

T.D. No 1608

T.D.

Personal

Wet 1 Crop		For the personal benefit of the holder	Todi 4	Not known	Do	not known	In Pakka Chittah of F 1215 Kumara Venkatachary's Inam In RX of 1236 & In Inam Chitta of F 1265 (2) Kumara Venkatachary 3rd Son of the party in F 1215 —	Sinna Conjeveram Kumara Mudaliyandan		The Carnam states that the Inam was attached as unsettled Inam on 12 Septr 1865 under Tahsr's orders — The land is cultivated & no rents collected up to this time — The Inamdar's family continue unmolested in the enjoyment of the Inam — Besides, the claims of Kumara Venkatachary were enquired into by Tahr & reported in his arzee of 17 August 1866 (4) No 246 — The Papers establish (3) his title — But the party is (5) dead now — His heirs are not by name known — There are heirs — sons — to the deceased — Pending the appearance of the heir, the Inam to be placed (6) under actual attachment [signature] 2-6-69
155 " 7 8 · 62	3 8								
169 · 9 4 · 76	4 6								
1 " 12 1 · 38	7 14								

Case No 2 Personal

L 19

Personal

Wet 1 Crop		Do.	Todi 2 2 2	Do	Do	Do.	Do.	In Pakka Chitta of F 1215 Tatachary's Inam In RX of F 1236 Venkatavarada Tatachary In F 1265 Kotikannikadanam Venkatavarada Tatachary	Sinna Conjeveram Kotikannikadanam Venkatanarada Tatachary died — (1) Tirumalavadanta son Ramaniya Tatachary (2) Tirumala Lakshmikumara Tatachary	(1) son (2) Brother to the party in F 1236	(1) son 1. (2) sons — 4
144 " 5 " · 41	2 11									
145 " 4 8 · 37	2 6									
310 " 9 8 · 78	3 9									
1 3 " 1 · 56	8 10									

To be confirmed in one T. D. as follows —

Todi	2 - 2 - 2
2 Rest 1/8 agreed to	" 14 - 8
	3 " 10
Quit: Rent	or Rs — 3 -

T.R. 9-9-69 —

Case No 3 Personal

Corrections in this page (8) Eight

J. W. Robinson
Inam Commissioner.

Collr requested to send the records of the Talug Enquiry — 2-4-69 —

The Inamdar has sent 2 Petitions one to Commr No 48 of 1868 — & one to me dated 7th Novr 1868 claiming the Inam —

The land was attached as the Carnam states, under Tahr's orders as unsettled Inam on 12th Septr 1865 but in name only, the Inamdars having been allowed to remain in unmolested enjoyment of the land up to this time —

The Inam to be therefore placed under actual attachment 2-6-69

No 1 in Col 16 applied to Collr for the settlement of the Inam thro' a Petn dated 21st July 1865 No 112 which was referred to Tahsr. The Tahr's report thereon dated 17 Novr 1865 No 241 shows that No 1 & 2 are heirs in enjoyment.

L 19 —

Confirmed in Combined Todi Rs 3.00 [initials] 9/4/69

T.D. No 1609

T.D.

Ent. 12/4/69

1–2	3–7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14–15	16–18	21	22
4 Personal	Wet 1 Crop 158 · 6.12 · 56 — 3 3 527 · 6.4 · 51 — 1 15 · 13 · 1.07 — 5 2	Pe'damuty Sodi Hedy Inam · 4 · 4			Not known	The accts in Cols 14 & 15	Not known	In ~~Tanaffe~~ Pakka Chetta of F 1215 Vedamurty In R.D of F 1236 Vedamurty mani Gumasta Streenevasafea Iyengar In F 1265 Streenevasafea Iyengar —	Kuram Appanayangar	Absent — To be attached 2-6-69 Settled on Supplement 7/10/71.	L 19
5 Dharmadayam	Wet 1 Crop 794 · 12 · 99 — 3 12	Tope Tamarind (?) Trees 40	Tree Pert		Do	Do	Do —	In Pakka Chettah of F 1215 Teetachetty's Dharma Tank with 300 Tamarind & 2 Illuppa trees on the bank K 12 — of which there is part In R.D of 1236 & Chetta of 1265 no Perumpoke particularised	Kuram Teetachetty's Dharma Tope Manager Sambavamurty Chetty —	The only trees forming the Tope as it now stands are 40 Tamarind — It is doubtful whether this is private Tope or Public Tope — There was a Chavady & Chattram — The former does not exist now — The latter exists — Pending the appearance of the Inamdar to be attached 2-6-69	L 19 ~~[illegible]~~
6 Devadayam	Wet 1 Crop 796 · 1 4 · 10 — · 6 797 · · 8 · 04 — · 3 798 · · 4 · 02 — · 1 · 2 · · 16 — · 10	Flower garden	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do —	In Pakka Chetta of F 1215 Flower Garden In R.D of F 1236 & in 1265 no Perumpoke particularised	Kuram Grama Devata Pagodas — Flower Garden In charge of Acha Hanumantha Pandaram	To be Confirmed free in one T.D. so long as the Pagodas exist — J.R. 2-6-69	Confirmed [illegible] 8/11/69 1307
7 Devadayam	Wet 1 Crop No 792 · 1 8 · 12 — · 7	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do —	In Pakka Chetta of 1215 Iswaran Pagoda · 3 — 8 of which there is part In R.D of 1236 & In 1265 no Perumpoke particularised	Kuram 1 Adi Kesava Perumal Pagoda 2 Kuratthalvar Pagoda — Managers ~~Raghava...~~ Venkatasami Chetty —	The Iswaran Pagoda ceased to be worshipped for more than 40 or 50 years & the Inam it held was freely opposed in F 1236 — & the part of the Pagoda which was occupied as a flower garden appears to have been then transferred to the 2 Pagodas in Col 16 — Under the circumstances & considering that the flower garden has existed all along tho' now applied to different Pagodas, I would recommend that the Inam may be confirmed in one T.D. so long as the 2 Pagodas exist J.R. 2-6-69	Confirmed 8/11/69

Corrections in this page (2) two
A. Vasudevan

J. Robinson
Inam Commissioner