Regr. No. 42

Register of Inams in the Village of Peria Tottam [illegible] in the Talug of Sriperumbudur in the District of Madras.

Conjevaram Taluk

1 2 3 4 ... 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

1

Original personal [illegible] since converted into Devadayam by alienation

Dry Garden No. 1 2 - - 2.64 11-4-
2 - 12 12 1.06 4-7-9
2-12-12 3.70 15-11-9

Originally for personal benefit of the holder but since alienated ~~for the support~~ for keeping up a permanent light in the Pagoda of Sri Deva rajaswamiar & Chinna Kanchipuram

Tax free

Perma-nent

Unknown

No docts. but Ummuds Tarapadi of F 1183 Daul acct of F 1210 Survey of F 1238. Regr. of F 1264

Wait [illegible] Kachiyappa Mudali

In Barmard Tarabadi of 1778 Payasingi Garden Kanis 2 [illegible] In Ramas Daul of 1800 Mahamada Payan Garden Kanis 2 [illegible]

In the Survey account of Fasli 1238 Payasingi Garden Manion Extent as per Col. 3-4

Chinna Kanchipuram Sri Devarajaswamy's Akhandam ~~[illegible]~~

(Managers of the Charity for the time being) Vide B.P. No. 2872 mis 5-8-1965 / 16-8-1965 Resumed and fully assessed.
(1) Varada Raja Bhattar 55 Wm 25.8.[illegible] Ag. Inam Commr.
(2) Kodanda Rama Bhattar 40
(3) Raghava Bhattar 20

The grantee who had the Inam for Rs 480 to Raja Sirif in 1765, the daughter-in-law of the latter sold it to Kanda Nagar for Rs 200 in 1770. The last mentioned party made a gift of it to Sri Deva Raja Swami for the keeping up a permanent light in the Pagoda in his name xxx

The Hunger copy of the Daul acct does not specify the extent but shews the no. of trees in the garden, which the Tarapadi does not exhibit the individual details. The 2 accts quoted in Col. 12 for this village are the copies with the Karnam & which have been admitted as authentic for the purposes of our reference. The extent shewn in the Tarapadi is very ~~much~~ less than that exhibited in the Survey, while the diffce. ~~[illegible]~~ as compared with the Daul ⊗⊗⊗

To be confirmed as long as the ~~[illegible]~~ Pattam is maintained

3d Novr. 1860.
Mkadaswa Pillai
Dy. Collr.

⊗⊗⊗ is [illegible] - The area shewn in the Tarapadi was calculated upon very rough data. I would therefore place a greater reliance upon the Daul which has been taken for comparison. Hence the diffce is great. An explanatory remark is added to the column.

To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the object of the grant is fulfilled. The deficit is less than upward of 3 acres. [illegible] 3/7/[illegible]

xxx 2 Persian deeds of sale are produced. The ~~[illegible]~~ alienations are supported by a remark in the Sanad and also in the Daul acct as having taken place at the date of the Daul acct & were prob. before that of the Hunger [illegible]

2

Religious institution

Dry Garden No. 37 1-10-8
70 6-4-10
7-15-2 10.51 44-11-1

For the support of Azhagia Manavallajir

Tax free

Perma-nent

In Barmard Tarabadi Azhaghia Manavallajir Garden Kanis 5 In Ramas Daul same name & extent same

In the Survey account Azhaghia Manavallajir Garden Extent as per Col. 3-4

Chinna Kanchipuram Azhaghia Manavallajir Matham

Sheet No. 60

180

3

Personal

Dry Garden No. 7 2 - 6
8 1-13-12
3-14-2 5.13 21-13-6

For the personal benefit of the holder

Tax free

Here (A) This document (Bill of sale) ~~[illegible]~~ is in the possn. of the claimant, tho' admitted to be in the name of Tholasinga Mudali. The claimant also admits that his father got the Inam registered in the name of Tholasingha Mudali, as they all lived together. As to actual possession both parties have been for some time quarrelling about it, one trying to oust the other. The Bill of sale & the Collector's orders are all in favour of the said Mudali. The Collector's order was obtained, as admitted by the claimant's father himself ~~[illegible]~~ against his own interests.

It is not known why the order referred to [illegible] belong after that date by the claimant's father himself [illegible] obtained against his interests. ~~[illegible]~~ I therefore register the name of Tholasinga Mudali as Inamdar. [illegible]

In Barmard Tarabadi Nat Anna Rangayar Garden Kanis 2 In Ramas Daul same name & extent same

In the Survey Account Kristnama Chari purchased from Nat Anna Rangayar Extent as per Col. 3-4

Seeruttam Tholasinga Mudaliar age 70

2 sons

By purchase from Kolatatachari ~~in 1834~~ son of Kristnamachari in Col: 15. Bill of sale said to have been ~~stolen by~~ carried away by a party claiming the Inam ~~[illegible]~~ as purchased by him, but the Collector's dated 17th January 1855 ordering / Registry in the name of the purchaser has been produced.

To be confirmed subject to a quit rent of 1/8 Rs ~~[illegible]~~ 2-12-[illegible]

One Sundarammal daughter-in-law of Tholasinga Mudali claims the Inam as having been really purchased for her by her father in the name of Tholasinga Mudali (A)

4

Do.

Dry Garden No. 11 5 - 2
12 3-13-6
8-13-8 11.69 49-12-

~~[illegible]~~ Do.

Tax free

Do. Not known

Parwana lost. Kaifeyet & Roneri produced at the time of Registry

Venkapa Mootta ~~for~~ Roneri Rau

In Barmard Tarabadi (Omitted) In Ramas Daul Kangoi Srimajipandita Garden Kanis 5 free

In the survey account Kangoi Srimajipandita Garden Extent as per Col. 3-4

In the Inam Register of 1810 Roneri Babarau Great grandson of Venkapa Mootta

Kanchipuram Ramarau age 40

son of the registered Inamdar in Col: 14

2 sons

To be confirmed. 2 Rent at 1/8 Rs 6. Agreed to.
16th Octr. 1860.
Mkadaswa Pillai
Dy. Coll.

180

10 - 6

5

Do.

Dry Garden No. 15 1 - - 2
16 1 - 2 -
2-2 1/2 2.81 12- -

Do.

Tax free

Do. probability of undue encroachment exists. To be confirmed accordingly. 2 Rent at 1/8 Rs 1-[illegible] Agreed to.
16th Novr. 1860.
Mkadaswa Pillai
Dy. Collr.

Attur Naraina Reddi

In Barmard Tarabadi Narayana Reddi Garden Kanis 1 one In Ramas Daul same name & extent ~~[illegible]~~ K-1-4-4.

In the survey account Narayana Reddi Garden Extent as per Col. 3-4

In the Inam Register of 1810 Perumal Reddi grandson of Narayana Reddi

Attur Chingleput Taluk Venkatnarayana Reddiar age 40. son of the registered Inamdar in Col: 14

4 sons

The excess is considerable but all excesses not heretofore brought to account ~~were~~ must be considered as passed for good & sufficient reason according to the Collector's letter to the Commr. on the subject. Besides, in the case the [illegible]

Fair Copied

S-D-No. [illegible]
conf
Clerk
[illegible]

Do.

S-D-No. 1
conf
Clerk
[illegible]

S-D-No. 18
conf
Clerk
[illegible]

S-D-No. 1
Fair
conf
Clerk
[illegible]

Do.

S-D-No.
111
conf
Clerk
[illegible]

[illegible] Pillay

[illegible]	Dry Garden No 20 1-7-0 21 2-0-2 3-7-2	4.55	19-6-1	personal benefit of the holder	tax free	ditto	~~[illegible]~~ Not known	By Bolfour Sunad dated 1791	Sambra[illegible]	[illegible] Ramasayadu Garden Ravis 2 In Ramon Daul Same name Extent same In the Inam Regr of 1810 M. Perpetraup anutstur Grandson	[illegible] account Ramasayadu Garden Extent as per Col: 3-4	[illegible] Krishnammal age 56 Perundevammal 23 registered in Col: 14 -	Wife & daughter in law (Son's wife) of the registered Inamdar	Daughter young & unmarried		Recd - 2 Rent at 4th R 2 - Agreed to - 30th Oct 1860 M Kadasava Pillai D. Coll.	T.D. No 101 cuf Cllw bell
Do	Garden No 28 2-0-10	3.77	16-0-8	Do	Tax free	Do	Lay mahmud Sadatullah Khan (year unknown)	No Deeds Saul acct of F 1210 Survey accts of F 1238 Register of Ferry F 1264	Vishnu Kanchi [illegible] Hatcha [illegible] mudali	In Baramahal [illegible] (Omitted) In Ramon Daul Nat Agastheeswara Modali Garden Ravis 2-9-0 Kirtalam mudali & Virar Raghava mudali in F 1119 (1) [illegible] grandson (2) [illegible] grandson	In the survey account Muttu Kaelala mudali Manavur Extent as per Col: 3-4	(1) Yellappalam Raghava mudali 42 (2) Vala[illegible] mudali alias Kumara [illegible] mudali 29	(1) Great great grand son (2) do	(1) 1 son 3 daughters (2) 1 [illegible]		The Inam is valid to be confirmed as [illegible] ½ [illegible] 2 Rupees agreed to	T.D. No 102 cuf Cllw bell
Do	Garden No 29 2-0-12 30 5-0-0 7-0-12	9.31	30-10-3	Do	Tax free	Do	Not known			In Baramahal Daravadi Nat Venkayar Garden Ravis 2-0-0 In Ramon Daul Nat Venkatachalayar Garden ~~[illegible]~~ Dry 7-5-12	In the survey account Nat Venkatapayar Manium Extent as per Col: 3-4	Perunkanchipuram Guntur Adinarayanayar age 40 Venkataramayar Ramakristnayar 41	grandson & brother's grandsons of the purchaser	Wife 4 brothers & wife Brother & wife	The land was purchased at public auction by Settipedu Ethirajayar in 1827 - he sold it to Maddapp[illegible] Venkayar in 1827 [illegible] whose heirs the incumbents are - All the documents showing these transactions are produced	4/3/61 Arunachala Mudaliar To be confirmed as above Decd subject to a quit rent of 1/4th R 5 - The land is at present admitted to be with a mortgagee Sellappa Mudali in Chennakanchi[illegible] Malayala street 2nd Nov. 1860	T.D. No 103 cuf Cllw bell
Do Inam	Garden No 31 1-8-0 32 5-12-2 7-4-2	9.60	40-13-3	Do	Tax free	Do	Tiyappangar			In Baramahal Daravadi Nat Srinivasayangar Garden [illegible] 3-8-0 In Ramon Daul Nat Tiappangar Garden Extent same In the Inam Register of 1810 Kanchi Tiyappangar Grandson	In the survey account Nat Srinivasayangar Garden Extent [illegible] 7-4-2 By Purchase Krishnama Chariar Garden 4-[illegible]-10 11-11-12	Chennakanchipuram Natta Krishnayangar age 65	Daughter's son's son of grantee	4 sons		To be confirmed - 2 Rent at 1/4 R 5 - Agreed to - The Inam has been subject of litigation between the incumbent & the heir in the male line of the Grantee & the matter has been finally adjusted by Razi before the Civil Court in 1859, according to which the incumbent's name is put in - 22d Oct 1860	T.D. No 104 confirm [illegible] bell
II	Garden No 3 1-3-2 5 2-0-4 6 1-4-4 4-7-10	5.91	25-2-11									Conjeevaram Tholasinga mudaliar age 70		2 sons	by purchase from ~~[illegible]~~ Gopala[illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] in previous purchase as mentioned in Col: 15 as per Collector's order dated 1855 - 17th Jany the Bill of Sale dated in 1832 adverse party -	Shares 1 & 2 to be confirmed subject to a quit rent of 1/4th R 3 - A daughter [illegible] of Tholasinga mudali ~~[illegible]~~ named Lakshmi claims the Inam as being in the possn of an	T.D. No 105 cuf as incumbent Cllw bell
Total Garden	11-11-12	15.51	66-0-2														
Do	Garden No 38 1-0-6 39 0-5-12 1-6-2	1.83	7-12-5	Do	Tax free	Do	Varadachariar			In Baramahal Daravadi Varadachariar Garden Ravis [illegible] In Ramon Daul Same name Extent same	In the survey account Varadachariar [illegible] Extent as per Col: 3-4	Chinna Kanjeevaram Narayana Pillai 48 Venkata Pillai 43		1 Son & 3 Daughters 1 Daughter	The Inam was ~~[illegible]~~ purchased by the brother's daughter (female heir ~~failing~~) of Varadachari [illegible] Ramba Venkata Chala mudali in 1850 - who sold it to incumbents in 1851 - Both Bills [illegible]	To be confirmed subject to quit rent of 1/4th or Rupee [illegible] Oct 1860 M Kadasava Pillai D Coll	T.D. No 106 cuf Cw

M Kadasava Pillai
D Coll.

Vide Reverse to Appeal Petition No 2574 of 1860

Having been purchased really for his father - the Bill of Sale is admitted to be in favor of Tholasinga mudali & the Collector admitted to have been under influence by the claimant's father himself to bring after the purchase & so recently for the registry of the Inam also direct the registry of the Inam in the name of the person whose name is put in - As to actual possn both parties were living together till they quarrelled about 3 years ago when litigation was commenced by a complaint on the part of Tholasinga mudali that the Bill of Sale &c was carried away by the present claimant -- Under these circumstances I think it proper to adhere to the Bill of Sale & the former Registry in the name of the person whose name I now insert in Col: 16 - 1[illegible]th Nov 1860 - M Kadasava Pillai

47

Reg. No. 47 Kodamanallur Shrotriem
Conjeveram Taluq

Sheet No 60
290

1 Perumal

Dry	35-10-12
Wet	120 — —
Garden	4-5-4
	160 — —
Deduct Poramboke	
Dry	35-10-12
Wet	15 —
Garden	2-9-4
	53-4 —
Remainder	
Dry	— —
Wet	105 — —
Garden	1-12 —
	106-12 —
Separate lands ... in col. 2 of the ...	— 8 —
... for service ...	12-4 —
	12-12 —
Remainder Shrotriem	
Wet	92-4 — 121.85
Garden	1-12 — 2.31
	94 — — 124.16

For the personal benefit of the holder

Jodi or Shrotriem Quit Rs. As. P. 359-0-8

Hereditary

By Lord Clive Govt. on the 31st August 1762 —

English Sunnud

Anpatame Iyengar

Inam Register of Fusli 1772
Kodamanallur
Rs. As. P.
Wet 92-4 —
Garden 1-12 —
94 — —
Exclusive of Poramboke & Inam lands
Lands Dust(?) put in the Register with the Sunnud in the Shrotriem Register of Fusli 1225 Beriz Rs 618-7-4
Anpatame Iyengar same as in Col. 13

In the Survey account of Fusli 1249
Kodama-nallur

	Dry	Wet	Garden	Total
	— 11 — 2	93-13-10	1-11 —	85-12-12
	— — —	20-3 —	—	20-3 —
	53-12-4	113-6-12	—	167-2 —
	54 — 6	217-7-6	1-11	273-2-12

Meenakshiammal(?) Rangammal
Age 55
Wife of the son of Grantee
2 daughters married one with a son & daughter & the other without husband
Competent of issue —

There is no ... The Shrotriem is a Shrotriem granted in compensation for the privileges of the abolition of ... of Kataewar in the Company's Jagir of Chingleput — the present incumbent was registered in 1840 as the ~~...~~ legal heir of her late husband according to order of the Board dated 13th Aug 1840 as quoted in the ... of the Collr. to the ... — Incumbent under ... & from her position as to heirs is entitled to ... 5th of the Revenue — the assessed Beriz of the village according to the old Register is ... ~~...~~ amount to which the actual Revenue during the 10 years shown in the margin has not reached even in a single year of the Accounts rendered by the Shrotriemdar are to be relied on — these accounts having been of late years rendered to the Cutcherry under a general requisition from authority to deliver accounts of the Returns of the Estate of ... Proprietors, under which ... all female Shrotriemdars ... have been ~~...~~ included there is at least the guarantee for their tolerable correctness — that they were not got up for the present purpose — and I am therefore bound to accept them as true —

There is one Tank ... month supply & the reduction on acct. of repairs thereto & for Sibbandi seems quite moderate — There was no survey but no ... of the village — the Shrotriemdar has made over the lands on money rent to ryots at rates nearly the same as those in the neighbouring Sircar village & there seems no prospect of a further extension of cultivation

I have at 4/5 exclusive of present ... Rs 369-0-3 & adding the fraction to make it a round sum, the Jodi ~~...~~ is Rs 370 — A ...
19th Novr 1860 — M. Savundra Pillai Dy Collr

There are certain ... shown by the Accounts rendered by the Shrotriemdar which on an average is Rs 27-8 per annum & the Shrotriemdar waives her claim to have this allowed for in the calculation of the Beriz but the settlement to which she agrees may be considered ~~...~~ too low to induce us to close with it — ...

3

420

Extent of Cultivation & Amount of ... Beriz from Fusli 1259 up to 1268.

	Dry		Wet		Garden		Total	
	Extent	Actual Revenue according to the price of the year	Extent	Actual Revenue according to the price of the year	Extent	Actual Revenue according to the price of the year	Extent	Actual Revenue according to the price of the year
1259	15 2	3-8-11	73-15-10	430-13-8	1-11 —	10-8 —	76-9-12	444-14-7
1260	— 13 10	2-15-4	69-14-9	437 — 1	1-12 —	10-13-3	72-8-2	450-12-8
1261	— 10 —	2-6-1	76-8-12	493 — 7	1-11 —	10-8 —	78-13-12	505-14-8
1262	— 7 —	1-12-11	76-11-4	508-1-6	1-11-8	10-9-4	78-13-6	520-7-9
1263	— 7 —	1-12-11	66-10 —	390-11 —	1-11 —	10-8 —	68-12 —	402-15-11
1264	1-9 —	5-9-8	59-3-12	340-9-6	1-11 —	10-8 —	62-7-12	356-11-2
1265	1-4 —	3-3-2	82-6 —	465-8-11	1-11 —	10-8 —	85-5 —	477-4-1
1266	— 5 11	4-5-2	82-3 —	512-11-7	1-12 —	10-15 —	85-1-10	527-15-9
1267	1-6-12	4-11-3	80-4-12	436-2-1	1-11-8	10-9-9	83-7 —	451-7-1
1268	— 15 2	3-1 —	85-3-4	537-5-9	1-11-8	10-9-9	87-13-4	546 — 6
	9-10-4	33-6-5	753 — 8	4547 — 9	17-1-8	106-1-1	779-12-4	4686-8-2

Average — 468-10-5

Deduct average charge for repairs of tanks — 12
Sibbandi — 20-4
32-4
436

~~No.~~ 47 Wet

Sundry Inams in the Shrotriem Village Kodamanallur Conjeevaram Taluq

4 Perasayam Wet — 8 — .66 | 2-11-9 | For the support of Sri Lakshmi-narayana Perumal of Kodamanallur | Fair ... free | Permanent

Sri Lakshmi-narayana Perumal | Inam Register of Fusli ... Lakshmi-narayana Perumal Manium Wet Rs. As. P. — 8 — Sri Ramsi Dant. Same name & Extent same | In the Survey account same name Wet R. A. P. — 7-12 | Kodamanallur Sri Lakshmi-narayana Perumal Pagoda | Archaka Rangayangar

To be continued as long as the Pagoda is ~~continued~~ maintained
20th Novr 1860 —
M. Savundra Pillai Dy Collr

2 Devo
421

Perumal | Wet 352 | 8 0-66 | 2-11-9 | For the personal benefit of the holder | Sarva Inam | unknown | Unknown | No Deed Mr Barnard's Register of Fusli 1183 Same amount of Fusli 1210 ... account of 1260 ... | Unknown | Hidden Manium Fusli 1183 Extent "8" The same name & extent ... | The same name Fusli 1256 | Navalimed Chengalraya Pandaram 60 | 1 son | Fees Hidden Inam To be confirmed to the present holder ~~...~~

420

T. No. 48 Sunday Inam [illegible]

[illegible]		1-1-8	2.25	11-13-6		personal benefit of the holder but since alienated for the support of Sri Lakshmi Narasinga Perumal Pagoda				10 Conjevaram Sivaram Village Sunday Inam		Tarafadi of 1173 Atalokam Manium Dry K 4 8 Wet 3 — In Pondi Daul of 1800 Same name and extent	Survey of 1249 Fusli Gift to Lakshmi Narasinga Perumal Kavi 1-1-8 ~~Gift to [illegible] tuckavar Kavi~~	to Lakshmi Narasinga Perumal Pagoda Dharmakarta — Vitthaldas			The gift was made to the Pagoda as per entry in the survey accounts. The said tope is situated within the walls of the Pagoda	Deeds — The alienation was made in favour of the Pagoda of a remote date, recommended that it be continued as Devadayam. Placed to the Collector to grant [illegible] Arcot 10 — 5th Nov 1860 Madasiva Pillai D. Collr.	423 8 424
Personal 2 II	Wet Dry 373 374	—2—12 —5—6 —8—2	.67	5—8—		For the personal benefit of the holder	Tax free	Hereditary					In the Survey account of 1249 Fusli Venkata Chariar Venkata Varada Chariar Bagavachariar Achalaiyengar gift — 1—8—2	Madras Venkatachariar 45 Hiyir Ragavamal 50 Sivaram Tiruvenkatachariar 65 Rangachariar 62 Singachariar 25 Tirumalachariar 18 Kandantachariar 18 Sundaravaradachariar 10 Srinivasachariar 33 Bashiatangar 25	1/3d Share 1/3 Share 1/3d Share	1 Daughter 3 Sons 2 Sons Wife 2 Sons Wife	By gift as above		
										Tarafadi Inams		"Rent brought to Tirumal"							
Personal 3	Wet 5	—3—8	.28	2—5—2		For the personal benefit of the holder	Tax free Sarva Inam	Hereditary	Unknown	No deeds Mr Barnard's Tarafadi Acct of F1182 Daul of F1210 Survey of F1249 Regr of F1263	Unknown	In Barnard's Tarafadi of 1173 Saraswati Maniyam Patalam Wet 4 In Pondi Daul of 1800 Same name and extent	In the Survey account of 1249 Fusli Saraswati Maniyam Extent as per Col 3	"	"	"	"	This is an unclaimed Inam lying waste from ~~before~~ the date of the Daul acct. To be resumed & charged with full assessment. 27/6/61. A Ramachandra Row D.C.	
4				2—10—11		For the personal benefit of the holder	Tax free	Hereditary	Unknown	No deeds Mr Barnard's Tarafadi Acct of F1182 Daul acct of F1210. Survey of F1249 — Regr of F1263	Unknown	In Barnard's Tarafadi of 1173 Josiar Maniyam Wet 8 In Pondi Daul of 1800 Same name and extent	In the survey account of 1249 Fusli Josiar Maniyam Extent as per Col 3	Madras Black Town Srinivasa Chetty 50	"	1 son	[illegible]	The Inam is valid. [illegible] Confirmed in Supplt Regr 6/12/69 (Sd) W. Robinson 27/6/61. A Ramachandra Row D.C.	
										Tarafadi Inams									
Devadayam 5	Wet 47 82 283 389 494 2669 2676 2874 2875 2876 3136 3151 3649	-8-4 -4-3 -3- -5-12 -7- -14-14 -15-4 -1-13 -13-2 -14-2 -8-6 -5-12 -7-2 7-14-10	0.68 0.58 0.24 0.47 0.67 1.32 1.31 0.14 1.10 1.17 0.69 0.67 1.71 10.33	4-11-13 4-1-5 1-11-7 3-4-10 3-4-10 7-4-13 7-3-1 6-13-2 5-4-1 6-11-6 3-5-6 3-14-4 8-8-7 59-13-1		For the services of Sri Lakshmi narasimha Perumal. The service is rendered to this day	Sarva Inam	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	No deeds Mr Barnard's Tarafadi of F1184 Daul account of F1210 Survey account of F1249 Register of F1263	Unknown	Perumal maniyam Dry F1184 Extent K 6-8 and in F1210 Extent K 6	Lakshmi narasimha Perumal maniyam in F1249 Extent as per Col 3	Palayasivaram Sri Lakshmi narasimha Perumal (Priest for the time being Pennarasinga Bhattar 50 Manager for the time being Vithaladas 40)			The Pagoda exists from a long time	To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the service is rendered. A Ramachandra Row D.C. 27/6/61.	425
Devadayam 6	Wet 49 50	-11-14 -12- -11-10	0.40 0.56 0.96	6 3-10 -8-1 6-11-11		For the support of Sri Vaikuntha Perumal	Sarva Inam	So long as the service is rendered	Unknown	No deeds Daul account F1210 Survey account of F1249 Register of F1263	Unknown	Vaikuntha Perumal maniyam of F1210 Extent K 8	The same in F1249 as per Col 3	Palaiyasivaram Sri Vaikuntha Perumal	"	"	"	The service has ceased to be performed from 60 years. The proceeds of the Inam are annually held [illegible]. To be resumed & charged with full assessment. 27/6/61 A Ramachandra Row	425

(Continued on a separate sheet)