Pichmo 13

Sheet Book 311

Shrotrygum Kallakambumpattada Conjeeram Talug

(570) Coast Case 6 Taluk

Ryotwar

Supplemental Claim to Property in the Village of Kallakemunpatadoo in the Talug of Coppaveram Madura District

Rec'd 25 Sept 68

Ref. No. 250 Case No. 1 Personal No. 1 Commandayen & Pakkayen & Hoffmann Millers Tinnevelly Collectg

J. No 623

General Abstract	Written Evidence	Parol Evidence	Not Claimed	Not Claimed	Not to be Investigated	Decided in Favor	Decided against	Pending Reference	Pending Report
	Petn. 1 — 1 — 32	10 — 9 —							
	Counch — 1 — 1 — 32	10 — 9 —							
	2 — 2 — 64	21 — 2 —							

Ref. No. 250 Case No. 1 Personal No. 1 Commandayen & Pakkayen & Hoffmann Millers Tinnevelly Collectg

Summary Judgment

	Decided	Petitioner	Counter Petitioner	Decided	Petitioner	Counter Petitioner
Petitioner	Petn.	4 — 1 — 33		2 — 1 — 8		
Counter Petitioner	Counch	6 — 1 — 99		4 — 1 — 8		
		11 — 1 — 12		6 — 3 — 8		

Concealed

(1) Yours Memorandum Pothyan 6.9. A.L.

(2) Yours Memorandum Pothyan 6.9. A.L.

(3) Yours Memorandum Pothyan 6.9. A.L.

(4) Yours Memorandum Pothyan 6.9. A.L.

(5) Yours Memorandum Pothyan 6.9. A.L.

(6) Yours Memorandum Pothyan 6.9. A.L.

(7) Yours Memorandum Pothyan 6.9. A.L.

(8) The shares of 4 — 5 — 6 mm. 4 — 7 — Mutthoo 1 mentioned by the former Dy Collr Wife 1 Ramachandra Row who settled the Son — 1 of 4 — 1 — 4 — These shares were entered Brother 1 in the 4th statement furnished to me from the Revenue officials in 1862 Wife of the 3rd 1

(9) Both shares said to have been taken possession on that Statement — As formerly held right of them —

(10) D. Sofia Cayen in her Judgment to recover dated 22nd Sept 1864 requested that the whole of the Enam including the 6 shares may be formally made attachment for defrayment of the Encumbrances appear before him for Settlement — The Collr ordered the attachment in his order to Collr of the 26th Sept 1864 —

J. No 2346 & 2347

this Sept appears to have been his order to Gilladen Pandaram Mudaliyar on 31st Sept 1864 but not to have been that this order was carried out —

(now deceased).

Thus the undivided shares in question appear to have never been interfered with up to this date — Vide Commissioner's further memorandum dated 11th Sept 1869 —

Under these circumstances, I bring these shares under Settlement —

As regards the 5th share, the grantee (6) died in the lifetime of the grantor and so the gift to him lapsed to the grantor — Vide Commissioner's further memorandum dated 11th Sept 1869 —

Kuttalam

Sonnagiri & Pandian Tarakaram Chetti — The former sold his share for 8 3/4 Rd on 19th Kumbh Kuttalam to the 2nd on 2nd Sept 1831 — The latter sold his share for Rd 19 3/4 on 19th Kumbh Kuttalam to the 2nd on 2nd Sept 1831 —

by Gift Conveyance dated 19th Kumbh Kuttalam to one Mallakarjuna Row who gifted under Gift Conveyance dated 19th Kumbh Kuttalam to one Vellu Mudaliyar to one Vellu Mudaliyar from whom No 5 inherited as his 2nd son — The 4 deeds are produced — Mallakarjuna Row has held & enjoyed the gift ever since from grant to date —

With respect to the 6th share, the grantee's 2nd son Ramaswamy Chetty gifted it together with his 1/16th share to one Chettipadal Devarayan on 10th Kartigai 1818 — The donee's widow Lakshmma gifted it on 28th Kartigai Regulate to No 6 — The 2 deeds of transfer are on Stamp & Conveyance produced —

This is rather a recent case 1868 made from personal Considerations — by a Chetty Capt residence —

To be confirmed as in 2 Rs Cowle as follows —

Rs	As	Rs
1 —	5	4 — 6 — Compulsory 1/0
2	6	2 — 4 — Compulsory 1/2
		7 — 10

11/3/68

Connection with Case No 6 Nos

Examined & Compared

Asst Commissioner

Translator

Asst

Ent'd 11th Feb 1869

Regn No 969

Sheet No 168

Register of Inams in the Village of Mutlapatnam No 3 in the Taluq of Conjeevaram in the District of Chingleput

Regn No 210

Register of Inams in the Village of Hathapettai in the Taluq of Conjeevaram in the District of

