Regr No 6 — Sochuk(?) Kattu(?) Case No 52 [illegible]

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

| Rates | Dry | Wet single crop | Wet two crop |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1st | 2-9-8 | 12-12-0 | .. |
| 2d | ..-12-7 | .. | 6-8 |
| 3d | .. | .. | 5-4 |
| 4th | .. | .. | 3-8 |

Rates
1st
2nd
3rd
4th

Sheet No. 340

Case No 1
Personal

| Personal | Wet crop | | | For the benefit of the holder | Do | Heredi tary | Unknown | The accounts in col 14 & 15 | Unknown |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 47 | 1-12-..2.31 | | 22-5 | Sunnud Inam – Bhatavarty | | | | | |
| 48 | 1-1-4 1.42 | | 13-12 | | | | | | |
| 49 | 1-1-8 1.44 | | 13-15 | | | | | | |
| Total | 3-14-12 5.17 | | 50-.. | | | | | | |

The present holders give the following Geneology —



...y that they know nothing of the family of the Grantee, They state that the Inam was purchased ...mi under marked A from a Childless widow daughter in law of the Grantee Venkatammal— ...Grantee's family is now extinct— The alleged sale is supported by a deed or simple Cowle(?) dated ... Subhakritu on 11th Novr 1782 purporting to be executed by Venkatammal to Ramisastri— ...the alleged sale is not reliable— It would seem from the entry in the Collr's Regr of 1810 ...prepared under Regulation XXXI of 1802 Page 12 Book II, — that the Grantee's 9th gd son Venkata ...krishnambhatta got the Inam registered in his name— But though there is no doubt of such an ...try having been effected in the Collr's Register, yet the fact that the Grantee's family ...became extinct after his 3d son Muthuswamier justifies the following inference to be drawn ...from the circumstances of the case— Namely— That as the Grantee's family became extinct ...tekrishna sastry, the late father of No 1 ~~[illegible]~~ or his father, ... Vide other side

In Tarafpady
In Cowle
& In Pymash
of F 1237
Seshadri
Pandithar's
Maunniyam —
In the Collr's Regr
of 1810 —
Kanchi Venkata
=krishnambhatt
9th gd son —



Siva Kanchi
(1)
Venkatasubbayar
55
(2)
Lakshminara
=sayar 53
(3)
Subbasastri
60
(4)
Ramaswamier
45

(1)
3d son
(2)
Do
(3 & 4)
Brother's
3rd sons
to a
purchaser
as per
statement

(1)
wife — 1
Daughters
married 2
Daughter's
sons — 3
6
(2)
wife — 1
(3)
Widowed
Daughter 1
Brother 1
Br's wife 1
Br's son 1
4
(4)
wife 1
Unmarried
Daughter 1
2
Family
divided
Share
equal

This Inam appears as Case 3 in the former Vernacular Register of A Ramachandra Rao — as — I am absent(?) Cases— It does not however appear in A or B statements furnished for my guidance from the Central office— The Tahsr however acting under a General order of the Collr that all unsettled Inams should be attached, placed the Inam under attachment on 21st August 1865— The holders claimed the Inam then before the Tahsr on 9th Decr 1865— The Tahsr enquired into the claim & reported to Collr in an Arzee dated 7th Septr 1866 No 279 — which has been sent to me for disposal—

Thus the claim to the Inam having been made within one year from the attachment tho' before Tahsr only, I admit it—

The Inamdars to shew the original Deed of sale under which they inherit —
15/4/69 —

The grant having been made from F 1275 the Curnam says that for F 1275 & 1276 the Inam assessment was not taken as a Demand but a portion of it (5) — only from a few share-holders was collected— For F 1277 & F 1278, it was included in the Demand but not collected — up to this time —

T.K.
11/6/69 —

LDH.

Confirmed at the right in the Title Deed (1) Subject to Quit rent (Rs 5-0-0) 18/10/69

TD No
2007

---

Case No 2
Personal

| Personal | Wet crop | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13 "–3-40.27 | 1-5 | Kudemi Inam. | Do | Do | Do | Do | Do | |

In Tarafpady
In Cowle
In
Pymash
Kudumy's
Inam —

Porio Coymaram(?)
Muthusami
(2) Do
Ramaswami —

Absent— To be attached
15/4/69 —

L 14 — LDH

Inam Service(?)

To be confirmed in one F.C. 2 Rs at 1/4 Compulsory As – 6 —

T.K.
7/9/69

Confd on a quit rent of Annas 6

18/10/69

TD No
2006

Corrections in this page (8) Eight.

C. Robinson
Inám Commissioner.

possession of the Inam through the favor of the Village officers — & that the family was maintained in it afterwards through the favor of the Taluq officers —
An Inam Regr having been opened by Collr in 1810, the Hd of the family Nr's father Venkatakrishnasastri being afraid that the Inam would be resumed for Govt as an escheat consequent on the extinction of the Grantee's family managed to get the Inam registered in his name in that Regr as if he were the adopted grandson of the Grantee — named Venkatakrishnambhatta —
Hence the Inam became a fraudulent one —

But as the present holders have been no parties to the fraud, & as the possession of the Inam in their family for upwards of 50 years is proved by a Panchayat Decree dated 5th Chittri sukla or 15th April 1809 produced — I would recommend that in consideration of the very long enjoyment held by the family the Inam may be confirmed in one T.D. on a 1/4 Compulsory Q.R. of Rs 12-8-"

Cannot see any ground for any [illegible] T.R.
4/9/69
The Depy Collr recommn. — Whatever the apparent uncertainty in 1810 the land was registered & the family now in enjoyt & that they were in possession is proved by the Panchayet decision in 1809 — The recommendn is based on mere hearsay & [illegible] all the facts are in favor of the claimant being an ancient [illegible] pending regular one — so far as regards the period of holding the Comr takes notice — & be admitted to all the privileges of 9th class 18/11/69

Correction in this page (1) One
[illegible]
Examr

J. W. Robinson
Inám Commissioner.