Ryptd

dapet Dn & Taluq Madras District —

In arappady, the particulars of Inam entries are lost – The [illegible] Copy has been adopted to supply the omission —

Sheet No 231

	Dry Rate	Wet Rate	Garden
1	2-10 ..	10 ..	2-8
2	2-3 ..	8-8	
3	1-12 ..	7-12	
4		5 ..	
5		3 ..	

	2		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1	Personal	Garden 548 .. 11 .. Case No 1 Perumal	.31	2-6-6	Patta Poradu	Free	Hery	not known	The accounts in Rolo 14 & 15	not known	In Tarappady Tattan Nalla tamby's Vadu Pilakkaday In Dowle not entered In Pymash Tattan's Pilakkaday		Hindus (1) Sabhapaty Asari 50 (2) Munisami Asari 30	(1) uncle's Son (2) uncle's Gd Son to the party in Tarappady	(1) Wife 1 Daughters Married 2 Unmarried 3 Brother's Widow 1 7 (2) Mother 1 Wife 1 Brothers 3 Do Wife 1 Widowed Aunt 1 7	Family divided not so the Inam – shares equal		To be Confirmed in one T.D. Q Rat 1/8 As (4) agreed to T-K 18-9-68	Confd on a quit rent of Annas 21/6/69 1.4 T.D.P. T.D. No 114
2	Do	Garden 611 .. 3-4 Case No 2 Do	.27	.. 11-5	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	In Tarappady & Dowle not entered In Pymash Lakiry & Pilakkaday		Hindus (1) Veerasami 55 (2) Ponnan 45 (3) Sinnatamby 40 (4) Kinengadean 35	(1 to 4) Gd Sons to the alleged Grantee	(1) Wife 1 (2) Wife 1 Sons 3 4 (3) Wife 1 Son 1 Daughters unmarried 2 4 (4) Wife 1 Unmarried Daughters 2 3	Do		To be Confirmed in one T.D. as subsequent on a 1/4 1/4 D.R. Compulsory As (2) T-K 18-9-68	Confd on a quit rent of Annas 2 21.6.69 T.D. T.D.P.
3	Do	Garden 565 .. 1-10 Case No 3 Do	.13	.. 5-8	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	In Tarappady & Dowle not entered In Pymash Blacksmith's Kude Illa Manay		Hindus Carpenters (1) Veerabhadra-sari 60 (2) Tandavasari 40 Blacksmith (3) Vyde Asari 65	No 3 Gd Sons to the alleged Grantee	(1) Wife 1 Daughters Married 1 Not Do 1 3 (2) Mother 1 Wife 1 Sons 2 Brother 1 Do's Wife 1 Do's Son 1 7	(3) Wife 1 Sons 3 4 Do shares No 1 — 1/4 2 — 1/4 3 — 1/2		To be Confirmed in one T.D. as subsequent on a 1/4 1/2 D.R. Compulsory As (2) T-K 18-9-68	Confd on a quit rent of Annas 2 21.6.69 T.D.P. T.D. No 143
4	Do	Garden 597 .. .. 10 598 .. 3-6 .. 4 .. Case No 4 Do	.05 .28 .33	.. 2-2 .. 11-10 .. 14 ..	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	In Tarappady Kambukatty's Kude Illa Manay In Dowle not entered In Pymash Kambukatty's Vedu Pilakkaday		Milameyur Kuppan 80	Gd Son to the alleged Grantee	Son 1 Son's Wife 1 Gd Sons 2 4	..		To be Confirmed in one T.D. Q Rat 1/8 As (2) agreed to T-K 18-9-68	Confd on a quit rent of Annas 2 21.6.69 T.D.P. T.D. No 143
	Dharmadayam	Dry 578 .. 3-8 Case No 5 Dharmadayam	.29	.. 6-1	Do Wet flower Garden	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	In Tarappady & Dowle not entered In Pymash Gurunappa Naicken's Phuntottam —		Milameyur Pulli Phoontottam Managers Village Cty			..		To be Confd in one T.D. as subsequent on 1/4 Compulsory D.R. As (2) — T-K 18-9-68	Confd on a quit rent of Annas 2 21.6.69 T.D.P.
6	Personal	Dry 394 1-2-8 Case No 6 Perumal	1.53	2-8-3	Patta Poradu	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	In Tarappady & Dowle not entered In Pymash Government Waste —		Inamdar unknown —					absent – To be attached 18-9-68 This was the Residence & Garden of an European Engineer formed after the survey — There is still the Compound with some trees now but the ground being waste —	L5 Attach 21.6.69

Fair copied

Corrections None in this Page
Appasawmy Pillai
Exr

G. Robinson
Inam Commissioner

Centd
N.A.M.
15/69

Ginnaramangum Chinglepet Taluq – Madras (D.t Contd)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
7	Personal	Garden 588 589	.. .. 10 .. 1-6 .. 2 ..	·05 ·12 ·17		.. 2-2 4.10 .. 7 – ..	Patti Free Nanjay Padadu			Not the Accounts Known in Cols 14 & 15		Not Known	In Tarappady Barber Arumugham's Vedu Pilakkaday In Dowle Not entered In Pymash Barber's Vedu Pilakkaday			None	..		Abandoned Inam No [illegible] Claimant – To be fully assessed T.K. 18-9-68	assessed J.W.Brown 21/6/69
	Do	Garden 618	.. 9-6	·77		2 .. 10	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	In Tarappady & Dowle Not entered In Pymash Carnam's Pilakkaday			Name not known	..		Absent – To be attached 9/10/68 For this Inam, three claimants have filed their statements 1st Venkatranga Pillay 2d Venkatachala Pillay 3d Tiruvenkada Pillay — ① Each claimant claims ~~each~~ in his own name registration — The parties ② told to produce their evidence 9/10/68 —	L 10 To be attached J.W.Brown 21/6/69

Fair copy

Corrections three in this page (3)
Appasawmy Pillay
Exd

J. Robinson
Inam Commissioner.

Village. [illegible]

Regr No 117

Regr No 117

Sheet no 73.

-3-6
-12-10
1-..-.. 1·32 6-8-6

For the personal benefit of the holder

Tuck mera ~~Jodi~~ -3-6

Here-ditary

By [illegible] Adilkhan

Pierson Mahazar testifying the loss of Pawana dated 1191 Hijri -

Venkata Bhattar

In Barnard's Tarapadi of 1773 Hari Bhattar Maniam Wet 1-..-.. In Roudri Dowl of 1800 Same name and extent In the Inam Register of 1810 -

In the Survey account of 1231 Fasli Hari Bhattar Maniem Extent as per Col 3&5

Lakshmamma - Grandson's wife
Again registered in the name of her daughter's son
Vallai Logachari in 1816 -

Vishtnu Kanchi
[illegible] Ragavacharlu 45

Sister's Son of Valle Logachari

Wife

By gift to the incumbent (Sister's son) from person in Col: 14 as per Deed of gift dated Vardana ~~[illegible]~~ (28 years ago) with previous Deed of gift to the daughter's son mentioned in Col: 14 -

To be confirmed subject to a quit Rent of 1/8 Ares 12 -
1st Octr 1860
Mhudasiva Pellai
D. Coll.

It will be seen that by the 2nd gift the Inam reverted to a descendant of the orig. [illegible] - W.S.

After taking up 21 July 1862, the figures in col 9 entries 1 & 2 [illegible] Jodi but Tuck mera 8 Sept 64. A.S.

x1 It [illegible] the [illegible] in the 2nd [illegible]

x2 In what state are we to leave the Tuck mera?

Conf. A.S.
25/11/63

828

Tdr 1078

---

2 Personal 2 Wet No 56 -15-4 1·26 2-14-10

For the personal benefit of the holder

Tuckmera ~~Jodi~~ -1-4

Here-ditary

Lakshmana Caya

In Barnard's Tarapadi Bhattraja Maniam Wet 1-..-.. In Roudri Dowl of 1800 Same name and extent

In the Survey account Bhotraja Maniem extent as per Col: 4 -

Chengalpat
Venkatachalamiraja 50
Varadaraja 35
Nagaraja 30

Grand son of grantee

1 Son
Mother
Wife

To be confirmed in one Deed - Quit Rent at 1/8 Ares 6 - Agreed to -
6th Novr 1860
Mhudasiva Pellai
D. Coll.

P.V.

Conf. A.S.
25/11/63

Tdr 1079

Personal 3

Dry Nos
423 1-12-..
424 -10-2
436 -10-..
3-..-2 3·97 5-4-..

Wet Nos
770 -8-10
772 -5-..
774 -1-10
777 2-..-..
2-15-4 3·90 18-9-11
5-15-6 7·87 23-13-11

For the personal benefit of the holder

Tuck mera -12-9

Here-ditary

By Navab Sadatulla Khan - 14th
Zuly -

Pertian Pawana

Sayed Hasan

In Barnard's Tarapadi Shasin Fakir Maniem Wet 5-..-.. In Roudri Dowl of 1800 Same name Dry 3-..-.. Wet 3-..-..
In the Inam Register Chengalpat Sayed Gulam Husein Great Grandson Wet 6-..-..

In the Survey account Shasin Fakir Maniem Extent as per Col 3&4,

Saidapat
Hamirbi 25

Aunt 2 Sons daughter of the registered Inamdar in Col: 14

To be confirmed Quit Rent at 1/8 Rs 3 - Agreed to
7th Novr 1860
M. Sadasiva Pellai
D. Coll.

⊕ Col: 16 - Case No 4 -
1 Mahomed Zakki alias Chaba Miya
2 Syed Mohdin
3 Syed Hoosen
4 Babu Miya

vide Remark & decision in Case No 27 of the Village of Alter in the Chingleput Taluq

A.S.

A.P. No 2845 of 1862 from Syed Mahomed Khan

16 Decr 64
W.M.S.
O.H.C.

Conf. A.S.

do

828

Tdr 1080

4

Wet No
734 1-3-..
735 -10-12
42 1-8-8
44 -6-..
40 1-3-12
6·60 40-11-11

Case No 5 Do

A.P. No 1559 of 1864 from Ramanuja Pillai [illegible] stating that nattam land have been used as Inam
Rejected the Deputy
W.M.S.
O.H.C.

Jodi Tuck mera 1-5-5 Paid only when cultivated

Do

By Navab Anwardi Khan as per Inam Register of F1810

Extract granted to Sayad Abdul Khadar alias Khadar Pasha (in col. 16) on the 27/5/67

Mahammad Sharif gosha nishan

In Barnard Tarapadi Husinsha Husen [illegible] Maniam Wet 4-.. 5-..
In Roudri Dowl Same name & Extent Same
In the Inam Register Sayed Mir Mahammad Son ~~[illegible]~~ of grantee Wet 4 Kanis 7 [illegible]

In the survey account [illegible] Maniam Extent as per Col: 2-4

Daughter's Son

Madras St. Thome - Rayar street Door No 49 -
Sayad Abdul Khader
alias Khader pacha
Age 48 -

Wife ⊕

Son of registered Inamdar in Col: 14 -

⊕⊕ Not he is the identical party alluded to in the Regr of F1819. It seems to me, that there is no reason why the claim now preferred for joint registration should be rejected; on the contrary, the right claimed is stronger than that of the party shown in Col 16
12/4/61
A. Ramachandra
D.C.

Under these circumstances, I decline registering the name of the claimant 5 - they are however in joint possn with Abdul Khader & ~~[illegible]~~ ~~should have~~ of course is not disturbed pending the result of any litigation to which the parties may choose to resort - 15th Nov 1860 - M. Sadasiva Pellai D.C.

Quit Rent at 1/8 Rs 5 - exclusive of Tuck mera - Agreed to - xx

To be confirmed - one Sayad Mahomed Zaker the deceased & Sayad Mohdeen the 3 men alleged daughter's sons of one Emunisha grand daughter of grantee claim registry in their name - that Emunisha had any issue is denied by Sayad Abdul Khader who alleges them to have been simply "brought up" by her they being in no way related to her - the evidence taken before the Tahsildar was to the effect that they were simply so brought up & there was no evidence whatever to the contrary - these [illegible] a [illegible] before the Commissioner ⊕⊕

xx P.S. Since the above decision was recorded by Deputy Collector Sadasiva Pillai two appeals (dated 4 Dec/60 & July/61) were preferred by Mahamed Zaki Son, and Saiyed Gulam Mohadeen & 2 others, daughter's sons of Emunisha aforesaid, and the same referred to me for investigation on 22d July last. On a careful perusal of the records quoted and the Regr of F1219, ~~[illegible]~~ I observe that in the latter doct. Emunisha is said to have [illegible] at the time a [illegible] child named Mahammad Zaki, who was represented during Sadasiva Pellai's enquiry as one of the foster children of the present claimants Mahamad Zaki alias Chabu Miya [illegible] before [illegible]

Conf. Decided in Case No 27 in Alter
25/11/63 A.S.

788

Tdr 1081

Personal 5

Dry
501 5-7-10 7·23
506 1-13-8 2·63
507 -15-8 1·20
508 1-2-.. 1·48
509 1-13-4 2·62
Wet 11-2-14 14·76
498 1-8-6 2·01
499 -7-10 0·63
2-..-.. 2·64
13-2-14 17·40

Dry 6 -10-12 ·87
12-8-2 16·51

Half at Rs 3 per Kani [illegible]

-11-15-8
4-..-6
1-16-9
2-7-5
4-..-..
24-7-4
4-10-10
1-7-2
6-2-..
30-9-4
1-7-6
39-1-10

Bhata vritti

Tuck mera [illegible] 6 [illegible]

Here-ditary

Sunnud name not quite legible in the seal with same

Nizam Sanad dated 1713 - Mr Barnard's Tarapadi of F1182 Dowl account of F1210 Survey account of F1231 Register of F1268

Jivaji Maharaja

Kanugo Pillai maniyam in F1182 Extent 2-
Pettai maniyam in F1210 Extent 2
[illegible] Pettai maniyam Total Kali 873 Kanis 3-12-..
Total 5-12-..

Nagaji Rayer [illegible] maniyam in F1231 Extent as per col 4

Periya Kanjivaram
(1) Datta Rao 50
(2) Datta Rao 28
Melmayur
(3) Ratnasabha Pati Modali 27
(4) Dhorasami Modali 25
(5) Mahadeva Modali 15
Chengalpat
(6) Chinna swamy Iyer 25
(7) Ponnuswamy [illegible]

(1-2) Great Great Great Great Grand Sons
(3-7) not related

(1) 1 Son 1 brother [illegible]
(2) wife
(3) wife
(4) not yet married
(5) Do
(6) 1 Son 1 wife
(7) not yet married

the father of Nos (3 4 & 5) purchased a portion of the Inam in 3 lots in 1843 1844 & 1849 for Rs. 45 170 & Rs 225. respectively - The first lot from Subraya Pandaram & Yekambara Pandaram, who are said to have been the orig. purchasers of the said plot from the father of Nos (1 & 2) [illegible]

The Inam is valid - the original Sanad specifies the limit within which it is situated. In consequence of the great excess which the survey acct shows above the Tarapadi & the Dowl area I caused the land to be re-measured; the report of the Taluq Officer deputed for the purpose shows that the land in question is 14-8-21, or a little above the Survey extent [illegible]

⊕⊕⊕ 37 D. +
1 to Nos (1&2) 1/8 all 1-4-.. of [illegible] agreed
1 to Nos (3&5) 1/8 all 1-12-..
1 to Nos (6&7) 1/8 all ..-4-..
A Ramachandra D.C.
20/9/61

& the [illegible] Nos (3) purchased a portion from the Nos (1) in 1854 for Rs 40/ Deed of Sale [illegible] purchased [illegible] Nos (1&2) admit these [illegible]

Conf. RRP No [illegible] A.S.

do RRP No 3 C.S.

Rs 2

Tdr 1082

Devadayam 6	Pagoda 31 31	1 – – 1.32 – – 0.17 1 2 – 1.49	5 – 6 – 10 – 1 5 – 10 – 1	Service of the Rodan drama swami's, The service is rendered to this day	Tank mera · 3 – 1	So long as the service is rendered collect when cult	Unkn	No doct Mr Barnard's Sarakadi of F 1783 Dowl account of F 1210 Survey account of F 1231 Register of F 1268	Unkn	The same Drama swami's pagoda Extent in F 1783 The same name & Extent in F 1210	The same name & Extent in F 1231	Changalpat Sri Rodandrama swami (Trustee for the time being Srinivasayangar 43)		To be confirmed to the Pagoda so long as the service is rendered. The Pagoda exists from a long time A Ramachandra D.C. 24/8/61

Confd
25/10/63 AS
Fo 1085

Inam abstract of the Village Melamayur Chinglepat Taluq

Description of Inam	Dry				Wet				Total				Total assessment		Total quit rent						Option		
	Cas	as	go	acre	Cas	as	go	acre	Cas	as	go	acre			Former		new imposed				agreed	declined	
Devadayam	–	–	–	–	1	2	–	1.49	1	2	–	1.49	5	10 – 7	·	3 – 1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Personal	13	8	4	17.84	12	11	8	15.72	25	6	12	33.56	108	3 – –	3	7	11	2	2	–	9	2	–
Total	13	8	4	17.84	13	"	8	17.21	26	8	12	35.05	108	13 – 7	3	10 – 8	11	2	2	–	9	2	–
Service Inams	8	13	12	11.52	17	10	14	22.27	26	9	10	33.79											
Total according to present settt	22	6	–	29.36	30	12	6	39.48	53	2	6	68.84	108	13 – 7	3	10 – 8	11	2	2	–	9	2	–
Area as per Mr Barnard's Sarakadi Personal religious grants other than Service Inams	6	12	–	8.91	18	–	–	23.76	24	12	–	32.67											
Service Inams	11	–	–	14.52	19	12	–	26.07	30	12	–	40.59											
Total	17	12	–	23.43	37	12	–	49.83	55	8	–	73.26											
Increase	4	10	–	5.93	–	–	–	–	4	10	–	5.93											
Decrease	–	–	–	–	6	15	10	9.65	6	15	10	9.65											

The illustrations are from the entry of the survey extent —

A Ramachandra
Deputy Collector
Inam Commissioner

Fair Copy

15
33 Sundry Inams in the Shrotriyem Village Melaripakkam Changalpat Taluq

Masjid 1	Dry wet Total	2 – 8 – 3.30 1 – – 1.32 1 – 8 – 1.98 2 – 8 – 3.30 5 – – 6.60	6 – 9 – – 10 – 8 – – 17 – 8 – – 24 – 1 – –	For ~~[illegible]~~ Repairs of light in the masjid & other services ~~[illegible]~~ there. The service is rendered to this day & the institution is well maintained	Tank mera 1 – 14 – 9	So long as the service is rendered Collect when cult	Unkn	No doct Dowl account of F 1210 ~~Survey account~~ Register of F 1268	Unkn	Masjid mann[illegible] Extent Dry 2 – 8 wet 2 – 8 5 – – in F 1210	The same name & Extent in F 1268	Melaripakkam Masjid (Masjid for the time being Mahammad Ibrahim saheb 40)	"	To be confirmed to the masjid so long as the service is rendered A Ramachandra D.C. 24/8/61

Confd
Fo 1086

Pauds