Sectt. II 48—22,988—9-6-20.

Revenue (1920) Department.

(Special-War)

Ordinary SERIES.

~~G.O.~~ Letter No. 196

DATED 31—5—20

[Despatch Abstract.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

Letter should be headed with the name of the Estate.

Separate letters should be written regarding separate Estates.

No. 0145

Enc. one

25/5

War/no

Custodian

~~ADMINISTRATOR-GENERAL'S~~ OFFICE,
~~OFFICIAL TRUSTEE'S~~
HIGH COURT HOUSE,
Madras, 17 May 1920.

War 1711
22/5/20

20 MAY 1920

From

D. Chamier Esq., Bar-at-law,
Ag Custodian of Enemy Property, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue (Special) Department,
Stonehousehill, Nilgiris.

Sir,

(5)

With reference to G.O.No.553, Revenue (Special) (War), dated 12th December 1919, I have the honor to submit herewith my report regarding the claim of Mrs. Rita Fernandes for compensation from Germany.

I have the honor to be, Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D Chamier

Ag Custodian.

C/-

2

(5)

3

Enquiry made by me as Acting Custodian of Enemy Property, Madras, in pursuance of G.O.No.553, Revenue (Special), dated 12th December 1919, on the petition and statement of Mrs.Rita Fernandes.

The claimant is Rita Fernandes, widow of Joas Fernandes, who was serving on s.s. 'Persia', not being a vessel of war, which was torpedoed by the enemy during the war.

The claimant was examined by the Stationary Sub Magistrate of Mangalore.

The deceased used to remit Rs50/- or Rs75/ every month.

The claimant is now 32 years of age and has four children, three boys and one girl, the eldest child (boy) being 15 years old.

Government have been allowing her Rs10/ per mensem and her children Re.1/ per mensem each. The allowance of the eldest has been stopped since he attained the age of 14 years.

The claimant owns a small plot of land valued about Rs 400/-.

From the facts stated above, it may be supposed that the benefit which the claimant and her children would have received from the deceased if he had lived would have averaged about Rs50/ per mensem, or Rs600/ per annum.

A sum of Rs5000/ if lent out at 12 per cent or traded with would produce Rs600/ ~~per~~ per annum.

Whatever sum may be awarded may be given to the widow on her account and as the natural guardian of the minor children.

D Chamier

Ag. Custodian.

C/.

Letter should be headed with the name of the Estate.

Separate letters should be written regarding separate Estate.

W&I
mo

No. 8107

Enc. Four

Custodian's ~~Administrator-General's~~
~~Official Trustee's~~ Office,

4

High Court House,

Madras, 19th April 1920.

Des 19/4

War Cl. 1406

Reg: 22.4.20

20 APR 1920

From

D. Chamier Esq., Bar-at-law,
Ag Custodian of Enemy Property, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue (Special) Department, Stonehousehill,
The Nilgiris.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No.553, Revenue (Special), dated 12th December 1919, I have the honor to submit herewith my reports regarding the claims of the following persons for compensation from Germany:

1. M. Sadayappa Chariar.
2. Alice Tauro.
3. Carmelina D'Souza.
4. Thayarammal.

I have the honor to be, Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D Chamier

Ag Custodian, Madras.

CS

Enquiry made by me as Acting Custodian of Enemy Property, Madras, in pursuance of G.O.No.553, Revenue (SPecial), dated 12th December 1919, on the petition and statement of Carmelina D'Souza.

-

The claimant is Carmelina D'Souza, widow of one Alexiz D'Souza, a steward on the s.s. Persia, not being a vessel of war, which was torpedoed by the enemy during the War.

The claimant was examined by the Stationery Magistrate of Mangalore.

The deceased was about 41 years of age at the date of his death; his pay varied and he used to send the claimant sums from time to time, as he was absent at sea; and these are said to have varied from Rs.50/ to Rs.100/ every two months.

The claimant is now 35 years of age and has a daughter about 12 years of age.

Government have been making an allowance of Rs.8/ per mensem to the claimant and Re.1/ per mensem to her daughter.

The evidence as to the amounts remitted by the deceased to the claimant is vague and it may be supposed that the benefit which the claimant and her daughter would have received from the deceased if he had lived might have averaged Rs.15/ per mensem or Rs.180/ per annum.

A sum of Rs.1500/ if lent out at 12% or traded with would produce Rs.180/ per annum.

Whatever sum may be awarded as compensation may be given to the widow.

D Chamier

Ag Custodian of Enemy Property, Madras.

Enquiry ~~held by~~ made by me as Acting Custodian of Enemy Property, Madras, in pursuance of G.O.No.553, Revenue (Special), dated 12th December 1919, on the petition ~~of~~ and statement of Alice Tauro.

The claimant is Alice Tauro, widow of one Cyprian Tauro or Tavers, seaman No.67,752, on the S.S. Paris, not being a vessel of war, which was torpodoed by the enemy during the War.

The claimant was examined by the Stationary Sub Magistrate of Mangalore.

The deceased was apparently about 25 years of age at the date of his death; and he used to send the claimant Rs.10 or Rs.15 every two or three months.

The claimant is now 22 years of age and has a son about 4½ years old.

Government have been allowing her Rs.8/ per mensem and her son, Re.1/

From the facts stated it may be supposed that the benefit which the claimant and her son would have received from the deceased if he had lived would have averaged Rs.5/ per mensem or Rs.60/ per annum.

A sum of Rs.500/ if lent out at 12% per annum or traded with would produce Rs.60/ per annum.

Whatever sum may be awarded may be given to the widow on her own account and as natural guardian of her minor son.

D Chamier

Ag Custodian of Enemy Property, Madras.

Enquiry made by me as Acting Custodian of Enemy Property, Madras, in pursuance of G.O.No.553, Revenue, (Special) dated 12th December 1919 on the petition and statement of Thyarammal.

The claimant is Thayarammal, widow of one Rengasamy, Police Constable No.262 of the Madras City Police, who was killed when on duty in the Madras Harbour by a shell fired from the German Cruiser "Emden".

2 The claimant was examined by me.

3 The deceased was about 24 years of age at the date of his death; his pay was Rs11/- per mensem and the claimant was living with him, as also his mother. He left no children, but the claimant and the mother survive. The age of the claimant is now about 26 and that of the mother about 50.

4 The funeral expenses are stated to have amounted to Rs150/-, owing to the fact that the deceased was considered to belong to a family of some distinction.

5 On the facts as stated above, it may be supposed that the benefit which the widow and the mother would have received from the earnings of the deceased if he had lived, would have averaged about Rs8/- per mensem, or Rs96/- per annum, as they were all living together.

6 A sum of 800 rupees, if lent out at 12 % or traded with, would produce Rs96/- per annum; and the funeral expenses of the deceased as a caste Hindu might fairly have cost Rs35/-.

7 Whatever sum may be awarded as compensation ~~to be~~ could be given to the widow and the mother jointly or could be given separately in the proportion of 5/8ths to the widow and 3/8ths to the mother, the funeral

expenses being paid to the widow.

D Chamier

Ag Custodian of Enemy Property, Madras.

Enquiry made by me as Acting Custodian of Enemy Property,
Madras, In pursuance of G.O.No.553, Revenue
(Special), dated 12th December 1919, on the
petition and statement of M. Sadayappa Chariar.

The claimant is M. Sadayappa Chariar and the claim is in respect of damage caused to his house by the bombardment by the enemy cruiser 'Emden' on the night of 22d September 1914.

The claimant was examined by me and states that he is the owner of the land and superstructure No.23 Venkatachella Moodaly St., Choolai, Madras.

He states that he has actually spent Rs.106 made up of the ten items which are set out in his letter of claim. He also states that a further sum of Rs.200 or even Rs.300 will have to be spent ~~in~~ ~~before the~~ to put the house in the condition it was in before the bombardment.

The surveyor attached to this office was deputed to examine and repot on the damage and cost of repairs necessary to restore the house to its former condition and he and the manager of this office have visited the premises and made a report in detail.

The result of that report is that the cost of the repairs which must have been necessary to put the house into its former condition is estimated at Rs.80/.

I recommend that the claimant may be awarded the sum of Rs.80/ as compensation.

C.S.

D Chamier

Ag Custodian of Enemy Property, Madras.

AK

urgent Board

9
~~8~~

9

Receipt H war Depts

Letter this. 1406 W-1 29/4/20

To

The Secretary to the Govt.
of India, Dept. of
Commerce & Industry
(with copy of pp 2-6 C.F.)

27/4

End to be typed in M.O.

Sir,

In Continuation of this Govt's letter No. 96 dated 21-2-20 I am directed to forward copies of the reports submitted by the Custodian of Enemy Property, Madras, in respect of the claims preferred by the four individuals specified in the margin for compensation from Germany. H.E. the Governor in Council accepts the recommendation of the Custodian. I am accordingly to commend the claims for the favourable consideration of the Govt of India.

1. M. Sadayappa Achariar
2. Alice Tauro
3. Carmelina De Souza
4. Thayarammal.

Typed
C
29/4/20

Copy to the Custodian of Enemy Property, Madras.

22.4.20

26/4/20

26.4.20

~~9~~-10

10

Revl Sp( )war Deptt

	Initials.	Date.
Received in Fair-copying Section.		
Copied		
Examined		
Signed		
Despatched	Se	31-5-20

Letter No 1968 31-5-20
mis

To

The Secy to the Govt of
India Deptt of
Commerce and Industry
(with copy of p ref)

Sir,

In continuation of this Govts letter No 1406W-1 d 29.4.20, I am directed to forward a copy of the report submitted by the Custodian of Enemy Property Madras, in respect of the claims preferred by Mrs Rita Fernandes for Compensation from Germany. H.E. the Governor-in-Council accepts the recommendation of the Custodian. I am accordingly to commend the claim for the favourable consideration of the Govt of India.

p 7 of ⓔ

Dp 27/5

Copy to the Custodian of Enemy Property, Madras.

Se
24.5.20

Secy

26.5.20

27.5

O

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed } 192

Read again G.O. No. , dated
192

Contents No.

Reference to G.O. No.

Order of Government. | A | A | A | A | A | A | A

Letter of Government.

Subsequent with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.