13-7-40 I

Scott. II-48—22,303—17-1-18.

Revenue (1919) Department.

(Special - War)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 479

DATED 23-10-19

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Enemy Property.

Redording papers relating to the payment of money by Mrs. Priestly to the prisoner of war Mr. Schaefer.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Confidential urgent 2

C.F.—32.

Refer 661 fes
8
No.

V.G.

Office of the Superintendent Prisoners of war camp Yercaud
Station

CURRENT NO.
~~340~~ 3040
PUBLIC (War)
Rpd 15.8.19

Date 12. 8. 19

From

J. R. Barter Esquire,
Superintendent, Prisoners of war camp Yercaud

To

The Secretary
To the Government of Madras
Revenue (Special war) Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to report the following for your orders.

On 7th instant I received from F. Grossmann an internee in this camp a letter addressed to C. Schaefer ~~whether~~ internee of this camp who was permitted to go to Bangalore to visit his wife who is very ill, enclosing

(1) Receipt dated 31st May 18 signed by Mrs A J Priestly ~~and stating~~ acknowledging to have received from Mrs Schaefer for safe keeping the sum of Rs 3000/- three thousand only.

(2) — do — dated 4.10.18 for Rs 1200 twelve hundred only

Cy.

as I had reason to believe that Mrs Schaefer's death was imminent that Mr Schaefer would present the receipts to Mrs. Priestly and receive the money I retained the receipts and wrote to Mrs. Priestly on 7th instant asking her to inform me what balance of the above sums she ~~shall have~~ still has in hand and the circumstances under which ~~she~~ and the purposes for which she received the money from Mrs. Schaefer. In her reply dated 11th August received to-~~day~~ day she says that the money was handed over to her to meet all expenses incurred ~~of~~ by Mrs. Priestly during her illness and that of the total amount Rs 4200/- has been spent up to 31.7.19 & she has a balance of Rs 1945-3-8 from which current month's expenses has to be met, and that in accordance with Mrs. Schaefer's request she intends to hand over the balance to Mr. Schaefer on Mrs. Schaefer's death which is hourly expected. As I do not think an internee should ~~have~~ be permitted to have possession of such a large sum of money, it will probably be Rs 1500 or so, I propose to request Mrs. Priestly to remit the amount to credit of General Camp Account standing in my name in the Bank of Madras Salem and ~~to~~ credit ~~the~~ sum to Mr. Schaefer. and on receipt of

the

the money by the Bank I will send her the receipts. I request that I may be informed at a very early date, by wire if possible whether you approve of my proposal or if not what action I should take in the matter of the receipts and the payment of the money to Mr. Schaefer.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

12

Superintendent

12-8-19

Rev (Spl) Dep

Telegram

Supdt Prisoners Camp
Yercaud

821 × Your letter 661 12th instant regarding mrs Schaefers' money Stop Your proposal approved. Do not disburse any of money pending orders.

Sec
15.8.19

CRS
15.8.19

ln
16.8.19

CF
Despd
16/8

Despd
Dr 16.8.19
encl 2 CF

P.C

M
16/8

Despd with encl
Dr 16.8.19 M 16/8

Copy to the D.M. Salem
(with copy of CF)

5

DS/10

208.2

p 2 m fl O

Vide letter from the Supt Prisoners of war Camp, Yercaud No 447 of 12.7.19 to ~~your~~ 1st assist. ~~to~~

M 7-8 in fl. 7

Letter No 3040 R-1 dated 20.8.19

To

The Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No 36 I dated 9.4.18 regarding the German prisoner of war Schaefer interned at Yercaud. He was renently permitted to visit his wife who was very ill at Bangalore. From an intercepted letter addressed to him it appears that ~~his wife~~ Mrs Schaefer had entrusted Mrs. A J. Priestly, of Bangalore, who is looking after her, a sum of Rs. 3000 on the 31st May 1918 and another sum of Rs. 1200 on the 4th October 1918. In reply to a letter from the Superintendent Prisoners of war Camp, Yercaud as to how she came by the money, Mrs Priestly is reported tohave written that ~~the~~ a sum of Rs. 4200 was handed over to her ~~to~~ by Mrs. Schaefer to meet the expenses incurred by her on account of Mrs. Schaefer during ~~her~~ the latter's illness, that out of this amount she had spent Rs. 2254-12-4 up to 31-7-1919 and

6

that in accordance with Mrs. Schaefer's request she intends handing over the balance to Mr. Schaefer on his wife's death, which is hourly expected. As it was thought undesirable that the~~y~~ money should ~~xx~~ pass into Mr. Schaefer's hands while he was in the camp, the Supt. of the camp, Yercaud, has requested Mrs. Priestly to remit the amount not to Mr. Schaefer but to the credit of the general camp account standing in the Superintendent's name in the Bank of Madras at Salem. Apart from the question whether Mrs. Priestly complies with this request or not, I am directed to bring the facts of the case to your notice for such action as you may consider necessary. The circumstances in which Mrs. Priestly came by the money and the bona fides of the transactions between the Schaefers and Mrs. Priestly would seem to ~~require~~ call for ~~looking into~~ investigation. It ~~is~~ may also be a matter for ~~investigation~~ enquiry whether as required by rule 5 of the Registration of Enemy Pro-perty rules, Mrs. Priestly communicated

7

to the local Custodian of Eenemy Propert particulars of the meneys she received from Mrs. Schaefer. It appears ~~probable~~ possible that Mr. Priestly assisted the Schaefers in concealing their assets and intended handing over some money to Mr. Schaefer without the knowledge of the authorities.

Pending the ~~result~~ receipt of your ~~investigations~~ reply to this letter, the Superintendent of the pri-soner's of war camp, has been asked not to disburse any portion of the money ~~in case~~ which he may received ~~any~~ from Mrs. Priestly.

I have etc.

19.8.19

Dr 20.8.19

Copy to the Supt., Prisoners of War Camp Yercaud
& to the District Magistrate Salem
in continuation of telegram
No_821 D 16.8.19

19.8.19

Superintendent
Prisoners of war Camp
Yercaud
19. 8. 19

J. R. Barts Esquire
Superintendent, prisoners of
war Camp Yercaud

The Secretary to the Government of
Madras Revenue Special war depart-
ment Ootacamund

Referring to your telegram no 821 of 16th Instant regarding certain receipts for money purporting to have been paid to Mrs Priestly by the late Mrs Schaefer I have the honor to report as follows

The Prisoner of war Schaefer should have returned here 8. 8. 19 but on that date I received a letter dated 6th August from the assistant Resident and District Magistrate Bangalore that the prisoner was permitted to stay 2 more days in Bangalore. As he did not return and I received no further communication from the District Magistrate I wired to that officer on 14. 8. 19 and on same date received a wire replying that Schaefer was granted permission to stay till 15th for winding up the affairs of the late Mrs Schaefer who died at Bangalore between 8 & 10 Mr Schaefer came to see me on 15th Instant on his return here and was quite

Confidential 8

Refer

No 661/SC 4.9

C.F.—32

Office of the Superintendent Prisoners of war Camp
Station Yercaud
Date 19. 8. 19

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
22 AUG 1919
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

CURRENT NO.
3188
PUBLIC (Minor)

Reg. 23. 8. 19

From

J. R. Barts Esquire,
Superintendent, prisoners of
war Camp Yercaud

To

The Secretary to the Government of
Madras Revenue Special war depart-
ment. Ootacamund

Sir,

p 4 ante

Referring to your telegram no. 821 of 16th Instant regarding certain receipts for money purporting to have been paid to Mrs. Priestly by the late Mrs Schaefer I have the honor to report as follows.

2. The Prisoner of war Schaefer should have returned here 8. 8. 19 but on that date I received a letter dated 6th August from the assistant Resident and District Magistrate Bangalore that the prisoner was permitted to stay 2 more days in Bangalore. As he did not return and I received no further communication from the District Magistrate I wired to that officer on 14 8. 19 and on same date received a wire replying that Schaefer was granted permission to stay till 15 for winding up the affairs of the late Mrs Schaefer who died at Bangalore between 8 & 10th. Mr. Schaefer came to see me on 15th Instant on his return here and was quite indignant at the

+ 10th

action

action I had taken in regard to the receipts and as reported to you by me in my letter of no. 661 confidential of 12.8.15 he claimed that I had no authority on the money which was his wife. This morning I summoned him to appear before me to give information as to how much money he had received from Mrs. Priestly and other details.

pp1-3 ante

3. I found that on 12.11.15 (Schaefer was interned on 15.11.15) he received a letter from Mr. P.B. Warburton then 1st assistant Resident Bangalore which was written after a personal interview which ran as follows.

4. D.O. No. 8175
The Mysore Re
Bangalore 12th November 15

Dear Sir
I understand that you have the following ~~paid~~ fixed deposits in the Bangalore Branch of the Bank of Madras.

No. 162/37 due 12.1.16 Rs 2339-11-3
No. 38 due 29.11.15 Rs 1040-0-6
No. 144 due 11.2.16 Rs 1124-13-8
(Total 4504.8.11)

Strictly speaking you cannot deal with the above sums before the due dates but the agent is prepared to permit you to write to him a letter authorising him to accept your wife's instruction regarding the disposal of the paid deposits as and when they fall due. If you agree to this procedure please send a letter of instruction addressed

to

to the Agent with a specimen of Mrs. Schaefer's signature and I will pass them to him. Mr Bulley desires that they should pass through his office.

Yours truly
P.B. Warburton

To C. Schaefer Esq

5. Mr Schaefer replied to the above the same day enclosing a letter of instruction to the Bank of Madras authorising him to accept Mrs Schaefer's instructions regarding disposal of the above sums and enclosing a specimen of Mrs. Schaefer's signature. He says he received from Mrs. Priestly at Bangalore a sum of Rs 1236-15-8 balance of the Rs 4200 & referred to in my letter No. 661, confidential of 12.8.15 and after ~~defering~~ defraying funeral and other expenses he now has a balance of Rs 1050 and odd.

12.11.15

p2 ante

6) The sums referred to in the letter of the first assistant Resident copy of which I have given above were not due till date subsequent to his internment and the Bank of Madras may be called on to explain their agent's action in the matter as they apparently handed the amount over to Mrs. Schaefer. Although this was done

with

11

with the confirmation of the assistant
Resident I do not think it was more nor do I
think the permission of the assistant Resident
given to prisoner of war Mr. Schaefer
to remain in Bangalore till 15-8-19 to
wind up the affairs of the late Mrs. Schaefer
should have been given without reference
to the Government of India or
to the Government of Madras in which
province he is a prisoner of war.

B

p. 4 ante

) As regards instructions in your Telegram
under reference not to disburse any
of money pending orders you will see
that the money was not & is not under my
control. Schaefer said this morning
he has the balance Rs 1050 and odd
& is willing ~~his inclining~~ to hand it over to me to send
to Madras Bank Salem but in view
of the action of the assistant Resident
Bangalore, who I believe is the local
representative of the Government
of India I await further instructions
in the matter which I request may be sent
at once.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
20-8-19
Superintendent

1/1989

12

Rev (Spl) Depart

Letter No 3060 W - 2 of 26-8-19
Ref

To

The Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

In continuation of Mr. Campbell's letter No 3040 W-1 of 20.8.19, I am directed to inform you that the Madras Govt understand that Mrs Priestly ~~has~~ paid to Mr. Schafer a sum of about Rs 1297 ~~(Pounds 5)~~ ~~as~~ representing the balance of the amount entrusted to her, states ~~admitted~~ that Mr Schafer ~~has~~ ~~[illegible]~~ of this amount he has with him ~~[illegible]~~ a sum of Rs 1050 and odd after defraying funeral and other expenses of his wife. I am to enquire whether you propose to take any action in the matter.

M
26/8/19

26/8

Desp
26/8/19

[illegible]

1st ~~[illegible]~~ Reminder 8.9.19

Sec
26-8-19

CRS
26-8-19

[illegible]
26-8-19

P.T.O

13

memo No 3040 W. 3 d/ 26.8.19

With reference to his letter No 661 (Confidential) d/ 19.8.19, the Supdt. Prisoners of war Camp, Yercaud is requested to take charge of the money ~~with~~ for the prisoner of war Mr. Schafer ~~after~~ and to allow~~ing~~ him such sums as he may reasonably ~~requires~~ require for his maintenance.

p 8 CF

Sec
26.8.19

CRS
26.8.19

b.
26.8.19

D8/8
Dr
27/8/19
M
26/8/19
x 0 to 8
26/8

To
The Supdt. Prisoners of war
Camp, Yercaud
(memo only)

14

C.F.—32

No 661/Cos of 19

OFFICE OF THE Superintendent P.O.W.
STATION Camp Yercaud
Date 30-8-19

-1 SEP 1919

CURRENT NO.
3324
PUBLIC (Minor)

Reg: 2.9.19

From T. R. Baxter Esquire
Superintendent Prisoners War camp Yercaud

To The Secretary To The Government of Madras
Revenue Special War Department
Ootacamund

SIR,

Referring to your memo No 3040. W. 3, dated 26-8.19. I request you will inform me what disposal I should now make of the 2 receipts referred to in my letter No 661/Cos, dated 12/8/19 confidential. I think they may now be sent to Mrs Priestly. The Prisoner of War this morning handed to me Rs 940. Nine hundred and forty only, which I have to day remitted to the Bank of Madras Salem for credit to General camp Account standing in my name. He states that he has, since coming from Bangalore, spent Rs 110 out of the Rs 1050 he brought

p13 ante

p1 ante

and gave the following items

Cloth for 2 Suits	25-0-0
making up do	20-0-0
3 pairs of boots	35-0-0
3 Trunks	30-0-0
Total	110-0-0

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

W Barter
29.8.19
Superintendent

SB
30/8/19

Revts(pl) Dept

FD

Memo No. 3324 W-1 dt 3.9.19

p 14 C.F

With reference to his letter No 661 d 30.8.19 the Supdt Prisoners of war camp, Yercaud is informed that ~~he may send to~~ there is no objection to the ~~Mrs Priestly, Bangalore~~ ~~the~~ two receipts ~~which he took from the prisoner of war Grossmann~~ in question being returned to Mrs Priestly.

Despd on 4.9.19

M 4/9/19 Cf p 1 C.F

x 5106
4/9

To
the Supdt Pr of war camp (memo only)

Sd
2.9.19

CRS
2.9.19

3.9.9

17

Rev (spl) deptt

Letter/Ref. No. 3040W-4 d/ 9.9.19

M
9.9.19

W
9/9

To

The Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore
Bangalore

Despd
9.9.19

Sir,

I am directed to enquire when a reply may be expected to ~~the~~ my letter No 3040W-2 d/ 26-8.19 regarding the payment of Rs 1050 made by Mrs Priestly to ~~the~~ prisoner of war ~~[illegible]~~ Schafer.

p 12 c.f.

See
8.9.19
C.R.S.
8.9.19

L.
897

War.
G. No. 4095
20.10.19

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT REVENUE (SPECIAL) DEPARTMENT
19 OCT 1919

R. O. 1.—R. P. B.—5-1919.—2,000.

No. 7977.

FROM

THE HON'BLE MR. H. V. COBB, C. S. I., C. I. E., C.B.E.
RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

TO

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Revenue (Special) (War) Department,
OOTACAMUND.

Dated Bangalore, 18th October 1919.

SIR,

p12 C2

With reference to the correspondence ending with Mr. Wadworth's letter No. 3040-W-2, dated the 26th August 1919, regarding certain monetary transactions between the Schaefers and Mrs. Priestly, I have the honour to say that it was with the knowledge and approval of Sir Hugh Daly, who was then Resident in Mysore, that Mr. Schaefer was permitted in 1915, just before his internment, to transfer certain deposits, amounting to Rs. 4504-8-11, which he had in the Bangalore branch of the Bank of Madras to his wife. It is apparently out of this sum that the monies referred to in your letter No. 3040-W-1. dated the 20th August 1919, were entrusted to Mrs. Priestly who attended Mrs. Schaefer during her illness. Moreover, the Enemy Trading Acts No. XIV of 1915 and No. X of 1916 have not been applied to the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore and consequently the Registration of Enemy Property Rules, which were made under the latter act, are not in force in the station. In these circumstances it appears to me that I have no legal authority to deal with

q. p9 CF

pp5-7 CF

19

the transactions between the Schaefers and Mrs. Priestly nor to proceed against the latter even if in view of my Predecessor's action in the case, it were considered desirable to do so. The same remarks apply to the transaction referred to in Mr. Wadsworth's letter quoted above.

2. In conclusion, I may state that the District Magistrate, Civil and Military Station, was directed by me to make an enquiry into the transactions between the Schaefers and Mrs. Priestly. From his report dated the 12th September 1919, a copy of which is enclosed for your information, it would appear that the transactions were bona fide.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H. V. Cobb

RESIDENT.

I.S.

First Assistant Resident.

Mrs. Priestley was sent for and the following facts elicited and checked with documents. Mrs. Schaefer came to her first about March 1917 and remained till July 1919. The financial transactions with Mrs. Schaefer consisted of 3 payments only viz. Rs.3000/-, - - 1200/- and 589-11-0 made during 1918 all of which with the exception of Rs.1296-15-8 and Rs.589-11-0 was spent on the care of Mrs. Schaefer. The last two items were handed over to Mr. Schaefer and a clearance obtained. Mrs. Priestley knows of no remittances and cannot say where Mrs. Schaefer got her money from but it seems very likely that it came from the balance at the Bank of Madras of from her own savings as she was a dressmaker.

The transactions are without doubt perfectly bona fide. I know Mr. Priestley fairly well and do not think he would countenance any underhand dealings by Germans.

(Signed) T. J. Tasker,

District Magistrate.

12th September 1919.

- - - - - - - - - -

"COPY"

s.v.

21

x Taken out for issue]

x Issue telegram. Meanwhile the nature of these transactions should be examined. Mr Barter's letter is not very clear but I take it that these moneys represent concealed assets of an enemy subject, that Mrs Priestly is the person who is looking after Mrs Schaefer and that she ~~pre~~ intended to hand over these funds to an enemy interned as a prisoner of war. (1) Are the Schaefers obliged to disclose the existence of these assets to the Custodian? (2) What offence if any did Mrs Priestly commit in assisting in the concealment of these assets and (3) what offence would she have committed if she had handed over these funds to Mr Schaefer without the knowledge of the authorities? (4) Should not these moneys now be handed over to the Custodian? Put up a brief note on these points at once.

16.8.19

Thro' Enemy Trading Section

CRS 16.8.19

To U.S

Query (1): Please see rule 5 of the Registration of Enemy property rules. It is doubtful whether under this rule the Schaefers who were themselves enemies were bound to disclose their assets.

p. 295 of war legislation book

Query (2): The property came into the possession of Mrs. Priestly last year. & so under the rule referred to in the previous para, she shd have communicated full particulars of it within one month after

p1cf

it came to her to the local Custodian of Enemy Property. If she has not done this, she will be punishable under rule 12 of the Registration of Enemy property rules.

p. 297 of War Legislation book

Query 3: The payment of money to an enemy is prohibited by the Trading with the enemy Proclamation — rule 5 (1) at p 78 of the War legislation book. But "enemy" there ~~means~~ does not include persons of enemy nationality who are neither resident nor carrying on business in the enemy country. It is ∴ doubtful whether the prohibition applies to the present case.

rule 3 at p. 78 of book

Query 4:

Section 4 of the Enemy Trading Act 1915 applies only to money, the payment of which to an enemy is prohibited. In the present case it does not appear that payment is prohibited. & so it seems doubtful whether the money shd be vested in the Custodian for Bangalore.

p. 10 of book

It is for orders whether the facts of the case may

C. 17.8.19
B. 29
R. 18.8.19

be brought to the notice of the Resident in Mysore for such action as he may consider necessary. The parties are apparently residing in the Civil & military station Bangalore & the Resident is the local Govt for that area.

RES
16819

S. This may be done? The money will meanwhile be safe with the Supt! (always provided Mrs Priestly hands it over).

Lu.
1689

Yes
acc
16.8.19

HN
2

17.8.19

recd RES 5 P.m.
18819

To W. S.

Draft subd

S.
Lu.
1984

RES
19819

Issue
acc
19.8.19

(Letter No 3040 W-1 d 20.8.19)

(C. 3188) From The Supdt Prisoners of war Camp Yercaud No 661 d 19.8.19

With reference to portion B on

page 11 C.F. it is for orders whether any action should be taken.

Through Enemy Trading Section Sec
TW
25.8.19
25.8.19

pp 9-10 c.f.

2 It now appears that the Resident in Mysore was aware of the Schaefer's assets in Bangalore.
The money has now passed into the hands of Schaefer. As stated at p. 2 supra, it seems, it is said, doubtful, whether the money is liable to be vested under the act. Perhaps the Resident may be told in continuation of our letter at pp 5-7 c.f. that ~~the money~~ a sum of Rs 1050 has apparently passed into the hands of Mr. Schaefer & to enquire whether the Resident wishes to take any action in the matter.

Yes

TW
25.8.19

It seems somewhat surprising that the Resident should have allowed such a large sum of money to pass into the hands of one of our prisoners, but it is not likely that much good will come of any remonstrances.

Tell the Supt: that he had better take charge of the money for the prisoner, allowing him such sums as he requires for his maintenance.

25.8.19

Draft letter and memo are subd.

2 It is presumed that no action is necessary with reference to portion B on page 11 CF.

Cf para 1 on pp 3-4 ante

Lee
26-8-19

CRS
26 8 19

Jmm
Le
26 8 19

p 12 CF (Letter No 3040 W - 2 d 26-8-19)

p 13 CF (memo No 3040 W - 3 d 26-8-19)

p 14 CF (C. 3324) From the Prisoners of War Camp, Yercaud No 661 d 30-8-19.

The Supdt may be asked to return the receipt to Mrs Priestly as she has already handed over the money to Mr Schafer.

Draft memo is subd.

Lee
2-9-19

CRS
2 9 19

Jmm
Le
3 9 19.

(memo No 3324 W - 1 d 3-9-19)

Draft reminder ~~letter~~ to The Resident in Mysore is submitted

Lee
8-9-19

CRS
8 9 19

Jmm
Le
8 9 19

p17 C7 Letter to the Resd^t^ in Mysore, no 3040 W 4 d 9.9.09

C.4095] pp18-20 C7 From the Resd^t^ in Mysore, no. 7977 d 18.x.09

The letter from the Resident at pages 18-20 C.F. shows that the transactions between the Schaefers and Mr. Priestly were bona fide and authorised. No further action seems necessary. The papers may be "Recorded", a copy of the Resident's letter (pp18-20 C7) being communicated to the Supd^t^ Yercaud Camp.

Draft submitted.

CRS
21.x.09

Issue
L.
23.x.09

Enemy Property 27

Sectt. II—10 (a)—7-2-16. Resp l war DEPARTMENT.

Recording papers relating to the payment of money by Mrs Priestly to the prisoner of war Mr Schaefer.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ...., dated .... 191 .

" " " " ...., " .... 191 .

READ ALSO:—

I

C. No. 3040, From the Supdt of Yercaud Camp, No. 661., dated 12-8-1919.

II

" " ...., " " Teleg.m to Supdt Yercaud camp., " 821, " 16-8-1919.

III

" " ...., " " Letter to Hon. Resdt in Mysore, " 3040-1, " 20-8-1919.

IV

3188 Supdt Yercaud Camp 661. 19-8-19

ORDER. No. 479, dated 23—10—1919.

Mrs

V

Letter to Resdt in Mysore
3040 W-2 d 26-8-19

VI

3804] Supdt Yercaud Camp
No. 661 d 30-8-19

VII

Endt. to Supdt Yercaud Camp.
3324 W-1 d 3-9-19

VIII

4095] Resdt in Mysore
No. 7917 d 18-x-19

Recorded.

CRS
21-8-19

2-3-x-19

Delw
CRS 24/x/19

Copy to Supdt Prisoners' of war Camp. Yercaud.
(a of III & V & VIII, I of last to)

28

hen given for copying
hen copied
When examined
When signed
} 191 .

100
24/10

EAD AGAIN G.O. No. , DATED
191 .

CURRENT No.

CLOSURES TO C. No.

RDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

ETTER OF GOVERNMENT

TATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPER T FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.