

Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultation

11th May 1858

Bach no 71-72

Order no 620 71st May 1858

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 11th May 1858.

Nos. 11, 12 and 13, are all liable to resumption as they were granted by the Zemindar and do not appear to have been deducted from the assets of his estate at the time of the permanent settlement, but adverting to the fact of their long uninterrupted enjoyment by the family of the original grantee, and the trifling value of the shares held by deceased, the Board support the Collector's recommendation for their continuance to his mother during her life, to lapse finally at her death, as she appears to have no other means of subsistence.

No.	G. C. C.	Assessment.	Quit-rent.
No. 11...	2	Rs. 11	Rs. 5
No. 12...	1	" 7	" 2 8
No. 13...	2 15	" 15	" 5 4
Total.	5 15	" 33	" 12 12

No. 14. The circumstances of this enam are much the same as those of the preceding one.

No.	G. C.	Assessment.	Quit-rent.
No. 27	Rs. 9	Rs. 2 2 8	

The widow being entirely dependent for support on the small extent of enam enjoyed by her husband, the Board would support the Collector's proposal for continuing it to her for life.

No. 15. The service for which this enam was granted has long ceased to be performed. The last holder, who belonged to a different family from the grantee died without issue. The alleged purchase of deceased's share being unsupported by documentary evidence, and her nephews being members of another family and not entitled to succeed, the Board recommend the resumption of the lapsed share.

Nos. 16, 17 and 18, are all unauthorized grants having been conferred subsequent to the permanent settlement, the Board see no reason for continuing them on the enhanced quit-rent proposed by the Collector. They should be assessed to the full revenue.

No. 19. This is a charitable grant entered in the permanent accounts of 1802, in the name of one Achuntah Veeraz, by whose son it was sold in 1819 to the father of the deceased. Considering the price paid for the land, viz. Rupees 550, and the length of time it has been in the purchaser's possession, the Board would support the Collector's proposal for continuing it to the widow charged with a quit-rent of one half of the assessment.

With regard to the other portion of the enam said to have been sold by the purchaser, the Collector will report the date of sale, whether there is any document in support of it and who the present holders are.

Nos. 2, 3, 7.

In some of the cases above considered, the relationship in which the last holders stood to the original grantees is not stated; and without this information the Board are

often at a loss how to decide on the claims advanced by different parties. The Collector will, in future, supply these particulars in every case, if possible by a Genealogical table appended at the foot.

By Order

(Signed) G. S. FORBES, Secretary.

ORDER THEREON, No. 620; dated 11th May 1858.

- No. 72.
- The assumption of the Collector that this grant was made prior to the permanent settlement seems wholly unwarranted. The Huzoor accounts (it is said in column 2) are damaged, and in the village, the entry of the Enams in the register only rests upon rumour. Whether copies of the accounts exist in the village, and whether reference has been made to them, seems uncertain. Again, the deed of sale on which the heirs of the deceased holder rest their claim is not forthcoming. The Enam is consequently supported by no evidence whatever, and will be forthwith resumed.

1. Sarrasiddy Talook.
Madostooroo.

Extent...	C.	V.	P.
Assessment...	0	1	0
	Rs.	A.	P.
	5	0	0

27172

No. 1330

Remarks of the Board of Revenue on a Statement of Lapsed Enams.

In C. 104 A. 71655. From the Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 18th December 1857.
No. 1. This Enam is said to have been granted prior to the permanent settlement. No document is forthcoming in support of the alleged sale; but as the Collector expresses himself satisfied of its authenticity, the Board concur in his recommendation for the continuance of the enam to the heirs of the deceased subject to the payment of a quit-rent of $\frac{1}{4}$ of the assessment, but only during the life of the eldest son, after that, the full assessment to be imposed.

No. 2. This is unsupported by any entry in the permanent settlement accounts, the Board, in consideration of its enjoyment by the family of the original grantee for a period of 50 years, agree with the Collector that it should be continued to the heirs, but as the grant was only made by Villagers, they would restrict it to the life of Chinna Perumallu Bay Tilder son of the deceased.

No. 3. Is entered in the accounts of the permanent settlement in the name of the last holder's father, and being known that they have had undisturbed possession for more than half a century, the Board recommend its renewal in favor of the eldest son of the deceased for the term of his life.

This Enam and Nos. 4, 5, and 6 were all held by the same party.

No. 4. Is somewhat similar to No. 1 and for the same reasons the Board support the Collector's recommendation for its continuance to the heirs on the same condition as in that case, viz. to the eldest son, subject to a quit rent of $\frac{1}{4}$ of the assessment.

No. 5 and 6. These being unauthorized grants conferred by the Villagers subsequent to the permanent settlement, in violation of Section VII Regulation XXV of 1802, the Board recommend their resumption.

No. 7. The circumstances of this Enam are analogous to those in case No. 3, and on the same grounds, the Board concur with the Collector in recommending the continuance of the lapsed share, but with reference to the nature of the grant, they would limit it to the life of the adopted son.

A Vestam of the share held by this person, is said to have been alienated in 1855. The rule is invalid, as it occurred after the Public had been warned against purchasing Enams of this description. The Vestam should be assessed to the revenue.

No. 8. This grant was held on Sunnid dated 1761, prior to the period of limitation, and was in possession of the grantee's family at the passing of Regulation XXXI of 1802. The deceased having acquired it by bonafide purchase as appears from the Bills of sale dated 1821 and 1826 respectively, the Board concur with the Collector that it should be continued to his son Somawadoo subject to a quit rent of $\frac{1}{4}$ of the assessment.

No. 9. This of doubtful title, because granted by the villagers subsequent to the period of limitation yet, in consideration of the price paid for it (Rupees 1653) and the length of time it was held by deceased, viz. 24 years, the Board would continue it to his son, but with the same condition as in the preceding case, viz. that it be charged with a quit rent of $\frac{1}{4}$ of the assessment.

This Enam as well as Nos. 5 and 10 were all in possession of the same person.

No. 10. Looking at the long period of its enjoyment by the family, the Board would support the Collector's proposal that it should be continued to the heirs subject to a quit rent equal to $\frac{1}{4}$ of the assessment, but they would suggest that it be registered in the name of deceased's son Soobroydo.

Nos. 11 12 and 13, are all liable to resumption as they were granted by the Zemindar and do not appear to have been deducted from the assets of

No.	G. C. C.	Assessment.	Quit-rent.
No. 11...	2	Rs. 11	Rs. 5
No. 12...	1	" 7	" 2 8
No. 13...	2 15	" 15	" 5 4
Total.	5 15	" 33	" 12 12

his estate at the time of the permanent settlement, but adverting to the fact of their long uninterrupted enjoyment by the family of the original grantee, and the trifling value of the shares held by deceased, the Board support the Collector's recommendation for their continuance, to his mother during her life, to lapse finally at her death, as she appears to have no other means of subsistence.

No. 14. The circumstances of this Enam are much the same as those of the preceding one. The widow being entirely dependent for support on the small extent of Enam enjoyed by her husband, the Board would support the Collector's proposal for continuing it to her for life.

No.	G. C.	Assessment.	Quit-rent.
No. 27	Rs. 9	Rs. 2 2 8	

No. 15. The service for which this enam was granted has long ceased to be performed. The last holder, who belonged to a different family from the grantee died without issue. The alleged purchase of deceased's share being unsupported by documentary evidence, and her nephews being members of another family and not entitled to succeed, the Board recommend the resumption of the leased share.

No. 16 17 and 18, are all unauthorized grants having been conferred subsequent to the permanent settlement, the Board see no reason for continuing them on the enhanced quitrent proposed by the Collector. They should be assessed to the full revenue.

No. 19. This is a charitable grant entered in the permanent accounts of 1802, in the name of one Achuntah Veeraz, by whose son it was sold in 1810 to the father of the deceased. Considering the price paid for the land, viz Rspees 550, and the length of time it has been in the purchaser's possession, the Board would support the Collector's proposal for continuing it to the widow charged with a quitrent of one half of the assessment.

With regard to the other portion of the enam said to have been sold by the purchaser, the Collector will report the date of sale, whether there is any document in support of it and who the present holder is.

In view of the cases above considered, the relationship in which the last holders stood to the original grantors is not stated; and without this information the Board are often at a loss how to decide on the claims advanced by different parties. The Collector will, in future, supply these particulars in every case, if possible by a Genealogical table appended to the report.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE,
Fort Saint George,
10th April 1858.

To H. FORDES, Esq.,

Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to Vizagapalam.

(By order.)

Secretary.

221 April 1858

1858

3

7 12

Remains of the Enam
of Revenue
Dated 16th April 1858
No. 19
Sold Rspees 11330
Remains of the Enam
of Revenue
Dated 16th April 1858
No. 19
Sold Rspees 11330

1858

1858

1858

1858

1858

1858

1858

Rev Dept 54
 4 7 12

Excerpt from the Annual Report
 Under date the 11th May 1850.

The following
 are the remarks of the Board of Revenue
 on a statement of landed Revenue in
 Vizagapatam dated 16 April 1850 No 1330

1. Invalidation of
 the grant
 Extent 5. 1. 0
 A.P. R. 5

1. The assumption of the Collecta that
 the grant was made prior to the perma-
 nent settlement seems wholly un-
 warranted. The ^{Rs 3300} ~~Rs 3300~~ Accounts
 (it is said in Ch 2) are damaged,
 and in the Village the entry of the
 Revenue in the register only rests upon
 rumour. No other copies of the acct
 exist in the village, and whether
 reference has been made to them
 seems uncertain. Again, the deed
 of sale in which the heirs of the
 deceased holder ask their claim
 is not forthcoming. The Enam
 is consequently supported by no
 evidence whatever, and will be
 forthwith returned.

2. Invalidation of
 the grant
 Ext 5. 1. 0
 A.P. R. 5

2. The same remarks apply to
 No.

No 2. The Govt have noticed on a former occasion in passing orders on Vizagapatnam Insam, that unless the local authorities give grounds for their conclusions that the family of claimants have been long in possession, it is impossible for the Govt to give such claimants the benefit of a previous award in their favour. For example, in this case, in the face of the State's records in No 2 Insam account and the Collector says in his recommendation that the ancestors of the present holder appear to have received the grant 55 years before, though he was 55 years before the settlement was made 55 years ago he intimated from what grounds in this case the assumption is based and that appeared.

3. This Insam is taken in the settlement account in the name of the father of the deceased holder and seems to have been possessed uninterruptedly by him and

4. Do Th
 8
 Lot 0. 9. 0
 Apt. Rs 8
 5. Do Th
 Gokwada
 Lot 0. 8. 0
 Apt. Rs 13

6. Do Th
 Kethra
 Lot 0. 1. 0
 Apt. Rs 15
 7. Do Th
 Similar
 Lot 0. 6. 0
 Apt. Rs 60
 July. Rs 12

Sumaviddy Th
 Rajaram
 Lot 0. 3. 0
 Apt. Rs 20
 July. Rs 3. 12

712
 6. Insam. It will therefore be continued as recommended by the Board, but only for life, in consequence of the unauthorized nature of the grant. 4. No 4 will be treated similar by No 1, No 5 added 6 will also be resumed as unauthorized alienation subsequent to the permanent settlement. 5. As the Board remark analogous to No 5, it will be continued, as proposed, to the adopted son of the deceased for life. 6. No 6 has been alienated from the father's family, and considering that it was originally granted for a limited only, it will be sufficient. The Govt think to continue it for life.

7. This will be treated 9 9. 20. 2. 20.
as proposed by the Board. my. 20. 0. 3. 4.
B.H. B. 20.

of "education in accord
with the profession."
The educational institute

I look at this case in the light it has been viewed by the Alaska F.B.I. The reasons recorded above in Para 2

2. 2. 2.
 1. 0. 3. 4.
 1. 1. 2.

[illegible]

Do. H. 1871.
 Data Sandpiper
 Oct. 5. 2. 0
 Oct. 12. 10

6 7172 fully apply ~~to him~~ and the
Govt direct that the Prison
be resumed

	C. C.	Rd.	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300																																																																																																																																																		
11	2	11.40	580	590	600	610	620	630	640	650	660	670	680	690	700	710	720	730	740	750	760	770	780	790	800	810	820	830	840	850	860	870	880	890	900	910	920	930	940	950	960	970	980	990	1000	1010	1020	1030	1040	1050	1060	1070	1080	1090	1100	1110	1120	1130	1140	1150	1160	1170	1180	1190	1200	1210	1220	1230	1240	1250	1260	1270	1280	1290	1300	1310	1320	1330	1340	1350	1360	1370	1380	1390	1400	1410	1420	1430	1440	1450	1460	1470	1480	1490	1500	1510	1520	1530	1540	1550	1560	1570	1580	1590	1600	1610	1620	1630	1640	1650	1660	1670	1680	1690	1700	1710	1720	1730	1740	1750	1760	1770	1780	1790	1800	1810	1820	1830	1840	1850	1860	1870	1880	1890	1900	1910	1920	1930	1940	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000	2010	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	2090	2100	2110	2120	2130	2140	2150	2160	2170	2180	2190	2200	2210	2220	2230	2240	2250	2260	2270	2280	2290	2300	2310	2320	2330	2340	2350	2360	2370	2380	2390	2400	2410	2420	2430	2440	2450	2460	2470	2480	2490	2500	2510	2520	2530	2540	2550	2560	2570	2580	2590	2600	2610	2620	2630	2640	2650	2660	2670	2680	2690	2700	2710	2720	2730	2740	2750	2760	2770	2780	2790	2800	2810	2820	2830	2840	2850	2860	2870	2880	2890	2900	2910	2920	2930	2940	2950	2960	2970	2980	2990	3000
12	1	78.0	280	290	300	310	320	330	340	350	360	370	380	390	400	410	420	430	440	450	460	470	480	490	500	510	520	530	540	550	560	570	580	590	600	610	620	630	640	650	660	670	680	690	700	710	720	730	740	750	760	770	780	790	800	810	820	830	840	850	860	870	880	890	900	910	920	930	940	950	960	970	980	990	1000	1010	1020	1030	1040	1050	1060	1070	1																																																																																																																																																																		

14 G. C. apt. 228 10 This grant is said to have
O. 27. R. G. 12 2. 2. 8 been signally made by
Hankafadar. His descent
has been irregular, but the
Govt see no objection to con-
tinuing it as proposed, &
the widow of the deceased.

Palkonda Th. 1000 fathoms
and cor.

15 Detment G. 1 C. 8 11. In this case, the origin of
Apt. No. 13. the fault, as reported in
the accounts, seems to have
partaken more of the nature
of wages, to a servant than
an hereditary alienation,
but, be this as it may, the
service, have not been ren-
dered required for a
long time, and it is

let I disavow the pretensions of
the deponents of the deceased. He
said will be returned.

3 The proposed assumption
of the 3 identified plants
subsequent to the permanent
element, numbers 16, 17, & 18

Sanctioned
13 In the transaction, 19 Palikau
by virtue of which this man is
claimed, is entirely improved, Set 9. 6.
The Govt cannot agree with the
view taken of the Board of the
case, they direct that the man
be continued to the widow as a matter
of grace until her death, when the
whole shall be brought before
the Government. The Govt will await
the result of the promised inquiry
as to the portion said to have
been sold by the deceased, as his
father

9172
14. The last Paragraph of
the Board's proceedings is fully
approved. h c

21 apr

Copies to the R. of Phil. D. of 2 12th May
with 6 Factors

Revenue 1858
Cand. Mackay

No 620

Depot of Goods of Revue

St. Mackay 1858

Expenses of the
Statement of the
in the District of
for the 3rd Quarter of 1858

1858

Dec 1858