

Revenue (1899) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 867

Dated 26th Dec. 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Making certain alterations in the
Black Mark Rule.

CURRENT No. 8062.

The Secretary to the Commissioner of
Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue, Madras.

Mis. No. 5595

Dated 14th December 1899.

Received 15. XII

Registered 15. 44.

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Drawing the attention of Government to an error in the drafting of the Black Marks rules and ~~submitting a draft erratum for~~ *suggesting an alteration of* the same.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Pres.	505	Rw	1.8.99.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PRICE 1 pie. $\frac{2,000}{1-9-98}$.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue).

Dated 14th December

189 9

Mis. No. 5595

The Hon'ble Mr. J. Thomson.

Resolution.

The Board regrets to have to bring to the notice of Government an error in the drafting of the Black Mark rules approved in Government Order No. 505, Revenue, dated 1st August 1899. It is laid down in rule 6 that the accumulation of three black marks will entail suspension, reduction or fine at the discretion of the officer awarding the third black mark. The latter part of this sentence is in error since it must frequently happen that the officer inflicting the third black mark has not the power of suspension. The Board begs to suggest; therefore, that the order may be altered to read that the accumulation of three black marks will entail suspension, reduction or fine at the discretion of the officer with whom the appointment and dismissal of the subordinate in question lies for the time being.

(True extract)

G. Talhumbi
Acting Secretary.

14.12.99

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

C. No. 8062

From the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue)

Mis. No. 5595 Dated 14th December 1899.

FOR ORDERS.

To Secy.

In para 6 of the Black Mark Rules approved in G.O. No. 505 of 18.99, the Board of Revenue suggests the following correction:-

For

"The accumulation of three
"black marks will entail suspension
"reduction or fine at the discretion
"of the officer awarding the third
"black mark".

Read

"The accumulation of three
"black marks will ~~will~~ entail
"suspension, reduction or fine at
"the discretion of the officer ~~with~~
"who may appoint and dismiss the
subordinate to be furnished".

2.

It is for cons.

Correct

whether an officer should be permitted to award a third black mark to a subordinate whom he cannot appoint or dismiss. In the event of his being permitted, the ^{hands} of the superior officer who can appoint and dismiss, will be tied. May the Board be requested u.o. to consider this point?

KD
18-12-99

I think the correction is right. There is an appeal against each black mark see rule 9 on p. 6 G.O. 505 1.8.99.

So that the award of the black marks themselves is safeguarded. On the accumulation of the three black marks, the case goes before the officer who has the power to appoint & dismiss if he is not the officer who has awarded the marks.

The discretion of this officer is very wide from a nominal ^{reduction} to a reduction

FOR ORDERS.

D 500 28-9-99.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read B.P. (S.D.), M.S. No. 5595 of 14.12.99
(C. no. 8062)

G.O. Pass. No. 867 of 28th December 1899

The Board's suggestion is approved. For the first sentence of Rule 6, the following shall be substituted:-

"The accumulation of three black marks against a subordinate will entail his suspension, reduction or fine at the discretion of the officer who may appoint and dismiss such subordinate."

2. It is presumed that on the accumulation of three black marks against a subordinate, if the officer awarding the third black mark has not the power to appoint and dismiss the subordinate, he ^{should} place the case before

Appendix I of G.O. 505 1.8.99

MT 23.12.99

whether an officer should

2

the officer who has such
power.

SH 2/12

To
The Board of Revenue (S.R.)
Judicial Department

sent with S.C.
7-1-1900.

KD
21-12-99

2

No. 867, REVENUE, 26TH DECEMBER 1899.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue),
Mis. No. 5595, dated 14th December 1899 :—

The Hon'ble Mr. J. THOMSON.

Resolution—Mis. No. 5595, dated 14th December 1899.

The Board regrets to have to bring to the notice of Government an error in the drafting of the black mark rules approved in G.O., No. 505, Revenue, dated 1st August 1899. It is laid down in rule 6 that the accumulation of three black marks will entail suspension, reduction or fine at the discretion of the officer awarding the third black mark. The latter part of this sentence is in error since it must frequently happen that the officer inflicting the third black mark has not the power of suspension. The Board begs to suggest, therefore, that the order may be altered to read that the accumulation of three black marks will entail suspension, reduction or fine at the discretion of the officer with whom the appointment and dismissal of the subordinate in question lies for the time being.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. TODHUNTER,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

ORDER—No. 867, Revenue, dated 26th December 1899.

Separate Revenue No. 135.

The Board's suggestion is approved.
For the first sentence of rule 6, the
following shall be substituted :—

“The accumulation of three black marks against a subordinate will entail suspension, reduction or fine at the discretion of the officer who may appoint and dismiss such subordinate.”

2. On the accumulation of three black marks against a subordinate, if the officer awarding the third black mark has not the power to appoint and dismiss the subordinate, he should place the case before the officer who has such power.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue).
„ the Judicial Department.

Exd. Shaik Kaim.

40 Copies.

Making certain alteration in the —.