Revenue (1899) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 859

Dated 21st Dec. 1899

[Despatch Abstract.]

Pay of the tandalgars on the Nilgiris

Approving and sanctioning the proposal to raise the ———

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 7581.

5

Print

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT REVENUE DEPARTMENT 24-NOV-1899

From

The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue, Madras.

REF. B. No. 635

Dated 22nd November 1899
Received 24/11
Registered 24/11.
Enclosures 1.
Spare copies

Print

G.O. Pres No. 859 d/ 21st December 1899

The Collector's proposals which are supported by the Board of Revenue are approved & sanctioned.

W/12 6/1/00

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government proposals for increasing the pay of tandalgars, Nilgiris.

To the Board of Revenue (L.R.) written Statement returned.
" Accts. General
Copy to the Financial Deptt.

Despatched on 2.1.1900.

55/4

C.R. 5/12/99.

Spare copies despd. on 5/1. Walladdress.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G0	630	Rev	13-9-95
1p	683	Rev	95
90	1250	Fine	Dec. 98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

9

Office of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue)

Madras, dated 22nd November 1899.

Mr.W.J.H. Le Fanu

The Hon.Mr.F.A.Nicholson,C.I.E.

Reference B.No.635,dated 22nd November 1899

The Collector of the Nilgiris reports that continual complaints have been received from the tandalgars (Vettis) or village menial servants in his district to the effect that the pay allowed to them under G.O.No.630 Rev.,dated 13th September 1895 is inadequate.He -- points out that the office of tandalgar is not hereditary as in --- other districts and that the men get much less pay than the ordinary labourer whose wages average Rs.7.8.0 per mensem in towns and -- Rs.6.12.0 per mensem in rural tracts.He proposes to raise the pay of the tandalgars to the level of at least the monthly wages of the ordinary labourer so as to induce them to devote their whole time to the village service and make the post sufficiently attractive. His proposals which are shown in detail in the accompanying statement involve an increased expenditure of Rs.1116 per annum.

2. The Collector's proposals infringe the principle enunciated in para 1 of G.O.No.630,dated 13th September 1895,viz.,that the pay of a monigar should exceed that of a tandalgar by at least one rupee.But the Collector points out that the posts of monigar - and tandalgar are regarded in entirely different lights in the district the former office being highly esteemed as a position of --- honour by the Badagas while the latter is looked upon as being on a level with menial occupations.Having regard also to the fact that the post of sub-monigar to which only a pay of Rs.2 is attached is much sought after,he does not consider that differences of pay affect the prestige of the higher village offices.

3. In view of the peculiar circumstances of the Nilgiris District, the Board resolves to support the Collector's proposals and submits them for the favourable consideration of Government.The increased expenditure during the current year can be met

met from the savings in the provision made in the Village Service Fund budget to meet increases resulting from the revision of village esta-- blishments.

Ag.Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with a statement to be returned.

Q.B.

Proposition Statement

Names of villages	Section of est not affe	
	Kurnam	Mani
Coonoor	14-0-0	6-0
Vubathalai	0-0-0	4-0
Jogathala	0-0-0	4-0
Gadappatti	14-0-0	4-0
Barliar	0-0-0	4-0
Nadukottai	12-0-0	4-0
Kotagiri	14-0-0	7-0
Jakanarai	0-0-0	4-0
Kovakarai	0-0-0	4-0
Langarai	14-0-0	4-0
Denad	0-0-0	5-0
Kodanad	14-0-0	4-0
Nadugula	0-0-0	4-0
Melur	14-0-0	5-0
Hallikul	0-0-0	6-0
Athigaratti	14-0-0	4-0
Bilakola	14-0-0	5-0
Ithalar	0-0-0	4-0
Kothi	12-0-0	4-0
Total Coonoor Division	136-0-0	86-0

Please turn over

Proposition Statement for the revision of village establishments in the Nilgiri District.

Names of villages	Section of establishment not affected			Jamabandi Charges		Total Cost		Increase
	Kurnam	Monigal	Sub Monigal	Present Scale	Proposed scale	Present	Proposed	
			Coonoor Taluq					
Coonoor	14-0-0	6-0-0	0-0-0	4-0-0	7-0-0	24-0-0	27-0-0	3-0-0
Vubathalai	0-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	3-0-0	5-0-0	7-0-0	9-0-0	2-0-0
Jogathala	0-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	7-0-0	10-0-0	3-0-0
yadappatti	14-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	8-0-0	6-0-0	21-0-0	24-0-0	3-0-0
Barliar	0-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	8-0-0	5-0-0	7-0-0	9-0-0	2-0-0
Nadukottai	12-0-0	4-0-0	2-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	21-0-0	24-0-0	3-0-0
Kotagiri	14-0-0	7-0-0	4-0-0 (a)	4-0-0	7-0-0	29-0-0	32-0-0	3-0-0 (a) 2+2
Jakanarai	0-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	7-0-0	10-0-0	3-0-0
Kovakarai	0-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	7-0-0	10-0-0	3-0-0
Langarai	14-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	21-0-0	24-0-0	3-0-0
Denad	0-0-0	5-0-0	2-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	10-0-0	13-0-0	3-0-0
Kodanad	14-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	3-0-0	5-0-0	21-0-0	23-0-0	2-0-0
Nadugula	0-0-0	4-0-0	2-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	9-0-0	12-0-0	3-0-0
Melur	14-0-0	5-0-0	0-0-0	4-0-0	6-0-0	23-0-0	25-0-0	2-0-0
Hallikul	0-0-0	6-0-0	2-0-0	4-0-0	5-0-0	12-0-0	13-0-0	1-0-0
Athigaratti	14-0-0	4-0-0	2-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	28-0-0	25-0-0	3-0-0
Belakola	14-0-0	5-0-0	2-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	24-0-0	27-0-0	3-0-0
Ithalar	0-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	7-0-0	10-0-0	3-0-0
Kethi	12-0-0	4-0-0	2-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	21-0-0	24-0-0	3-0-0
Total Coonoor Division	136-0-0	86-0-0	18-0-0	61-0-0	112-0-0	301-0-0	32-0-0	51-0-0

Please turn over

Name of Village	Section of the establishment work affected			Jandalgaru		Total		Increase
	Karnam	Monigar	Sub monigar	Present scale	Proposed scale	Present	Proposed	
Colacammud	14-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	6-0-0	7-0-0	51-0-0	54-0-0	3-0-0
	12-0-0	0-0-0	2-0-0	5-0-0	7-0-0			
Manjanad	0-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	7-0-0	10-0-0	3-0-0
Nedwattam	14-0-0	5-0-0	0-0-0	4-0-0	6-0-0	23-0-0	25-0-0	2-0-0
Sholur	0-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	7-0-0	10-0-0	3-0-0
Masinigudi	16-0-0	5-0-0	0-0-0	4-0-0	6-0-0	23-0-0	25-0-0	2-0-0
Hallatti	12-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	3-0-0	5-0-0	19-0-0	21-0-0	2-0-0
Kodanad	0-0-0	4-0-0	2-0-0	3-0-0	5-0-0	9-0-0	11-0-0	2-0-0
Thuneri	16-0-0	4-0-0	2-0-0	3-0-0	5-0-0	25-0-0	27-0-0	2-0-0
Ebbanad	0-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	7-0-0	10-0-0	3-0-0
Thummanatti	0-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	3-0-0	5-0-0	7-0-0	9-0-0	2-0-0
Kaguchi	14-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	21-0-0	24-0-0	3-0-0
Kukal	0-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	3-0-0	6-0-0	7-0-0	10-0-0	3-0-0
Kunakorai	0-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	0-0-0	5-0-0	4-0-0	9-0-0	5-0-0
Kilkundah	12-0-0	6-0-0	0-0-0	5-0-0	6-0-0	23-0-0	24-0-0	1-0-0
Melkundah	0-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	0-0-0	5-0-0	4-0-0	9-0-0	5-0-0
Biggatti	12-0-0	6-0-0	2-0-0	5-0-0	6-0-0	29-0-0	30-0-0	1-0-0
Mulligur	0-0-0	4-0-0	0-0-0	0-0-0	0-0-0			
Total Colacammud Division	120-0-0	74-0-0	16-0-0	56-0-0	98-0-0	266-0-0	308-0-0	42-0-0
Total Gudalur Division	100-0-0	56-0-0	0-0-0	49-0-0	49-0-0	205-0-0	205-0-0	0-0-0
Grand total	356-0-0	216-0-0	34-0-0	166-0-0	259-0-0	772-0-0	865-0-0	93-0-0
Add cost of special Village Mundiffs & Process servers						57-0-0	57-0-0	0-0-0
				Grand total		829-0-0	922-0-0	93-0-0

* For detail vide page 7 of 9 dtd 6-30 & 13-9-95

Sd. Jno Murray
for Collector

D/4 1,920—14-3-99.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

No. 7581 of 1899

From the Board of Revenue (A-R.)

Dated 22 November 1899.

FOR ORDERS.

6

7

A

Cas to whether the proposal to raise the pay of the tandalgars (watchmen) on the Nilgiris as indicated in the statement submitted by the Collector may be approved.

2. The Collector's proposals involve not only the raising of the pay of the existing tandalgars but also the entertainment of two additional men on Rs 5 each — one for Kinnakorai & another for Melkadai — the 6 Lacamund Division. In the scheme sanctioned in 1895, tandalgars were not provided for these villages.

vide statement flagged A.

vide statement on page 7 of G.O. 630 13-9-95

3. In several cases the pay proposed for tandalgars is in excess of the pay drawn by Moigans though under para 1(c) of G.O. No 630 of 13 Sept. '95 Moigans should have at least one rupee more than tandalgars but the Board explains that the posts of Moigan & tandalgar are regarded in the Nilgiris in entirely different lights & that difference of pay

para 2 of the current

does not affect the prestige of the higher village officers in the District. The total addl cost involved is Rs 1116 per annum. It is stated that the increased cost during the current year can be met from the savings in the provision made in the Village Service Fund budget for revision of village establishments.

page 6 of P/P 12507 19-12-98 R

Finl No. 414 9-12-99

C.R. 28/9/99

Secy 30/9/99

H.W 12-99

H.W 5/12/99

To the Under Secretary.

Please see draft order submitted on the docket.

C.R. 5/12/99.

Secy may put to Govt for acceptance

Mr 6/12/99

Govt Orders No. 786 dy 9.12-99.

Vide Page 6 of B.P. No. 2878 dy 20 Novbr 1899. B

8

Financial Dept

G.O. No. 414

Note for the C.S

It is submitted that the draft order below may be Countersigned. The Cost for the ensuing year Can be met from the lump provision of Rs 40,000 for anticipated increase owing to the revision of village establishments made in the Village Service Fund budget for 1900-1901 dealt with separately.

Typd 16/12/99 Cwd 16.12.99

R Ww no.

H.W 12/99

9

Government {1899} of Madras.

REVENUE.

Recd.	1899.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 859, 21st December 1899.

DECEMBER.

Pay of the tandalgars on the Nilgiris.

Approving and sanctioning the proposal to raise the —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Reference of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), B. No. 635, dated 22nd November 1899:—

Mr. W. J. H. LeFanu.

The Hon'ble Mr. F. A. Nicholson, C.I.E.

Reference—B. No. 635, dated 22nd November 1899.

The Collector of the Nilgiris reports that continual complaints have been received from the tandalgars or village menial servants in his district to the effect that the pay allowed to them under G.O., No. 630, Revenue, dated 13th September 1895, is inadequate. He points out that the office of tandalgar is not hereditary as in other districts and that the men get much less pay than the ordinary labourer whose wages average Rs. 7–8–0 per mensem in towns and Rs. 6–12–0 per mensem in rural tracts. He proposes to raise the pay of the tandalgars to the level of at least the monthly wages of the ordinary labourer so as to induce them to devote their whole time to the village service and make the post sufficiently attractive. His proposals which are shown in detail in the accompanying statement involve an increased expenditure of Rs. 1,116 per annum.

2. The Collector's proposals infringe the principle enunciated in paragraph 1 of G.O., No. 630, Revenue, dated 13th September 1895, viz., that the pay of a monigar should exceed that of a tandalgar by at least one rupee. But the Collector points out that the posts of monigar and tandalgar are regarded in entirely different lights in the district the former office being highly esteemed as a position of honour by the Badagas while the latter is looked upon as being on a level with menial occupations. Having regard also to the fact that the post of sub-monigar to which only a pay of Rs. 2 is attached is much sought after, he does not consider that differences of pay affect the prestige of the higher village offices.

3. In view of the peculiar circumstances of the Nilgiri district, the Board resolves to support the Collector's proposals and submits them for the favourable consideration of Government. The increased expenditure during the current year can be met from the savings in the provision made in the village service fund budget to meet increases resulting from the revision of village establishments.

(Signed) W. FRANCIS,
Ag. Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with a statement to be returned.

Order—No. 859, Revenue, dated 21st December 1899.

Miscellaneous.

The Collector's proposals which are supported by the Board of Revenue are approved and sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
,, the Accountant-General.
Copy to the Financial Department.

Ext. V. Ramachandra Rao.

55 Copies.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

Number of copies required. { Order complete. / Letter only. / Order complete with Letter.

Read again G.O. No. , dated
189 .

Current No.

Enclosures to C. No.

Order of Government.

Letter of Government.

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.