

Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 983

DATED 10th March. 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Copy to the Board of Rev Profs P.W.D
sanctioning certain transfer of funds
under Provincial Civil Buildings in the
Cuddalore Dn.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue
Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1572

From *The P.W.D.*

Order No. 983 7/10th March 99.

Communicated to the
 Board of Revenue.

No. 6596

Dated 3 March 99.

Received

Registered

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Funds

Sanctioning certain
 9 — under Prov. and
 Buildings, in the
 Cuddalore Dist.

Let

To the Bd of Rev (Land Rev) 10.3.99

Note.

The P.W. Draft order did
 not come to R.D. for c.i.
 The reason for the surrender
 is explained in the application
 for allotment.

See

6-3-98

7-3-99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Urgent

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

*From the Superintending Engineer,
III Circle, No 808 W. d/24th February 1899*

Order thereon No. 659 W. d/3rd March 1899

*The following transfer of funds
in the Cuddapah Division is sanctioned.*

Provincial Civil Buildings

*From Deputy Tahsildar's
office at Chitneb - Rs 5,800
To Repairs 5,800*

*2. No explanation has been afforded
for the increase to the repair grant.
This should now be done.*

(True Extract)

*Al Russell
Under Secretary to Govt*

*The Suptg. Engineer, III Circle
" Examiner, P. W. Accounts
" Revenue department*

Application to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D. for a re-appropriation of Budget grants.

PROPOSED NEW GRANT.

PROPOSED REDUCTION.

FORM No. 41 H.																
Departmental and service head.	Item No. of Bud- get.	Works.	Amount of estimate.	Outlay to end of previous year.	Original grant for current year.	Existing grant for current year.	Proposed reduction.	Amount at which grant for work will stand after reduction.								
Repairs		Lump sum	Rs. "	Rs. "	Rs. 5000	Rs. 8500	Rs. 5800	Rs. 14300								
		Constructing By Tahsildar's Office at Chitruel.	Rs. 20300	"	Rs. 12000	Rs. 12000	Rs. 5800	Rs. 6200								
Surrender proposed as the work has been stopped. The work was originally stopped because the concrete put into the foundations was bad. Full details regarding this are given in Superintending Engineer's Inspection notes dated 26 November 1898. Chief Engineer's No 550/C of 12 December 1898																

Orders by the Government of _____

Dated the 24th February 1899

No. _____, dated the _____

Secy. to Government of _____

P. W. Department.

(Signature)

(Sd) W. L. C. Basseler, at Chitruel
Suptg Engineer, P.W.D.

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XV, section vi, para. 199.

1. This form should be used by the Executive Engineer or the local Government in any of the following cases:—

- (a).—When a grant, or an addition to an existing grant, is required for carrying on a sanctioned major work.
- (b).—When a grant for a work is found to be in excess of requirements, and it is proposed to resign it, either wholly or in part.
- (c).—When it is proposed to utilize the grant for one work upon some other.

2. Either of the columns for "Proposed new grant" or for "Proposed reduction," or both, may be used as required.

3. If it happens that the grant already allowed has been reduced, the entry in the column "Existing grant for the current year" would of course be the amount less such reduction.

4. In the case of Irrigation works, the entries in the column "Departmental and service head" should show distinctly whether the proposed re-appropriation is debitable to Capital or Revenue, and the entries in the column "Works" should be so arranged as to show the project to the Capital or Revenue account of which the charge is debitable, and the class of service to which the charge is debitable, thus:—

PROJECT.

(1).—HEAD WORKS—

(B). Land.

(K). Buildings.

In cases of Productive public works, the fact of their being so should be noted against the entries.

5. It being of the utmost importance that early intimation of possible lapses, or of excesses, in outlay on works should be communicated to the Government, a close watch should be maintained on the progress of all works, and this form employed the moment the facts are sufficiently known.

6. Applications for re-appropriations which require the sanction of the Government of India should be submitted by the local Government in duplicate; applications by Executive Engineers should be submitted through the Superintending Engineers of their Circles.

EXPLANATION OF NECESSITY FOR RE-APPROPRIATION.

Madras PROVINCE.

BRANCH—*Buildings & Road*

CIRCLE—*III*

DIVISION—*Cuddapah*

FUND—*Provincial*
(45 Civil Works)

Application for a re-appropriation of
Budget grants.

No. *808 W*, dated the *24th Feb* 189*9*.

Forwarded to the *Chief Engineer*
P.W. Department

for sanction if approved.

(40) W.L.C. Basseby
Stool Rd.
Supty Engr Circle

No. _____, dated the _____ 189 ____.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189 ____
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
189 ____.

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

(Signature.)