

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 972

DATED 9th March 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

According to Mr. L. M. Sept. stating that although Mr. D. Venkata Sastri Gann, late Vice President of the D. Board, Anantapur, is responsible for a few irregularities in the accounts, he has not been proved guilty of any misconduct which calls for notice.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1577

From

The Local Municipal Dept

Order no. 1097279th March 99.

Recorded

No. 443th March

11/3/99

Dated 1-3-99

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

To

The M. of Rev. (M. of Rev.)

SUBJECT

District Board. Stating that although Mr. P. V. Venkata Sastri late Vice President of the — Anantapur is responsible for a few irregularities in the accounts, he has not been proved guilty of any misconduct which calls for notice and informing Mr. P. J. Srinivasa Rao, member — Anantapur that his request to be allowed to see the explanation tendered by the late Vice President cannot be complied with.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers—

Petition from M.R.Py.J.Srinivasa Rao, Member, District Board, Anantapur, dated 7th August 1898, submitting copy of his letter to the President, District Board, Anantapur, in regard to the misuse of the District Board Funds by M.R.Py.D.Venkata Sastri, late Vice President of the District Board and requesting Government to deal with him as ^{it}deems proper.

From the President, District Board, Anantapur, No.48, dated 10th September 1898, submitting with remarks the explanation given by M.R.Py.D.Venkata Sastri, late Vice President of the District Board on the allegations made against him by M.R.Py.J.Srinivasa Rao.

Petition from M.R.Py.J.Srinivasa Rao, Member, District Board, Anantapur, dated 21st October 1898, requesting that the Government may be pleased to allow him to see the explanation tendered by M.R.Py.D.Venkata Sastri, late Vice President of the District Board, regarding the allegations made against him by the Petitioner.

From the Accountant-General to the Government of Madras, No.L.F.D./650, dated 15th December 1898.

With reference to the Acting Assistant Secretary's endorsement No.1160, dated the 12th October 1898, referring to me for remarks certain papers regarding the alleged misuse of Local Fund money by the Vice President of the Anantapur District Board, I have the honour to observe as follows. The vouchers quoted are those forwarded with the member's report.

Paragraph 1. Voucher No.475. The Countersignature of the President, District Board, should have been obtained on the travelling allowance bill of the Vice President. This was noticed at ^{remarks}.

remarked on in the last inspection notes of the District.

2. Vouchers 101 and 178. The grant of Travelling allowance to the Vice President's private servant is inadmissible. This also was pointed out in the last notes.

3. Voucher No. 209. There is no objection to the exchange of Railway fare for daily allowance, under article 1170 of the Civil Service Regulations. The objection raised in the last inspection notes is now withdrawn.

4. As regards the source to which the Travelling allowance should be debited, I think Local Funds should bear the charge, under article 824 A(d) of the Civil Account Code, as the Vice President travelled solely on Local Fund duty.

5. Voucher 180. In the absence of particulars of the telegram referred to, it cannot be said whether the charge was incurred in the interests of the District Board or not. An office copy of the telegram should have been maintained.

6. As regards the other sum of annas 8, the Vice President's explanation seems reasonable and may, I think, be accepted. It is obvious that the charge could not be for a journey from Anantapur to Bellary.

7. Voucher No. 175. In the circumstances explained by the Vice President, the expenditure for the repair of a well in the Traveller's Bangalow may be admitted, but the debit of the charge to the District Board's office contingencies was wrong. An objection on this point was raised in the last inspection notes.

8. In regard to the charge of Rs. 2-4-0 for the conveyance of luggage, it is noticed that in the contingent bill, it was shown as the cost of the carriage of office records from Anantapur to Hindupur and back, whereas, from the explanation of the Vice President it appears that it also includes the conveyance of furniture, such as chairs and tables for office purposes. I am not in a position to give an opinion as to the necessity for the carriage of the chairs and tables at public expense.

9. Voucher 97. The Vice President explains that the well is not in the Collector's office compound, and is not Government property, but appertains to Local Funds. If this is correct, the charge may be admitted, but it should be debited to Grant III Sanitation, Improvement of water supply.

10. Punkah charges should ordinarily be incurred only for six months during the hot weather, vide G.Os. No. 1346 and 1635, Public, dated the 5th October and 30th November 1881, respectively, except in the case of European officers. In the present case, as the Vice President is allowed a punkah, in his capacity as Treasury Deputy Collector, there would seem to be no necessity for a separate punkah puller at the cost of Local Funds.

11. The making of covers is, I think, part of the attender's duty, but if he supplies ink there would seem to be no objection to his being paid for it.

12. It is not necessary with certain exceptions for sub vouchers below Rs. 10 in value to be attached to office contingent bills, but in the case of expenditure on works, vouchers should be furnished in all cases.

Order No. 443 L.Mis., dated 1st March 1899.

The Government considers that although M.R.Py. D. Venkata Sastri is responsible for a few irregularities, as pointed out by the Accountant General in his letter read above, he has not been proved guilty of any misconduct which calls for notice.

2. The request of M.R.Py. J. Srinivasa Rao in his second petition read above cannot be complied with.

(True extract)

Aldington
for Secretary to Government.

To

The President, District Board, Anantapur, with 36 enclosures returned
,, Revenue Department.

M.R.Py. J. Srinivasa Rao Garu.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 189
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.