

of 189 .

Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 599

DATED 9th Feb. 1899

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording with remark Prof of the
Bd of Rev sanctioning the expenditure of
Rs 53 incurred for the relief of persons
rendered destitute by floods in the Cuddalore
Taluk, South Arcot Dist.

were
meeting
for
for his
ance.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 8594

9/1/97

From the Board of Revenue
Revenue Settlement, Land
Records and Agriculture
Alwaras

Order No. 599 of 9th Dec 1898

Recorded.

No. 7129 Misc.

2. The ~~Board's~~ presumption expressed
in para 2 of ~~the~~ Profs read
alone is correct.

Dated 12th December 1898

Received } 15th

Registered

Enclosures —

Spare copies —

4/1/99

To the Board of Revenue (Rev. Secy)
" Accountant General.

SUBJECT,
Sanctioning the expenditure of
Rs 55 incurred for the relief of persons
rendered destitute by floods in the
Cuddalore Taluk, from the provision
of Rs 10,000 made in the budget
for 1898-99 under "33 E Famine Relief
miscellaneous - other items - Flood
Charges" and presuming that the power
of sanction conferred on the Board
in G.O. 1459 Revenue dated 20th December
1882 covers cases of the kind
referred to by the collector of
South Arcot.

16-12-98.
RS
17-12-98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Sett. 13-2,000-25-4 98.)



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture),

Dated 12th December 1898

No. 7/29 Miscellaneous

READ

The following letter from L. M. Wynch Esqrs, Acting Collector of South Arcot, Ref on No. 1457 of 98 dated 24th Nov 98. acc sup

4485
17-11-98

In continuation of my reports regarding the recent heavy rains and floods in this district, I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to sanction Rs 55/- spent by the Head Quarter Deputy Collector under my instructions for the relief of destitute persons in the village of Kuttiamkuppam, Hamlet of Malaiperumalagarai in the Cuddalore Dist. This

Yours faithfully

village suffered from floods last year and from drought - in the early part of this year, and now many houses have been washed away by the floods especially in the Paracherry. The village was more or less under water for some days and was only approachable with considerable difficulty. Relief was granted only to those who were in - absolute need of it, and did not exceed Rs 3/- per head.

Resolution

The expenditure of Rs 55 incurred for the relief of persons rendered destitute by floods in the Cuddalore taluk is sanctioned out of the provision of Rs 10,000 made in the budget for 1898-99 under "338 Famine Relief Miscellaneous - other ^{items} charges - Flood charges."

Revised Estimate
Rs 10-100.

2. It is presumed that the power of sanction conferred on the Board in para 2 of G.O No 1459 Revenue dated 20th December 1882 covers cases of the kind referred to by the Collector in his letter read above.

(True Copy & Extract)

R. G. Sundaram
Secretary

To The Collector of South Arcot
a Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
a Accountant-General
Madras.

Exd
14/12

C No. 8594 of 1898

From The Board of Rev (Rev. Lett)

No. 7129 Dated 12-12-1898.

FOR ORDERS.

In its Prop read alone
 the Board of Rev accords sanction
 for an expenditure of Rs 55 in-
 curred for the relief of destitute
 persons in a village in the South
 Arcot Dt whose houses were
 washed away ^{by the recent floods} or were under water
 for some days. The relief did not
 exceed Rs 3 per head. The sanction
 was accorded under the impression
 that it was within the scope of
 the power conferred on the Board
 of Rev in para 2 of G.O. 1459
 of 20.12-82, viz that the
 Board can "pass all such necessary"
 "charges (as the hire of boats and
 "boatmen engaged during heavy
 "floods), provided that the sum
 "sanctioned in the budget be
 "not exceeded".

The words "all such necessary"

charges" would appear to include relief to the people rendered destitute by floods, and in the present case the budget provision (Rs 10,100) has not been exceeded.

It is submitted that the B's presumption therefore appears to be correct.

A draft order is put up on the docket of the current for approval.

Seen
Me.
4/1/98

16-12-98
R8
17-12-98

I am not at all sure that the presumption is correct. How does Fin. Dept. interpret it?

Fin. Dept.



BE
14-1-98

FOR ORDERS.

This is for the whole
Trust the collector
must not exceed this.

Brought back from the Secy room
for Cus 88/99.
d 20-3-99
This need not
have been done

Recd. 11-1-99
R8

Rev. C. No. 8394 of 1880.

From the Board of Rev (Settlt-acc)
No 7129 of 12.12.98

Subject

Flood Charges

Financial department

Note.

This is ^{order} other than C.O. No 145
Rev. dated the 20th Dec 1882.

~~reference~~ bearing on the sub
ject ~~reference~~

In his office manual, 1887, the Accountant General has the following note.

"The Board of Revenue has

"is empowered to sanction

"within budget provision

"petty charges of absolute

"necessity for the protection

"of human life on occasions

"of unusually heavy floods"

The C.O. above referred to is

Quoted ^{as the} authority.

C.O. No 59. Financial, dated

22nd Jan'y 1896, consolidation

the powers delegated ~~under~~

the by Govt. to various

offices quote only this for

in support of the power

exercised by the Board of

Rev.

Submitted that it seems

to have been

o. no 459 Rev dated the 28.
ee 1882 was passed that
the Board should have a
due hand in incurring such
petty charges as ~~are~~^{are} necessary
to relieve distress from
floods, within the budget
out.

In the C.S.

The charges in the
present case were ~~the~~
incurred in connection
with the relief of distressed
persons ~~who~~^{who} were
rendered homeless by floods in
S. Arcot. The go. of 1882
appears to have been intended
to authorize the Board to
incur petty charges of
absolute necessity in the
protection of human life on
the occasions of ~~any~~ floods,
it has been so read by the
Chief Just (vids retract from
his Manual quoted above). The
present sanction by the Board
is to ~~be~~ and the ~~present~~

vested in it in 1882. It ~~was~~^{must}
~~appear~~ that in the present
case, the Collector really
sanctioned the expenditure,
and ~~it~~ came up to the Board
merely for approval of his
action. The existing orders
should, it is thought, be
made clearer & advantage
might be taken of the opportunity
to vest Collectors with powers
to sanction charges incurred
in connection with the relief
of distress or for saving human
life during floods, within
a limit of (say) Rs 100 for
each district. If it is necessary
in any one year to incur
expenditure in a district in excess
of this limit, Collectors should
it is thought, obtain sanction
to the necessary expenditure from
the Board, who might be vested
with power to accord such
sanctions subject to budget
provisions. All ~~expenditure~~
sanctions should, however,
be reported, by the ~~Collector~~ to the

Board and by the Board to
Govt.

No 295 of 31-1-99.

Cms.

R. D. is

19. 199

I think the V. in suspension

might be pronounced correct.

Myself.

To H. B.

Orders are requested
as to whether the Draft
order below ^(on the docket) may now
issue.

Secy

Me

3/2/98

31-1-98

1-2-99

H. B. Drafting unit
S. B. 45

450 RD
7-2-98

C 7-2-98.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189 .

Read again G.O., No.
189 ,

DATED

Curr. No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

.. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.