2/12/12

0/9. 3,000—5-8-08.

Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 571

DATED 7th February. 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that the Govt. sees no reason to interfere in the matter of the water-rate imposed on the lands belonging to one Hanumappa, Kadjandy Taluk, Cuddapah dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 539

The order of the Board under appeal is mine - Petrs's should not pass the draft - not interfere 1/2/99 - 2-2-99

Hon Warmeld 8/7/199

Endt. Misc. 57 dt 2 Feby 1899.

The Government sees no reason to interfere.

30/1/99

To the petr., with encls. [allowed] not to be
Copy to the Board of Rev., Rev. Sett. Note.

The facts of the case are briefly set forth & discussed in the Board's Proceedings (the current). It is submitted that there appear no grounds for disturbing the Board's order confirming the levy of water-charge, from which the petr. appeals to Govt.

Pg. 27.1.99.

Levy fee 30/1/99

ac 4.2.99. C 1.2.99. A 3.2.99

From The Board of Revenue Rev. Sett. L.R. & Agri Madras

No. 395. Misc.

Dated 18th January 99.

Received 21 do do.

Registered 28 do

Enclosures 9

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting the report called for by Government in its Endorsement R. No. 1647, dt 24.11.98 on the appeal petition of one Kammappa of Anantapur Dt., praying that he may be exempted from paying kisttapaid on his land.

in

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	Endt 1647 Rev	24 11 98	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Sett. 13—2,000—25-4-98.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture),

Dated 18th January 1899.

No. 395. misc.

READ ..... Endorsement from Government, Revenue Department, dated 24th November 98, R. No. 1647.

Resolution.

The Board begs to submit the report called for by Government, in its endorsement, R. No. 1647/24.11.98.

A

2. Both before and at the Revenue Settlement, petitioner's land was registered as 'wet', under a channel called 'Ramka-sagaram Vanka kala'. Subsequent however,

in

however, the petitioner applied for the transfer of the land to dry; and the Deputy Commissioner of Revenue Settlement, after obtaining a report from the Tahsildar, sanctioned the transfer in fasli 1304. In the following year, water-tax was imposed on the land for irrigation from the said "Ramasagaram Vanka nala". Petitioner appealed on the grounds (1) that the irrigation was mainly from a well in his land, and (2) that any additional water that he used was merely rain water, which falling on certain fields above his own passed over his field on its way to the Demmar, and that the water-course being a jungle-stream, irrigation therefrom should be exempted from water charges under Board's Standing Order No 10.

3. From the Collector's report to the Board, it appeared that Ramasagaram Vanka takes its rise in a paramboke field at some distance from the petitioner's land, and passes through a number of fields (paramboke, patta and inam), that water oozing out of some of these lands forms into a stream, and flows in the bed of the 'Vanka', and that it was the water of this stream that was used by the petitioner. It also appeared that this flow of water generally lasts for 3 months during the rainy season, and that the 'Vanka' which finally empties itself into the Demmar river is the registered source of irrigation of a field measuring 1·22 acres, situated below the petitioner's land.

4. As the supply in the Vanka is not precarious, and as the Vanka is an affluent of the Demmar river, it is not a jungle stream such as is contemplated in

(1)

PETITION

0863

REVENUE DEPT.

Abstract translation of Petition from Hanmappa, ryot, Budigarmanalli, Kalyandrug taluk, Anantapur dt. 18.7.98.

The petr. appeals against Board's Proc. No. 3929 28.6.98 confirming the rate on his land. The grounds of appeal urged by the petr. are that the irrigation was mainly from a well on his land and that additional water that he had was merely rain-water which falling on certain fields above his own passed over his fields on its way to the Hagari. The petr. urges that

3929/28-6-98

2412/24-7-98

7-10-0

165-167-197-221-222-227-245-246-343-346-366

with Proc. No. 3929/28.6.98 - p. 5 infra

R. b. Srinivasan
Secretary.

To, The Secretary to Govt. Rev: Dept
with petition & enclosures.

Standing Order No. 10. The charge of water-rate was therefore considered justifiable, and upheld by the Board. It may be noted that petitioner raised two irrigated crops on his land, and that water-rate was charged on other lands above his, irrigated from the same stream.

(True Extract.)

PETITION

0662

REVENUE DEPT

Petition from Hanumappa, ryot, Buvajanna dodda village, Kalyandurga taluk, Bellary dist. dated 1.9.98

Petr states that he has already submitted to yr. Hon'ble Board an appeal against Board's Proc. No 3929 28.6.98 confirming the charge of Rs 5 per acre on his land, and requests that the charge may be cancelled.

Pr 27.1.99

That the water-course from the flow of rain water into a small stream is not a source from which he can be exempted from water charge under Board's Order No: 10 Pr 27.1.99

19/July/1898

1 REVENUE DEPT. Proc. No. 2 1899

1-9-98

1
REVENUE DEPT.
Encl. No.
Cur. No.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A A.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.