$\frac{0}{9}$. 3,000—17-12-96.

# Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

**G.O., No.** 553 A.

**DATED** 7th February.

Contains the Note about the Pay of the Supdt. of Survey

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Minute appointing Mr E. P. [illegible] as Superintendent Madras Survey S.Rt

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

This has been signed + will appear in next Gazette

Secy

A draft minute apptg Mr G. P. Greene as Supt of Survey S.P.t. is put up. There is no rule in the C.S.R. specially providing for such cases. It is submitted that ~~[illegible]~~ such cases are usually met by informing the officer concerned + making the appt sub pro tem only. For example Mr Martin is only sub pro t

c 3.8.99.

NOTE FOR THE

in the first grade of Dy Supts pending reorganisation.

It may be here noted that Mr Greene will draw Rs 1500 p.m. only in his new appt. When noting on the subject in the file which has gone in circulation ~~[illegible]~~, I regret I was not aware of G.O. 502 d 31 Augt 91 which the office had omitted thro' careless referencing to put up. It will be seen from the Govt of India's Despatch No 112 d 6 May 1891 & the Secy of State's reply No 51 d 25 June 91 that Mr Cardozo's present pay is personal to himself & that his successor (in the present state of the dept) is to get Rs 1500 - 60 - 1800.

There seems no need to fill up ~~[illegible]~~ the post of Dy Supt Mr Greene will vacate; but perhaps this question may be referred to him for report separately

A Next page to A

Was the scheme of re-organization in contemplation then. I think not. The question raised in the linked file had better go to the Acct. Genl. I think there is something to be said for not now settling the pay at the re-organized rate, but I expect to reduce pay [illegible] pay is always [illegible]

H.E. [illegible]

To H.E. the Governor with a minute for signature

S.P. 2/2/99

The further discussion as regards Mr Greene's pay will be found in the notes with G.O.
2/3/91

In a separate file of papers connected with his pay

---

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

G. O. Mis No 553 Ady 7th Feby 1899.

Copy sent to Gazette
7/2/99

MINUTE.

FORT ST. GEORGE, 18 .

THE PRESIDENT proposes the following appointment:-

Mr George Percy Greene, Deputy Superintendent, Revenue Survey, Second class, to be Superintendent, Revenue Survey, Madras, sub pro tem, with effect from the date of the retirement from the service of Mr H. O'C. Cardozo C.I.E.

A. E. Havelock

A.A.
5.2.99

H.S.
5.2.99

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 966A

18 .

MINUTE OF

Notes regarding the pay of Mr. G. P. Greene Supdt. Revenue Survey Madras

Mr Cardozo's successor.

Revenue Dept

Office

Put up pp relating to reorganisation of the Survey Dept & certify any action or orders as to what should be done when Mr Cardozo retired. Consult A.C. before sending up file. Don't await return of the file from Public

G
20/1/85

To Under Secy:-

The contingency of Mr Cardozo's retirement before the scheme for the reorganization of the Madras Survey dept. is introduced does not appear to have been foreseen. In fact it appears that Mr Cardozo's retention in service after the age of 55

was

158

was sanctioned from year to year in view mainly to have the benefit of his experience in the introduction of the scheme.

2. As Mr Cardozo has now decided to retire the question now for consideration is what pay should be given to his successor and who should be appointed for the post.

3. In G.O. No 82 d/ 30.1.93 the Govt. approved of a scale of permanent survey establishment costing Rs 1,10,000 per annum. In this scale the pay of the highest grade of gazetted officer was fixed at Rs 1,100. The duties of this Officer was stated to be to exercise general supervision over the district officers and also to have charge of the Photo-Zincographic office.

4. The Govt. of India in its letter No $\frac{551}{48}$ d/ 10.3.93 while considering that the scheme was well considered and economical requested further information

on

Please see the Hon'ble Mr Grose's remarks concurred in by H.E and Sir Henry Bliss in the Notes under G.O. No $\frac{5239}{7.10.99}$ B.

A

Please see para 8 of the Supdt's letter at p 4 of the G.O. A

G.O. No. 392 d/ 9.5.93.
C

D

Please see his letter in G.O. No 635 d/ 17.9.95
E

Letter to India No 636 d/ 17.9.95.

on certain points. The required information was supplied in this Govt's letter No. 723 d/ 14.8.9 Subsequently however, Mr Cardozo reported that the scale of establishment approved in G.O. No 82 d/ 30.1.93 was insufficient and proposed a slightly higher scale. In this scale the pay of the highest grade of gazetted officer was fixed at Rs 1200-140 In submitting the proposal for the sanction of the Govt. of India it was observed:-

" × × × it is, in the opinion of His Excellency the Governor in Council impossible to expect that a scientific dept., requiring the employment of men of education and good social positi can work satisfactorily if the highest appointment in it is t carry a salary of no more tha Rs 1100. A dept. must be made on the whole as attrac as the Police or the Salt & Abka depts. The pay of a Depu Inspector General of Police or a Deputy Commissioner of Salt & Abkari Revenue ranges from Rs 1200 to Rs 1400 a month; "and on this analogy it has "been deemed proper to fix the "pay of the Supt. at Rs 1200 "rising to 1400 by annual "increments of Rs 40. On the "reorganization this officer will "be designated the Dy Commissioner of Revenue Survey and Land "Records the dept. being administered as Commissioner, by the Member "of the Board of Revenue in "charge of Revenue Settlement and Land Records with his present establishment".

In its letter No $\frac{332}{159-3}$ dated the 5.2.96 the Govt. of India, while approving the proposals generally called for further information on certain points. The Govt. of India stated:- "To the pay proposed for the head of the dept. the Govt. of India take no exception. It is indeed lower than that attached in some other Provinces to

in G.O. No. 355 d/ 24.7.96.

Para 4 of the G.I. letter in the above G.O. Col

"to the Office of the Director of "Land Records with which the "proposed appointment will "correspond in fact though not "in name".

In connection with the report submitted by the Board of Revenue with reference to the information called for by the Govt. of India and the Resns of the Govt. of India on the future agricultural policy the Govt. has arrived at the conclusion that the question of the trained survey staff to be retained permanently should be kept distinct from the constitution of a Land Records dept.; that the Land Records establishment should be a purely revenue staff under the complete control of the Collector and that the Survey dept. must be a scientific dept. under a Survey Supt. The Govt. observed:- "The staff should be as limited "as will be compatible with efficiency. "It will be under the superintendence of

G.O. No. 414 d/ 27.7.98
P.

f a trained survey officer but e emoluments of this office might well be considerably less than those of the present Supt. The staff will not form an independent Dept. but be under the general control of the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement and Director of the Dept. of Land Records and Agri: Proposals for the rates of pay which should be allowed to the Supt. and other members of the staff should therefore also be made".

The question for consideration is what should be the pay of the appointment, pending the submission and consideration of the proposals called for above.

Secy// Submitted that until the reorganisation is effected Mr Cardozo's successor may draw Mr Cardozo's present pay. He may be given distinctly to understand that the pay of the post is under consideration & will in all probability be reduced.

[redacted]

The question as to who should be that successor is submitted for orders.

Last year when Mr Cardozo went on Sick leave Mr Greene acted for him, Mr Martin being thus superseded.

The matter was then at that time fully laid before His Excellency by Mr Cardozo. Please see note of His Excellency d 2-7-98 on Mr Cardozo's letter No $\frac{76}{G}$ d 27 June 98 flag C.

1/2/98

H.E.

On the whole it will be perhaps best to introduce the new scale of pay for the Supt at once: that is R1200 – R1400 [redacted] The G. of India have distinctly said (see A on p 4 supra) that they do not object to that rate. Strictly the new rate shd not come

6

into force till the reorganisation is finally settled & sanctioned, but we have been gradually reducing the strength of the staff for the last 2 or 3 years and the Dept. has therefore a reduced responsibility.

If however there is any idea of modifying the original proposal of R1200 – R1400, & making the pay R1500 (sup), the officer who replaces Mr. Cardozo may be appointed on the existing pay with a warning of the contemplated reduction. This wd. give time to reconsider the pay if, as I have noted, there is any intention of doing so.

As to the officer to succeed Mr. Cardozo that is a question for H.E. the Governor.

S.R. 1/2/99

---

9

I think so too
W.S.
5-2-99

I think the new scale of pay (Rs1200 rising to 1400) should be introduced at once –

As Settlement Commr., and previously as Collector of Malabar, I have seen a good deal of Mr. G. P. Greene and his work, & can certify that he is eminently fitted for the vacant post – He is an energetic, hard-working thoroughly competent officer.

W.S.
2-2-99

H.E. the Governor

S.R. 3/2/99

The proposal to introduce the new scale of pay at once seems to me unobjectionable and possibly advantageous. But, possibly there may be some hidden account objection, and I think that it would be safer to ask the Acct. Genl. if there is any objection.

I have read the Hon. Mr. Winterbotham's opinion as to Mr. Greene's eminent fitness for the post. In the circumstances, I propose Mr. Greene. It would be well to settle the salary question before appointing him.

A.S.H. 4.2.99

H.M.

On further exam^n I find that the scale of pay Rs1200 - Rs1400 wh. the G. of I. were prepared to accept was proposed with reference to an organization scheme ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ which we subsequently ~~[illegible]~~ indicated to the G. of I. that we would modify - vide letter to India d 27 July 98 (Rev no 415 d 27 July 98) - i.e. we have now under consid^n or rather there is under the consid^n of the ~~[illegible]~~ Board a scheme which separates Land Records from Survey proper. The scheme is still undefined & possibly the G. of I. may, ~~[illegible]~~ if it is put forward, object to the rate of pay which we originally suggested for the Sup^t. wh. they were prepared to sanction.

It may therefore be better to give Mr Greene the R1500 appt, & warn him of the contemplated reduction of wh. however he is very well aware

G. S. [illegible]

H M
W E.

We are not proposing to raise the scale of pay but to reduce it -
It seems to me certain that G. of I. will raise no objection to a reduction; and if objection be raised we can easily pass the amount reduced -
A reduction always makes a man discontented -
I shd. start Mr. Greene on Rs 1200 at once - W.S.
7-2-99 -
He now draws Rs 750 I think -

I think, as I said in my Note of 4.2.99, that the Secy.

A.S.S. should be asked if there is any objection to fixing the salary at once at the lower rate.

A.G.H.
7.2.99

Secy.

It was at first thought that the H.M. & His Excellency wished the lower pay of Rs 1200-40-1400 fixed now on the understanding that it had been already decided to fix this pay for the head of the reorganised Survey staff & that the Govt of India had expressed the opinion that the rate was suitable. The Secy has pointed out that the pay to be assigned to head of the small Scientific Staff of Survey Officers that, according to the latest scheme, is to be retained quite apart from the Land Records dept, has ~~never been~~ not been so far settled & that the Bd has been asked for definite proposals on the point.

P.S.

It is now however understood that the H.M. & His Excellency wish the pay of this post (that is to be) fixed at Rs 1200-40-1400 and that, if there is no objection, it shd be given now to Mr Greene in anticipation of the reorganisation.

A draft o.m. to the Acct Genl is accordingly submitted. It is ~~[illegible]~~ necessary to make it full as all the papers have not been commund to that officer.

Ule
8/2/99

A

Issue as revised

9/2/99

For o.m. 35 d/ 9-2-99
Please see Page 21 of the notes.

Secy

Please see O.m. No 35 d 9.2.99 which stated the case to the Acct Genl. The A.G. replied that there was no account objection

A

A.G's letter No 652 d 17-2-99
Cu. No 1247

No 3.5

to reducing the pay of the Supt of Survey as proposed viz from Rs 1500-60-1800 to Rs 1200 Rs 40-1400, but that it seemed desirable that the matter should be reported to the Govt of India.

The A.G's attention was then invited to art 283, 4, (4) of the C.A. Code which forbids the reduction of the pay of any appt: (over Rs 250) without the sanction of the G. of India. Cu: No: 1479 below is the A.G's reply to this 2nd reference.

The Acct Genl appears entirely to miss the point of the whole question namely that the Govt of India approved of the pay of Rs 1200-40-1400 for the appt of Director of Survey & L.R. in connection with a scheme which has been withdrawn &

O.m.
53 d 21-2-99

Please see page 24 of the notes.

That scheme has no present existence

Please see note

which does not correspond at all with the post of Supt of the Survey both it is proposed to maintain under the new scheme formulated in G.O. 414 d 27 July 98, ~~but not yet sanctioned.~~ [illegible]

If the Govt desires to fix the pay of the Supt of the Scientific Survey Staff, that it is proposed to retain under the new scheme, at Rs 1200-40-1400 & to apply that rate now to Mr Greene as an instalment in advance of the reorganisation, the Acct Genl's endt wd seem to show that he will not object if a copy of the order is commd to the Govt of India. Early orders are requested as it is believed that the Acct Genl has sent Mr G. no pay slip.

2/3/99

4-M. If we communicate a reduction of the sanctioned pay to the Govt of

way no . . . objection

No 35

India they will want to know further particulars.

The Board of Rev. has the new scheme under consideration & until it reports & we are able to consider its ~~their~~ report we shall not be in a position to inform the Govt. of India of the precise nature of our ultimate scheme. [illegible] We cannot say how it will finally work out. If, as suggested by us, Mr Greene will be the Head of only the purely Scientific branch, the pay, we may make pretty sure, will not be fixed higher than R1200 – R1400. But it is just possible that the Supt. may be given a more extensive charge in connection with Land Records.

If therefore we are sufficiently certain that the

. . . immediately

Please see note below.

8/3/31

pay under the new scheme will not exceed R1200 – R1400, we may so inform India & state that we have in anticipation of its introduction & in view of the present reduced ~~duties~~ strength of the department, allowed only R1200 – R1400.

If we are not sufficiently certain about the resulting ~~to~~ scheme to inform India as above, we may make no change for the present. Mr. Greene quite understands, & he says the whole of his depart. understands that their present pay is subject to reduction on the introduction of the new scheme. It is very important that whatever pay is allowed it shd be substantive, formally, inasmuch as if it is sub pro tem, the men acting for sub pro tem. incumbents which will be the case all the way down the line, will get only acting pay. Govt may pro abundanti cautela add at the foot of the notification

a note that the position & pay of all the appointments notified are subject to reconsid. & reduction on the introduction of the new scheme & that they are made on that understanding

Whether ~~it is~~ the pay is fixed at R1200 – R1400, or it is to remain at R1500 until the new scheme is introduced, Mr Greene's appt. shd ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] be gazetted substantively for the reasons just given

S.R. 2/3/99

yes H.R.S.

yes H.R.S.–

Mr. C. Benson after 25 years service only draws Rs 1000 –

The ~~*~~ two 1st grade Deputy Commrs. of Rev. Settt. only draw Rs 1100 – (one of the posts is kept vacant). –

I think there is no doubt that the rate, already approved by the Govt. of India, ~~of~~ Rs 1200 – 1400, will not be exceeded, & will be eventually approved –

I entertain a strong conviction that the Govt. of India will not object to Mr. Greene's pay being fixed at Rs 1200 instead of Rs 1500; but I do not object to his drawing the extra Rs 300 for a time if H.E. thinks it justifiable

H.R.S.
2-3-99 –

H.E. the Governor

For putting in [illegible] box of
Council on Tuesday

To Undersecy
Please see note below.
[illegible]
8/3/1

S m
10, I.
11 9 11
7 S8
S9
m10

18

750

Settled in Council that Mr. Greene receive the sanctioned pay of the post: with a distinct intimation that the scale of pay in his case & in the case of all officers in the Dept. is liable to reduction on the introduction of the new organization

E. H. 7/3/9

Genl. Rev Dept

Please see o.m. to the Acct Genl below. The Draft do. to Mr G. may also go out now as altered?

7/3/99

7/3

O.m. no. 69

To Acct Genl.

With ref to O.m.s Nos 35 d 9.2.99 & 53 d 21.2.99 & the Acct Genl's replies thereto the undersigned is directed to state that the Govt has decided not to make any change for the present in the pay of the office of Supdt of Survey Madras

7/3/99

To the A.G. - Despd 7.3.99.

7/3/9

To Under Secy

Please see note below.

9.3.99

For D.O. to Mr Greene please see page 19 of the notes.

19

D.O. No. 52 d/ 7th March 1899

Despatched
7.3.99.

To Mr E. P. Greene
Dy Supt
Madras Survey

To be over pending settlement of the question of Mr Greene's pay as Supt
20/2/99

My dear Greene

~~I am directed to inform you that H.E. the G. in C. has been pleased to appoint you~~ Your apptmt to succeed Mr Cardozo as Supt of the Survey dept. has already been gazetted.

I am however to ~~inform~~ acquaint you that ~~as~~ the dept is likely to be reorganised & that the present pay of its head, viz Rs 1500-60-1800, (for the pay of Rs 1800 p.m. was personal to Mr Cardozo) is likely to be reduced. The present appointment will therefore give you no claim when the reorganization is introduced to draw ~~anything~~ more than the ~~reduced~~ pay that may be fixed for the reorganised office ~~and that you will have~~ nor any claim to any local allce on acct of the reduced scale of pay that may be introduced. ~~With~~ On the understanding ~~this object~~ Your appointment ~~has been~~ will be ~~made~~ notified as ~~substantive~~ ~~and pool~~

NA
5.2.99

If Acct Genl reports that there is no acct objection to introducing new scale of pay at once this letter shd be modified HWS 5-2-99

Note
I learn that Mr G. knows this as the A.G. allowed him ap allces on Rs 1500 only.
2/2

~~Offg.~~ instead of Sub pro-tem

S.K.
7/3/99

Ue
21/2/99

S.K.
7/2/99

---

2

Des. 10-2-99.

## O. M. No. ~~55~~ 35

To

The Accountant General.

Pay of the Supdt. of Survey, Madras

From the Govt. of India's despatch to the Secy of State No 112 dated the 6th May 1891 and the Secy of State's reply No 51 dated the 25th June 1891 communicated to the Accountant General with G.O. No. 804 dated the 31st August 1891 it will be seen that the pay of Mr Cardozo's successor was to be Rs1500-60-1800.

In Mr Gibson's letter No 636 dated the 17th September 1895, however, a scheme was submitted to the Govt. of India for the reorganization of the Madras Survey Dept. This scheme provided for a supervision officer styled Deputy Commr. of Revenue Survey and Land Records on a pay of Rs 1200-40-1400

The Govt. of India took no exception to the pay propos-

for

for this officer but remitted the scheme for further consideration on certain grounds - *vide* letter No. 332-159-3 of the 5th February 1896 in G.O. No. 355 of 24.7.1896. (copy enclosed).

After further consideration this Govt. has in contemplation a scheme on the lines explained in ~~[illegible]~~ letter to the Govt. of India No 415 of 27.7.1898. The scheme has, however, yet to be elaborated and has not yet been placed before the Govt. of India in detail.

~~[illegible] contemplates~~ ~~[illegible]~~ Mr G. P. Greene who has now been appointed Supt. of Survey *sub protem* from the date of Mr Cardozo's retirement will continue at ~~at the reorganization be placed at~~ the head of the ~~[illegible]~~ survey staff on its reorganized basis ~~[illegible]~~

to

Fort St: George
9th February 1899

~~[illegible]~~. The Govt. considers that there wd. be a certain advantage ~~[illegible]~~ in fixing Mr Greene's pay at Rs 1200-40-1400 at once with effect from the date on which he takes charge of the Office of Supt. of Survey and in anticipation of the proposed reorganization.

The Accountant General is requested to state whether there is any account objection to ~~[illegible]~~ this reduction.

(Ind) N.E.M.
8.2.99

24

# Revenue (1899) Department.

**CURRENT No.** 1247

Dp soon 20/2/99

**From**

The Accountant General
Madras

Lecy

~~**Order**~~

Letter No. 53 d/21st February 1899.

Ref. A.G.s ~~No~~ 652 d 17-2-21

**No.** 652

**Dated** 17th February 1899

**Received** 18th }

**Registered** 20th }.

**Enclosures** —

**Spare copies** —

18 FEB 99 REV. DEPT.

The A.G.s attention is invited to Art 283, 4, (4) of the CA Code & he is requested to be good enough to favour the Govt with his opinion as to whether the provisions of the article do not necessitate a reference to the Govt of India in the ~~[illegible]~~ case under consideration

19/2/99

## SUBJECT.

Stating that there is no account objection to the pay of Mr. G. L. Greene, Successor to Mr. H. O. C. Cardozo Superintendent Madras Survey, being fixed at Rupees 1200—40—1400 per mensem.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

---

Gazetted Audit Department.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
17th February 1899.

No. 652

From

T. HESKETH BIGGS ESQ.
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

SIR,

Flag A

With reference to Government Official Memorandum No.35 Revenue Department, dated the 9th of February 1899, I have the honour to state that there is no account objection to the pay of Mr.G.P.Greene who is to succeed Mr.H.O'C.Cardozo, as Superintendent Madras Survey, being fixed at Rs.1200-40-1400 per mensem pending reorganization of the Survey Department. It would however seem desirable that the matter should be reported to the Government of India.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Hesketh Biggs
Accountant-General.

~~26~~

# Revenue (1899) Department.

**CURRENT No.** 1479

**From**

The Accountant General
Madras

**Order**

No. 773

**Dated** 28th February 1899
**Received**
**Registered**
**Enclosures**
**Spare copies**

## SUBJECT.

Stating that a reference to the Govt. of India should be made regarding the proposed reduction of the pay of the Superintendent, Madras Survey.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 355 | Original | Rev | 24.7.96 |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

---

Gazetted Audit Department.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
28th February 1899.

No. 773

From

T. HESKETH BIGGS ESQ.,
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

SIR,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No.53, dated the 21st of February 1899 enquiring whether the provisions of article 283-4(4) of the Civil Account Code do not necessitate a reference to the Government of India regarding the proposed reduction of the pay of the Superintendent Madras Survey, I have the honour to invite the attention of Government to paras 3 and 4 of the Government of India letter recorded in Madras Government Order No.355 Revenue, dated 24th July 1896 from which it will be seen that the Government of India have not taken exception to the reduced pay proposed to the Superintendent Revenue Survey, and that they have generally approved of the scheme for the reorganization of the Survey Department and are prepared to recommend it for the sanction of the Secretary of State. This appears to me to meet the case, but as stated in my letter under reference it would seem desirable that the matter should be reported to the Government of India.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Accountant-General.

Hgl.

---

O/18 [illegible],000—18-10-98.

# Revenue (1899) Department.

D.O CURRENT No. 69

From

G. P. Greene Esq

Superintendent –

Madras Survey

Order

Lodged

h

4/3

[illegible]

[illegible]

No. –

Dated
Received
Registered } 8th March 1899

Enclosures –

Spare copies. –

SUBJECT.

Regarding his pay as Superintendent, Revenue Survey.

Vd 22 / 8/3

... = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

---

MADRAS REVENUE SURVEY

CENTRAL SURVEY OFFICE.
MOUNT ROAD,
MADRAS.

8th March/99.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
MAR 99
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

My dear Marjoribanks

Thanks for your D.O. in re my pay as Supt.; I note its contents.

Yours sincerely
G. A. Greene

---

to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.