

Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 524

DATED 4th February 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Ordering the publication of a notification cancelling the
initial reservation of the Sirugaminalai block, Madras Dist.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 263

Order no. 524 of 4th Feb 1899.

The Board's proposal is approved and the draft notification cancelling the initial reservation of the Singanimalai block will be published in the Fort St George and Madras Gazettes.

(fr. o). 1/11/99
3/2/99

To the B.S. R with map & encls.

Supdt: Govt Press with Cancellation notification

2.2.99

From

The Board of Revenue
Land Revenue
Madras
Forest No. 7 Reference.

Dated 7th January 1899.

Received 11

Registered 12

Enclosures 6.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Recommending the abandonment of the proposed Singanimalai block Madras District, and submitting a draft notification to that effect for approval and publication in the Fort St. George Gazette

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	191		13.5.96
	613		15.6.92
	387		26.5.97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Madras, 7th January 1897.

READ— Advertisment of H. A. Goss Esq. Ag. Conservator
of Forests, Southern Circle, dated 27th November,
No. 1555 of '90-99 on letter from C. J. W. E. G. J. G.
Collector of Madras, dated 16th November '90
R.C. No. 490
76-97.

Forest Reference No. 7 dated 7th January 1897.

In its order Rev. No. 613 dated
15th June 1892, the Government directed the
publication of the initial notification under
Section 4 of the Madras Forest Act, in respect
of the Piruganimalai block in the Madras District.
During Settlement the Forest Settlement Officer
found that the boundary line as demarcated
on the ground differed from that recorded in
the initial notification and considered it necessary
to renotify the block. In accordance with
this opinion the Collector of Madras now
submits the necessary draft renotification.
2. The retention of this block which is less than
one square mile in extent was sanctioned by
Government in its order Rev. No. 191 dated 13th
May 1896, on the strength of the Collector's recom-
mendation that it forms a solid addition.

Please see para
4 of B. P. No. 191
13.5.96 (A)

to the Kallar reserve and contains Cardamom
cultivation. But the D^y Forest officer who has
since inspected it reports that only a part of
the lower portions is suitable for Cardamom
cultivation and that the upper portions which
join the Kallar reserve are rocky. These
facts were not placed before Government
when it sanctioned the retention of the block
and the D^y Forest officer evidently now considers
that there is no pressing need for reserving it.
In these circumstances the Board recommends
the abandonment of the block and accordingly
submits the annexed draft notification
for approval and publication in the Fort St.
George Gazette.

L. W. Swamikannu

for Ag. Secretary

The Secy. to Govt. R.D. with Collector's letter & inclos
in original to be returned and a draft
notification prepared in the Board's Office.

Draft Notification

His Excellency the Governor in Council
is pleased to declare that Notification
No 278 published at pages 789-791 Part
of the Fort St. George Gazette dated 2nd
June 1892 is cancelled in so far as it
relates to the proposed reservation of the
Siruzanimalai block in the Mledura Dis-
trict.

L. W. Swamikannu

for Ag. Secretary

Current No. 263 of 99

From The Board of Revenue
Refer last No 7 Dated 7 — 1899

FOR ORDERS.

To the Under Secretary

The Board's proposal to abandon the Singanimalai block does not appear to ~~impose~~ lie expressly recommended either by the Collector or the Conservator. In recommending the block for retention in 1895, the Collector ^{*} stated that it contained Cardamom Cultivation; this fact is not distinctly stated in the Collector's letter now submitted, but mention is only made of the fact that some of the lower portions of the block are suitable for Cardamom Cultivation.

Before passing orders on the present proposals, the Board of Revenue may perhaps be asked to report whether portions of the block are actually cultivated with Cardamom and if so what the total extent of the Cultivation is and also whether the Collector or the Conservator has any

* please see remarks against the Singanimalai block in the list printed on page 3 of S.O. $\frac{191}{13.5.96}$ (A)

⊙ para 3 of the Collector's letter of 16.11.98 (enclosure to the Current) (P)

1899
REVENUE DEPT.
No. 1
263

particular objection to the abandonment
the block.

FOR ORDERS.

2.99 Lea
The Collector
sends up a revised notification
of boundaries for publication
"if the Bd. ~~thinks~~ considers
"the reservation of the
"block desirable" &
then states the result
of his D.F.O.'s inspection.

Submits that
the Bd's proposal
to drop the block
may be approved.

yes Mr
28-1-99

My
20.1.99
H. H. H.
a

Please see draft order on the locked of
the Current

2.1.99

gmc

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DETAIL

PAPERS & FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.