Jork/1416.97.

O/9 2,000—5-3-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4629

DATED 24th November 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Referring to the Board of Rev: for the necessary investigation & report letter from the Dt. Judge of Coimbatore forwarding a copy of the judgment in O.S. No. 40 of 1897 ~~+ enclosing~~ inviting attention to the conduct of certain officers who gave evidence in the said suit.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1056 677 Jo	175	Rev	25-1-98				

O. 18 2. 1-30-3-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6942.

Endorsement No 4629 of 24th Nov 1897
Order Dt 24/11/98

From

The District Judge
Coimbatore.

Referred to the

Board of Revenue ~~for~~ with the dist. Jud's letter (the matters referred to ~~by him~~ are investigated ~~& reported~~) and the request that ~~a request~~ the result reported.

~~on the subject may be sub~~ ~~mitted~~ to the Govt. ~~at an early~~ ~~date~~

We 23.11.98

Ad under Secy to Govt

Fort St. George
Dated: 28 3

To

The Board of Rev: Land Rev:

Copy to Dist Judge Coimbatore

Draw 21.XI.98

No. 784

Dated 8 Oct.

Received 9 "

Registered 10 "

Enclosures 1.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding a copy of the Judgment in O. Suit No 40 of 1897 on his file for information.

Dpn 24.11.98

BACK PAPERS.

G O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	30-2-1. 17-1-98 Rev. Routine 20-1-98 CNo 412/98. = 1/2 Routine 21-1-98		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

D 2 1,000—7-9-93.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 6942.

From the District Judge, Coimbatore no 784

Dated 8th October 1898.

FOR ORDERS.

To Undersecy

Mr G. T. Mackenzie, the District Judge of Coimbatore, forwards for such action as may be deemed necessary a copy of his judgment in O.S. no 40 of 1897, in paras 6 to 9 of which are dealt with certain land transactions on the Nilgiris, of questionable propriety, by public servants.

2. The public servants concerned are [redacted]

(1) Narayana Iyer — Karnam, the Nilgiris, from 1862 to 1871 — Revenue Inspector, the Nilgiris from 1871 to 1892 - Govt pensioner since 1892

(2) his brother, Venkatagiriyar — also Karnam, Revenue Inspector &c, the Nilgiris — Since deceased

deceased

(3) Ramaswami Ayyar, late Sarishtadar, Collectors Office, Cotacamund - since deceased

(4) M. Subbayyar, Subjudge, Trichinopoly

(5) K. V. Lakshmana Rao, Dep^y Collector, Malabar

(6) Venkata Ramanayya, once Head Gumastah, Commissioners Office, Cotacamund - present whereabouts or occupation not known.

3. In the judgment the terms of the several transactions are rather vaguely referred to and it has not been found possible (for the office) to clearly follow the facts. They appear to be briefly as follows:-

Between 1865 and 1876 Narayana Iyer purchased jungle lands from Badagas and to avoid payment of full assessment allowed them to stand in the name of two Badagas In 1876 Ramaswami Ayyar Subbayyar, Lakshmana Rao and another came forward as partners

FOR ORDERS.

partners, advanced him money and had a portion of the lands opened up as the Kolipatti Coffee Estate. They also objected to these lands standing in the names of the Badagas and had them transferred to those of Narayana Ayyar and Venkatagiri Iyer. "In subsequent years" Narayana Ayyar "bought out his partners"

So far as Venkata Ramana Iyer is concerned, the fact is that he joined the brothers in 1873 or 1874 and opened up the Bondamori Coffee estate and that he subsequently (in 1881?) bought out the brothers.

4. On the transactions above mentioned it does not, it is submitted, appear that any of the officers committed a violation of the rules relating to landholding by Govt. Servants, unless it be (the deceased) Ramaswami Ayyar. It does not appear to have been the practice for Dep^y Collectors to submit landed property

properly statements to your prior to 1892. In the statement submitted in the (for 1891) latter year Lakshmana Rao reported that he had a quarter share in certain Coffee lands in Todamaad, the title deeds, purchased from one Venkatagiri Iyer, and that Ettis Mani, Ramagana Iyer and himself were partners. In the '92 - statement he reported that he proposed selling his interest in the Coffee lands. Details of these lands are given in the statements but as similar details are not given in the judgment and as the Collr refers to Lakshmana Rao interest as an eighth, it is not clear whether the lands in question and the Kolipatti Coffee estate are the same. In the 1893 - statement Lakshmana Rao reported that he had sold his interest at a sacrifice to his "partner Narainna "Iyer, a Pensioned Revenue Inspector "for Rs 8000".

5° In the absence of detailed information, it does not seem that any action can be

A

B

C

be taken against the officers orders are therefore solicited as to whether the matter may be referred to the Board of Rev for report.

11.XI.98

Secy

The matter may be investigated. Possibly there may have been irregularities tho' this does not clearly appear from the facts cited in the proceedings judgment

15/XI/98

Rev Dept

Rev Dept no 1 Coffee Cultn

1 Encl.

Rev: Dept

To under Secy

a draft Endt to the Board is submitted on the docket.

22.XI.98

23/XI/98

CHIEF SECRETARIAT 17 NOV. 1898 MADRAS

Recd 22/11 in RD

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____ } 189
When signed ____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

C

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DF								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.