

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4618

DATED 24th November 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the proposed statement for the establishment of the Salams & Hous circles and returning another statement to the Bd. of Revenue for revision.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
C. no. 8306	293-1-95						
C. no. 8577	579 5-99						
C. no. 1078							


Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 7285

Read B.P. (S.R.) No. 175 d/19.6.98
(C. no. 6157)
O. M. No. 216 d/19.9.98.
Letter from A.G. No. 4570 d/7.10.98 (A)
(C. no. 6977)
B.P. (S.R.) No. 419 d/22.10.98
(C. no. 7285)

From

The Secretary to the Commissioner



Order mis 468 d/24 Nov 1898

The proper ^{position} statement
for the ^{establishments of the} Salem and Hoar circles
is sanctioned. The other statement
is returned to the Board of
Revenue for revision with
reference to the correspondence
with the A.G. read above.

Dated 22nd October 1898.

Received } 25/10.

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting Government to defer taking ac-

The Board of Revenue with
... M. Dept. (D.O.) ...
tion on the proposition statement surren-
dering an Inspector in consequence of the

amalgamation of the three circles in the
Salem District into two.


23/11/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue),

Dated 23rd October

1893.

Mis. No. 4193

Resolution:-

The Board requests that pending receipt of certain proposals which it is submitting, Government may be pleased to defer taking action on the proposition statement submitted with its Proceedings No.175, dated 19th June 1892 surrendering an Inspector in the arrangements consequent on the amalgamation of the three circles in the Selam District into two.

(True Extract)

Edmund Mullett
For Ag.
Assistant Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department.

*Ans
22/10/98*

Rev. Dep.

O. M. N. 216

With reference to the enclosed Prop. of the Board of Revenue, Sep. Rev., the Accountant General is requested to be good enough to state whether there is any account objection to keeping in abeyance for a year the appointment of Inspector, which the Board proposes to abolish, and to giving effect to the remaining portions of the proposition statements. ^{As} the arrangement sanctioned in G. O. No. 2465 of 7.6.98 ^{was} tentative, the appointment may possibly be resumed later on, & it is therefore desirable

^{K.R.} to avoid the formal abolition of the app't until ^{the procedure} has been ^{tried} ~~finished~~

Codacammund
19th Sept 1898

To
The Accountant General
(with c. no. 6157 of 98 to be returned)

W. H. D.
19th Sept 1898

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6944.

From The Accountant General
Madras

Order

No. 4570

Dated 7 October 1898

Received 10

Registered 10

Enclosures 5

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Returning the papers received with
Affl. Memo. No. 216 of 19 Sept. 1898
and stating that there is no objection
to keeping the appointment of one
Inspector in abeyance for a year.

1A
7/10/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Treasury Account

DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Accountant-General's Office,

7th October 1898

No. 4570

From

J. Hesketh Beggs Esq.,

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

*THE Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.*

SIR,

*I have the honor to return the papers re-
ceived with Official Memorandum No. 216 dated 19
September 1898 and to state that there is no
account objection to the proposal to keep in
abeyance for a year, the appointment of one
Inspector, and having regard to the orders of
the Government of India No. 419636, dated 31st
July 1888 confirming Madras Government Order No. 10562,
dated 25 October 1887, article 293 of the Civil
Account Code will not come into operation.*

*In the Proposition Statement one Inspector
on Rs 300 should be replaced under the column
"Proposed"*

proposed scale," and one Assistant Inspector on
either Rs. 175 or Rs. 125 omitted under the same
column.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Wm. H. B.
Accountant General.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6157

From

The Board of Revenue
(Sep. Rev.)

Order

No. 145.

Dated 19 June

Received 3 Sept.

Registered 5 "

Enclosures 4

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Comfy to the Dy Commr, Central
Dist, with instructions, G. O. ap-
proving of the Board's proposals to
discontinue the Salem dist. into two
circles each with an Inspector and
an Asst. Inspector and to fix Baram
as the head-quarters of the Baram
circle & Suby to Govt for sanction
two proposition statements in
connection therewith.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Prin	488	Rev.	25.7.95.
Prin	571	Rev.	22.8.95.
mi.	2465	Rev.	7.6.96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Through the Accountant General

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1898.

Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue),

MADRAS.

Printed July th.

Proceedings, No. 175, 19th June 1898.

See 2 in duplicate

JUNE.

Communicating to the Deputy Commissioner, Central Division, with instructions, Government Order approving of the Board's proposals to distribute the Salem district into two Circles, each with an Inspector and an Assistant Inspector, and to fix Hosur as the head-quarters of the Hosur Circle, and submitting to Government for sanction two proposition statements in connection therewith.

19 AUG. 1898

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(SEPARATE REVENUE).

Read—the following G.O., Mis. No. 2465, Revenue, dated 7th June 1898 :—

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), Ref. No. 155, dated 4th May 1898 :—

Resolution—Ref. No. 155, dated 4th May 1898.

The Board begs to submit the following proposals for the re-distribution of the Circles in the Salem district.

2. Prior to October 1895 when the tree-tax system was in force in seven out of the nine taluks of the district, there were two Circles called the Salem and Hosūr Circles; latter the Uttangarai, Tirupattūr, Dharmapuri, Hosūr and Krishnagiri taluks. Each of these Circles was under the charge of an Inspector, and the former (Salem), in all the taluks of which the tree-tax system was in force, was also allowed an Assistant Inspector. On the introduction of the tree-tax system in October 1895 into the remaining two taluks of the district, the Board considered it to be too large to be efficiently supervised by two Inspectors, and accordingly divided it into three Circles as shown below, each under the charge of an Inspector, and recommended the abolition of the Assistant Inspector :—

Námakkal Circle.

Salem Circle.

Hosūr (Krishnagiri) Circle.

Námakkal.
Tiruchengódu.
Atur.

Salem.
Uttangarai.
Tirupattūr.

Dharmapuri.
Hosur.
Krishnagiri.

3. In its Order, No. 488, Revenue, dated 25th July 1895, Government agreed with the Board in considering the creation of the third Circle necessary but remarked that the distribution proposed by the Board was not quite satisfactory as Atur was hundred miles in length with bad road communications between Salem and Uttangarai. It further observed that Hosūr could not be the proper head-quarters of the Circle of that name as it was very much out of the way. The head-quarters of the Circle of Circle were accordingly transferred to Krishnagiri. In regard to the other suggestions, however, the Board reported that the only alternative arrangement was to leave the Salem Circle as it was before October 1895 and to split up the old Hosūr Circle into two, one comprising the Hosūr, Dharmapuri and Krishnagiri taluks and the other the Tirupattūr and Uttangarai taluks, and that under this alternative arrangement dated 22nd August 1895, finally approved of the original distribution made by the Board.

4. In the light of the experience gained during the past two years, the Deputy Commissioner considers that a re-arrangement of the limits of the Circles is necessary and reports—

(i) that the inaccessibility of Atur from Tiruchengódu and Námakkal is, as pointed out by Government in paragraph 1 (4) of G.O., No. 488, Revenue, dated 25th July 1895, the main objection to a continuance of the present arrangement ;

(ii) that it is necessary in consequence to attach Atur to Salem which is the only taluk from which it is accessible ;

(iii) that to remedy this defect and to avoid also any large expenditure in the construction of quarters at Námakkal and Krishnagiri, in neither of which there is any suitable residence for the Inspectors of those Circles, it is necessary to re-distribute the Salem district ; and

(iv) that the best arrangement in his opinion is to revert to the old division of the district into two Circles, but to give each Inspector an Assistant. The Collector, the Deputy Commissioner further reports, is in favour of this division of the district into two Circles. Under this arrangement there will be four officers, instead of three as at present, to supervise the working of preventive parties and to dispose of cases and only two Circle Offices which will mean a reduction of correspondence. The Board agrees with the Deputy Commissioner and the Collector and accordingly submits the proposal for the sanction of Government.

5. It also requests that Government will be pleased to reconsider its order in paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 571, Revenue, dated 22nd August 1895, and permit of Hosūr being constituted the head-quarters of the proposed Hosūr Circle. It is certainly not central but on the other hand it is not so much out of the way as to render it unfit for the Inspector's head-quarters. It is more easily accessible from the railway than Krishnagiri and nearer the largest of the date topos. Moreover, it is a healthier place ; it is the head-quarters of the Divisional Officer and Magistrate and quarters are reported to be available there. These advantages, in the Board's view, quite outweigh the disadvantages of its situation, which will be further lessened by the appointment of an Assistant Inspector.

6. Should, however, Government consider that the district cannot be worked by less than three Inspectors the Board submits for the orders of Government, as an alternative arrangement, the following division of the district :—

Krishnagiri Circle.
Krishnagiri.
Hosūr.
Tirupattūr.

Salem Circle.
Dharmapuri.
Uttangarai.
Salem.
Atur.

Námakkal Circle.
Námakkal.
Tiruchengódu.

This arrangement, like that referred to in paragraph 4 above, will meet the objection of Government in regard to the inaccessibility of Atur from both Námakkal and Tiruchengódu ; but the Board fears that under it the work of the proposed Salem Circle would be too much for one Inspector and would necessitate the appointment of an Assistant Inspector to assist him, while the Námakkal Circle would be light. It therefore requests that, as between the two proposals stated above, the Deputy Commissioner's plan of working the district with two Inspectors, each with an Assistant empowered to dispose of cases, may be approved and sanctioned.

7. Proposition statements embodying the necessary changes in the establishment will be submitted for sanction on receipt of the orders of Government on these proceedings.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. TODHUNTER,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Order—Mis. No. 2465, Revenue, dated 7th June 1898.

The Board's proposals to re-distribute the Salem district into two Circles, to fix the head-quarters of the Hosūr Circle at Hosūr, and to give each of the Circle Officers the help of an Assistant Inspector are approved.

4 BOARD OF REVENUE (SEPARATE REVENUE), No. 175, 19TH JUNE 1898.

2. The Board of Revenue will be requested to submit the necessary proposition statements for the sanction of Government.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. EDGINGTON,
for Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue).

RESOLUTION—No. 175, dated 19th June 1898.

Communicated to the Deputy Commissioner, Central Division.

2. The re-distribution will have effect from 1st October 1898. The Deputy Commissioner must utilize the interval to build or acquire suitable quarters for the Inspector at Hosūr.

3. The necessary proposition statements will be submitted to Government.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. TODHUNTER,
Acting Secretary.

(True Copy.)

C. G. Todhunter
Ag. Asst. Secretary.

To the Deputy Commissioner, Central Division.

Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with two proposition statements, in duplicate,
through the Accountant-General, Madras.

Encl. P. Seetharam.

1898

REVENUE DEPT.

No. 175

From the Board of Revenue
(S.R.), No. 175 of 19.6.98 (C. no. 6157)

To Secretary

In G.O. No. 2465
of 7.6.98 the Govt. approved the
Board's proposals to redistribute
the three circles in the Salem
district into two and to give
the Inspector of each circle the
help of an Assistant Inspector.
To carry out these proposals
two proposition statements are
now submitted for approval.

2. In one of them
it is proposed to abolish one
Inspector on Rs 300, and to
entertain two Assistant Inspectors
on Rs 175 and 125 respectively.
This is in accordance with the
sanctioned arrangement^{and} does
not involve increased expendi-
ture. The sanction of the Govt.
of India must be sought to
the abolition of the appointment
on Rs 300. But as the redistri-
bution of the circles was
sanctioned as a trial (The

C.S.R. Art. 93 (ii)

H. M.

4 BOARD OF REVENUE (SEPARATE REVENUE), No. 175, 19TH JUNE 1898.

2. The Board of Revenue will be requested to submit the necessary proposition statements for the sanction of Government.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. EDGINGTON,
for Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue).

RESOLUTION—No. 175, dated 19th June 1898.

Communicated to the Deputy Commissioner, Central Division.

2. The re-distribution will have effect from 1st October 1898. The Deputy Commissioner must utilize the interval to build or acquire suitable quarters for the Inspector at Hosūr.

3. The necessary proposition statements will be submitted to Government.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. TODHUNTER,
Acting Secretary.

(True Copy.)

C. G. Todhunter
Ag. Asst. Secretary.

To the Deputy Commissioner, Central Division.

Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with two proposition statements, in duplicate,
through the Accountant-General, Madras.

Exd. P. Seetharam.

1898

REVENUE DEPT.

No. 175

From the Board of Revenue
(S.R.), No. 175 of 19.6.98 (C. no. 6157)

To Secretary

In G.O. No. 2465
of 7.6.98 the Govt. approved the
Board's proposals to redistribute
the three circles in the Salem
district into two and to give
the Inspector of each circle the
help of an Assistant Inspector.
To carry out these proposals
two proposition statements are
now submitted for approval.

2. In one of them
it is proposed to abolish one
Inspector on Rs 300, and to
entertain two Assistant Inspectors
on Rs 175 and 125 respectively.
This is in accordance with the
sanctioned arrangement^{and} does
not involve increased expendi-
ture. The sanction of the Govt.
of India must be sought to
the abolition of the appointment
on Rs 300. But as the redistri-
bution of the circles was
sanctioned as a trial (The

C.S.R. Art. 93 (ii)

H. M.

H.M. observed "Try the first plan"), it is possible that it may have to be revised later on and in that event the Rs. 300 appointment may have to be revived, which will require the sanction of the Secretary of State. To avoid both these references may the Board be asked to keep the appointment in abeyance for a year until it is seen how the rearrangement of the circle works, the remaining portions of the proposition statement being sanctioned?

3. In the second proposition statement it is proposed to form an establishment for each of the two circles out of the existing establishments, the net result being a saving of Rs 6 per mensem as shown below:-

Office note, page 2
under G.O. 2465
7.6.98

C.S.R. Art. 92.

Circles	Clerks				Jemadars		Duffadars		Peons		
	Rs 30	Rs 25	Rs 20	Rs 15	Rs 15	Rs 12	Rs 10	Rs 9	Rs 8	Rs 7	Rs 6
Existing	Salom										
	1	1	1	"	1	1	1	1	11	44	19
	Kushnagiri										
Existing	1	1	1	"	"	1	1	1	12	48	18
	Namakal										
	1	"	1	"	1	1	2	3	11	43	23
Total											
3											60
Proposed	Salom										
	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	4	17	65	35
Proposed	Hosur										
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	18	70	26
Total											
2											61
Difference											
-1											+1
Additional establishment											
2 clerks on Rs 15 each											30
1 peon on Rs 8											8
1 peon on Rs 6											8
Total											44
Establishments not required											
1 clerk on Rs 30											30
1 clerk on Rs 20											20
Total											50

Net saving Rs 6 a month.
This proposition may be approved

KD
7/9/98

ask the A.F. if there is any objection to the statement at A.

8/29/98

To Secretary

Please see a draft reference to the S.G. submitted on the sheet below

P.R.K.
13.9.98

One

8/15/8

From the Accountant-General, No. 4570 of 7.10.98

(C. no. 6974)

From the Board of Rev. (S.D.) No. 4193 dated 22-10-98
(C. no. 7285)

To Secy.

The Accountant-General, replying to D. No. 216 dated 19-9-98, states that there is "no account objection to keeping in abeyance for a year the appointment of 'Inspector' and to giving effect to the remaining portions of the proposition statement."

He suggests that in the event of the adoption of this course the proposition statement relating to the superior officers of the department may be revised by the restoration of the Inspector and the omission of either of the two Assistant Inspectors proposed.

B.P. on this put up with another file.

2. In the second current the Board requested that action on this proposition statement might be deferred pending the submission of certain proposals. It evidently referred to its suggestions for the amalgamation of the Customs with the Salt and Akbari Establishments; but these have been referred back to the Board for revision.

3. It is submitted that the proposition statement relating to the clerical establishment may be sanctioned and that the other may be returned to the Board for revision with reference to the correspondence with the S.G. or in ~~some~~ ^{other} such way as it may deem fit.

4. Please see draft below.

KD
23/11/98

8/15/8

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189 ,

DATED

PERMIT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

PERMIT WITH DISPO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.