

*H-*  
Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. *4448*

DATED *14<sup>th</sup> November* 98.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Referring to the Board of Rev. for remarks  
in abstract from the Memorial of the People of Kumbha  
Koman forwarded to the Governor by Diwan  
Bahadur N. Raghunatha Row & (re. the checking  
of village accounts).

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No.   | Department. | Date.  | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-------|-------------|--------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
| So.  | 17067 |             | 6-5-99 |      |     |             |       |

Extract from the  
Memorial of the people of  
Kuribakanam forwarded  
to H.E. the Governor by  
MRRY. R. Raghunatha Rao,  
Dewan Bahadur, with his  
letter of 5<sup>th</sup> Sept. 1898 to the  
Private Secretary to H.E. the

"As regards the Curman  
or village accountant, the  
old remedy is the only re-  
medy; that is, that the account  
he submits and the state  
ments he makes must be  
attested and verified by at  
least a few of the <sup>chief</sup> ryots of  
the place and the village  
Munsif, who should be  
all literate. When these refuse  
to verify attest or verify them  
the Taluk Sheristadar should  
enquire and ascertain  
which of the two versions  
is true, and that version  
should be adopted, and the  
defaulter if he be the Curman  
should be punished, and  
if he be the ryot, he should  
be deprived of the privilege  
of

any Kamma worth his  
salt in Tanjore or  
any get a dozen  
depositions to the fact  
at once written.

If the Taluk Sheristadar did this  
he is doing nothing else.

He was probably helped  
to the end of his arrangements of  
being called upon to do this  
between Kamma & ryots.

of attesting an account.  
 "During the short stay in  
 "Hon. G. Stokes made in  
 "this district, he tried to re-  
 "introduce this system, but  
 "the district was unfortunate  
 "to lose him through an promotion."

Stokes  
 Only first agreed to encroachment  
 the second signature was to  
 taken as if he signed the signature  
 of 2 or more ppl. There was never  
 any difficulty in getting told.

Hopefully impracticable  
 as a test of any  
 value.

Submitted that in question  
 may be referred to the Board  
 of Rev. for remarks.

Please see Encl. put up.

Encl.  
 10/11/98

See me  
 11-11/98  
 [Signature]

Read -

Encl. No 4448 7/14<sup>th</sup> Nov 1898  
 Referred to the Board  
 of Revenue for remarks.

W. H. S.  
 8/14/11/98

Encl.  
 10/11/98

To

The Board of Rev. & R.  
 17-11-98

W. H. S.  
 10/11/98

When given for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
When copied \_\_\_\_\_  
When examined \_\_\_\_\_  
When signed \_\_\_\_\_

189 .

READ AGAIN T.O., No.  
189

DATED

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DETAIL

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL.

A A A A A A A

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.  
B = Not to be copied.  
F = Copy abstract only.  
= Copy heading only.