

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4378

DATED 8th November 98.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Approving with remarks Messrs
Gordon Woodroffe and Co as
~~the~~ applicants for prospecting
licences for manganese in the
Kazuputani district

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6226.

Read again No. 2568 of 17-1-98
 letter from the collector of Vizagapatam
 No. 2526 of 27-8-98 (C. No. 1226) From

Order No. 4378 of 8th November 1898


Messrs Gordon Woodroffe

They are approved as applicants
 for prospecting licenses
 for manganese in the Dated 27 August 1898
 in the village of Gadagam
 in the district of Vizagapatam
 for one spare copy
 with reference to his

Received 7 September 98
 Registered 8 "
 Enclosures 2

SUBJECT,

Remarks in para 2
 the collector is
 requested to forward the application
 of Messrs Gordon Woodroffe
 & Co Madras for quarrying
 and mining Manganese
 and other ores in
 two pieces of land in the village
 of Gadagam, Vizagapatam
 district.



For in S.O. No. 664 of 8th November 1898
 the collector of Vizagapatam
 Messrs Gordon Woodroffe & Co (para 1 m8)

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Mis	7568	Rev	17-8-72

FURTHER PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Rough sketch shewing Minase lands con-
taining Mangalore deposits at Kadassan
Village. Vizagapatnam District.

Plot No. 1. approximate area 8 acres
Owners. Balivada Surinayanarayana &
Balivada Kummiah.

Tank Bund of
Dasupeta tank.

West Minase
Inam of Balivada
Surinayanarayana




Minasi tank bund of
Balivada Surinayanarayana


Foot path leading to Dasupeta village

Plot No. 2. approximate area 3 acres
Owners. Manapanah Surinayanarayana
—.—.— Atchannah
—.—.— Audinarayadu son of Ramasawmi
—.—.— Appalasawmy

Jiriyati Manapa
top of Reteli
Kurinayudu



Day akaram Inam of
Reteli appalanayudu



Madras 1st June 1898

Application from
Messrs Gordon Woodroffe & Co
Madras.

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Madras
Revenue Department
Fort St. George.

Sir,

We hereby request you to be good enough to favour us with a certificate of approval as per rule 27 Clause 3 of the Revised Rules for Quarrying and Mining authorising us to prospect Mangalore Pennide and other iron ores in about 11 acres of land situated in the Tiruapattam District. Plot No. 1 belongs to Balivada Suvyanarayana and Balivada Kurniah and Plot No. 2 to Manapana Suvyanarayana, Atchennah, Rudirayadu son of Ramasawari & Appalasawari and whose occupancy rights have been purchased by us on a registered lease.

We have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servants

per pro GORDON, WOODROFFE & CO.

[Signature]

1898.
REVENUE DEPT.
Encl. No. 2
Cur. No. 6226.

~~Att. C.~~ No. 2576
Dis.

VIZAGAPATAM COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Dated 27 August 1898

From

W. O. HORNE Esq^{re}.

Ag. Collector,

Vizagapatam District.

To

G. S. Forbes Esquire

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

MADRAS.

SIR,

Referring to the endorsement no 2568 dated 17th June 1898 on the application herewith returned of Messrs Gadam Woodroffe and Co, Madras for a Certificate of approval under rule 27 of clause 3 of the revised rules for Quarrying and Mining to enable them to apply for a license to prospect for Manganese Peroxide and other iron ores in two pieces of land situated in the Village of Gadasam in the Gajapatinagar taluk of this District, I have the honor to report that, with the exception of a strip of land to the north of Plot I (This strip is wasteland belonging to the Visianagarum Samasthanam), the two plots of land applied for by the Company are unenfranchised Service inams falling under para. 3 of the Board's Standing Order No. 15. The measurements given by the firm are not correct. Plot no I

Note.

The collector's attention has recently been invited to the order directing that the word should not be used in official correspondence.

measures 5 acres 22 cents and Plot no II,
3 acres 73 cents.

2. There is no objection to the grant of
the Certificate applied for, but the Managers
of the Visianagarum Mining Company
Limited applied to me for a prospecting
license over land which is almost
identical with that now referred to,
and as I rejected his application
for want of the necessary Certificate
of approval from Government, he
since applied for one which I
recommended in my letter. Rec. no.
735 dated 20th May 1898. He has not
yet renewed his application accompanied
by the Certificate. Both the Visianagarum
Mining Company Limited and Messrs
Gordon Woodroffe & Co therefore stand
in the same position as regards this
land, each having now applied
for a Certificate of approval to
enable them to apply for a prospecting
license, and I have stated that
there is no objection to either. The
Visianagarum Company's application
is the prior one.

In the event of Government granting
a Certificate of approval to both
applicants and both applicants
applying to me for a prospecting license
I should be glad of instructions as
to how I am to differentiate their

Claims.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant
Phonishu
For Collector.

RM

Revenue Department

Read again S.O. no 2568 of 17.6.98.

Letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam no 2576 of 27.8.98.

(C. No. 6226)

To the Under Secy:-

Messrs Gordon Woodroffe and Co, Madras, applied in June last for a certificate of approval to enable them to apply for a prospecting license for manganese and other iron ores in two pieces of land in the village of Gadagam, Gajapatinagarum taluk, Vizagapatam district. In S.O. no. 2568 of 17.6.98 the Collector was asked to report whether in the lands referred to the Govt. had full rights to mine and minerals and whether the grant of a certificate of approval was open to objection of any kind.

2. The Collector now states that, with the exception of a small strip of land belonging to the Vizianagarum estate the two plots are unencumbered.

services

service in which the
Govt had full rights to ~~minerals~~
minerals and minerals and that
there is no objection to the
part of the certificate applied
for.

3. The Collector, however, points
out that the Manager, Vijayanagar
Mining Company, has applied
for a certificate of approval in order to enable him to get a license
to prospect over the same lands
and that his application is
the prior one. [The papers on
the subject are now with
the Secy.] The Collector now
requests instructions as to
how to differentiate their claims
in the event of Govt granting
a certificate of approval both
to Messrs. Gordon Woodroff and
Co. and to the Manager, Vijayanagar
Mining Company, and to the
applying to him for a ~~certificate~~ prospecting
of approval license.

4. For order as to whether
the current should lie in
pending the disposal of the
question of granting a certificate
of

of approval to the Manager
Vijayanagar Mining Company.
XB
19-1-58

Secy

The latter question is for
order in the file above.

There seems to be
no objection to the part
of the certificate of approval
in the case of Messrs. Gordon
Woodroff & Co. If a
certificate of approval is
granted to the Vijayanagar
Mining Co. Also, if
both parties themselves
apply to the Collector for
prospecting licenses over
the same area, the
Collector shd, it is,

submitter give the
preference to the prior
applicant, i.e. the Vijayanagar
Mining Co. or perhaps.
Seeing that the Co. has
got a license which enjoys
a monopoly of the industry,
Govt may consider that
preference shd be given to
Messrs. Gordon & Co.

H. M. Jayashankar 12-3-58
as the Mining Co's application
is the earlier, it shd have
the preference. It was only
held over because of
Widdow's thought that he
useful to do so. Now, as
matters are at clear issue,
he sees no objection to the Mining
Co's application being complied with.

26 To Under Secy
28 Please see Dept 2-0.
29 submitted on the back of the
30 current
31 V.R.
32 29.10.58

Levy
7.11.58
m
8/10/58

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
189

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETAIL.

IF PERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.