

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4292

DATED 3rd Nov 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Informing Saïyid Khader Muhi-ud-din Fakir
Triplicane that his request for the grant of an
allowance for the maintenance of his family
cannot be complied with.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Ref. 20	1658	1900					
		Revenue					

0
18 2,030-30-3-08.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 6493.

From :
Lajpat Khadur Mohend-
deen Fakre
grandson of the Jagherdar
of Judwal
No. North West Dist.
Triplicane
Madras.

The petitioner is informed
that his request cannot be complied
with.

Dated 24 Sept.

Received 30 -

Registered 3 Oct.

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Praying for some allow-
ances for the maintenance of
his family & himself.

From orders:

20

The petitioner is - 5.11.98

1. 11.98

old record
5.11.98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
<p><i>Under Record papers of.</i> <i>11/10/98</i></p>			

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PETITION


0542

REVENUE DEPT.

To

His Excellency The Right Honorable
the Governor in Council of Port Sr.
George.

The humble memorial of Saiyed Khadur Mo-
heuddeen Fakri grandson of Saiyed
Abdul Khadur Sahib Fakri Jagheerदार
of Judwal in the District of North
Arcot.



Most Respectfully Sheweth,

That your Excellency's memorialist's
great grand father Saiyed Khadur Moheuddeen Sahib Fakri was
in receipt of an annual stipend of Rs.2150 in lieu of the Ja-
gheer of Judwal granted by Nabob Wallajah in 1780 and resumed
by British Government in 1851.

That on the decease of the said Saiyed
Khadur Moheuddeen Sahib Fakri in 1870 a moiety of the above
allowance viz. Rs.1074 per annum was continued to your memo-
rialist's grand father Saiyed Abdul Khadur Sahib Fakri for
his life (Vide G.O.No.1396, dated 7-9-70)

That the whole family of your memo-
rialist's father both during his life-time and after his ---
death was entirely dependent for maintenance on your memo-
rialist's grand father till his death in 1883.

That in consideration of this depend-
ence and of the otherwise destitute nature of his proteges
your memorialist's grand father had in November 1882 present-
ed a petition to the Collector of Chingleput praying for the
generous continuance of his pension after his death to your
memorialist who was then quite a minor (Vide Ex-A)

That in reply to a petition ^{from} for the
guardians of Memorialist after the death of your memorialist's
grand father for the continuance to memorialist of his grand
father's pension the said Collector declined to interfere in
consequence of the G.O. quoted ⁱⁿ on page 2 ^{summar}

That your unfortunate memorialist not having, through ^{sheer} destitution, been educated in such a manner as to be capable of earning a livelihood has now to witness the appalling spectacle of the starvation not only of himself but also of his dependents consisting an aged & widowed mother, a wife and two young children.

The your memorialist ventures to submit that the orders of Government reducing the allowance and restricting it to the life of memorialist's grand-father were passed as a penalty for memorialist's great-grand-father Saiyed Khadur Moheuddeen Sahib Fakri on account of his having set up in support of his claim (that the Jagheer had been granted partly for service, in a mosque) certain letters of Nabob Wallajah &c. which in the opinion of Government appeared to be fabrications (Vide G.O.No.963, dated 20th July 1859) although they were pronounced to be genuine by the Dewan of the Carnatic and were accepted as such by the Government Agent and the Board of Revenue, and that but for this penalty no difficulty would have arisen in the continuance of the bounty of Government, for the principle of continuing to heirs and descendants even private pensions unconnected with religious service &c. has been in later years distinctly recognised and affirmed by Government (Vide O.M. Government of India, dated 31st August 1871, No. 1801 G read in G.O.No.1720, dated 2nd October 1871).

That it is not the object of your memorialist to contravert the grounds on which the Madras Government of 1859 arrived at the conclusion contained in G.O.No.963, dated 20th July 1859 quoted above, but most respectfully submits that it is extremely hard that destitute descendants of an old well-to-do & respectable family should suffer to the extent of total starvation as a penalty for a fault of their ancestors.

That your memorialist's lamentable circumstances are truly unbearable and helpless, he has not the education needed for any employment, he has not the means of trading, he knows not art, in short, memorialist has no resource whereby to avert the daily misery of himself and his unfortunate dependents detailed above, and, therefore, most earnestly prays that your Excellency will, on the analogy of various cases such as those dealt with in G.O. No. 2 dated 2nd January 1883 (Rev.) and G.O.No.1086 (Rev.) dated 7th September 1883, deign to accord gracious indulgence to a destitute scion of an old family long in possession of a Jagheer and as an

act of grace and compassion so characteristic of the British nation and administration, grant some allowance wherewith Memorialist can maintain himself and his starving mother and family.

For which act of kindness and charity Your Excellency's Memorialist shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

Triplicane

27th September 1898

سید محمد خادور

(Syed Khadur Moheuddeen Fakri)

No. 20/21 Tajnd. deen Khan St.
High Road. Triplicane

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

C. No. 6793 of 1898

Petition from Saiyid Qadir Muhiuddin Fakre.

Dated 27th September 1898.

FOR ORDERS.

The petitioner states that his great grandfather Saiyid Qadir Muhiuddin Sahib Fakre was in receipt of an allowance of Rs 2158 (Rs 2148 is the correct figure vide page 2 of p. 963 of 207-57) in lieu of the Jagir of Jindwal in the N. West district granted by Nabob Wallajah in 1780 & resumed by the British Government in 1857; that on the death of his great grandfather in 1870 a moiety of the allowance was continued to his grandfather Saiyid Abdul Qadir Sahib for his life & that on the death of his grandfather in 1883 the allowance lapsed to Government. He represents that his family is in lamentable & helpless condition and prays that a portion of the allowance which lapsed to Govt in 1883 may be granted to him for the maintenance of himself & his family -

2 The facts of the case are briefly these.
In 1815 the Collector of North Arcot
prepared a register of nam villages
in the district & their tenures.
The petitioner's great grandfather
who held the village of Jundival
as nam then produced a sanad
in which it was clearly stated
that the nam was granted for
maintenance. The village was
accordingly entered in the nam register
as nam for life. But in 1856
he set up a claim alleging that
the village was erroneously entered
as nam for life whereas it had
in truth been granted for the service
of a mosque in St. Thome. After a
careful examination of the claim,
it was rejected as being false
& fraudulent. Considering his
very advanced age & the long period
the nam had been in the possession
of the family, the Govt. was not
disposed to terminate even his life
interest in the nam but resolved
to continue to his eldest son for his life
half the allowance. Accordingly
on the death of Saiyid Qadir Sahib
in 1870 half the allowance
enjoyed by him was continued to

Para 3 of p. No 963 of 20-7-57.
FOR ORDERS.

1-11-57

Para 9 of p. No 963 of 20-7-57

G.O. No 1396 of 7-9-70

Saiyid Abdul Qadir Sahib and
he was distinctly informed that
the allowance would lapse to Govt.
on his decease & would not be
continued to his heirs. Sometime
after the death of Saiyid Abdul
Qadir Sahib his son & daughter
applied to Government for the
continuance of the allowance
but the Govt. declined to comply
with their request. (vide p. 503
of 23 January '88 & No 7264
of 12 November '89.)

3. It is submitted that in
the above circumstances the
petitioner may be informed that
his request cannot be complied
with.

See
22/10/98
Mr.

It is quite im-
possible to comply with
the request

S. R. 24/10/98

Please see draft order put up on
the docket

2/11/98
J. M. S. 8/11/98

C. 26.10.98

2/11/98

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.