Revenue (1899) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 412

Dated 28th June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Approving and Sanctioning, with remarks, the Board's proposals for the Revision of Village Establishments, in the Taluks of Nidadavole, Narsapur, Nandigama and Bezwada in the Kistna District.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2757.

SECRETARIAT 24 APR 99 REVENUE

From The Board of Revenue
Land Revenue,
Madras.

Order

Proc. **No.** 99

Dated 10th April 1899.
Received 24th April 1899
Registered
Enclosures 29.
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government, with remarks, the schemes for the revision of village establishments in the talukes of Narasaraopet, &c.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Stamps
Do
3.7.99

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1899.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Printed April th.

Proceedings, No. 99, 10th April 1899.

APRIL.

Submitting to Government, with remarks, the schemes for the revision of village establishments in the taluks of Narsaraopet, Nandigáma and Bezwada received from the Collector of Kistna.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE).

READ—the following letter from R. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 15th November 1898, Dis. No. 6492-Genl. :—

In continuation of this office Dis. No. 4956-Genl., dated 23rd August 1898, I have the honour to forward herewith, for favour of approval, the following enclosures in connection with the proposals for the revision of village establishment in Narasaraopet taluk :—

(*a*) Proposition statement with the abstract prescribed in Board's Proceedings, No. 250 (Land Revenue), dated 19th September 1898.

(*b*) Sketch.

(*c*) Comparative statement of the present and proposed establishment with the financial result thereof.

(*d*) Statement of clubbings proposed.

(*e*) Statement of additional establishment required other than munsifs.

(*f*) Abstract statement showing the number in each grade of the present and proposed establishment.

(*g*) Statement of important hamlets requiring separate munsifs.

(*h*) Statement of irrigation works for which nirgantis are required.

(*k*) Statement showing the hamlets found in the printed survey list, but omitted in the proposition statement.

(*l*) Statement showing the financial result of the revision.

2. There are at present 93 Government villages in the taluk, and the number is reduced by one on account of the clubbing of Dandamudi with Manikondavaripalem. The proposed clubbing was published in the District Gazette of August 1898, and also in the villages. The karnam of Dandamudi submitted an objection petition to me as well as to the Board which was transferred to me with its Proceedings, Routine No. 1056 (Land Revenue), dated 26th April 1898. He does not put forth any valid reasons to support his objection, but only states that the village of which he is the karnam is more important in every respect than Manikondavaripalem with which it is proposed to be clubbed. The Board will observe from the statistics furnished herewith that the petitioner's argument is incorrect. He further suggests that in case clubbing is considered necessary, his village (Dandamudi) may be constituted the parent village. Manikondavaripalem is a more important village than Dandamudi. There does not seem therefore any good reason to alter the clubbing I have proposed.

3. Hamlet-munsifs are proposed for all hamlets at a distance of more than $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles from the parent villages. Karnam's group No. 63, Pasumarru, has an important hamlet (Komaravallipad) at a distance of half a mile between which and the parent village there is the Ogeru, a very large stream carrying down a large volume of water for some days after heavy rains when it will be unfordable. The hamlet is on its very edge and is flooded sometimes when the village officers residing in the parent village

cannot go to it. A separate hamlet-munsif is absolutely necessary for this hamlet and I request that the Board will be pleased to recommend one as a special case. I have not included it in the proposition statement because the scale does not admit of it. If the Board is not pleased to sanction this addition, the alternative course will be to place this hamlet under the munsif of Purushottapatam (Map No. 77) close to it and on the same side of the stream.

4. The number of servants likely to be thrown out of employ is 248—

(*a*) Karnams	1
(*b*) Monigars	55
(*c*) Vettis	62
(*d*) Talayaris	107
(*e*) Nirgantis	23
Total	248

The creation of assistant karnams and hamlet-munsifs would give employment to 33 out of 56 karnams and monigars to be thrown out of employ. There is only a net reduction in the other three grades of 192 men, whom it will not be possible to provide for in any other taluk. Proposals for the Sattenapalli and Vinukonda taluks which adjoin this on the northern and western sides have already been submitted to the Board which has submitted them to Government for sanction with certain modifications. In both these taluks large reduction is contemplated. Proposals for the Palnad taluk bordering Narsaraopet or the north-western side are not yet ripe, but I may assure the Board that there will be no opening for men thrown out in other taluks, under any circumstances, in spite of the fact that the Palnad borders on the Nizam's Dominions, nor is it likely that the men to be thrown out in this or any other taluks will go to distant villages as mohatads or vettis on Rs. 4. I therefore request that the Board will be pleased to sanction retention of these supernumerary men in their present places on their *present* salaries till they are absorbed, removed on other grounds, or till their death. The Divisional-officer will select which of two servants shall be regarded as the founder of the new service family and which shall be the supernumerary.

5. The financial result of the revision is a net reduction of Rs. 7,044 in the cost of the establishment.

READ—the following letter from R. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 8th December 1898, Dis. No. 6862-Genl. :—

I have the honour to forward, for your approval, the undermentioned enclosures in connection with the proposals for the revision of village establishment in Nandigáma taluk :—

(*a*) Proposition statement with the abstract prescribed in Board's Proceedings, No. 250 (Land Revenue), dated 19th September 1898.

(*b*) Taluk map.

(*c*) Statement of clubbings proposed.

(*d*) Statement showing the important hamlets for which separate munsifs are provided.

(*e*) Statement showing the present and proposed number and emoluments of each class of village servants.

(*f*) Comparative statement of the existing and proposed establishment according to grades in each class.

(*g*) Statement of irrigation works for which nirgantis are provided.

(*h*) Statement showing the hamlets and villages that disappeared subsequent to last survey.

(*k*) Statement showing the additional establishment provided for.

(*l*) Statement showing the financial result of the revision.

2. The total number of villages as per printed survey list is 120. Of these, 9 depopulated villages have already been clubbed with other villages (*vide* Enclosure H) and the clubbings are shown on the map by thick black ink borders. Thirty-four villages are now proposed to be clubbed with others. The net number of karnams' charges remaining is thus 77. The salaries of the karnams have been revised with reference to the scale laid down in Board's Proceedings, No. 268 (Land Revenue), dated 10th October 1898. Sixteen of the clubbings proposed have been, and the rest 18 will now be, notified in the Gazette. A report will be submitted to the Board on receipt of objections, if any.

3. The number of munsif's charges proposed is 88 including 11 hamlet-munsifs. No hamlet-munsifs have been proposed for the karnams' charges Nos. 8 and 37, the population being very small although the distance between the parent villages and hamlets is more than 1½ miles in each case.

4. As regards assistant karnams and monigars, vettis and talayaris, the scale has been fully applied except in the following:—

Jaggayyapet and Nandigáma, which are the head-quarters of the Deputy Tahsildar and the Tahsildar, are allowed one additional talayari each, and karnam's groups Nos. 8 and 60, whose population is below 200 each are also allowed one talayari each on the recommendation of the Superintendent of Police, the reason assigned in the case of the two latter being that the villages are on the borders of the Nizam's dominions.

5. Nirgantis are proposed only for eleven villages.

6. The net result of the revision is a reduction of 118 men as particularized below:—

Karnams and assistant karnams	33
Monigars	6
Munsifs	23
Vettis, talayaris and nirgantis	56
Total ...	118

There is no prospect of these men being provided for in this or any other taluk. Proposals have been submitted for Sattenapalli and those for Bezwada are ready for submission. In these two taluks there is a net reduction, so that the surplus men in Nandigáma cannot be provided for anywhere. As already recommended by me in respect of the surplus men in Guntúr, Vinukonda, Sattenapalle and Narasaraopet taluks, these supernumerary men in the grades of talayaris and vettis should be kept on on their present salaries till absorption into the ranks or till removal or death.

READ—the following letter from R. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 9th December 1898, Dis. No. 6863-Genl.:—

I have the honour to forward herewith the following enclosures in connection with the proposals to revise the village establishment of Bezwada taluk:—

(*a*) Proposition statement.

(*b*) Taluk plan.

(*c*) Statement showing the number of proposed and present village establishment.

(*d*) Statement of clubbings proposed.

(*e*) Statement showing the irrigation works for which nirgantis are provided.

(*f*) Statement showing the additional establishment proposed.

(*g*) Comparative statement of the existing and the proposed village establishment arranged according to grades in each class.

(*h*) Statement showing the important hamlets for which separate munsifs are provided.

(*k*) Statement showing the villages and hamlets that disappeared subsequent to last settlement.

(*l*) Statement showing the financial result of the revision.

2. The total number of Government villages in the taluk as per printed survey list is 73, and the number of karnam's charges as per Enclosure A is only 44, thus showing a decrease of 29 which is made up of—

Villages clubbed with others at the last revision	10
Villages proposed to be clubbed now (*vide* enclosure D)	19
Total ...	29

Some of the clubbings proposed have been, and the remainder are being, notified in the District Gazette. The result will be reported in due course for orders.

3. Additional munsifs have been provided for two villages, thus raising the number of munsif's charges to 46.

4. An extra monigar has been proposed for Bezwada in excess of the number provided in the scale (*vide* Enclosure F).

5. The population of Zupudi and Jangalapalli is below 200 each, but the villages being surrounded by hills and jungles, a talayari is provided for each village on the recommendation of the Superintendent of Police, another talayari is proposed for Bezwada which is the head-quarters of the Tahsildar, the Head Assistant Collector and other superior officers.

6. In all other respects the Anantapur scale has been adopted and the salaries of the karnams have been revised with reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 268 (Land Revenue), dated 10th October 1898.

7. The result of the proposed revision will be a reduction of 54 men in the taluk—

Karnams and assistant karnams	15
Munsifs	17
Monigars	2
Vettis, talayaris and nirgantis	20
Total ...	54

The number in the last three grades is so small that no special provision need be made for them other than what I have suggested in the schemes for other taluks. Karnams, munsifs and monigars are as a class land-owners and there is no need to make special provision for them.

8. All the proposed clubbings have been distinctly marked on the plan in red, blue and green colours. Villages Nos. 73 and 74, 39 and 40, 9 and 11, which were clubbed together during the last revision, have been also coloured on the map, and I therefore request that they may not be confounded with the present clubbings distinctly marked.

READ—the following letter from R. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 17th January 1899, Dis. No. 177-R. Genl.:—

Referring to this office Dis. No. 6863-Genl., dated 9th December 1898, submitting proposals for the revision of village establishment in Bezwada taluk, I have the honour to state that, on reconsideration, I find it more convenient to club both Vidyadharapuram and Bhavanipuram (karnam's group No. 43) with Bezwada, and to request that the necessary alterations may be made in the proposition statement and the statement of clubbings submitted with the above letter. The proposed change will be notified and the result reported in due course.

RESOLUTION—No. 99, dated 10th April 1899.

With his letters read above, the Collector of Kistna submits schemes for the revision of village establishments in the taluks of Narasaraopet, Nandigáma and Bezwada in continuation of his proposals for the four other taluks dealt with in the papers quoted in the margin.*

* Guntúr and Vinukonda—Government Order, No. 75, Revenue, dated 27th January 1899.
Sattenapalle—Government Order, No. 173, Revenue, dated 10th March 1899.
Bápatla—Government Order, No. 108, Revenue, dated 10th February 1899.

2. *Grouping of villages.*—The total number of survey villages in the three taluks named above is 286,† of which 19 (9 in Nandigáma and 10 in Bezwada) have been clubbed with others as shown in appendix A on the ground that they have already become depopulated. All the survey villages will be indicated separately in the proposition statements submitted with these proceedings. The Collector is requested to state whether the area and beriz of the depopulated survey villages have been included in the figures reported by him for the principal villages.

† Narasaraopet	Number of villages. 93
Nandigáma	120
Bezwada	73
Total ..	286

3. In the three taluks under reference, there are at present 267 karnams' charges, each of which forms also a munsif's charge. The Collector proposes to reduce the number to 212 for karnams and 247 for munsifs as shown in the margin‡. Forty-two villages have been clubbed with others for both karnams' and munsifs' charges (*vide* appendix B) and 13 villages solely for karnams' charges (*vide* appendix C). Four§ out of the 42 villages are situated at a greater distance than 1½ miles from their proposed central villages; but, as they either have a very small population or none at all, the Collector has properly refrained from providing them with separate munsifs. Similarly, four‖ of the 13 villages mentioned in appendix C do not appear to be of sufficient importance in point of beriz and population combined to be entitled to separate munsifs, and the clubbing proposed by the Collector in these four cases may be extended to the munsifs' charges also. In the third case it is also to be noted that the principal village will have a monigar to assist the munsif.

‡ Taluks.	Existing number of karnams' or munsifs' charges.	Number of villages clubbed both for karnams' and munsifs' charges.	Number of villages clubbed for karnams' charges.	Number of additional munsifs for hamlets.	Number of charges proposed by the Collector. For karnams.	For munsifs.
Narasaraopet ..	93	1	..	21	92	113
Nandigáma	111	24	10	1	77	88
Bezwada	63	17	3	..	43	46
Total ..	267	42	13	22	212	247

Map number.	Karnam's group number.	§ Village.	Distance.	Beriz.	Population.
			MLS.	RS.	
		Nandigáma taluk—			
80	8	Timmapuram	3½	1,001	27
18	37	Gudimetlapalem	2	888	20
93	68	Kurugantivari Khandiga..	2	272	..
		Bezwada taluk—			
32	20	Navepotavaram	2	339	..

Map number.	Karnam's group number.	‖ Village.	Distance.	Beriz.	Population.
			MLS.	RS.	
		Nandigáma taluk—			
13	69	Patanpad	2	1,073	66
72	64	Ramachendrunipet ..	2	579	139
81	54	Lingagudem	2½	794	206
		Bezwada taluk—			
50	1	Jakkampudi	2	426	95

4 In Appendix D the Board has suggested a reduction in the number of munsifs' and karnams' charges, partly by revising the clubbings proposed by the Collector, and partly by applying to certain new cases the principles of clubbing usually followed in the revision of village establishments. Where the beriz of each of two neighbouring villages, including their hamlets, is under Rs. 2,000, or where the two are so situated as to form a compact block, the Board thinks that clubbing may be resorted to provided the distance limit is not exceeded and the efficiency of the village administration is not likely to be sacrificed. The Collector is requested to report on the Board's proposals in Appendix D and to notify in the District Gazette such of them as meet with his approval. Pending the receipt of his report, the Board has not altered the total of the establishments with reference to Appendix D.

5. *Karnams.*—The number of karnams provided by the Collector under each of the grades of pay recently sanctioned is given in the margin.* The Collector's proposals may be adopted for the present. The monthly cost on this account will be Rs. 1,838.

* Taluks.	Number of karnams on Rs. 8.	Rs. 10.	Rs. 12.	Rs. 15.	Total number.
Narasaraopet	59	29	3	1	92
Nandigáma	65	11	1	..	77
Bezwada	29	10	3	1	43
Total ..	153	50	7	2	212

6. *Assistant karnams.*—The Collector proposes nine assistant karnams on Rs. 6 each per mensem for the villages named in the margin.† The provision is in accordance with the prescribed scale and may stand.

Karnam's group number.	† Village.	Beriz.	Population.
		RS.	
	Narasaraopet taluk—		
18	Ellamanda	8,238	3,181
57	Nadolla	13,806	3,564
63	Pasumarru	8,432	2,959
71	Rupinaguntla	8,512	2,752
	Nandigáma taluk—		
54	Penukanchiprolu	8,502	4,165
	Bezwada taluk—		
11	Idupugallu	8,306	1,468
14	Kankipad	11,101	3,126
17	Kolavennu	16,588	2,335
22	Kunderu	8,184	1,728

7. Appendix E shows the cases in which hamlets are provided by the Collector with separate munsifs in consideration of their distance from the central villages. The proposals may be approved except in the four cases noted in the margin.‡ The first of the excepted hamlets, Sivapuram in the Nandigáma taluk, is too insignificant for a separate munsif's charge. The second hamlet, Turakavada, has a beriz of only Rs. 500 and a population of 300, and can well be managed by the munsif of Ellamanda, who will draw Rs. 7 and will have a monigar to assist him (*vide* paragraph 9 *infra*). A similar remark applies to the third hamlet, Raganapalem, as its head village, Pasumarru, will also have a monigar (*vide* paragraph 9 *infra*). The fourth hamlet is situated in a light munsifs' charge and therefore need not have a separate munsif.

Karnam's group number.	‡ Name of hamlet.	Distance.	Beriz.	Population.
		MLS.	RS.	
	Nandigáma taluk—			
3	Sivapuram	2	261	110
	Narasaraopet taluk—			
18	Turakavada	2½	500	300
63	Raganapalem	2	600	300
81	Subbayapalem	2½	400	300

In group No. 12 of the Narasaraopet taluk shown in Appendix E, Rajupalem should be fixed as the central village, as it has a larger beriz and population than the principal village of Degaramudi.

In group No. 65 of Narasaraopet taluk the hamlets of Jaggamvaripalem and Tanuboddivaripalem may be clubbed together for an additional munsif's charge as the distance between them is less than half a mile.

In the covering letter relating to the Narasaraopet taluk, the Collector also recommends a separate munsif for the hamlet of Komaravallipad on the ground that, although it is only half a mile distant from its central village of Pasumarru (Map No. 59), it is sometimes flooded by the Ogeru river which is unfordable for several days after a heavy rain. The Board supports this proposal.

Taluk.	Number of munsif-monigars						
	On Rs. 5.	On Rs. 6.	On Rs. 7.	On Rs. 8.	On Rs. 10.	On Rs. 12.	Total.
Narasaraopet	38	43	32	..	..	..	113
Nandigáma	37	39	12	..	..	..	88
Bezwada	17	15	10	3	..	1	46
Total ..	92	97	54	3	..	1	247
As modified by the Board	84	97	52	5	..	1	239

8. *Munsif-monigars.*—According to the Collector's proposals, the total number of munsif-monigars will be 247, inclusive of the munsif recommended for Komaravallipad in the Narasaraopet taluk (paragraph 7 *supra*). Deducting from this number the munsifs' charges disallowed in paragraphs 3 and 7 *supra*, the balance will be 239 at a monthly cost of Rs. 1,418.

9. *Monigars.*—The Collector provides six monigars for the six groups named in the margin, the beriz of each of which exceeds Rs. 8,000, the minimum required for the appointment of a monigar. There is no objection to this provision. The Collector is, however, requested to report whether in the two following cases it will not be better to dispense with the monigars and appoint separate munsifs for the larger hamlets. There will be a slight extra charge in consequence of the extra vettis and talayaris, but the efficiency of the village administration will, no doubt, be improved:—

Karnam's group number.	Villages.	Beriz.	Population.
	Narasaraopet taluk—	Rs.	
71	Rupinaguntla	8,512	2,752
	Nandigáma taluk—		
54	Penukanchiprolu ..	8,502	4,165
	Bezwada taluk—		
11	Idupugallu	8,306	1,468
14	Kankipad	9,900	2,745
17	Kolavennu	16,588	2,335
22	Kunderu	8,184	1,728

Group number.	Beriz.	Population.	Villages.	Distance.	Proposed sub-division. Beriz.	Proposed sub-division. Population.
	Rs.		Narasaraopet taluk—		Rs.	
71	4,312	1,152	Rupinaguntla	C	5,512	1,752
	600	200	Turakapalem	1½		
	600	300	Kammavaripalem	1		
	3,000	1,000	Dettavaram	1½	3,000	1,000
			Bezwada taluk—			
14	2,900	1,556	Kankipad	C	2,900	1,556
	6,600	373	Punadipad	½	7,000	1,189
	400	816	Gollagudem	1		

	Rs.
* Revenue	1,854
Population	20,741

For Bezwada *, which is a rising town and where the work is too much for a single munsif, an extra monigar may be allowed as recommended by the Collector.

Special monigars are also proposed by the Collector for the villages of Ellamanda, Nadella and Pasumarru in the Narasaraopet taluk, although the beriz of the principal munsif's charge in each case is below Rs. 8,000. These proposals may be sanctioned. Nadella, and its hamlet Jastivaripalem, shown in Appendix E, may preferably be constituted separate munsifs' charges † in which case a monigar for the principal village will be unnecessary. The Collector is requested to report on this point.

Group number.	—	Beriz.	Population.
		Rs.	
18	Ellamanda	6,538	2,181
57	Nadella	7,806	2,564
63	Pasumarru	7,232	1,959

†	—	Distance.	Beriz.	Population.
		MLS.	Rs.	
Nadella		C	3,806	1,564
Jastivaripalem		1½	4,000	1,000

10. *Vettis.*—The total number of vettis that can be allowed to the 239 munsifs' charges (*vide* paragraph 8 *supra*) in accordance with the prescribed scale is 459 at a monthly cost of Rs 1,836.

11. *Talayaris.*—Applying the scale laid down in paragraph 3 of Board's Proceedings, No. 250, dated 19th September 1898, the total number of talayaris allowable for the 232 munsifs' charges (excluding seven groups with a population of less than 200 each) is 304. The Collector provides extra talayaris in the nine cases noted in the margin. He states that the extra provision in the case of groups Nos. 8 and 60 in Nandigáma taluk and of Nos. 13 and 43 in Bezwada taluk has been made on the recommendation of the Superintendent of Police and on the ground that the two former villages are situated on the borders of His Highness the Nizam's Dominions and the two latter are surrounded by hills and jungles. In four other cases the villages concerned are the head-quarters of either the Tahsildar or the Deputy Tahsildar. These proposals may be approved. As regards the remaining case, viz., group No. 1 of Bezwada, the combined population is 207, and the group will be entitled to a talayari under the scale. No extra talayari is therefore necessary in this case. The total number of talayaris will be 312.

Group number.	Village.	Revenue.	Population.	Number of talayaris. Under the scale.	Number of talayaris. Extra.
	Narasaraopet taluk—	Rs.			
59	Narasaraopet	3,875	5,309	4	1
	Nandigáma taluk—				
8	Chennarapalem ..	2,275	186	..	1
26	Jagayyapet	4,159	9,717	4	1
51	Nandigáma	2,791	3,064	3	1
60	Ravirala	1,002	171	..	1
	Bezwada taluk—				
1	Ambapuram	989	112	..	1
3	Bezwada	1,854	20,741	4	1
13	Jangalapalle	415	192	..	1
43	Zupudi	1,224	179	..	1

* Narasaraopet	..	2
Nandigáma	..	14
Bezwada	..	27
Total ..		43

† Village officers.	Existing. Number of hands.	Existing. Cost per annum.	Proposed. Number of hands.	Proposed. Cost per annum.
		Rs.		Rs.
Karnams	267	23,772	212	22,056
Assistant karnams ..	..	..	9	618
Munsifs	267	15,528	239	17,016
Monigars	73	4,224	10	600
Vettis	555	20,484	459	22,032
Talayaris	506	18,720	312	14,976
Nirgantis	31	1,116	43	2,064
Total ..	1,699	83,844	1,284	79,392

12. *Nirgantis.*—The Collector proposes to entertain 43 * nirgantis for the three taluks in question. He states that ten of the men provided for the Nandigáma taluk will not be entertained until the areas concerned are actually brought under the irrigation of the Muniyeru channel. The number proposed by the Collector may therefore be sanctioned.

13. The net result of the Collector's proposals and the modifications proposed by the Board except those in appendix D is a decrease of Rs. 4,452 in the cost of the existing establishment. The details are shown in the margin.†

(True Copies and Extract.)

Lionel Dawdson

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with statements and sketches to be returned.
" Collector of Kistna.

[Appendix.

APPENDIX A.

STATEMENT showing the villages already grouped.

Map number.	Included in karnam's group number.	Name of village.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Beriz.	Population.	Reasons for the grouping.
		Nandigama taluk.	ACS.	ACS.	RS.		
1 66	7	Budavada	6,419	2,008	2,760	473	Depopulated.
1 67	7	Tripuravaram	..	..	..	..	
2 131	13	Defsupalem	1,698	1,366	1,496	321	Do.
2 132	13	Virabhadrunipalem	..	..	..	..	
3 17	21	Gudimetla	6,839	4,146	1,746	173	
	21	Lakshmipuram	..	..	964	474	
	21	Ramannapet	..	..	1,073	357	
3 60	21	Katrenipalli	..	..	..	..	Do.
4 126	44	Makkapet	2,589	1,476	2,198	938	
4 125	44	Hassanbad	..	..	..	..	Do.
5 104	19	Pallagiri	2,090	1,312	1,491	495	
5 105	19	Satyavaram	..	..	..	..	Do.
6 71	64	Takkellapad	2,041	1,473	1,135	404	
6 70	64	Garikipad	..	..	..	..	Do.
7 76	65	Tirumalagiri	3,353	2,775	1,975	264	
7 77	65	Torraguntapalem	..	..	..	..	Do.
8 38	70	Tsattannavaram	1,746	1,425	1,209	250	
9 109	70	Ramapuram	..	..	..	..	Do.
9 40	70	Lakshmipuram	..	..	..	..	Do.
		** Bezwada taluk.*					
1 15	5	Gaddamanugu	1,316	873	416	252	
1 20	5	Gunchivanipalem (one mile)	..	..	158	..	Do.
2 74	7	Gangur	1,186	654	3,335	836	
2 73	7	Lanjalanka	..	..	..	..	Do.
3 50	1	Jakkampudi	788	193	426	96	
3 51	1	Nuzellavanam	..	..	..	..	Do.
4 16	23	Madhavaram	1,271	839	274	152	
4 19	23	Penchikalapad	..	..	256	..	Do.
5 17	23	Munagapad	1,417	1,099	203	184	
5 18	23	Gavaravaram	..	..	350	20	Depopulated.
6 39	43	Zupudi	2,109	1,288	1,224	179	The village of Saidabad is erroneously stated by the Collector to have been clubbed with Trilochanapuram instead of with Zupudi.
6 40	43	Saidabad	..	..	..	..	Depopulated.
7 5	37	Tummalagunta	1,628	983	793	240	
7 6	37	Gannavaram					
8 9	41	Vellatur	8,447	3,435	4,480	1,150	
8 9	41	Duddepad	..	..	..	..	Depopulated.
9 48	34	Vemavaram	1,378	714	1,104	..	
9 49	34	Kondaguraza					Do.
10 54	3	Vidyadharapuram	1,521	951	1,157	..	
10 56	3	Venkataramanagaram	..	..	..	..	Do.

APPENDIX B.

STATEMENT showing the cases in which villages are proposed to be clubbed both for Karnam's and Munsif's charge.

Map number.	Karnam's group number.	Names of villages.	Distance.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Beriz.	Population.	Clubbing proposed by the Collector: for karnam's charge. Beriz.	for karnam's charge. Population.	for munsif's charge. Beriz.	for munsif's charge. Population.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Narsaraopet taluk.	MILES	ACS.	ACS.	RS.		RS.		RS.	
57	51	Manikondavaripalem	C	921	740	1,513	498	2,834	770	2,834	770
58	51	Dandamudi	½	793	626	1,321	272				
		Nandigama taluk.									
31	1	A'lur	C	2,740	1,977	2,736	1,146	4,389	1,673	4,389	1,673
32	1	Peddapuram	1½	1,907	1,649	1,653	627				
68	4	Annavaram	C	1,407	1,011	668	425	1,135	788	1,135	788
69	4	Bairuspad	1½	1,374	675	467	363				
29	8	Chennaraopalem	C	1,459	904	1,274	159	2,275	186	2,275	186
30	8	Timmapuram	3½	2,438	756	1,001	27				
139	11	Potsavaram	C	605	421	417	90	*2,281	*1,168	852	187
140	11	Gangavalli	¾	471	435	435	97				
112	12	Damalur	C	1,745	1,413	1,693	671	2,242	890	2,242	890
114	12	Torragudipad	1	667	497	549	219				
43	27	Jammavaram	C	1,993	886	920	198	1,572	371	1,572	371
42	27	Gokarazupalli	1½	690	610	652	173				
127	31	Kannevid	C	2,074	1,140	1,431	663	*2,658	*1,472	2,041	1,041
128	31	Chinamodugupalli	1¼	794	584	610	378				
54	33	Ketaverunipad	C	1,151	873	1,255	643	2,064	911	2,064	911
53	33	Aitavaram	1⅓	1,287	925	829	268				
100	34	Kollikulla	C	1,280	1,048	1,255	422	2,188	845	2,188	845
99	34	Subbayyagudem	½	837	778	933	423				
57	37	Konayapalem	C	3,420	2,755	1,530	1,386	3,804	2,020	3,804	2,020
	37	Bellamkondavaripalem	½	..	..	413	260				
	37	Khandrapadu	½	..	..	770	200				
58	37	Brahmanbhotlapalem	1½	336	190	203	154				
18	37	Gudimetlapalem	2	961	751	888	20				
88	41	Lingalapad	C	865	717	752	601	2,978	1,010	2,978	1,010
87	41	Takkellapad	1	1,117	969	1,234	357				
94	41	Latsapalem	½	871	733	992	52				
146	42	Machinenipalem	C	920	832	648	271	2,718	1,157	2,718	1,157
147	42	Kakaravaya	1¼	1,680	1,262	1,187	679				
145	42	Tallur	1¼	1,105	901	883	207				
150	53	Nevali	C	2,165	1,741	1,463	782	1,845	1,088	1,845	1,088
149	53	Ummadidevipalli	1½	625	524	382	306				
45	58	Ponnavaram	C	1,579	1,194	1,313	288	2,859	691	2,859	691
46	58	Perakalapad	¾	1,001	761	984	263				
44	58	Jagannadhapuram	1½	585	459	552	135				
74	62	Sheir Mahomedpet	C	1,287	789	1,416	1,058	2,064	1,083	2,064	1,083
75	62	Ravukampad	1	913	817	648	25				
111	63	Somavaram	C	2,073	1,428	1,746	530	2,407	962	2,407	962
107	63	Rudravaram	1	1,032	706	661	432				
96	66	Totacherla	C	1,663	1,382	1,554	331	2.803	648	2,803	648
95	66	Munagacherla	1½	1,053	890	1,249	317				
91	68	Tsandapuram	C	1,647	1,427	1,628	409	1,910	409	1,910	409
93	68	Kurugantivari Khandrika	½	367	274	272	..				

* *Vide* Appendix C.

APPENDIX B—*cont.*

Statement showing the cases in which the villages are proposed to be clubbed both for Karnam's and Munsif's charge—*cont.*

Map number.	Karnam's group number.	Names of villages.	Distance.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Beriz.	Population.	Clubbing proposed by the Collector: for karnam's charge. Beriz.	for karnam's charge. Population.	for munsif's charge. Beriz.	for munsif's charge. Population.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Nandigama taluk—cont.	MILES.	ACS.	ACS.	RS.		RS.		RS.	
38	70	Tsattannavaram	C	1,746	1,425	1,209	250	2,247	595	2,247	595
37		Tsavutapalli	1½	1,330	1,116	1,038	345				
122	74	Vemavaram	C	956	737	952	397	1,450	421	1,450	421
121		Rebbavaram	¾	514	441	498	24				
		Bezwada Taluk.									
15	5	Gaddamannugu	C	1,316	873	574	252	1,062	252	1,062	252
21		Loya	1½	3,874	556	488	..				
41	10	Ibrahampatnam	C	8,529	2,252	2,205	1,578	2,529	1,712	2,529	1,712
42		Tummalapalem	1½	554	495	324	134				
14	19	Kondur	C	2,587	1,493	1,153	1,258	2,307	1,682	2,307	1,682
24		Pinapaka	1¼	901	791	1,154	424				
36	20	Kotikalapudi	C	1,590	443	858	364	1,566	517	1,566	517
35		Katsavaram	1¼	871	640	369	153				
32		Navepotavaram	2	2,629	533	339	..				
83	22	Kunder	C	1,850	1,664	7,796	1,462	8,184	1,728	8,184	1,728
84		Kandulampad	¾	235	223	388	266				
16	23	Madhavaram	C	1,271	839	530	152	1,083	356	1,083	356
17		Munagapad	¾	1,417	1,099	553	204				
12	25	Mutyalampad	C	685	609	1,106	314	1,767	502	1,767	502
10		Chegireddipad	1½	1,680	418	661	188				
69	27	Nidamanur	C	1,361	1,187	2,757	845	4,042	845	4,042	845
70		Done Atkur	1¼	1,029	781	1,285	..				
66	31	Prasadampad	C	517	452	529	314	2,344	1,564	2,344	1,564
68		Enikepad	1½	1,038	761	1,247	653				
65		Ramavarappad	¾	696	611	568	597				
80	32	Proddutur	C	1,179	704	3,331	1,024	5,452	1,249	5,452	1,249
81		Konatanipad	1½	416	323	2,121	225				
28	34	Tadepalli	C	3,012	1,361	1,510	780	2,614	780	2,614	780
48 & 49		Vemavaram Kondaguraza	1	1,378	714	1,104	..				
86	35	Tenner	C	1,664	1,378	4,335	1,139	7,291	1,777	7,291	1,777
		Komatigunta	¾	..	..	1,500	265				
87		Maredumaka	1¼	611	553	1,456	373				
38	36	Trilochanapuram	C	1,997	1,092	608	258	2,211	946	2,211	946
		Peddamunapalem	1¼			550	100				
		Paturu	¾			300	80				
37		Mulapad	¾			753	508				
4	37	Tsandrala	C	2,012	2,022	2,292	979	3,085	1,219	3,085	1,219
5 & 6		Tummalagunta Gannavaram	1¼	1,528	983	793	240				
54	3	VidyAdhárapuram	C	1,521	951	1,157	..	*3,733	*21,102	1,879	361
53		Bhavanipuram	1½	883	678	722	361				

* *Vide* Appendix C.

APPENDIX C.

CLUBBING proposed by the Collector for Karnam's charge only.

Map number.	Karnam's group number.	Names of villages.	Distance.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Beriz.	Population.	Proposed by the Collector: for munsif's charge. Beriz.	for munsif's charge. Population.	for karnam's charge. Beriz.	for karnam's charge. Population.
		Nandigama taluk.	MILES.	ACS.	ACS.	RS.		RS.		RS.	
142	11	Dabbakupalli	C	1,990	1,410	1,429	981	1,429	981	2,281	1,168
139 & 140		Potsavaram	2	605	421	417	90	852	187		
		Gangavalli	2¼	471	435	435	97				
34	14	Doddadevarapad	C	1,294	891	1,209	803	1,209	803	2,113	1,217
35		Vairadhariannavaram	2	912	710	904	414	904	414		
133	17	Gandrayi	C	2,818	1,928	2,046	1,587	2,046	1,587	3,256	1,741
134		Butsavaram	1¾	1,907	1,441	1,210	154	1,210	154		
106	19	Gollamudi	C	2,101	1,737	1,944	608	1,944	608	3,235	1,103
104		Pallagiri	2	2,090	1,312	1,491	495	1,491	495		
26	20	Gottimukkala	C	7,501	2,627	4,262	1,132	4,262	1,132	5,290	1,393
27		Narasimharaopalem	1¾	2,651	685	1,028	261	1,028	261		
127 & 128	31	Kannevid and Chinamodugupalli	C	2,868	1,724	2,041	1,041	2,041	1,041	2,658	1,472
135		Peddamodugupalle	2½	891	684	617	431	617	431		
130	46	Mangollu	C	1,786	1,420	1,486	1,014	1,486	1,014	2,194	1,364
129		Gopinenipalem	2	855	589	708	350	708	350		
82	54	Penuganchiprol	C	9,660	5,713	7,708	3,959	7,708	3,959	8,502	4,165
81		Lingagudem	2½	1,040	807	794	206	794	206		
71	64	Takkellapad	C	2,041	1,473	1,135	404	1,135	404	1,714	543
72		Ramachendrunipet	2	947	757	579	139	579	139		
14	69	Tsandarlapad	C	3,367	2,508	2,803	2,421	2,803	2,421	3,876	2,477
13		Patempad	2	1,244	859	1,073	56	1,073	56		
		Bezwada taluk.									
57	1	Ambapuram	C	1,075	1,034	989	112	989	112	1,415	207
60		Jakkampudi	2	788	193	426	95	426	95		
79	14	Kankipad	C	2,301	1,674	2,900	1,556	9,900	2,745	11,101	3,126
		Punadipad	½	..	..	6,660	373				
		Gollagudem	1	..	..	400	816				
78		Gosala	1¼	..	..	1,201	381	1,201	381		
58	3	Bezwada	..	3,048	1,672	1,854	20,741	1,854	20,741	3,733	21,102
53 & 54		Bhavanipuram Vidyadharapuram*	..	2,404	1,629	1,879	361	1,879	361		

* Vidhyadharapuram being uninhabited, Bhavanipuram should be made the central village for the munsif's charge.

APPENDIX D.

Map number.	Collector's proposal.				Village.	Distance of hamlets.	Beriz.	Population.	Board's proposal.				Remarks.
	Munsif's charge.		Karnam's charge.						Munsif's charge.		Karnam's charge.		
	Beriz.	Population.	Beriz.	Population.					Beriz.	Population.	Beriz.	Population.	
	Rs.		Rs.		*Narasaraopet Taluk.*	MILES.	Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		
20	2,007	707	2,007	707	Zaladi	C	1,807	657	2,007	707	4,005	1,586	
					Sekúrivaripalem ..	¾	200	50					
21	1,998	879	1,998	879	Jaggapuram	..	1,998	879	1,998	879			
52	2,648	940	2,648	940	Irlapad	C	1,448	740	2,648	940	4,563	1,927	
					Aminsahibpalem ..	½	1,290	200					
53	1,915	987	1,915	987	Appapuram	..	1,915	987	1,915	987			
54	4,071	1,130	4,071	1,130	Kavur	..	4,071	1,130	5,703	1,674	5,703	1,674	The distance between the two villages being under 1 mile, they may perhaps be administered by a common village establishment.
55	1,632	544	1,632	544	Lingamgunta	..	1,632	544					
65	3,673	1,537	3,673	1,537	Anantavaram	..	3,673	1,537	5,013	2,054	5,013	2,054	The distance between the two villages is not shown either in the Collector's sketch or in the printed taluk map; if they are not very near, they should be clubbed only for the karnam's charge.
66	1,340	517	1,340	517	Suravarappalli	..	1,340	517					
81	1,857	490	1,857	490	Munumaka	..	1,857	490	1,857	490	4,826	1,513	
82	1,169	523	2,969	1,023	Annavaram	C	1,169	523	1,169	523			
	1,800	500			Tungapad	2	1,800	500	1,800	500			
101	1,620	574	1,620	574	Kopperapad	..	1,620	574	1,620	574	4,286	1,543	
102	2,666	969	2,666	969	Vaidana	..	2,666	969	2,666	969			
					Nandigama Taluk.								
3	1,405	402	1,405	402	Munnalur	..	1,405	402	1,405	402	2,888	827	Distance 2½ miles: a corner of the Nizam's Dominions intervenes: but there does not seem to be any other objection to clubbing the two villages for karnam's charge.
10	1,483	425	1,483	425	Amaravaram	..	1,483	425	1,483	425			

APPENDIX D—*cont.*

Map number.	Collector's proposal.				Village.	Distance of hamlets.	Beriz.	Population.	Board's proposal.				Remarks.
	Munsif's charge.		Karnam's charge.						Munsif's charge.		Karnam's charge.		
	Beriz.	Population.	Beriz.	Population.					Beriz.	Population.	Beriz.	Population.	
	Rs.		Rs.		*Nandigama Taluk*—cont.	MILES.	Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		
15	2,585	546	2,585	546	Pokkunur	..	2,585	546	4,350	847	4,350	847	Distance 1½ miles.
16	1,765	301	1,765	301	Kasarabad	..	1,765	301					
51	2,412	1,504	2,412	1,504	Raghavapuram	..	1,365	1,004	3,903	1,999	3,903	1,996	Distance 1½ miles; Raghavapuram to be the central village.
					Kammavaripalem ..	½	1,047	500					
104	1,491	495	3,435	1,103	Pallagiri	..	1,491	495					
105					Satyavaram	..	Extinct.						
106	1,944	608			Gollamudi	C.	1,944	608	4,351	1,570	4,351	1,570	If Rudravaram is made the central village the others will be within the distance limit.
111	2,407	962	2,407	962	Somavaram (C)	..	1,746	530	C.				
107					Rudravaram	..	661	432					
71	1,135	404	1,714	543	Takellapad (C)	..	1,135	404	1,135	404	4,200	1,289	
70					Garikipad	..	Extinct.						
					Ramachandrunipet ..	2	579	139	3,065	885			Distance between 1½ and 1¾ miles, Anumanchi palle to be the central village.
72	579	139							C.				
73	2,486	746	2,486	746	Anumanchipalle ..	..	2,486	746					
76	1,975	264	1,975	264	Tirumalagiri	..	1,975	264	2,537	783	3,537	783	If Chillakallu is the central village, the distance of its hamlets will not be affected by the clubbing; the distance between the principal villages is under 1½ miles.
77					Torraguntapalem ..	..	Extinct.	..					
79	1,562	519	1,562	519	Chillakallu	..	1,350	284					
					Patachillakallu	1½	187	200					
					Dharmavaram		25	35					
84	2,834	776	2,834	776	Pochempalle	..	2,834	776	2,834	776	5,607	1,530	Distance 1 mile, Gunduboyinapalem to be the central village for karnam's charge.
85	2,773	754	2,773	754	Gunduboyinapalem ..	..	2,264	404	2,773	754			
					Bandipalem	1	509	350					
100	2,188	845	2,188	845	Kollikulla	..	1,255	422	3,747	1,072	3,747	1,072	If Kollikulla is the central village, each of the other principal villages will be only one mile away from it and the hamlet of Venkatapuram will not be too far.
99					Subbayagudem ..	½	933	423					
101	1,559	227	1,559	227	Venkatapuram	..	1,407	147					
					Ponnavaram	1	152	80					

APPENDIX D—*cont.*

Map number.	Village.	Distance of hamlets.	Collector's proposal. Munsif's charge. Beriz.	Collector's proposal. Munsif's charge. Population.	Collector's proposal. Karnam's charge. Beriz.	Collector's proposal. Karnam's charge. Population.	Board's proposal. Munsif's charge. Beriz.	Board's proposal. Munsif's charge. Population.	Board's proposal. Karnam's charge. Beriz.	Board's proposal. Karnam's charge. Population.	Remarks.
	Nandigama taluk—cont.	MILES.	Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		
103	Magallu	..	4,486	1,072	4,486	1,072	5,826	1,072	5,826	1,282	Distance under 1½ miles. Magallu to be the central village.
	Kondur	..		210		210		210			
115			1,340		1,340						
124	Chittela	..	1,824	644	1,824	644	4,730	1,932	4,730	1,932	If Makkapet is the central village, the others will be within the distance limit. Gopinemipalem which the Collector proposes to club with Mangollu for the karnam's charge is 2 miles from that village.
	Singavaram	½									
126	Makkapet	..	2,193	938	2,198	938					
125	Hassanbad ..	..	Extinct.								
129	Gopinemipalem ..	..	708	350	2,194	1,364					
130	Mangolla	..	1,486	1,014			2,982	1,335	2,982	1,335	Mangollu to be the central village. Distance under 1½ miles.
131	Detsupalem ..	..	1,496	321	1,496	321					
127	Kannevid	..	2,041	663	2,653	1,472	2,041	1,041	4,862	2,156	All the four villages may form a karnam's charge with Indugapalle as the central village.
123	Chinnamodugupalle	1¼		378							
135	Peddamodugupalli ..	..	617	431	617	431	2,821	1,115			
136	Indugapalle ..	..	2,204	634	2,204	634	3,256	1,741	3,256	1,741	Distance between village-sites is stated by the Collector to be 1½ miles; according to the taluk map the two sites are practically one; if so, they may be clubbed for the munsif's charge.
	Ramachandrapuram	¾									
133	Gandrayi	..	2,046	1,587	3,256	1,741	1,429	981	3,869	1,868	Gangavalli is the middle village of the three to be clubbed together for the munsif's charge, but it is too small to be central. If Lingala is the central village, Potsavaram the most remote village will be 2 miles away; but it is an insignificant hamlet.
134	Butsavaram ..	1½	1,210	154			2,440	887			
	Dabbakupalle ..	..	1,429	931	2,231	1,168	1,545	1,083	4,173	1,856	
142							2,323	768			
139	Potsavaram ..	..	417	90	852	187					
140	Gangavalli ..	..	435	97							
141	Lingala	..	1,558	700	1,558	700					
150	Nevali	..	1,463	782	1,845	1,088					
149	Ummadidevupalle	1½	382	306							
151	Konijarla	..	2,328	768	2,329	768					

APPENDIX E.

Cases in which additional munsifs are proposed by the Collector for hamlets.

Map number.	Karnam's group number.	Villages and hamlets.	Distance.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Beriz.	Population.	For Munsif's charge. Beriz.	For Munsif's charge. Population.	For Karnam's charge. Beriz.	For Karnam's charge. Population.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	11
		Nandigáma taluk.		Acs.	Acs.	Rs.		Rs.		Rs.	
119	3	Anigallapad	C	5,134	4,378	4,269	1,564	4,269	1,564	4,530	1,674
		Sivapuram	2			261	110	261	110		
		Narasaraopet taluk.									
82	2	Annavaram	C	6,319	3,814	1,169	523	1,169	523	2,969	1,023
		Tungapad	2			1,800	500	1,800	500		
		Dasaripalem	C	3,887	2,615	1,557	351	2,057	751	2,863	1,176
		Buchipapanapalem ..	1			250	200				
42	11	Ramireddipalem	1½			250	200				
		Karlagunta	1½			806	425	806	425		
114	12	Degvamudi	C	4,976	3,719	956	458	956	458	2,956	1,658
		Rajupalem	2			2,000	1,200	2,000	1,200		
74	14	Edavalli	C	5,795	3,651	1,343	1,026	1,343	1,026	2,543	1,426
		Kattubadipalem	2½			1,200	400	1,200	400		
		Ellamanda	C	12,407	7,057	4,788	981	6,038	1,881	8,238	3,181
		Guruvayapalem ..	1½			1,000	600				
		Turakapalem	1½			250	300				
50	18	Turakavada	2½			500	300	500	300		
		Bandaruvaripalem ..	2½			400	200				
		Chintalapalem	2½			500	300	1,700	1,000		
		Gonepudi	2½			800	500				
121	39	Konanki	C	5,857	3,040	2,556	601	2,556	601	3,556	901
		Chimmiribanda	1½			1,000	300	1,000	300		
		Kondavidu	C	5,222	4,661	1,292	729	2,092	1,529	2,692	2,129
		Murtajánnagar	½			200	200				
		Puttakota	½			300	300				
13	41	Kottapalem	1			300	300				
		Lal Muhammadapuram	1½			300	300	600	600		
		Singiskhanpet	1½								
		Konedena	C	7,199	5,814	1,976	1,308	3,176	2,108	4,726	3,108
		Gunganapalem	1			450	200				
		Rajupalem	1½			750	600				
110	42	Mallaiyapalem	3½			750	400	750	400		
		Nakkabokkalapad ..	2½			800	600	800	600		
		Nadella	C	14,937	12,563	3,806	1,564	7,806	2,564	13,806	3,564
		Jastivaripalem	1½			4,000	1,000				
28	57	Endugampalem	2½			6,000	1,000	6,000	1,000		
		Paraganticherla ..	C	8,229	6,418	1,189	689	1,189	689	3,589	1,489
44	62	Mátsavaram	2			2,400	800	2,400	800		
		Pasumarru	C	8,652	5,950	5,832	1,459	7,832	2,659	8,432	2,959
		Komarivallipad * ..	1			1,200	1,000				
59	63	Gudevaripalem	1½			800	200				
		Ragamipalem	2			600	300	600	300		
		Polur	C	5,691	3,972	4,171	1,091	5,671	1,791	6,671	2,191
		Chimatsvaripalem ..	1½			800	400				
63	65	Jaggamvaripalem ..	1½			700	300				
		Tanuboddivaripalem	2			1,000	400	1,000	400		
		Rajapet	C	6,576	4,260	1,521	1,294	1,521	1,294	3,021	2,394
		Gopalamvaripalem ..	2			1,200	600	1,500	1,100		
73	68	Maddirala	1½			300	500				
		Sankuratripad	C	2,942	2,777	2,925	1,000	2,925	1,000	3,476	1,482
11	72	Jangalapalli	2½			551	482	551	482		
		Turumella	C	5,901	4,114	2,502	354	3,082	904	3,482	1,204
		Viravattam	1½			500	400				
90	81	Chitipoluvaripalem ..	1½			80	150				
		Subbayapalem	2½			400	300	400	300		

* A separate munsif is proposed by the Collector for this hamlet.

APPENDIX E.

Cases in which additional munsifs are proposed by the Collector for hamlets—*cont.*

Map number.	Karnam group number.	Villages and hamlets.	Distance.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Beriz.	Population.	For Munsif's charge.		For Karnam's charge.	
								Beriz.	Population.	Beriz.	Population.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Narasaraopet taluk—cont.		ACS.	ACS.	RS.		RS.		RS.	
9	83	Tsandavaram	C 2	3,665	2,326	1,935	800	1,935	800	3,575	961
		Bukkapuram				1,640	161	1,640	161		
38	88	Vipparla	C 1 1 2½	11,695	7,549	1,635	1,795	4,635	2,595	6,135	2,99[illegible]
		Chaharavaripalem				1,500	400				
		Reddipalem				1,500	400	1,500	400		
		Kottapalli				1,500	400				
112	90	Vuppumagulur	C 1 2	7,945	5,290	2,444	607	3,244	907	4,044	1,307
		Somavarappad				800	300				
		Kotavaripalem				800	400	800	400		

Exd. Md. Pahilwan.

412
28.6.99

Revenue Departme[illegible]

Read Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, No. 99, dated 10th April 1899 (C.No. 2751 of 1898)

Note:-

With the paper read above the Board of Revenue submits the schemes for the revision of village establishments in the taluks of Narasaraopet, Nandigama and Bezwada in the Kistna District.

2. Grouping of villages:- There are 266 survey villages in the three taluks. They are now formed into 287 Karnams' and as many Munsifs' charges. The Collector proposes to group 48 villages both for Karnams' and Munsifs' charges and 13 villages for Karnams' charges alone. The distance limit of 1½ miles prescribed for Munsifs' charges has been exceeded only in four cases, but separate Munsifs have not been considered necessary in these cases as the villages have either a very small population or none at all. Four of the 13 villages proposed to be amalgamated for Karnams' charges alone not being sufficiently important in point of beriz and population, the Board considers that they may be grouped for Munsifs

Para 2 of B.P. 99 10-4-99 (Current)

× appendix B.

⊙ appendix C.

× Para 10 of the rules at p. 17 of G.O. 251 4-5-98

Para 3 of B.P. 99 10-4-99 (Current)

⊗ Karnam group No. Village.
Nandigama taluk
69. Patapad.
64. Ramachandrunipet.
54. Linigandam.
Bezwada taluk
1. Jakkampudi.

Para 3 of BP 99 10-4-99

charges also, notwithstanding the fact that they are situated at a greater distance than 1½ miles from the villages with which they are to be clubbed. These proposals may be approved.

8. Subdivision of villages:- The Collector proposes to appoint twenty-two separate munsifs - twentyone for the hamlets which are situated at a greater distance than 1½ miles from the central villages and one for the hamlet of Kowravelliped in Kavaraipet group no. 68 in the Narasarapet taluk on the ground that the river which runs between it and the central village of Pasumarru is unfordable for several days after a heavy rain. The board however disallows the separate munsifs provided by the Collector for the four* hamlets noted on the margin. It proposes also that in group no. 66 of Narasaraopet taluk, the hamlet of Jagannayakulla may be clubbed with Kambodivaripalem which will be constituted a separate Munsif's charge as per Appendix A. By this change the main Munsif's charge (Polur) will have only one taluqdari instead of two taluqdaris provided by the Collector in the proposition [illegible]. It is submitted that these modifi-

para 7 of B.P. 99 10-4-99 & Appendix E

* Remark from Para 7

Name of hamlet	
3. — Nandigama taluk - Sivapuram	
Narasaraopet taluk -	
18 — Turakavada	
63 — Rajanapalem	
81 — Subbayapalem	

Para 7 of B.P. 99 10-4-99 Appendix E

modifications may be approved.

4. The Board rightly points out that in group No. 12 of the Narasaraopet taluk Rajupalem should be fixed as the central village instead of Degavanudi as the former has a larger area and population than the latter. The same remark applies to Katsavaram in group No. 62 of the same taluk and to Munagapadu in group No. 25 of the Bezwada taluk. This may be pointed out to the Board.

Appendix E

Appendix B.

5. The Collector has been called upon to report on the feasibility of effecting a further reduction in the number of Munsifs' and Karnams' charges as indicated in Appendix D. This report may be awaited.

Para 4 of B.P. 99 10-4-99

6. Karnams:- The total number of karnams proposed for the three taluks is 212 and their cost Rs. 1,888 per mensem as detailed below:-

Para 5 of do

For the rate of pay vide para 2 of B.P. 268 10-10-98 in P.P. 4357 7-11-98.

No. of Karnams.	Rate of pay. Rs.	Total monthly cost. Rs.
153	8	1,224
50	10	500
7	12	84
2	15	30
212		1,838

Para 6 of B.P. 99 10-4-99

7. Assistant Karnams:- There are nine Karnam groups in the three taluks the basic of which exceeds Rs. 8000. It is proposed to appoint an Assist-

...isbagh Zemindari on Rs. 3 per mensem for each of them as has been done in all the recent revisions. The cost amounting to Rs. 54 per annum may be sanctioned.

8. Munsifs:- The total number of Munsifs proposed by the Collector is 247. The Board has disallowed eight Munsifs as stated in paras 2 and 3 supra. The cost of the 239 Munsifs required for the three taluks is Rs. 1,418 per mensem as shown below:-

No. of Munsifs	Rate of pay Rs.	Total monthly cost. Rs.
84	5	420
97	6	582
52	7	364
5	8	40
1	12	12
239		1418

9. Vonigars:- There are at present 78 Vonigars in the three taluks. At all the recent revisions, Vonigars have been allowed for Munsifs' charges having a basis of Rs. 8000 and upwards. It is accordingly proposed to appoint an Assistant Munsif or Vonigar on Rs. 5 for each of the six groups noted on the margin. This may be sanctioned. It is also proposed to sanction a Vonigar for Bezwada taluk the basis of which is only Rs. 1654 on the ground that it is a sizable town and that an Munsif's court will soon be held there. This may also be sanctioned.

Para 3 of B.P. 99 10-4-99

For rate of pay vide para 3 of B.P. 250 19-9-98 & in G.O. 75 27-1-99. Q.

Vide statement in the margin of para 13 of B.P. 99 10-4-99

Para 3 of B.P. 250 9-9-98 & in G.O. 75 27-1-99 Q.

Karnam Group No.	Name of village.	Basis
	Narasaraopet taluk-	Rs
71	Rupinagudita	8512
	Nandigama taluk-	
54	Penukanchiprolu	8502
	Bezwada taluk-	
11	Idupugallu	8306
14	Kankipadu	9900
17	Kolavennu	16588
22	Kunderu	8186

Narasaraopet taluk.

Vide para 9 of B.P. 99 10-4-99

Para 10 of G.O. 52 21-1-90. R

As the Collector & Bd are satisfied I submit Govt may be acquiesced 31-1-99

Para 10 of B.P. 99 10-4-99 (current).

The Collector also recommends the appointment of Vonigars for Ellamanchili Nadella and Pesumarru the basis of each of which is less than Rs. 8000 but no reason has been assigned for the special treatment. The Board supports the Collector's recommendation. As Vonigars are intended to assist Munsifs in collection work, it is submitted that a further report may be called for as to the necessity for the appointment of Vonigars for these three charges.

10 Vettis:- The total number of vettis allowable for the 239 Munsifs' charges as per scale is 459 and their cost Rs. 1836 per mensem as shown below:-

Munsifs' charges.	No. of vettis for each Munsif's charge.	Total No. of vettis.
84	1	84
97	2	194
52	3	156
5	4	20
1	5	5
239		459

459 x Rs. 4 = Rs. 1836.

For the scale vide para 3 of B.P. 250 9-9-98 & in G.O. 75 27-1-99 Q

11 Talayaris:- There are seven Munsifs' charges in the three taluks the population of each of which is less than 200 and no talayaris are allowable for them as per scale. The total number of talayaris required for the remaining 232 Munsifs' charges is 304 as shown below:-

Munsifs' charges.	No. of talayaris for each.	Total No. of talayaris.
173	1	173
51	2	102
3	3	9
5	4	20
232		304

Rs.
As per scale vide para 3 of B.P. 250 19-9-98 & in G.O. 75 27-1-99.

19

16

C

5

2

...ooll-ctor has provided extra
...alyeri in this area. The total
...number of talyeri will
...ecome 56 against 50 shown in
...ara no. 1
...ecessary. It will be still
...ll be a talyeri to 200 acres
...ltre in the whole. This will be-
...ome the under number of talyeris peaking it thus 9. The total
...no. of talyeris will be 512 at
...cost of Rs. 1212 per annum.

12. Peons:- The number of ni-
...ants required for the 12 talu-
...s remains to be 12. This may be-
...onsidered.

13. The proposals of the Collector
...re modified by the Superintendent
...ntailing an increase in
...he cost of the existing establish-
...ent. If as proposed in para 9 supra three monigars be disallowed pending further report there will be a decrease of Rs 4,632 per annum as shown below:—

Village officers.	No	Existing cost per annum. Rs.	No	Proposed cost per annum Rs
Kamams	267.	23772 -	212 -	22056
Asstt Kamams.	-	.. -	9 -	648
Munsifs	267.	15528 -	239 -	17016
Monigars	73.	4224 -	7.	420
Vettis	555.	20484 -	459.	22032
Talayaris	506.	18720 -	312 -	14976
Nirganties	31.	1116 -	43.	2064
	1699	83844	1281	79212

Rs 83844 — Rs 79212 — Rs 4632.

J.R. 11/5/99.

Vide statement on the margin of para 11 of BP 99 10-4-99.

in the Bezwada taluk.

Vide para 30 of BP 99 10-4-99.

Para 12 of BP 99 10-4-99

Vide statement on the margin of para 13 of BP 99 10-4-99

(2) Proc. 26 dtd 22-10-98 T 527

P.D.S 75a 27-1-99, 108b 10-2-99 & 173c 10-3-99.

Reqn

All the proposals for Kistna now complete? How does the total cost work out & how does it compare with the assets of the P.S. Fund

WBK
3/11/181

Do the Underscretary

There are 12 taluks in Kistna. Proposals have already been received for Sattenapalle[a], Vinukonda[a], Guntur[a] & Bapatla[b]. The current deals with Nansarapet, Nandigama & Bezwada. Proposals are still due for the following five taluks:- Ienali, Indivada, Palnad, Bandar & Nuzvid.

C.R. 3/6/99.

Secy

It is therefore not possible to judge the proposals as a whole yet. The Board's proposals may if is submitted be approved for the present subject to any orders that may seem necessary when the proposals for the district are co

WBK
3/6/99

Reference drafts and minutes below

Revenue Deptt

Read B.P. No 998 10th April'99
(C.No 2751 of 1899)

Ref G.O. Prcdgs No. 412 dy 28th June 1878

The Board's proposals are approved & sanctioned subject to any modification that may be found necessary when the schemes for the whole District are completed.

2- The Govt observes that Kalavaram in Kanam Group No 62 of the Narasapatam taluk and Bheemagapad in Group No 23 of the Bezwada taluk should be fixed as Central villages.

fw. 28/6/99

Issue

To The Board of Revenue (C.R.)
& Accts General
Copy to the Financial Deptt

with the enclosures returned

Encls. 12 - 7.99

45/4

C.R.
2/6/99

Government (1899) of Madras.

REVENUE.

Recd. } 1899. *Enclosures*

Regd. } 1899. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 412, 28th June 1899.

JUNE.

Revision of Village Establishments.

Approving and sanctioning, with remarks, the Board's proposals for the — in the taluks of Narsaraopet, Nandigama and Bezwada in the Kistna district.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 99, dated 10th April 1899 :—

Read—the following letter from R. MORRIS, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 15th November 1898, Dis. No. 6492-Genl. :—

In continuation of this office Dis. No. 4956-Genl., dated 23rd August 1898, I have the honour to forward herewith, for favour of approval, the following enclosures in connection with the proposals for the revision of village establishment in Narasaraopet taluk :—

(*a*) Proposition statement with the abstract prescribed in Board's Proceedings, No. 250 (Land Revenue), dated 19th September 1898.

(*b*) Sketch.

(*c*) Comparative statement of the present and proposed establishment with the financial result thereof.

(*d*) Statement of clubbings proposed.

(*e*) Statement of additional establishment required other than munsifs.

(*f*) Abstract statement showing the number in each grade of the present and proposed establishment.

(*g*) Statement of important hamlets requiring separate munsifs.

(*h*) Statement of irrigation works for which nirgantis are required.

(*k*) Statement showing the hamlets found in the printed survey list, but omitted in the proposition statement.

(*l*) Statement showing the financial result of the revision.

2. There are at present 93 Government villages in the taluk, and the number is reduced by one on account of the clubbing of Dandamudi with Manikondavaripalem. The proposed clubbing was published in the District Gazette of August 1898, and also in the villages. The karnam of Dandamudi submitted an objection petition to me as well as to the Board which was transferred to me with its Proceedings, Routine No. 1056 (Land Revenue), dated 26th April 1898. He does not put forth any valid reasons to support his objection, but only states that the village of which he is the karnam is more important in every respect than Manikondavaripalem with which it is proposed to be clubbed. The Board will observe from the statistics furnished herewith that the petitioner's argument is incorrect. He further suggests that in case clubbing is considered necessary, his village (Dandamudi) may be constituted the parent village. Manikondavaripalem is a more important village than Dandamudi. There does not seem therefore any good reason to alter the clubbing I have proposed.

3. Hamlet-munsifs are proposed for all hamlets at a distance of more than $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles from the parent villages. Karnam's group No. 63, Pasumarru, has an important hamlet (Komaravallipad) at a distance of half a mile between which and the parent village there is the Ogeru, a very large stream carrying down a large volume of water for some days after heavy rains when it will be unfordable. The hamlet is on its very edge and is flooded sometimes when the village officers residing in the parent village

cannot go to it. A separate hamlet-munsif is absolutely necessary for this hamlet and I request that the Board will be pleased to recommend one as a special case. I have not included it in the proposition statement because the scale does not admit of it. If the Board is not pleased to sanction this addition, the alternative course will be to place this hamlet under the munsif of Purushottapatam (Map No. 77) close to it and on the same side of the stream.

4. The number of servants likely to be thrown out of employ is 248—

(*a*) Karnams	1
(*b*) Monigars	55
(*c*) Vettis	62
(*d*) Talayaris	107
(*e*) Nirgantis	23
Total ...	248

The creation of assistant karnams and hamlet-munsifs would give employment to 33 out of 56 karnams and monigars to be thrown out of employ. There is only a net reduction in the other three grades of 192 men, whom it will not be possible to provide for in any other taluk. Proposals for the Sattenapalli and Vinukonda taluks which adjoin this on the northern and western sides have already been submitted to the Board which has submitted them to Government for sanction with certain modifications. In both these taluks large reduction is contemplated. Proposals for the Palnad taluk bordering Narsaraopet or the north-western side are not yet ripe, but I may assure the Board that there will be no opening for men thrown out in other taluks, under any circumstances, in spite of the fact that the Palnad borders on the Nizam's Dominions, nor is it likely that the men to be thrown out in this or any other taluks will go to distant villages as mohatads or vettis on Rs. 4. I therefore request that the Board will be pleased to sanction retention of these supernumerary men in their present places on their *present* salaries till they are absorbed, removed on other grounds, or till their death. The Divisional-officer will select which of two servants shall be regarded as the founder of the new service family and which shall be the supernumerary.

5. The financial result of the revision is a net reduction of Rs. 7,044 in the cost of the establishment.

Read—the following letter from R. MORRIS, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 8th December 1898, Dis. No. 6862-Genl. :—

I have the honour to forward, for your approval, the undermentioned enclosures in connection with the proposals for the revision of village establishment in Nandigáma taluk :—

(*a*) Proposition statement with the abstract prescribed in Board's Proceedings, No. 250 (Land Revenue), dated 19th September 1898.

(*b*) Taluk map.

(*c*) Statement of clubbings proposed.

(*d*) Statement showing the important hamlets for which separate munsifs are provided.

(*e*) Statement showing the present and proposed number and emoluments of each class of village servants.

(*f*) Comparative statement of the existing and proposed establishment according to grades in each class.

(*g*) Statement of irrigation works for which nirgantis are provided.

(*h*) Statement showing the hamlets and villages that disappeared subsequent to last survey.

(*k*) Statement showing the additional establishment provided for.

(*l*) Statement showing the financial result of the revision.

2. The total number of villages as per printed survey list is 120. Of these, 9 depopulated villages have already been clubbed with other villages (*vide* Enclosure H) and the clubbings are shown on the map by thick black ink borders. Thirty-four villages are now proposed to be clubbed with others. The net number of karnams' charges remaining is thus 77. The salaries of the karnams have been revised with reference to the scale laid down in Board's Proceedings, No. 268 (Land Revenue), dated 10th October 1898. Sixteen of the clubbings proposed have been, and the rest 18 will now be, notified in the Gazette. A report will be submitted to the Board on receipt of objections, if any.

3. The number of munsif's charges proposed is 88 including 11 hamlet-munsifs. No hamlet-munsifs have been proposed for the karnams' charges Nos. 8 and 37, the population being very small although the distance between the parent villages and hamlets is more than 1½ miles in each case.

4. As regards assistant karnams and monigars, vettis and talayaris, the scale has been fully applied except in the following:—

Jaggayyapet and Nandigáma, which are the head-quarters of the Deputy Tahsildar and the Tahsildar, are allowed one additional talayari each, and karnam's groups Nos. 8 and 60, whose population is below 200 each are also allowed one talayari each on the recommendation of the Superintendent of Police, the reason assigned in the case of the two latter being that the villages are on the borders of the Nizam's dominions.

5. Nirgantis are proposed only for eleven villages.

6. The net result of the revision is a reduction of 118 men as particularized below:—

Karnams and assistant karnams	33
Monigars	6
Munsifs	23
Vettis, talayaris and nirgantis	56
Total ...	118

There is no prospect of these men being provided for in this or any other taluk. Proposals have been submitted for Sattenapalli and those for Bezwada are ready for submission. In these two taluks there is a net reduction, so that the surplus men in Nandigáma cannot be provided for anywhere. As already recommended by me in respect of the surplus men in Guntúr, Vinukonda, Sattenapalle and Narasaraopet taluks, these supernumerary men in the grades of talayaris and vettis should be kept on on their present salaries till absorption into the ranks or till removal or death.

Read—the following letter from R. MORRIS, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 9th December 1898, Dis. No. 6863-Genl.:—

I have the honour to forward herewith the following enclosures in connection with the proposals to revise the village establishment of Bezwada taluk:—

(*a*) Proposition statement.

(*b*) Taluk plan.

(*c*) Statement showing the number of proposed and present village establishment.

(*d*) Statement of clubbings proposed.

(*e*) Statement showing the irrigation works for which nirgantis are provided.

(*f*) Statement showing the additional establishment proposed.

(*g*) Comparative statement of the existing and the proposed village establishment arranged according to grades in each class.

(*h*) Statement showing the important hamlets for which separate munsifs are provided.

(*k*) Statement showing the villages and hamlets that disappeared subsequent to last settlement.

(*l*) Statement showing the financial result of the revision.

2. The total number of Government villages in the taluk as per printed survey list is 73, and the number of karnam's charges as per Enclosure A is only 44, thus showing a decrease of 29 which is made up of—

Villages clubbed with others at the last revision	10
Villages proposed to be clubbed now (*vide* enclosure D)	19
Total ...	29

Some of the clubbings proposed have been, and the remainder are being, notified in the District Gazette. The result will be reported in due course for orders.

3. Additional munsifs have been provided for two villages, thus raising the number of munsif's charges to 46.

4. An extra monigar has been proposed for Bezwada in excess of the number provided in the scale (*vide* Enclosure F).

5. The population of Zupudi and Jangalapalli is below 200 each, but the villages being surrounded by hills and jungles, a talayari is provided for each village on the recommendation of the Superintendent of Police, another talayari is proposed for Bezwada which is the head-quarters of the Tahsildar, the Head Assistant Collector and other superior officers.

6. In all other respects the Anantapur scale has been adopted and the salaries of the karnams have been revised with reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 268 (Land Revenue), dated 10th October 1898.

7. The result of the proposed revision will be a reduction of 54 men in the taluk—

Karnams and assistant karnams	15
Munsifs	17
Monigars	2
Vettis, talayaris and nirgantis	20
Total ...	54

The number in the last three grades is so small that no special provision need be made for them other than what I have suggested in the schemes for other taluks. Karnams, munsifs and monigars are as a class land-owners and there is no need to make special provision for them.

8. All the proposed clubbings have been distinctly marked on the plan in red, blue and green colours. Villages Nos. 73 and 74, 39 and 40, 9 and 11, which were clubbed together during the last revision, have been also coloured on the map, and I therefore request that they may not be confounded with the present clubbings distinctly marked.

Read—the following letter from R. MORRIS, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 17th January 1899, Dis. No. 177-R. Genl.:—

Referring to this office Dis. No. 6863-Genl., dated 9th December 1898, submitting proposals for the revision of village establishment in Bezwada taluk, I have the honour to state that, on reconsideration, I find it more convenient to club both Vidyadharapuram and Bhavanipuram (karnam's group No. 43) with Bezwada, and to request that the necessary alterations may be made in the proposition statement and the statement of clubbings submitted with the above letter. The proposed change will be notified and the result reported in due course.

Resolution—No. 99, dated 10th April 1899.

With his letters read above, the Collector of Kistna submits schemes for the revision of village establishments in the taluks of Narasaraopet, Nandigáma and Bezwada in continuation of his proposals for the four other taluks dealt with in the papers quoted in the margin.*

* Guntúr and Vinukonda—Government Order, No. 75, Revenue, dated 27th January 1899.
Sattenapalle—Government Order, No. 173, Revenue, dated 10th March 1899.
Bápatla—Government Order, No. 108, Revenue, dated 10th February 1899.

2. *Grouping of villages.*—The total number of survey villages in the three taluks named above is 286,† of which 19 (9 in Nandigáma and 10 in Bezwada) have been clubbed with others as shown in appendix A on the ground that they have already become depopulated. All the survey villages will be indicated separately in the proposition statements submitted with these proceedings. The Collector is requested to state whether the area and beriz of the depopulated survey villages have been included in the figures reported by him for the principal villages.

† Taluks.	Number of villages.
Narasaraopet	93
Nandigáma	120
Bezwada	73
Total ..	286

3. In the three taluks under reference, there are at present 267 karnams' charges, each of which forms also a munsif's charge. The Collector proposes to reduce the number to 212 for karnams and 247 for munsifs as shown in the margin‡. Forty-two villages have been clubbed with others for both karnams' and munsifs' charges (*vide* appendix B) and 13 villages solely for karnams' charges (*vide* appendix C). Four § out of the 42 villages are situated at a greater distance than 1½ miles from their proposed central villages; but, as they either have a very small population or none at all, the Collector has properly refrained from providing them with separate munsifs. Similarly, four‖ of the 13 villages mentioned in appendix C do not appear to be of sufficient importance in point of beriz and population combined to be entitled to separate munsifs, and the clubbing proposed by the Collector in these four cases may be extended to the munsifs' charges also. In the third case it is also to be noted that the principal village will have a monigar to assist the munsif.

‡ Taluks.	Existing number of karnams' or munsifs' charges.	Number of villages clubbed both for karnams' and munsifs' charges.	Number of villages clubbed for karnams' charges.	Number of additional munsifs for hamlets.	Number of charges proposed by the Collector. For karnams.	Number of charges proposed by the Collector. For munsifs.
Narasaraopet ..	93	1	..	21	92	113
Nandigáma	111	24	10	1	77	88
Bezwada	63	17	3	..	43	46
Total ..	267	42	13	22	212	247

Map number.	Karnam's group number.	§ Village.	Distance.	Beriz.	Population.
		Nandigáma taluk—	MLS.	RS.	
30	8	Timmapuram	3½	1,001	27
18	37	Gudimetlapalem	2	888	20
93	68	Kurugantivari Khandiga.	2	272	..
		Bezwada taluk—			
32	20	Navepotavaram	2	339	..

Map number.	Karnam's group number.	‖ Village.	Distance.	Beriz.	Population.
		Nandigáma taluk—	MLS.	RS.	
13	69	Patanpad	2	1,073	56
72	64	Ramachendrunipet ..	2	579	139
81	54	Lingagudem	2¼	794	206
		Bezwada taluk—			
50	1	Jakkampudi	2	426	95

4 In Appendix D the Board has suggested a reduction in the number of munsifs' and karnams' charges, partly by revising the clubbings proposed by the Collector, and partly by applying to certain new cases the principles of clubbing usually followed in the revision of village establishments. Where the beriz of each of two neighbouring villages, including their hamlets, is under Rs. 2,000, or where the two are so situated as to form a compact block, the Board thinks that clubbing may be resorted to provided the distance limit is not exceeded and the efficiency of the village administration is not likely to be sacrificed. The Collector is requested to report on the Board's proposals in Appendix D and to notify in the District Gazette such of them as meet with his approval. Pending the receipt of his report, the Board has not altered the total of the establishments with reference to Appendix D.

* Taluks.	Number of karnams on Rs. 8.	Rs. 10.	Rs. 12.	Rs. 15.	Total number.
Narasaraopet	59	29	3	1	92
Nandigáma	65	11	1	..	77
Bezwada	29	10	3	1	43
Total ..	153	50	7	2	212

5. *Karnams.*—The number of karnams provided by the Collector under each of the grades of pay recently sanctioned is given in the margin.* The Collector's proposals may be adopted for the present. The monthly cost on this account will be Rs. 1,838.

Karnam's group number.	† Village.	Beriz.	Population.
		RS.	
	Narasaraopet taluk—		
18	Ellamanda	8,238	3,181
57	Nadella	13,806	3,564
63	Pasumarru	8,432	2,959
71	Rupinaguntla	8,512	2,752
	Nandigáma taluk—		
54	Penukanchiprolu	8,502	4,165
	Bezwada taluk—		
11	Idupugallu	8,306	1,468
14	Kankipad	11,101	3,126
17	Kolavennu	16,588	2,335
22	Kunderu	8,184	1,728

6. *Assistant karnams.*—The Collector proposes nine assistant karnams on Rs. 6 each per mensem for the villages named in the margin.† The provision is in accordance with the prescribed scale and may stand.

Karnam's group number.	‡ Name of hamlet.	Distance.	Beriz.	Population.
	Nandigáma taluk—	MLS.	RS.	
3	Sivapuram	2	261	110
	Narasaraopet taluk—			
18	Turakavada	2¼	500	300
63	Raganapalem	2	600	300
81	Subbayapalem	2¼	400	300

7. Appendix E shows the cases in which hamlets are provided by the Collector with separate munsifs in consideration of their distance from the central villages. The proposals may be approved except in the four cases noted in the margin.‡ The first of the excepted hamlets, Sivapuram in the Nandigáma taluk, is too insignificant for a separate munsif's charge. The second hamlet, Turakavada, has a beriz of only Rs. 500 and a population of 300, and can well be managed by the munsif of Ellamanda, who will draw Rs. 7 and will have a monigar to assist him (*vide* paragraph 9 *infra*). A similar remark applies to the third hamlet, Raganapalem, as its head village, Pasumarru, will also have a monigar (*vide* paragraph 9 *infra*). The fourth hamlet is situated in a light munsifs' charge and therefore need not have a separate munsif.

In group No. 12 of the Narasaraopet taluk shown in Appendix E, Rajupalem should be fixed as the central village, as it has a larger beriz and population than the principal village of Degaramudi.

In group No. 65 of Narasaraopet taluk the hamlets of Jaggamvaripalem and Tanuboddivaripalem may be clubbed together for an additional munsif's charge as the distance between them is less than half a mile.

In the covering letter relating to the Narasaraopet taluk, the Collector also recommends a separate munsif for the hamlet of Komaravallipad on the ground that, although it is only half a mile distant from its central village of Pasumarru (Map No. 59), it is sometimes flooded by the Ogeru river which is unfordable for several days after a heavy rain. The Board supports this proposal.

Taluk.	Number of munsif-monigars						
	On Rs. 5.	On Rs. 6.	On Rs. 7.	On Rs. 8.	On Rs. 10.	On Rs. 12.	Total.
Narasaraopet	36	43	32	..	..	..	113
Nandigáma	37	39	12	..	..	..	88
Bezwada	17	15	10	3	..	1	46
Total ..	92	97	54	3	..	1	247
As modified by the Board	84	97	52	5	..	1	239

8. *Munsif-monigars.*—According to the Collector's proposals, the total number of munsif-monigars will be 247, inclusive of the munsif recommended for Komaravallipad in the Narasaraopet taluk (paragraph 7 *supra*). Deducting from this number the munsifs' charges disallowed in paragraphs 3 and 7 *supra*, the balance will be 239 at a monthly cost of Rs. 1,418.

9. *Monigars.*—The Collector provides six monigars for the six groups named in the margin, the beriz of each of which exceeds Rs. 8,000, the minimum required for the appointment of a monigar. There is no objection to this provision. The Collector is, however, requested to report whether in the two following cases it will not be better to dispense with the monigars and appoint separate munsifs for the larger hamlets. There will be a slight extra charge in consequence of the extra vettis and talayaris, but the efficiency of the village administration will, no doubt, be improved:—

Karnam's group number.	Villages.	Beriz.	Population.
		Rs.	
	Narasaraopet taluk—		
71	Rupinaguntla	8,512	2,752
	Nandigáma taluk—		
54	Penukanchiprolu ..	8,502	4,165
	Bezwada taluk—		
11	Idupugallu	8,306	1,468
14	Kankipad	9,900	2,745
17	Kolavennu	16,588	2,335
22	Kunderu	8,184	1,728

Group number.	Beriz.	Population.	Villages.	Distance.	Proposed sub-division.	
					Beriz.	Population.
	Rs.		Narasaraopet taluk—		Rs.	
71	4,312	1,152	Rupinaguntla	C	5,512	1,752
	600	200	Turakapalem	1½		
	600	300	Kammavaripalem	1		
	3,000	1,000	Dettavaram	1½	3,000	1,000
			Bezwada taluk—			
14	2,900	1,556	Kankipad	C	2,900	1,556
	6,600	373	Punadipad	½	7,000	1,189
	400	816	Gollagudem	1		

	Rs.
* Revenue	1,854
Population	20,741

For Bezwada *, which is a rising town and where the work is too much for a single munsif, an extra monigar may be allowed as recommended by the Collector.

Group number.	—	Beriz.	Population.
		Rs.	
18	Ellamanda	6,538	2,181
57	Nadella	7,806	2,564
63	Pasumarru	7,232	1,959

Special monigars are also proposed by the Collector for the villages of Ellamanda, Nadella and Pasumarru in the Narasaraopet taluk, although the beriz of the principal munsif's charge in each case is below Rs. 8,000. These proposals may be sanctioned. Nadella, and its hamlet Jastivaripalem, shown in Appendix E, may preferably be constituted separate munsifs' charges † in which case a monigar for the principal village will be unnecessary. The Collector is requested to report on this point.

† —	Distance.	Beriz.	Population.
	Mls.	Rs.	
Nadella	C	8,806	1,564
Jastivaripalem	1½	4,000	1,000

10. *Vettis.*—The total number of vettis that can be allowed to the 239 munsifs' charges (*vide* paragraph 8 *supra*) in accordance with the prescribed scale is 459 at a monthly cost of Rs 1,836.

11. *Talayaris.*—Applying the scale laid down in paragraph 3 of Board's Proceedings, No. 250, dated 19th September 1898, the total number of talayaris allowable for the 232 munsifs' charges (excluding seven groups with a population of less than 200 each) is 304. The Collector provides extra talayaris in the nine cases noted in the margin. He states that the extra provision in the case of groups Nos. 8 and 60 in Nandigáma taluk and of Nos. 13 and 43 in Bezwada taluk has been made on the recommendation of the Superintendent of Police and on the ground that the two former villages are situated on the borders of His Highness the Nizam's Dominions and the two latter are surrounded by hills and jungles. In four other cases the villages concerned are the head-quarters of either the Tahsildar or the Deputy Tahsildar. These proposals may be approved. As regards the remaining case, viz., group No. 1 of Bezwada, the combined population is 207, and the group will be entitled to a talayari under the scale. No extra talayari is therefore necessary in this case. The total number of talayaris will be 312.

Group number.	Village.	Revenue.	Population.	Number of talayaris.	
				Under the scale.	Extra.
		Rs.			
	Narasaraopet taluk—				
59	Narasaraopet	3,875	5,309	4	1
	Nandigáma taluk—				
8	Chennaraopalem ..	2,275	186	..	1
26	Jagayyapet	4,159	9,717	4	1
51	Nandigáma	2,791	3,064	3	1
60	Ravirala	1,002	171	..	1
	Bezwada taluk—				
1	Ambapuram	989	112	..	1
3	Bezwada	1,854	20,741	4	1
13	Jangalapalle.	445	192	..	1
43	Zupudi	1,224	179	..	1

* Narasaraopet	..	2
Nandigáma	..	14
Bezwada	..	27
Total ..		43

† Village officers.	Existing.		Proposed.	
	Number of hands.	Cost per annum.	Number of hands.	Cost per annum.
		Rs.		Rs.
Karnams	267	23,772	212	22,056
Assistant karnams ..	..	..	9	648
Munsifs'	267	15,528	239	17,016
Monigars	73	4,224	10	600
Vettis	555	20,484	459	22,032
Talayaris	506	18,720	312	14,976
Nirgantis	31	1,116	43	2,064
Total ..	1,699	83,844	1,284	79,392

12. *Nirgantis.*—The Collector proposes to entertain 43 * nirgantis for the three taluks in question. He states that ten of the men provided for the Nandigáma taluk will not be entertained until the areas concerned are actually brought under the irrigation of the Muniyeru channel. The number proposed by the Collector may therefore be sanctioned.

13. The net result of the Collector's proposals and the modifications proposed by the Board except those in appendix D is a decrease of Rs. 4,452 in the cost of the existing establishment. The details are shown in the margin.†

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Acting Secretary.

* To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with statements and sketches to be returned.
" Collector of Kistna.

APPENDIX A.

Statement showing the villages already grouped.

Map number.	Included in karnam's group number.	Name of village.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Beriz.	Population.	Reasons for the grouping.
		Nandigama taluk.	ACS.	ACS.	RS.		
1 66	7	Budavada	6,419	2,008	2,760	473	Depopulated.
67		Tripuravaram	..	..	..	..	
2 131	13	Detsupalem	1,698	1,366	1,496	321	Do.
132		Virabhadrunipalem	..	..	..	..	
3 17	21	Gudimetla	6,339	4,146	1,746	173	
		Lakshmipuram	..	..	964	474	
		Ramannapet	..	..	1,073	357	
60		Katrenipalli	..	..	..	..	Do.
4 126	44	Makkapet	2,589	1,476	2,198	938	
125		Hassanbad	..	..	..	..	Do.
5 104	19	Pallagiri	2,090	1,312	1,491	495	
105		Satyavaram	..	..	..	..	Do.
6 71	64	Takkellapad	2,041	1,473	1,135	404	
70		Garikipad	..	..	..	..	Do.
7 76	65	Tirumalagiri	3,353	2,775	1,975	264	
77		Torraguntapalem	..	..	..	..	Do.
8 38	70	Teattannavaram	1,746	1,425	1,209	250	
109		Ramapuram	..	..	..	..	Do.
9 40		Lakshmipuram	..	..	..	..	Do.
		Bezwada taluk.					
1 15	5	Gaddamanugu	1,316	873	416	252	
20		Grandhivaripalem (one mile)	..	..	158	..	Do.
2 74	7	Gangur	1,186	654	3,335	836	
73		Lanjalanka	..	..	..	..	Do.
3 50	1	Jakkampudi	788	193	426	95	
51		Nuzellavanam	..	..	..	..	Do.
4 16	23	Madhavaram	1,271	839	274	152	
19		Penchikalapad	..	..	256	..	Do.
5 17	23	Munagapad	1,417	1,099	203	184	
18		Gavaravaram	..	..	350	20	Depopulated.
6 39	43	Zupudi	2,109	1,288	1,224	179	The village of Saidabad is erroneously stated by the Collector to have been clubbed with Trilochanapuram instead of with Zupudi.
40		Saidabad	..	..	..	..	Depopulated.
7 5	37	Tummalagunta	1,528	983	793	240	
6		Gannavaram					
8 9	41	Vellatur	8,447	3,435	4,480	1,150	
		Duddepad	..	..	..	..	Depopulated.
9 48	34	Vemavaram	1,378	714	1,104	..	
49		Kondaguraza					Do.
10 54	3	Vidyadharapuram	1,521	951	1,157	..	
56		Venkataramanagaram	..	..	..	..	Do.

APPENDIX B.

Statement showing the cases in which villages are proposed to be clubbed both for Karnam's and Munsif's charge.

Map number.	Karnam's group number.	Names of villages.	Distance.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Beriz.	Population.	Clubbing proposed by the Collector: for karnam's charge. Beriz.	for karnam's charge. Population.	for munsif's charge. Beriz.	for munsif's charge. Population.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Narsaraopet taluk.	MILES	ACS.	ACS.	RS.		RS.		RS.	
57	51	Manikondavaripalem	C	921	740	1,513	498	2,834	770	2,834	770
58		Dandamudi	½	793	626	1,321	272				
		Nandigama taluk.									
31	1	Allur	C	2,740	1,977	2,736	1,146	4,389	1,673	4,389	1,673
32		Peddapuram	1¼	1,907	1,649	1,653	527				
68	4	Annavaram	C	1,407	1,011	668	425	1,135	788	1,135	788
69		Balusupad	1½	1,374	675	467	363				
29	8	Chennaraopalem	C	1,459	904	1,274	159	2,275	186	2,275	186
30		Timmapuram	3½	2,438	756	1,001	27				
139	11	Potsavaram	C	605	421	417	90	*2,281	*1,168	852	187
140		Gangavalli	¾	471	435	435	97				
112	12	Damalur	C	1,745	1,413	1,693	671	2,242	890	2,242	890
114		Torragudipad	1	667	497	549	219				
43	27	Jammavaram	C	1,993	886	920	198	1,572	371	1,572	371
42		Gokarazupalli	1½	690	610	652	173				
127	31	Kannevid	C	2,074	1,140	1,431	663	*2,658	*1,472	2,041	1,041
128		Chinamodugupalli	1¼	794	584	610	378				
54	33	Ketaverunipad	C	1,151	873	1,235	643	2,064	911	2,064	911
53		Aitavaram	1½	1,287	925	829	268				
100	34	Kollikulla	C	1,280	1,048	1,255	422	2,188	845	2,188	845
99		Subbayyagudem	½	837	778	933	423				
57	37	Konayapalem	C	3,420	2,755	1,530	1,386	3,804	2,020	3,804	2,020
		Bellamkondavaripalem	½	..	..	413	260				
		Khandrapadu	¼	..	..	770	200				
58		Brahmabhotlapalem	1½	336	190	203	154				
18		Gudimetlapalem	2	961	751	888	20				
88	41	Lingalapad	C	865	717	752	601	2,978	1,010	2,978	1,010
87		Takkellapad	1	1,117	969	1,234	357				
94		Latsapalem	½	871	733	992	52				
146	42	Machinenipalem	C	920	832	648	271	2,718	1,157	2,718	1,157
147		Kakaravaya	1¼	1,680	1,262	1,187	679				
115		Tallur	1½	1,105	901	883	207				
150	53	Nevali	C	2,165	1,741	1,463	782	1,845	1,088	1,845	1,088
149		Ummadidevipalli	1½	625	524	382	306				
45	58	Ponnavaram	C	1,579	1,194	1,313	288	2,859	691	2,859	691
46		Perakalapad	¾	1,001	761	994	263				
44		Jagannadhapuram	1½	585	459	552	135				
74	62	Sheir Mahomedpet	C	1,287	789	1,416	1,058	2,064	1,083	2,064	1,083
75		Ravukampad	1	913	817	648	25				
111	63	Somavaram	C	2,073	1,428	1,746	530	2,407	962	2,407	962
107		Rudravaram	1	1,032	706	661	432				
96	66	Totacherla	C	1,663	1,382	1,554	331	2,803	648	2,803	648
95		Munagacherla	1½	1,053	890	1,249	317				
91	68	Tsandapuram	C	1,647	1,427	1,628	409	1,910	409	1,910	409
93		Kurugantivari Khandrika	2	367	274	272	..				

* *Vide* Appendix C.

APPENDIX B—*cont.*

Statement showing the cases in which the villages are proposed to be clubbed both for Karnam's and Munsif's charge—*cont.*

Map number.	Karnam's group number.	Names of villages.	Distance.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Beriz.	Population.	Clubbing proposed by the Collector for karnam's charge. Beriz.	for karnam's charge. Population.	for munsif's charge. Beriz.	for munsif's charge. Population.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Nandigama taluk—cont.	MILES.	ACS.	ACS.	RS.		RS.		RS.	
38	70	Tsattannavaram	C	1,746	1,425	1,209	250	2,247	595	2,247	595
37		Tsavutapalli	1½	1,330	1,116	1,038	345				
122	74	Vemavaram	C	956	737	952	397	1,460	421	1,460	421
121		Rebbavaram	¾	514	441	498	24				
		Bezwada Taluk.									
15	5	Gaddamanugu	C	1,316	873	574	252	1,062	252	1,062	252
21		Loya	1½	3,874	556	488	..				
41	10	Ibrahampatnam	C	8,529	2,252	2,205	1,578	2,529	1,712	2,529	1,712
42		Tummalapalem	1½	554	495	324	134				
14	19	Kondur	C	2,587	1,493	1,153	1,258	2,307	1,682	2,307	1,682
24		Pinapaka	1¼	901	791	1,154	424				
36	20	Kotikalapudi	C	1,590	443	858	364	1,566	517	1,566	517
35		Katsavaram	1¼	871	640	369	153				
32		Navepotavaram	2	2,629	533	339	..				
83	22	Kunder	C	1,850	1,664	7,796	1,462	8,184	1,728	8,184	1,728
84		Kandulampad	¾	235	223	388	266				
16	23	Madhavaram	C	1,271	839	530	152	1,083	356	1,083	356
17		Munagapad	¾	1,417	1,099	553	204				
12	25	Mutyalampad	C	685	609	1,106	314	1,767	502	1,767	502
10		Chegireddipad	1½	1,680	418	661	188				
69	27	Nidamanur	C	1,361	1,187	2 757	845	4,042	845	4,042	845
70		Done Atkur	1¼	1,029	781	1,285	..				
66	31	Prasadampad	C	517	452	529	314	2,344	1,564	2,344	1,564
68		Enikepad	1½	1,038	761	1,247	653				
65		Ramavarappad	½	696	611	568	597				
80	32	Proddutur	C	1,179	704	3,331	1,024	5,452	1,249	5,452	1,249
81		Konatanipad	1½	416	323	2,121	225				
28	34	Tadepalli	C	3,012	1,361	1,510	780	2,614	780	2,614	780
48&49		Vemavaram Kondaguraza ..	1	1,378	714	1,104	..				
86	35	Tenner	C	1,664	1,378	4,335	1,139	7,291	1,777	7,291	1,777
		Komatigunta		..	..	1,500	265				
87		Maredumaka	1¼	611	553	1,456	373				
38	36	Trilochanapuram	C	1,997	1,092	608	258	2,211	946	2,211	946
		Peddammapalem	1¼			550	100				
		Patura				300	80				
37		Mulapad				753	508				
4	37	Tsandrala	C	2,912	2,022	2,292	979	3,085	1,219	3,085	1,219
5 & 6		Tummalagunta Gannavaram ..	1¼	1,528	983	793	240				
54	3	Vidyádhárapuram	C	1,521	951	1,157	..	*3,733	*21,102	1,879	361
53		Bhavanipuram	1¼	883	678	722	361				

* *Vide* Appendix C.

APPENDIX C.

Clubbing proposed by the Collector for Karnam's charge only.

Map number.	Karnam's group number.	Names of villages.	Distance.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Beriz.	Population.	Proposed by the Collector for munsif's charge. Beriz.	for munsif's charge. Population.	for karnam's charge. Beriz.	for karnam's charge. Population.
		Nandigama taluk.	MILES.	ACS.	ACS.	RS.		RS.		RS.	
142	11	Dabbakupalli	C	1,990	1,410	1,429	981	1,429	981	2,281	1,168
139 & 140		Potsavaram	2	605	421	417	90	852	187		
		Gangavalli	2¼	471	435	435	97				
84	14	Doddadevarapad	C	1,294	891	1,209	803	1,269	803	2,113	1,21
85		Vairadhariannavaram	2	912	710	904	414	904	414		
133	17	Gandrayi	C	2,818	1,928	2,046	1,587	2,046	1,587	3,256	1,741
134		Butsavaram	1¾	1,907	1,441	1,210	154	1,210	154		
106	19	Gollamudi	C	2,101	1,737	1,944	608	1,944	608	3,235	1,103
104		Pallagiri	2	2,090	1,812	1,491	495	1,491	495		
26	20	Gottimukkala	C	7,501	2,627	4,262	1,132	4,262	1,132	5,290	1,393
27		Narasimharaopalem	1¾	2,651	685	1,028	261	1,028	261		
127 & 128 & 135	31	Kannevid and Chinamodugupalli ..	C	2,868	1,724	2,041	1,041	2,041	1,041	2,658	1,472
		Peddamodugupalle	2¼	891	684	617	431	617	431		
130	46	Mangollu	C	1,786	1,420	1,486	1,014	1,486	1,014	2,194	1,364
129		Gopinenipalem	2	855	589	708	350	708	350		
82	54	Penuganchiprol	C	9,660	5,713	7,708	3,959	7,708	3,959	8,502	4,165
81		Lingagudem	2¼	1,040	807	794	206	794	206		
71	64	Takkellapad	C	2,041	1,473	1,135	404	1,135	404	1,714	543
72		Ramachendrunipet	2	947	757	579	139	579	139		
14	69	Tsandarlapad	C	3,367	2,508	2,803	2,421	2,803	2,421	3,876	2,477
13		Patempad	2	1,244	859	1,073	56	1,073	56		
		Bezwada taluk.									
57	1	Ambapuram	C	1,075	1,034	989	112	989	112	1,415	207
50		Jakkampudi	2	788	193	426	95	426	95		
79	14	Kanuipad	C	2,301	1,674	2,900	1,556	9,900	2,745	11,101	3,126
		Punadipad	½	..	..	6,600	373				
		Gollagudem	1	..	..	400	816				
78		Gosala	1¾	..	..	1,201	381	1,201	381		
58	3	Bezwada	..	3,048	1,672	1,854	20,741	1,854	20,741	3,733	21,102
53 & 54		Bhavanipuram Vidyadharapuram* ..	..	2,404	1,629	1,879	361	1,879	361		

* Vidhyadharapuram being uninhabited, Bhavanipuram should be made the central village for the munsif's charge.

APPENDIX D.

Map number.	Collector's proposal.				Village.	Distance of hamlets.	Beriz.	Population.	Board's proposal.				Remarks.
	Munsif's charge.		Karnam's charge.						Munsif's charge.		Karnam's charge.		
	Beriz.	Population.	Beriz.	Population.					Beriz.	Population.	Beriz.	Population	
	Rs.		Rs.		*Narasaraopet Taluk.*	MILES.	Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		
20	2,007	707	2,007	707	Zaladi	C	1,807	657	2,007	707	4,005	1,586	
					Sekúrivaripalem ..	¾	200	50					
21	1,998	879	1,998	879	Jaggapuram	..	1,998	879	1,998	879			
52	2,648	940	2,648	940	Irlapad	C	1,448	740	2,648	940	4,563	1,927	
					Aminsahibpalem ..	¾	1,290	200					
53	1,915	987	1,915	987	Appapuram	..	1,915	987	1,915	987			
54	4,071	1,130	4,071	1,130	Kavur	..	4,071	1,130	5,703	1,674	5,703	1,674	The distance between the two villages being under 1 mile, they may perhaps be administered by a common village establishment.
55	1,632	544	1,632	544	Lingamgunta	..	1,632	544					
65	3,673	1,537	3,673	1,537	Anantavaram	..	3,673	1,537	5,013	2,054	5,013	2,054	The distance between the two villages is not shown either in the Collector's sketch or in the printed taluk map; if they are not very near, they should be clubbed only for the karnam's charge.
66	1,340	517	1,340	517	Suravarappalli	..	1,340	517					
81	1,857	490	1,857	490	Munumaka	..	1,857	490	1,857	490	4,826	1,513	
82	1,169	523	2,969	1,023	Annavaram	C	1,169	523	1,169	523			
	1,800	500			Tungapad	2	1,800	500	1,800	500			
101	1,620	574	1,620	574	Koppérapad	..	1,620	574	1,620	574	4,286	1,543	
102	2,666	969	2,666	969	Vaidana	..	2,666	969	2,666	969			
					Nandigama Taluk.								
3	1,405	402	1,405	402	Munnalur	..	1,405	402	1,405	402	2,888	827	Distance 2½ miles: a corner of the Nizam's Dominions intervenes: but there does not seem to be any other objection to clubbing the two villages for karnam's charge.
10	1,483	425	1,483	425	Amaravaram	..	1,483	425	1,483	425			

APPENDIX D—*cont.*

Map number.	Collector's proposal.				Village.	Distance of hamlets.	Beriz.	Population.	Board's proposal.				Remarks.
	Munsif's charge.		Karnam's charge.						Munsif's charge.		Karnam's charge.		
	Beriz.	Population.	Beriz.	Population.					Beriz.	Population.	Beriz.	Population.	
	Rs.		Rs.		*Nandigama Taluk*—cont.	MILES.	Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		
15	2,585	546	2,585	546	Pokkunur	..	2,585	546	4,350	847	4,350	847	Distance 1½ miles.
16	1,765	301	1,765	301	Kasarabad	..	1,765	301					
51	2,412	1,504	2,412	1,504	Raghavapuram	..	1,865	1,004	3,903	1,999	3,903	1,996	Distance 1½ miles; Raghavapuram to be the central village.
					Kammavaripalem ..	½	1,047	500					
104	1,491	495	3,435	1,103	Pallagiri	..	1,491	495					
105					Satyavaram	..	Extinct.						
106	1,944	608			Gollamudi	C.	1,944	608	4,351	1,570	4,351	1,570	If Rudravaram is made the central village the others will be within the distance limit.
111	2,407	962	2,407	962	Somavaram (C)	..	1,746	530	C.				
107					Rudravaram	..	661	432					
71	1,135	404	1,714	543	Takellapad (C)	..	1,135	404	1,135	404	4,200	1,289	
70					Garikipad	..	Extinct.						
72	579	139			Ramachandrunipet ..	2	579	139	3,065	885			Distance between 1½ and 1¾ miles, Anumanchi palle to be the central village.
73	2,486	746	2,486	746	Anumanchipalle ..	..	2,486	746	C.				
76	1,975	264	1,975	264	Tirumalagiri	..	1,975	264	2,537	783	3,537	783	If Chillakallu is the central village, the distance of its hamlets will not be affected by the clubbing; the distance between the principal villages is under 1½ miles.
77					Torraguntapalem ..	..	Extinct.	..					
79	1,562	519	1,562	519	Chillakallu	..	1,350	284					
					Patachillakallu ..	½	187	200					
					Dharmavaram	1½	25	35					
84	2,834	776	2,834	776	Pochempalle	..	2,834	776	2,834	776	5,607	1,530	Distance 1 mile, Gunduboyinapalem to be the central village for karnam's charge.
85	2,773	754	2,773	754	Gunduboyinapalem ..	..	2,264	404	2,773	754			
					Bandipalem	1	509	350					
100	2,188	845	2,188	845	Kollikulla	..	1,255	422	3,747	1,072	3,747	1,072	If Kollikulla is the central village, each of the other principal villages will be only one mile away from it and the hamlet of Venkatapuram will not be too far.
99					Subbayagudem ..	½	933	423					
101	1,559	227	1,559	227	Venkatapuram	..	1,407	147					
					Ponnavaram	1	152	80					

APPENDIX D—*cont.*

Map number.	Collector's proposal.				Village.	Distance of hamlets.	Beriz.	Population.	Board's proposal.				Remarks.
	Munsif's charge.		Karnam's charge.						Munsif's charge.		Karnam's charge.		
	Beriz.	Population.	Beriz.	Population.					Beriz.	Population.	Beriz.	Population.	
	Rs.		Rs.		*Nandigama taluk*—cont.	MILES.	Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		
103	4,486	1,072	4,486	1,072	Magallu	..	4,486	1,072	5,826	1,282	5,826	1,282	Distance under 1½ miles. Magallu to be the central village.
115	1,340	210	1,340	210	Kondur	..	1,340	210					
124	1,824	644	1,824	644	Chittela	..	924	394	4,730	1,932	4,730	1,932	If Makkapet is the central village, the others will be within the distance limit. Gopinenipalem which the Collector proposes to club with Mangollu for the karnam's charge is 2 miles from that village.
					Singavaram	½	900	250					
126 125	2,198	938	2,198	938	Makkapet	..	2,193	938					
					Hassanbad	..	Extinct.						
129	708	350	2,194	1,364	Gopinenipalem	..	708	350					
130	1,486	1,014			Mangollu	..	1,486	1,014	2,982	1,335	2,982	1,335	Mangollu to be the central village. Distance under 1½ miles.
131	1,496	321	1,496	321	Detsupalem	..	1,496	321					
127	2,041	1,041	2,658	1,472	Kannevid	..	1,431	663	2,041	1,041	4,862	2,156	All the four villages may form a karnam's charge with Indugapalle as the central village.
128					Chinnamodugupalle ..	1¼	610	378					
135	617	431			Peddamodugupalli ..	..	617	431	2,821	1,115			
136	2,204	684	2,204	684	Indugapalle	..	1,779	334					
					Ramachandrapuram ..	¾	425	350					
133	2,046	1,587	3,256	1,741	Gandrayi	..	2,046	1,587	3,256	1,741	3,256	1,741	Distance between village sites is stated by the Collector to be 1¾ miles; according to the taluk map the two sites are practically one; if so, they may be clubbed for the munsif's charge.
134	1,210	154			Butsavaram	1¾	1,210	154					
142	1,429	981	2,281	1,168	Dabbakupalle	..	1,429	981	1,429	981	3,862	1,868	Gangavalli is the middle village of the three to be clubbed together for the munsif's charge, but it is too small to be central. If Lingala is the central village, Potsavaram the most remote village will be 2 miles away; but it is an insignificant hamlet.
139 140	852	187			Potsavaram	..	417	90	2,440	887			
					Gangavalli	..	435	97					
141	1,588	700	1,588	700	Lingala	..	1,588	700					
150 149	1,845	1,088	1,845	1,088	Nevali	..	1,463	782	1,845	1,088	4,173	1,856	
					Ummadidevupalle ..	1½	382	306					
151	2,328	768	2,328	768	Konijerla	..	2,328	768	2,328	768			

APPENDIX E.

Cases in which additional munsifs are proposed by the Collector for hamlets.

1	Map number.													
2	Karnam's group number.													
3	Villages and hamlets.		Distance.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Beriz.	Population.	For Munsif's charge.		For Karnam's charge.				
								Beriz.	Population.	Beriz.	Population.			
			4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	11			
	Nandigáma taluk.			ACS.	ACS.	Rs.		Rs.		Rs.				
119	Anipallapad	..	C 2	5,134	4,378	4,269	1,564	4,269	1,564	4,530	1,674			
	Sirapuram	..	C 2	6,319	3,814	261	110	261	110					
	Karasarapet taluk.													
82	Annavaram	..	C 2			1,169	523	1,169	623	2,969	1,023			
2	Tungapad	..	C 2			1,800	500	1,800	500					
	Dasaripalem	..	1			1,557	351	2,057	751	2,863	1,176			
	Buchipapanapalem	..	1¼			250	200							
11	Ramireddipalem	..	1¼	3,837	2,615	250	200							
	Kariagunta	..	1¼			806	425	806	425					
42	Degaramudi	..	C 2	4,976	3,719	956	458	956	458	2,956	1,658			
114	Rajupalem	..	C 2½	5,795	3,651	2,000	1,200	2,000	1,200	2,543	1,426			
12	Edavalli	..	C 1¼			1,343	1,026	1,343	1,026					
74	Kattubadipalem	..	2			1,200	400	1,200	400					
	Elkamanda	..	1¼			4,788	981	6,038	1,881	8,238	2 181			
	Guruvayapalem	..	2			1,000	600							
	Turakapalem	..	2¼			250	300	600	300					
	Turakavada	..	2	12,407	7,057	400	200							
50	Bandaruvaripalem ..	..	2			600	300	1,700	1,000					
18	Chintalapalem	..	2¼			800	600							
	Gonepudi	..	C ¼	5,857	3,040	2,536	601	2,536	601	3,556	901			
121	Konanki	..	1			1,000	300	1,000	300					
39	Chimmiribanda	..	C ¾			1,292	729	2,093	1,529	2,692	2,129			
	Kondavidu	..	¾			200	200							
	Martojinnagar	..	¾			300	300							
	Puttakota	..	1	5,222	4,661	300	300							
13	Kotapalem	..	1¼			300	300	600	600					
41	Lal Muhammadapuram ..	..	1½			300	300							
	Singiokhanpet	..	C 1			1,976	1,308	3,178	2,108	4,726	3,108			
	Konelena	..	1¼			450	200							
	Gangapalem	..	1¾			750	600							
110	Kajupalem	..	2	7,199	5,814	750	400	750	400					
	Mallaiyapalem	..	2¼			800	600	800	600					
42	Nakkabokkalapad ..	..	C 1¼			3,806	1,564	7,806	2,564	13,806	3,564			
	Sadula	..	2			4,000	1,000							
57	Jasti varipalem ..	..	2¼	14,937	12,563	6,000	1,000	6,000	1,000					
28	Endugampalem ..	..	C 2	8,229	6,418	1,189	689	1,189	689	3,589	1.489			
	Paruganticherla	..				2,400	800	2,400	800					
44	Mâtavaram	..	C 1½	8,652	5,950	5,832	1,459	7,832	2,659	8,432	2,959			
62	Pasumarru	..	1½			1,200	1,000							
	Komaravilliped*	..	1½			800	200							
	Gudevaripalem	..	1½			600	300	600	300					
59	Ragampalem	..	C ¾	5,691	3,972	4,171	1,091	5,671	1,791	6,671	2,191			
	Polur	..	¾			800	400							
	Chimatavaripalem ..	..	1			700	300							
63	Jaggammavaripalem ..	..	1½			1,000	400	1,000	400					
65	Tanuboddivaripalem ..	..	2			1,521	1,294	1,521	1,294	3,021	2,394			
	Isaipet	..	2	6,596	4,260	1,210	600	1,500	1,100					
	Gopalamvaripalem ..	..	1½			300								
68	Maddirala	..	C 2	2,942	2,777	2,925	1,000	2,925	1,000	3,476	1,482			
73	Sankuratripad	..	2½			651	482	651	482					
	Jagalapalli	..	C			2,502	854	3,032	904	3,482	1,204			
72	Turumella	..	1½	5,901	4,114	500	400							
11	Virvratlam	..	1½			80	150							
	Chittipoluvaripalem ..	..	2½			400	300	400	300					
81	Subbayapalem	..												
90														

* A separate munsif is proposed by the Collector for this hamlet.

APPENDIX E—*cont.*

Cases in which additional munsifs are proposed by the Collector for hamlets—*cont.*

Map number.	Karnam group number.	Villages and hamlets.	Distance.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Beriz.	Population.	For Munsif's charge. Beriz.	For Munsif's charge. Population.	For Karnam's charge. Beriz.	For Karnam's charge. Population.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Narasaraopet taluk—cont.		Acs.	Acs.	Rs.		Rs.		Rs.	
9	83	Tsandavaram	C	3,665	2,326	1,935	800	1,935	800	3,575	961
		Bukkapuram	2			1,640	161	1,640	161		
38	88	Vipparla	C	11,695	7,549	1,635	1,795	4,635	2,595	6,135	2,995
		Chaharavaripalem	1			1,500	400				
		Reddipalem	1			1,500	400	1,500	400		
		Kottapalli	2½			1,500	400				
112	90	Vuppumagulur	C	7,945	5,290	2,444	607	3,244	907	4,044	1,307
		Somavarappad	1			800	300				
		Kotavaripalem	2			800	400	800	400		

Order—No. 412, Revenue, dated 28th June 1899.

Miscellaneous.

The Board's proposals are approved and sanctioned subject to any modification that may be found necessary when the schemes for the whole district are completed.

2. The Government observes that Matsavaram in karnam group No. 62 of the Narsaraopet taluk and Munagapad in group No. 23 of the Bezwada taluk should be fixed as central villages.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) N. E. MARJORIBANKS,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue),
with the enclosures returned.
„ the Accountant-General.
Copy to the Financial Department.

Ed. W. D'Moss.

45 Copies.

for signature.

ched in print.

AGAIN G.O. No. 189 . DATED

ERENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS TO BE FILED BY BEING MEANT FOR PREPARATION IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.