

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4109-10

DATED 20th October. 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Ordering that the Solicitor to the Secretary
of State for India be informed that the
Court of Wards has no further interest
in the Chidambaram Estate.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	568/99						
	189	Revenue	3-2-98				

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No: 5/99

From
The Secretary
Court of Ward S.

Order Min.

No. 863 Misc.

Recorded

Dated 11th August '98.

Received 14th

Registered 15th

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the information
of Govt with reference to
para 3 of C.P. 582 letter
7-6-98
from Rajah T. Rama Rao
High Court Va. Kil in the
matter of bringing the near-
est legal representative
on record in lieu of the
late proprietrix of Chidikad.

18/8/98 Min

From Mr. A. Wilson letter
What did he want to know
& why?

11/8/98

Please see below

29/8/98

86 para 3 seems to be the

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Court of Wards,

Dated 11th August 1898.

No. 863 Routine.
Miscellaneous.

READ the following letter from ^{Rajah} J. Rama Row, High Court Vakil, Chaddas, Dated 2nd August '98.

With reference to your two letters dated the 9th and 25th July 1898, I have the honour to inform you that as ^{per} the instructions given to me by the Rajah of Bobbili in the matter of the appeal now pending before the Privy Council between him & the late Bhavayammuni garu, I presented a petition to the High Court on its reopening after the Summer vacation, reporting the death of the said Bhavayammuni garu and praying that her nearest legal representative may be brought on the record in lieu of the deceased and that the proceedings of the High Court including the said legal representative may be forwarded to Her Majesty's Privy Council.

This petition has not as yet been

posted for hearing. It must first come before a single judge in the admission Court who will order a notice to be issued to the said legal representative. After the service of the notice the petition will again be posted for final orders and I shall let you know when the petition is finally disposed of by the High Court.

Resolution:-

The letter read above will be submitted for the information (of) of Government with reference to para 3 of Courts' Proceedings 582 dated 7th June 1898.

(True Copy & Extract)

Lonel Davidson
Ag. Secretary.

To The Secy. to Govt. R.D.
Copy to Coll^y of Vizagapalam.

Recd
19/9/98

Draft D.O. No. 116
19-9-98

My dear Davidson.

With reference to the Pro.
of the Court of Wards No 8632/11th July 98
re: the Chidikada Priny Council
appeal I am to enquire whether the
Court wishes that the Solicitor,
India Office, should be informed
of its interest ^(if any) in the matter on behalf
of the Pittapore estate.

M
14/9/98
S. B. 18/8

C.D.
12/9/98

Revenue (1898) Department.

G.O. CURRENT No. 149.

From

L. Davidson Esq. S.B.S.
Madras.

Order

G.O. No. 36.

Dated 26 Sept.

Received 28 m

Registered 28 m

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Replying that the Pittapur
ward has no interest in the sub-
ject matter of the Chidihada
Privy Council appeal.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

D. O. No. 36

Revenue Board Office,
(Land Revenue),
MADRAS.

26 September 1898.



My dear Mr. Forbes,
In reply to your
demi-official of the 19th instant, I am
to say that the Pittapur ward has no
interest in the subject matter of the
Chidikaada Privy Council appeal.
Yours sincerely
Daniel Davidson

Under Secretary's remarks on C.No.

5709- Progs.of the Court of Wards, No.803

d/11th August 1898.

" Show Sir A.Wilson's letter. What
did he want to know and why ? "

Sir Arthur Wilson's letter(in G.O.
2220,d/21-5-98) is submitted as directed.
~~It~~ It will be seen that Sir Wilson
reported that the Chidikada appeal now
before the Privy Council was ordered to
stand over on account of the death of the
respondent Sri Inuganti Bhavayyamm Garu,
a ward under the Court of Wards. He
desired that he might be informed as to
the steps taken by the appellant-the Raja
of Bobbili- to bring the successor in
interest of the late ward on the record,

Regr
What do you
mean by this?
mean by it
[so that he might revive the appeal on
behalf of the respondent] In the current
read above, the Court of Wards forwards
submits a letter from Rajah T.Rama Row,
High Court Vakil, Madras, in which the
latter reports that he has presented a
petition to the High Court praying that
the nearest legal representative of the

The portion enclosed within brackets
is incorrect & should have been
deleted. I regret to say.

Ed.
3/9/98.

late S. Bhavayyamm Garu might be brought
on the record in lieu of the deceased and
that he will communicate to the Court of
wards the final orders passed on his petition.

W.S.
26-5-88

Rejoinder
The above note is far
from clear.

The appeal was
by the Rajah of Bobbili. the
Chidabada ~~was~~ ward
being respondent. The
ward having died, the
appeal has abated. It
can be revived by the
Rajah of Bobbili bringing
on the record the Successor
in interest of the ward.

But all we are in-
terested about now is whether
the further prosecution of
the appeal will in any way
concern the Court of wards.
Will it or will it not?

The Ct of wards has
handed over the estate
part to itself as Ct of wards
for the Pittapur estate &
the greater part to Muzanti
Chinna Sitaramayya Garu.

W.S.
31-5-88

With reference to the undersigned
remarks above it is submitted
that Sir Arthur Wilson desired
to be informed of the steps taken
by the Appellant in the direction
of reviving the appeal although
it was possible that the Court
of Wards might have nothing
further to do with the estate.
The concluding portion of his
letter of 27 April 98.

A.
The Court of Wards has still an
interest in opposing the appeal
as a portion of the estate is with
it on behalf of the Pittapur
Minor.

W.S.
3/6/98

Secy

The Court of Wards
may, I submit, be asked
whether it wishes the
India Office Solicitor
informed of its interest
in the matter on behalf
of the Pittapur Estate.

W.S.
5/6/98

W.S.
17/6/98

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. Read Court of Wards Proceedings
No: 863, Mrs. d. 11th August 1898.
(C.No: 5709)
Read again ~~1898~~ No: 116, d. 19th Sept.
1898.
Read also D.O. No: 26, d. 26th Sept. 1898.
Dated 189 .

From

FOR ORDERS.

With reference to D.O.
d. 19th Sept: 1898 enquiring whe-
ther in connection with the Chidrikara
Privy Council Appeal the Court
of Wards wished that the Solici-
tor, India Office, should be in-
formed of its interest, if any,
in the matter on behalf of the
Pittapore estate, Mr. David
Son replies the Pittapore Ward
has no interest in the Subject
matter of the appeal. In his letter
d. 27th April 1898 Sir Arthur
Wilson desired to be informed
of the steps taken by the appellants
in the direction of revising the
appeal, though the Court of wards
might have nothing further
to do with the Estate. Rajah Ruma-
Raw promises to submit a fur-
ther report of the result of his
Application to the High Court

P. 2220
21.5.98. A

Sir A Wilson
his not say
this

[Faint handwritten notes in the left margin, mostly illegible.]

on behalf of the Rajah of Bobbili
in the matter of bringing the
nearest legal representative
on record in lieu of the late
proprietrix of Chidikada.
If the request of Sir Arthur
Wilson is to be complied with, this
further report may be awaited
and the current recorded pending
further report.

FOR ORDERS.

BA
4/10/98
Ley

I think the
Mia misreads Sir A.
Wilson's request. Submitter
that he may be informed
that the Ct. of Wards
has ^{nothing} further to do
interest in with the
estate.

M
6.10.98

Yes
8/10/98

Please read & submit.

BA
17/10/98

Ley

Issue. Get read for despatch by 28

Read Pro. of the Court of Wards No 863 &
of 11 August 1898 (C No 5709).

G.O. No: 4109 of 20th October 1898.

Ordered that the following letter
be despatched to the Solicitor to the
Secretary of State for India be implemented
accordingly 9/11/98

Deposited
20-10-98

No: 4110.

To the Solicitor to the Secretary of State
for India.

Sir.

With reference to your letter
of 27th April 98 I am directed to
state that the Court of Wards has
nothing further ^{interest in} to do with the Chidika
estate.

M
29.10.98

8/11/98

BA
7/10/98