

2-3-17

2.000-5-5-99.

Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 389

DATED 26th January 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording copy of a reference from the Court of
Wards, referring to the Collector of Madura G.O.,
No 652, Revenue, dated 7th November 1898, re-
garding the survey of Sivaganga (Sivan) village.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 8729

24/1/99

From The Secretary,
Court of Wards.

Order mis No 389726 of Jan 4 1899.

Recorded.

Ref No. 119

hll
21-1-99

26/1/99

(See notes)

Dated 19th December 1898.

Received 22.

Registered 22

Enclosures

Spare copies.



SUBJECT.

Referring to the Collector of Madura
G.O. No. 652 Revenue dated 7th Nov. 98
asking for certain information in
Connection with the Survey of
Swaganga Ayan villages.

The Original of the C. G. was
with the Secretary.

hll
21/1/99

hll
21-1-99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go.	155	Revenue	10-1-99
Cuo:	291	of 99	Not Disposed

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Reference on C. W. P.
in replying the above should be quoted.

READ G.O. No: 652 Revenue dated 7th November 1898.
Read also the Official Memorandum No: 247 from the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 12th December 1898.

Reference thereon No: 119. dated 19th December 1898

Referred to the Collector of Madurai for early report generally and with special reference to the following questions:—

- (1) whether the Lessees of the Sivaganga Zamindari will be a gainer by the survey of the Ayan villages under any of the systems of land tenure prevailing therein
- (2) whether the tenants of the villages under any of these tenures will derive any material benefit from it and the terms of the tenancy are such as to make it equitable to recover the whole or a specified proportion of the cost of survey from them.
- (3) whether the estate has been registered in the name of the minor proprietor.
- (4) whether the deeds of the lease of the Ayan

ayan villages contain any and if so what special provision regarding the payment by the Proprietor to the Lessees of the Cost of Survey of the same if it should be undertaken during the period of the Lease;

(5) whether he considers it advisable to survey the Devasthanam and Chattram villages on behalf of the minor proprietor and, if so, what kind of Survey is required and when should it be undertaken?

2. The Collector's attention is drawn to CP No:

9 Press dated 31st October 1898.

Colonel Dainton

Ag. Secretary.

To The Collector of Madura with a copy of G.O. No: 652/98.
Copy to the Secretary to Govt., Revenue Department. ✓

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 8729 of 1898

From The Court of Wards

Ref. 119 Dated 19th December

1898.

FOR ORDERS.

To U.S. Standard

The Court of Wards communicate

G.O. 652/7-11-98 Rev: to the

Collector of Madura with a call for

information in connection with the

proposed survey of the Sivaganga

Zamindari. The G.O. in question refer

to the survey of the Ain villages of

the Zamindari, but having regard to

the consideration that the lessees on

whose application the survey is to be

undertaken are not 'proprietors' with

in the meaning of section 3 (iii) of

Act IV of 1897 (Madras) and that

consequently the lessees cannot

recover the cost of the survey from

the tenants under section 20 of the

Act, it called for information as to

They don't recover the cost from the tenants as a matter of course. The Govt may direct the S.O. to apportion among the lands the whole or a specified portion of the cost. The amt so apportioned shall be recoverable from the tenants.

whether the difficulty could not be
over by having the application
made by ^{the} minor Zamindar through the
guardian after first registering him as
proprietor and making arrangements
with the lessees, as to the cost of the
survey.

Points (1) and (2). Information as to the
extent to which the lessees and the
tenants will gain by the survey is
necessary to determine in what propor-
tion the cost of the survey should be
distributed among them and in this the
Court follows the principles laid down
by it in para 2 of its proceedings No
9431-10-98. It is assumed in G.O. 652/
7-11-98 that the tenants will be
benefited and that the cost of the boundary
stones and hired labour might properly be
recovered from them.

Points (3) and (4) call for no remarks
Point (5) refers to the survey ^{of inam}
villages. There is a proposal that this
should be undertaken at
the cost of the District Board.

3. A draft order recording the current
is put up on the docket.

RS
21-1-99
Secy
21-1-99

FOR ORDERS.

0
18 4,000 -10-98.

Revenue (1899) Department.

D. O. CURRENT No. 17

Order

From

L. Davidson. Esq.
Secy, Board of Revenue -
Land Revenue.

No. —

Dated 19th January 1899

Received 19th " "

Registered 20th " "

Enclosures 1

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding letter from
Mr. Stranack requesting to be
furnished with a copy of G.O
No: 652, Press, of 1898. and further
papers re: Survey of Singanga
Zamindari.

I
R
The assumption is wrong. He
was not dealing with the ap-
portionment of the cost but with
how any cost chargeable to
become recoverable. I noted
this on the file upon BP
vide G.O. 174 & this of 19-9-98.
31/10/98. The Bd's inquiries are
quite proper. J.L. 26-1-99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

500-22-5-97.

Revenue Board Office,
(Land Revenue),
MADRAS,

19-1-1899.

My dear Forbes

I enclose a letter from
Lhanack, asking for a copy
of G.O. 652 about the Swamya
98
Survey.

The only later development is
a B.P. 17. 19th December calling
on the G.O. to report to what
extent the leases & tenants will
respectively profit by the survey &
in regard to one or two other
points, a copy was sent to
Toot (Ref. 119 17. 19-12-98).

I am replying to Shanaack that
the Board cannot give copies without
God's sanction & that I have
sent his letter to you.

Yours sincerely
Leonel Davidson.

5

West March 1899

THE LESSEES
SIVAGURU MEMORIAL
MADURA DISTRICT

MADRAS

16 January 99

My dear Mr. Davidson.
May I have a copy
of Revenue G.O. No. 652
dated 7th November 1898 and
any later development there-
upon.

Believe me
Yours sincerely

pp please P. V. Ramani
Revenue Officer

18 JAN 1899

Submitted.
The Commr of Madras has not yet
replied to the Comr's Reference Order
of the 19th Oct. (Flag J)
H. V. S.

1899
REVENUE DEPT.
Encl. No. 1
D.O. No. 17

Doc No. 17

From *L. Davidson Esq. D. C. S.*

Dated 19. 1. 1897.

FOR ORDERS.

To the Under Secretary.

Mr. Davidson forwards a D.O. from Mr. Stanack asking for copies of C.Ous 652 Rev 47-11-98 and later papers relative to the survey of the St Sivaganga Zamindari. The Board of Revenue has called for certain information in connection with the proposed survey; and the Board's Report ¹¹⁹₁₉₋₁₂₋₉₈ will be found in the file below.

Cus 8729/98.

RS
21-1-99

Secy

The order is an intermediate one. There seems no reason to grant a copy unless it is to correct the mistake of Mr Carrozo about the Lessee's power to recover anything from the tenants

21-1-99

Better say we cannot give it. The shall St had not been finally settled

S/L 22/5

To. C.S.

Please see D.C. to Mr.
Stewart for approval. The file
(linked) ~~has~~ - C.S. 8729/98 -
has not been passed by the Secy.

MS
23-1-99
RS
23-1-99

Secy
23/1/88

FOR ORDERS.

D. C. no. 14

~~Dispatched~~
24-1-99

My dear Davidson.
Williamack.

In reply to your letter
dated 16th Inst.
to Davidson asking for a copy of C.C.
no. 652 ^{any} 7.11.98 Res and further correspondence
about the Sivaganga Survey, I am
to say that copies of the papers
cannot be furnished ^{at present} as the
whole question has not yet
been finally settled by Govt.

Yours sincerely,

RS
23-1-99

MS
23/1/88
23/1/88

Fort St. George
24th January 1899