

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3454

DATED 24th Aug. 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the Board please to
consider whether the proposal may not be substituted
for the proposed verandah to be attached to the Stationary
Sub-Magistrate's Office, Anantapur.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 5063.

From The Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue
Madras.

Order

Ref No. 777

Dated 15th July 1898.

Received 19th

Registered 19th

Enclosures 1.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government
letter from the Collector of
Anantapur furnishing certain
information called for by
Government in re a verandah
to be attached to the Stationary
Sub-Magistrate's Office, Anantapur.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	735	Reo.	23.4.98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Reference on No. of 1898.
In replying the above should be quoted.

(LAND REVENUE.)



Read — Government Order, Revenue Department
No 735 R. dated 23rd April 1898 — and Reference
No 491 dated 2nd May 1898.

— also the following letter from B. Macleod Esq,
Collector of Anantapur R. C. No 4051 of 97 dated
29th June 1898.

With reference to Board's Reference No 491
dated 2nd May 1898, Land Revenue. I have the honour
to submit herewith a sketch of the Taluk Cutcherry
at Anantapur, showing where the proposed Verandah
is to be constructed. The Verandah is necessary to keep
off the Sun, as the heat in the office of the Stationary
Sub Magistrate is great. There is hardly sufficient

in L.O. 735 R of 23.4.98.

Read again L.O. 735 R of 23.4.98.
also B.P. Ref. No. 777 of 15.7.98
(L.O. 5063).

Note.

In a letter dated 23.12.97,
the Collector of Anantapur sug-
gested the construction of a verandah
to the Stationary Sub-Magistrate's
Office ^{at Anantapur} and the excavation of a
new well in the Compound
for the use of the Sub-jail prisoners.
The Board of Revenue supported the
Collector's proposals. On receipt of
the B.P., the Govt. desired the Board
of Rev to obtain and submit a
sketch of the present buildings
showing where the proposed
verandah is to be constructed;
and, with reference to the Collector's
proposal to utilize the verandah
as a ryots' shed, directed that
the collector's attention should be
drawn to the papers printed in
L.O. No 3356 W of 23.11.96 from
which it would appear that it
was in order to prevent the parties
attending the Taluk Cutchies
from crowding into the verandahs.

that the construction of separate ryots' sheds was sanctioned

2. The Collector now forwards the plan called for and ^{reports} ~~observes~~ that the verandah is necessary to keep off the sun, as the heat in the office of the Stationary Sub-Magistrate is great. He adds that there is hardly sufficient room within the Taluk Cutchery Compound for the construction of separate ryots' sheds. The Board of Rs. admits that the fierce heat ^{of Decem.} ~~of Decem.~~ makes the verandah proposed a necessity, and as the existing rules prohibit the erection of temporary pandals, recommends the construction of the verandah proposed, as well as the of the well in the Compound. As regards the necessity for a ryots' shed, the Board observes that the plan submitted shows a site for a ryots' shed which if necessary can be separately reported on and asked for.

3. From the plan submitted it will be seen that the Sub-Magistrate's Office and the Taluk Cutchery

are held in an old building ~~and~~ and that the former office is unprotected by any verandah at its entrance. The proposed verandah appears therefore necessary. But in this connection attention is solicited to B. O. No. 1124 Wd/11.5.95 and the correspondence thereunder, from which it will be seen that the erection of ^{temporary} ~~temporary~~ pandals ~~to keep off the heat~~ is not absolutely prohibited, ~~or~~ but that each of such cases should be left to be decided by the Executive Engineer on its merits. It is not known whether the Executive Engineer's opinion was taken in the present case.

4. The construction of the proposed well may be approved.

^{RS}
6-8-98 There is a recent G.O. 10th withdrawing restrictions on the erection of pandals. Put it up. ^{the} ~~the~~ ⁸⁻⁸⁻⁹⁸

Reference is solicited to ^{the}

115
22-2-98.

The 2nd a/c must be
more careful
8/2
13/8/98

flus. C

87 2-12-97

CS
11-8-98

Levy

A pandal seems quite sufficient in this case. It will be seen that it is proposed to build the verandah on the E. side of the catchery. This will keep off only the morning sun. Presumably the M. is open (i.e. has either doors or windows) on the west side also; otherwise it wd be a dump.

A scandal
might be suggested
to the Bd & when the record
order on the subject
being referred to.

Pandals are as
don't unsightly ^{as a rule,} but with
a little care they can
be made to look neat
enough & they are certainly
infinitely more pleasant cool & shady

Read again fr. $\frac{735^R}{23-4-98}$
also (Cno 5063)

Sp. Luis no 3454 d/24 tháng 9.8.

The attention of the Board of Revenue will be invited to G.O. 3157 W & 11-11-97 Communicated with G.O.

Ex. 6194 Rev & 2-12-97 ⁱⁿ restricting
certain premises rulings ~~and~~
which ~~the Board has~~ are
~~subject~~ to the construction of "public buildings"
~~the erection of public buildings~~ have
round public building buildings have
been withdrawn The Board

The matter left to the discretion of the jury concerned in each case. Will

Each case.
Will now consider in con-
sultation with the collector
whether a pandal ^{or may}
be substituted for the
proposed Veraudah.

RS
20-8-98

22/8/55

2481

To the Board of Rev (L.R).
with map & encls. in
9.6.72

86.8-8.98