

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3416.

DATED 19th Aug. 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

According with remarks Board's Proceedings
reviewing the programme of famine-relief
works for 1898-99 submitted by the Collr
of Distrs.

Paper

BACK PAPERS.

For w

No.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
4238/29							

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1898.

Current No 5334

Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture),

MADRAS.

Recd. 30 July

Regd. 30 -

1898.

Enclosures

Spare copies

Printed July th.

Proceedings, No. 248, 15th July 1898.



G.O. No. 3416 d/19th Aug 1898

JULY.

Recorded.

2. It is observed that the percentage of the population provided for in the Mysore ... is given as 8.1 in para 3 of the B.P. & a. 79, in Col 6 of the appx. The latter appears to be correct. Further the correct percentage for the Dalmat Dalub Shmed apparently is 21.5 & not 21 as stated in para 3 of the B.P. To the B. of Rev. (Rev. Sett) P.W. S. L. M. Dept.

620.22-8.98

Famine-relief works.—Reviewing the programme of — for 1898-99 submitted by the Collector of Kistna.

24/7/98

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(REVENUE SETTLEMENT, LAND RECORDS AND AGRICULTURE).

READ—the following letter from R. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement and Director of the Department of Land Records and Agriculture, dated Masulipatam, 28th May 1898, Ref. No. 808-Genl. :—

I have the honour to submit herewith the programme of famine-relief works for 1898-99 in the prescribed forms A, B and C.

2. The number of persons for whom relief is provided falls short of 20 per cent. of the population in Guntūr, Sattenapalle and Nuzvid and slightly in Nandigāma. Narasaraopet and Bezvada, which adjoin Guntūr and Nandigāma, respectively, can make up the deficiency in the latter taluks out of the increased provision made for the former and the cooly population of the upland portion of Nuzvid can migrate to the Delta tracts thereof where there is always ample demand for labour. The provision for Sattenapalle is alone very low owing to paucity of irrigation works, and the Superintending Engineer and the Local Fund District Engineer will be requested to propose some more works, if possible.

RESOLUTION—No. 248, dated 15th July 1898.

The abstract of the programme of famine-relief works for 1898-99, forwarded by the Collector of Kistna with his letter read above, will be submitted for the information of Government.

2. The programme is confined to the upland portions of the District. The following table shows the character of the works and the sum provided for them in lakhs of rupees :—

Classes of works.	Large works.		Small works.	Total.
	Sanctioned.	Unsanctioned.		
Irrigation works	0.15	4.21	0.26	4.62
Forest-fencing	..	..	0.64	0.64
Road works	1.39	4.28	0.11	5.78
Drinking-water supply	5.26	..	0.35	5.61
Miscellaneous works	..	0.16	0.41	0.57
Total	6.80	8.64	1.33	16.77

A list of additional irrigation projects, which have not been investigated, is given at the end of the programme and a rough estimate of 7.51 lakhs is put down for them.

It appears doubtful, however, whether the eleven * large new irrigation projects to cost 4.21 lakhs, which are included in the programme, should not also have been noted as additional works only, as it does not appear whether the projects are in a forward state of preparation or no.

3. The total estimated cost of the works included in the programme amounts to Rs. 17,36,828 and they are considered sufficient to employ 20.38 per cent. of the

Taluks.	Percent- age.
1. Palnad	31.7
2. Vinukonda	26.8
3. Narasaraopet	30.7
4. Guntūr	12.25
5. Sattenapalle	10.1
6. Bezvada	21.1
7. Nandigāma	19.4
8. Nuzvid	8.1
9. Tiruvūr	37.2

population of the tracts concerned for a period of three months. The percentage of the population provided for in each taluk is shown on the margin. The large proportions against the first three taluks are due to the inclusion in the programme of the irrigation projects mentioned above. If these works cannot be relied on as being available immediately for relief purposes, they should be omitted from the programme, and then the percentages in the three taluks referred to above would

be reduced to 21, 11.0 and 13.6, respectively. As regards the additional irrigation projects and the irrigation works shown in the programme, the Collector's attention will be drawn to the last sentence of instruction (5) on page 40 of the Famine Code.

4. Bearing these facts in mind, it appears that the provision in the programme is adequate in only Palnad, Bezvada and Tiruvūr. The proposal of the Collector to meet the deficiency in Guntūr from the excess in Narasaraopet is not feasible in the circumstance mentioned above. In the Deltas, however, there is always a large demand for labour, and to these the labourers of the upland tracts could resort in the time of distress. The Collector should, however, take the necessary steps to augment the provision in the taluks where it falls short of the minimum. It is observed from statement B that, out of eleven Public Works Department irrigation works requiring repair in the Palnad taluk and two such works in Vinukonda, provision has been made in the programme for only one work in each taluk.

The provision made for the improvement of drinking water-supplies except in Nuzvid, and the provision under Land Improvement works to be carried out with the aid of State loans is very small.

5. Estimates are reported to be ready for works to cost Rs. 8,17,738 or 47.3 per cent. of the total provision excluding loans works. Of the large works to cost Rs. 15,44,935, estimates have been sanctioned for works to cost Rs. 6,80,000, and an estimate has been prepared for one other work to the value of Rs. 15,000. For only one irrigation project,—completion of the Muniyeru project in the Nandigāma taluk to cost Rs. 15,000,—has an estimate been prepared. That work is a sanctioned work. The Collector should endeavour to get estimates ready for as many of the large works as possible and to bring them on the sanctioned list.

6. The provision in the Tiruvūr (Vissannapet) Division consists in the construction of two road works, 34 miles long, at an approximate cost of 1.70 lakhs; these are neither sanctioned nor estimated for. In the programme for the preceding year, the provision for this Division amounted to Rs. 1,00,509 embracing two road works, 46 miles long to cost Rs. 92,000 and some miscellaneous works to cost Rs. 8,509. The Collector was asked (*vide* paragraph 9 of the report in G.O., No. 528, Revenue, dated 3rd July 1897) to get estimates to the amount of Rs. 50,000 for the most useful of these works, prepared and sanctioned at once, and he promised to lose no time in getting this done. The miscellaneous works have now been omitted and the provision under roads increased; but the preparation of estimates still remains in the same stage as last year, notwithstanding the instruction given in paragraph 2 of the Government Order quoted above.

7. The following discrepancies are noticed in the statements submitted by the Collector :—

Form A (1).—The total amount under new irrigation works in the Palnad taluk has been in the first place erroneously entered as Rs. 11,19,000 instead of as Rs. 1,11,900; in the second place, this latter figure, purporting to represent the total of large irrigation works, contains Rs. 3,000 being the cost of restoring the new tank of Pinnali which should have been treated as a small work within the meaning of

4 BOARD OF REVENUE (REV. SETT., L. RDS. AND AGRI.), No. 248, 15TH JULY 1898.

section 59 of the Famine Code. This erroneous classification has been corrected in the Board's office and the entries revised in column 5 of Form C against unsanctioned large, and small, works in Palnad.

(2) The entries in Form C for the current year and in the programme for the previous year show that an estimate has been got ready for the Nallacheruvu tank work in Guntur, while, in Form A for the current year, it appears as a work for which no estimate is ready. The omission of the estimate from the last-mentioned account is possibly due to an oversight.

(3) The entries in Form A should have been totalled for each taluk and finally for the District.

Form C (1).—The figure in column 5 against small works in Vinukonda should be 2,569, not 2,137.

(2) The calculations of percentages shown in column 6 have been corrected in the Board's office.

(3) The entries in columns 18 and 19 against unsanctioned large works in the District total should have been repeated in columns 22 and 23.

The necessary corrections have been carried out in the Board's office and should be made also in the Collector's office copies of the abstract.

(True Copy and Extract.)

for Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Collector of Kistna (D.Os.—1ahrs.).

BOARD OF REVENUE (REV. SETT., L. RDS. AND AGRI.), No. 248, 15TH JULY 1898. 5

APPENDIX.
Form C.—Abstract statement of programme of famine-relief works in the Kistna District for the year 1898-99.

Taluka.	Population by last census in thousands.	Maximum number of persons for whom relief may be required daily.	Persons provided for by relief works daily for three months.				Irrigation works.				Forest-fencing works.		Road works.			
			Class of work.	Number provided for.	Total percentage of population.	New.		Repairs.		Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Miles.	New.	
						Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15		
Palnad ..	142	28,400	Large—	14,033	31.74	..	..	..	..	..	Rs.	2	33	Rs.		
			Sanctioned ..	27,833		..	1,08,900	..	..	..	..	..	..	52,000		
			Not sanctioned ..	3,088		..	3,000	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,00,000		
			Small ..	80		..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..		
			Land improvements ..	45,084		..	1,11,900	..	6,000	..	1,500	..	..	..		
Vinukonda ..	82	16,400	Total ..	..	..	5	6	1	10	11	12	13	14	15		
			Large—	6,374	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			Sanctioned ..	12,980	..	97,200	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			Not sanctioned ..	2,569	..	11,000	..	3,600	..	4,000	..	..	..	..	..	
			Small ..	80	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Narasaraopet ..	156	31,200	Land improvements	21,983	26.81	..	8	12	1	4,000	..	..	..	..		
			Total ..	..	..	1,08,200	..	3,600	..	4,000	..	..	..	..		
			Large—	19,710	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			Sanctioned ..	26,665	..	2,00,000	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			Not sanctioned ..	1,564	..	..	..	6,181	..	2,000	..	..	..	..	..	
Guntūr ..	167	33,400	Small ..	80	..	..	..	15	..	..	..	..	..	..		
			Land improvements	48,020	30.71	..	1	15	1	2,000	..	..	..	..	..	
			Total ..	..	..	2,00,000	..	6,181	..	2,000	..	..	..	..	..	
			Large—	12,887	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			Sanctioned ..	2,000	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Sattenapalli ..	139	27,800	Not sanctioned ..	5,672	..	..	29	19,008	..	..	..	..	..	..		
			Small ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			Land improvements	20,459	12.25	..	..	..	..	19,008	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Total ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Large—	8,192	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Sattenapalli ..	139	27,800	Sanctioned ..	4,400	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..		
			Not sanctioned ..	1,455	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Small ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Land improvements	14,047	10.11	..	1	7	1	6,512	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Total ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

Abstract statement of programme of famine-relief works in the Kistna District for the year 1898-99—cont.

Taluka.	Road works--cont.			Miscellaneous works.								Grand total.		Works for which estimates are ready.	
	Repairs.		Number.	Drinking-water supply.		Others.		Total...		Number.	Approximate cost.	Number.	Cost.		
	Number.	Amount.		Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.						
Palnad	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27			
	3	Rs. 53,477	..	..	..	..	..	..	5	Rs. 1,05,477	5	Rs. 1,05,477			
	1	6,176	23	7,490	..	..	23	7,490	6	2,08,900	25	18,666			
	..	..	..	..	3	600	3	600	27	23,166	..	..			
Total ..	4	59,653	23	7,490	3	600	26	8,090	41	3,38,143	30	1,24,143			
Vinukonda	2	47,810	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	47,810	2	47,810			
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	6	97,200	..	..			
	..	..	3	666	..	..	3	666	19	19,268	16	8,966			
	..	..	..	..	3	600	3	600	3	600	..	..			
Total ..	2	47,810	3	666	3	600	6	1,266	29	1,64,576	18	56,776			
Narasaraopet	4	1,47,829	..	..	..	..	..	..	4	1,47,829	4	1,47,829			
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	2,00,000	..	..			
	..	..	3	3,430	5	125	8	3,555	24	11,733	17	9,231			
	..	..	..	..	3	600	3	600	3	600	..	..			
Total ..	4	1,47,829	3	3,430	8	725	11	4,155	32	3,60,165	21	1,57,020			
Guntur	4	96,658	..	15,000	..	..	..	15,000	4	96,658	4	96,658			
	..	22,787	1	..	..	..	1	..	1	15,000	1	15,000			
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	33	41,795	33	41,795			
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Total ..	8	1,19,445	1	15,000	..	..	1	15,000	38	1,53,453	38	1,53,453			
Sattenapalli	3	61,441	..	..	..	..	..	..	3	61,441	3	61,441			
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	33,000	..	..			
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	9	10,912	7	6,512			
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Total ..	3	61,441	..	..	..	..	..	..	13	1,05,353	10	67,953			

Abstract statement of programme of famine-relief works in the Kistna District for the year 1898-99—cont.

Taluka.	Population by last census in thousands.	Maximum number of persons for whom relief may be required daily in the event of serious famine.	Persons provided for by relief works daily for three months.				Irrigation works.				Forest-fencing works.		Road works.	
			Class of work.		Number provided for.		New.		Repairs.		Number.		New.	
			Large—Sanctioned Not sanctioned Small Land improvements	Total percentage of population	Number provided for.	Total percentage of population	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Borwada	106	21,200	Large—Sanctioned	8,354	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Not sanctioned	9,333	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Small	4,440	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Land improvements	266	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Nuvvid	154	30,800	Total	22,393	21.13	..	..	19	13,300	1	3,000	..	..	..
			Large—Sanctioned	8,473	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Not sanctioned	6,000	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Small	2,800	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Nandigama	127	25,400	Land improvements	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Total	12,273	7.97	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Large—Sanctioned	17,810	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Not sanctioned	3,324	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tiruvur	61	12,200	Small	3,176	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Land improvements	533	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Total	24,643	19.40	..	..	20	9,117	..	..	..	..	..
			Large—Sanctioned	22,666	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total for the district.	1,134	226,800	Not sanctioned	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Small	115,202	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Land improvements	24,664	..	..	..	103	63,718	..	10,900	..	..	..
			Total	231,568	20.38	..	..	103	63,718	5	10,900	13	160	5,67,935

Reviewing the programme of famine relief works for 1898-99 submitted }
Collection of Reshia. (Self)
From the Board of Revenue

FOR ORDERS.

To Under Secretary

There are two errors in paper 3 of the B.P. In the percentages transcribed ^{on the margin of the paper} from Column 6 of the appendix, 1st - figure against Nuyind should be 7.97 instead of 8.1. The reduced percentage for Palsad in the body of the paper should be 21.5 instead of 21. Should these errors be pointed out?

Estimated in the statement Rs 3,38,143
 Deduct amount of in- } 1,08,900
 gation projects shown
 in para 2 }
 Remainder Rs 2,29,243

will give employment to $\frac{229,243 \times 7}{15}$
 $= \frac{458486}{15} = 30566$ persons for
 three months.

ee months
1420) 30566 (215
2840
2166
1420
7460
7100

ns
17-8-98

on dachel hu
18/8/51

for
apostrophe
of
nada

Abstract statement of programme of famine-relief works in the Kistna District for the year 1898-99—*cont.*

Abstract statement of programme of famine-relief works in the Kistna District for the year 1898-99 - cont.

Taluka.	Road works-cont.			Miscellaneous works.						Grand total.		Works for which estimates are ready.
	Repairs.		Number.	Drinking-water supply.		Others.		Total.		Number.	Approximate cost.	
	Number.	Amount.		Number.	Amount.	Number.	Amount.					
								Number.	Amount.			
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Boravda	2	Rs. 62,653	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	Rs. 62,653	2	Rs. 62,653
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	70,000	..	..
	..	..	10	9,000	2	8,000	12	17,000	32	33,300	9	6,300
	..	..	..	..	10	2,000	10	2,000	10	2,000	..	..
Total ..	2	62,653	10	9,000	12	10,000	22	19,000	45	1,67,963	11	68,958
Nasrid	1	15,179	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	26,019	2	26,019
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	45,000	..	21,000
	..	..	17	21,000	..	..	17	21,000	17	21,000	17	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total ..	1	15,179	17	21,000	..	..	17	21,000	20	92,019	19	47,019
Nandigama	1	40,848	..	..	..	..	..	..	4	1,32,078	4	1,32,078
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	23,933	..	..
	3	6,701	..	..	..	..	..	..	25	23,818	10	10,268
	..	..	..	..	25	4,000	25	4,000	25	4,000	..	..
Total ..	4	47,549	..	..	25	4,000	25	4,000	58	1,81,331	14	1,42,316
Tiravur	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	1,70,000	..	..
Total for the district	20	5,25,900	1	15,000	..	..	..	..	26	6,89,000	26	6,80,000
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	20	8,45,615	1	15,000
	8	34,864	66	41,886	7	8,125	63	42,711	186	1,81,333	134	1,22,733
	..	..	..	..	41	7,800	44	7,800	44	7,800	..	..
Grand Total ..	28	5,60,564	57	60,886	51	15,925	103	72,511	276	17,36,528	161	8,17,733

FOR ORDERS.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

DATED

189 .

CURRENT

NO. OF COPIES TO G. No.

NO. OF GOVERNMENT

.. A A A A A A A

NO. OF GOVERNMENT.

NO. OF GOVERNMENT.

NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

for
reparation
and
reconstruction