

Revenue (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 325

DATED 21st January 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Explaining the discrepancy noticed by
the Coll^r of Sea Customs, Madras, between the quantity
of 4-anna service postage stamps entered in the
bi-monthly return of 4000 & that entered in the
invoice.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 215

From

The Secretary to the Commissioner
of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue,
Madras.

Order *R*

Mis. No. 63.

The Board will
be informed that in the
return of Stores ^{received from the India office &} com-
municated with G.O. *R.*
No 1663 of 28th Nov: 1898
the quantity shipped per
S.S. Reva was shown as
520 sheets, 506 being the
quantity intended for

Dated 6th January 1899.

Received 10

Registered //

Enclosures -

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Requesting Government to bring to the
notice of the Director-General of Stores
a certain discrepancy between the extract
from the bi-monthly stores under provi-
sion of the Director-General of Stores
for India communicated with Government
Order No. 1663, Revenue, dated 28th Novem-
ber 1898 and the shipping invoice, in
respect of the number of sheets of four
anna service postage stamps.

*What is the explanation of the
Govt's statement. What does
the Supt. of Stores say
from? 18.1.99*

*The Board of Rev Sep Rev.
To under Secy 18.1.99
The explanation
it has been ascertained*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1663A		28-11-98

copying in this office, ^{where} in the
extract sent to the Board the figure
506 was entered both in columns
2 and 6 instead of 520 in col
2 and 520 in col. 6. The fact
however is not officially before you
and the draft above may ^{perhaps} _{be} sent

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Endt. No. 325 of 21st Jan 1899.

The Bd's reference is
probably due to an
clerical error in the
copy the Bimonthly
Return of stores forwarded
with G.O. 1663 Rev 8 Nov 98.

From the copy in the Est
Office, it appears
that the number of sheets
of 4 anna postage stamps
indented for was 506, but
that the number shipped
per S.S. Rewa in August
1898 was 520 sheets—
not 506 sheets.

M
21/1/99

Let the Bd S.R. 21/1/99



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue),

Dated 6th January

1899.

Mis. No. 63.

Read letter from J.N. Atkinson Esquire, I.C.S., Super-
intendent of Stamps, Madras, No. 3111, dated 19th December
1898, requesting the Board that the difference between the
quantity of 4-anna service postage stamps entered in the bi-
monthly return and that entered in the invoice may be account-
ed for.

Resolution.

Adverting to the extract from the Bimonthly returns
of stores communicated with Government Order No. 1663, Revenue,
dated 28th November 1898, the Superintendent of stamps points
out that while the quantity of 4-anna service postage stamps
entered therein as having been shipped from England per S.S.
"Rewa" in August 1898 is 506 sheets, the quantity actually re-
ceived by him was 520 sheets which corresponds with the figure
in the invoice sent from England. The Board accordingly requests
that the Director-General of Stores may be informed of the

discrepancy.

(True extract.)

C. J. Alhambra
Acting Secretary.

9.1.99.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Superintendent of Stamps.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No. *2*

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH BITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.