Revenue (1898) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 297

Dated 21st May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Application for Revenue Registry.

Recording Bd's Procs. reviewing reports on the further trial of the rule permitting the presentation of — without previous attestation by Village officers and directing that the rule shall now become absolute.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.	Dep.	Cat.	No.	Department.	Date.

INTERCHANGED PAPERS.

No.	Department.	Date.	C.D.	No.	Department.	Date.

9

Revenue (189 8.) Department.

CURRENT No. 3159

Hon A.A. Pain for original app 662 13-9-94 & note 8/5/98

JK 21/5

From Board of Revenue Land Revenue

No. 94

No. 2978 21st May 1898

Order Absn Secn. 20/5/98

Recorded.

RS 17-5-98

Ellis 18.V.98

Dated 5th May 98

Received 24

Registered 28

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reviewing reports on the further detail of the rule permitting the presentation of applications for revenue registry without previous attestation by village officers & directing that the rule shall now become absolute.

9

20

Re Board of Rev. L. R. — Desp. 4.6.98.

30/V

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
-Ba/	130	§ 8-4	96
"	314	§ 24-7	-94
=	1703	——	4-5-94

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1898.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Printed April th.

Proceedings, No. 94, 5th April 1898.

APRIL.

Reviewing reports on the further trial of the rule permitting the presentation of applications for revenue registry without previous attestation by village-officers and directing that the rule shall now become absolute.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE).

READ—Further reports from certain Collectors on the working of the rule making the prior attestation of applications for transfer of revenue registry by Village officers optional.

RESOLUTION—No. 94, dated 5th April 1898.

In its Proceedings, No. 314, dated 24th July 1894, the Board resolved on making it optional with applicants for the transfer of revenue registry to have their applications attested by village-officers previous to presentation, and paragraph 3 (1) (*a*) of Standing Order No. 20 was amended accordingly. Collectors were, however, requested to watch the effect of the change and to report upon it at the end of a year. These reports were reviewed in Board's Proceedings, No. 130, dated 8th April 1896, with the result that a trial for a further period of two years was considered desirable. All Collectors were accordingly requested to report again in January 1898.

2. The Collectors of the districts noted in the margin have not yet submitted their reports. The Collector of Trichinopoly (Mr. Shipley) defers an expression of opinion on the working of the rule until after the current jamabandi. All other Collectors report that the rule has been working satisfactorily. The Collector of South Arcot (Mr. Wynch) adds:—

North Arcot.	Kistna.
Cuddapah.	Kurnool.
Gódávari.	Nellore.

"The mere fact that the ryots know that the prior attestation by the village officers is not compulsory will prevent the latter from claiming excessive remuneration for their services, while the greater delay in disposal of unattested as compared with attested applications probably operates as an inducement to obtain attestation, if possible."

In the above circumstances, the Board considers it unnecessary to await the receipt of further reports, and resolves to direct that the rule making previous attestation optional shall now become absolute.

(True Extract.)

Lionel Davidson
Acting Secretary.

To all Collectors.

Copy to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
" " Settlement Department.

Exd. P. Seetharam.

C 297

in pp. 662
13.9.94 G

vide B.P. 130/8.4.96 in pp. 1703/4.5.96 H

C 19/5/98.

Read B.P. 94 d/5.4.98. (Cyc 315-9).

Note.

B.S.O. No. 20, paragraph 3(1)(a) as it originally stood, required that applications for transfer of registry presented to revenue officers should be attested by the Karnam and headman of the village. It was suspected that these officers extorted for some money for their attestation, and with a view to protect ryots from such demands, the Board, in para 4 of its Proc: No. 314 of 24.7.94, resolved to make it optional with applicants to have their applications attested by village officers previous to presentation. B.S.O. No. 20 was amended accordingly, but with a view to watch the effect of the change, Collectors were asked to report upon its working at the end of a year. It was found that the new rule worked satisfactorily, but as several Collectors urged that it should be given a further trial before being made absolute, the Board of Revenue directed that a further report should be submitted from all districts in January 1898.

2

2. The collectors of N. Arcot, Cuddapah, Godavari, Kistna, Kurnool, and Nellore have not submitted their reports, but the other collectors are of opinion that the rule has been working satisfactorily; and of the six districts from which no reports have been received, it will be seen from para 2 of B.O. No. 130 F.S.S. of 6th that even in the first year of working the privilege was utilized to a large extent in the districts of Godavari and Cuddapah. The Board of Revenue has deemed it unnecessary to await the receipt of the reports yet due and has made the rule absolute.

The necessary alterations having already been made in the B.S.O. No. 20, no further action is necessary and the B.P. may, it is submitted, be simply recorded.

RS
17-5-98

Sec'y
Mr
18.5.98

in G.O. 1703 / 4.5.98

H

Yes
JS
20/5/98

D

Sec'y 5-98.

Government {1898} of Madras.

REVENUE.

Recd. } 1898. Enclosures
Regd. } Spare copies

G.O., No. 297, 21st May 1898.

MAY.

Applications for Revenue Registry.

Recording Board's Proceedings reviewing reports on the further trial of the rule permitting the presentation of — without previous attestation by village officers and directing that the rule shall now become absolute.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 94, dated 5th April 1898:—

Read—Further reports from certain Collectors on the working of the rule making the prior attestation of applications for transfer of revenue registry by village officers optional.

Resolution—No. 94, dated 5th April 1898.

In its Proceedings, No. 314, dated 24th July 1894, the Board resolved on making it optional with applicants for the transfer of revenue registry to have their applications attested by village officers previous to presentation, and paragraph 3 (1) (*a*) of Standing Order No. 20 was amended accordingly. Collectors were, however, requested to watch the effect of the change and to report upon it at the end of a year. These reports were reviewed in Board's Proceedings, No. 130, dated 8th April 1896, with the result that a trial for a further period of two years was considered desirable. All Collectors were accordingly requested to report again in January 1898.

2. The Collectors of the districts noted in the margin have not yet submitted their reports. The Collector of Trichinopoly (Mr. Shipley) defers an expression of opinion on the working of the rule until after the current jamabandi. All other Collectors report that the rule has been working satisfactorily. The Collector of South Arcot (Mr. Wynch) adds:—

North Arcot.	Kistna.
Cuddapah.	Kurnool.
Gódávari.	Nellore.

"The mere fact that the ryots know that the prior attestation by the village officers is not compulsory will prevent the latter from claiming excessive remuneration for their services, while the greater delay in disposal of unattested as compared with attested applications probably operates as an inducement to obtain attestation, if possible."

In the above circumstances, the Board considers it unnecessary to await the receipt of further reports, and resolves to direct that the rule making previous attestation optional shall now become absolute.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Acting Secretary.

To all Collectors.

Copy to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
" " Board of Revenue (Settlement Department).

Order—No. 297, Revenue, dated 21st May 1898.

Miscellaneous.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

Exd. C. M. Thumbuswami.

30 Copies.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

Order of Government

Letter of Government

Statement with Petn.

Papers not filed as, being meant for duration in Office.

M.D. #
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Printed in abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.