

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 21,58

DATED 7th June


[Despatch Abstract.]

Communicating to the Board of Rev. Procds
of 20th Sept. Communicating letter from the Registrar
High Court stating that it is impossible to
to fix the blame on any individual in
the matter of loss to Govt. of Rs 84.8-0
in a suit pending in the Dist Munsif Court
Calcutta owing to the non-observance of
certain rules promulgated by the High Court.

No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 382



From The Judicial Dept.

Order Musi No. 2458 of 7. 6. 98.

Communicated to the
Board of Recs in continuation of G.O.

No. 778

KQ no 1393 dated 19-3-98
7/4/98

Dated 17. 5. 88.

Received 27 -

Registered 28

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Communicating to the Revenue
Dept letter from the Registrar
of the High Court stating that
it is impossible now to fix
the blame on an individual
regarding the loss to Government
of Rs 84-8-0, in O. S. No 288/92
on the file of the District Munsiff
of Amalapur, Godavari
District

7

№ 18⁰ 7 Рене (с. 12) - Дополн.
14.6.84

Note

The B. P. on which this correspondence took place will

has found in G.O no 424 judicial dated 12-3-98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of letter from the District Judge, Godavari to the Registrar, High Court, Madras, No.1376, dated Rajahmundry, 27th April 1898:-

With reference to your letter (Ref. on Cur No.719) dated 28th March 1898, I have the honour to report that it appears from a letter (copy enclosed) received from the District Munsif of Amalapur that one Ganti Senkarudu, a copyist of the Amalapur Court, happened to prepare ^{the} decree in question, and that S. Narasimha Nayudu (then 2nd Clerk in the Amalapur Court) examined it. The copyist is now dead. S. Narasimha Nayudu says that he was then Bench Clerk and that it was no part of his duty to prepare decrees. The then Head Clerk of the Court has retired and it seems impossible, in the circumstances stated in the District Munsif's letter, to fix the blame upon any particular clerk now working in that office.

2. In this office, it was the duty of the Bench Clerk in charge of appeals to prepare and send copies of decrees in pauper appeals to the Collector. The Bench Clerk in appeals in March 1894 was one K. Lakshmiipathy who is no longer in public service. The Sheristadar of this Court then was M. R. Ry. B. V. Jogayya Garu. This officer is now Sheristadar of Vizagapatam District Court.

C. S.
21.5.98

20.5.98

Copy of letter from the District Munsif, Amali
to the District Judge, Rajahmundry, No.204, dated Amali
18th April 1898:-

With reference to the Proceedings of the District
Court, No.1128, dated 7th instant, based upon a copy of the
Proceedings of the Madras Government No.984, dated 25th February
1898, Miscellaneous, which was furnished to this Court, I
have the honour to state that it is the duty of the clerk preparing
decrees to send to the Collector copies of decrees in
pauper suits.

2. It appears from the record that the decree in
O.S. No.288 of 1892 was drawn by Ganti Senkaradu, a copyist
of this Court, who died very recently in February last and it
was examined by S. Narasimulu Nayudu, now Superintendent of
Copyists in the District Court.

3. As I am not the Munsif who passed the decree
in O.S.No.288 of 1892, and as about five years had lapsed
after the passing of it and as I took charge of this Court
only on the 10th February last, I am unable to state which
clerk is liable for the delay in sending the copy of the
decree to the Collector.


4. I have directed the clerk now preparing decrees
to forward as early as possible copies of decrees in
pauper suits to the Collector. The record in O.S.No.288 of
1892 is herewith resubmitted.

C. S.
21.5.98

20.5.98

[6.]
53
1,000-5-7-18

Judicial Department.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper :—

From the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature,
Madras, Dis. No.1009, dated 10th May 1898:—

With reference to G.O., No.424, Judicial, dated
12th March 1898, communicating for such action as may be deemed
necessary a letter from the Collector of Godavari to the
Board of Revenue bringing to notice the loss to Government of
a sum of Rs.84-8-0 in O.S. No.288 of 1892 on the file of the
District Munsif's Court of Amalapur and A.S. No.88 of 1894 on
the file of the District Court owing to the non-observance of
the rule printed at page 105, Part II, of the High Court's
attached. Civil Rules of Practice, I am directed to forward a copy of a
letter from the District Judge of Godavari, No.1376, dated 27th
April 1898, and of its enclosure and to state that the Honour-
able the Judges agree with the District Judge in considering
that, in the circumstances stated, it is impossible now to
fix the blame on any particular individual or individuals.

-----0000-----

O R D E R:—No.778, dated 17th May 1898.

Communicated to the Revenue Department.

(True Extract)

S. S. R. S.
For Chief Secretary.

TO
The Revenue Department.

21.5.98.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 188

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE to G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

.. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- C = Copy Abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.